

Mobility of antimony in contrasting surface environments of a mine site: influence of redox conditions and microbial communities

Jesús Daniel Peco, Hugues Thouin, José María Esbrí, Héctor Ricardo Campos-Rodríguez, Eva Maria García-Noguero, Dominique Breeze, Jaime Villena, Eric Gloaguen, Pablo Leon Higueras, Fabienne Battaglia-Brunet

▶ To cite this version:

Jesús Daniel Peco, Hugues Thouin, José María Esbrí, Héctor Ricardo Campos-Rodríguez, Eva Maria García-Noguero, et al.. Mobility of antimony in contrasting surface environments of a mine site: influence of redox conditions and microbial communities. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2023, 10.1007/s11356-023-29734-9. hal-04213175

HAL Id: hal-04213175 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-04213175v1

Submitted on 21 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Mobility of antimony in contrasting surface environments of a mine site –
2	Influence of redox conditions and microbial communities
3	Jesús Daniel Peco Palacios ^{1,5} , Hugues Thouin ² , José María Esbrí ³ , Héctor R. Campos-
4	Rodríguez ⁴ , Eva Maria García-Noguero ¹ , Dominique Breeze ² , Jaime Villena ⁵ , Eric
5	Gloaguen ⁴ , Pablo Leon Higueras ¹ , Fabienne Battaglia-Brunet ^{4*}
6	1. Instituto de Geología Aplicada, Escuela Universitaria Politécnica de Almadén, Universidad de
7	Castilla-La Mancha, Plaza Manuel Meca, 13400, Almadén, Ciudad Real, Spain
8	2. BRGM, 3 av. Claude Guillemin, 45060 Orléans, France
9	3. Departamento de Mineralogía y Petrología, Facultad de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad.
10	Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain
11	4. Université d'Orléans, CNRS, BRGM, ISTO, UMR 7327, 45071 Orléans, France.
12	5. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Agrónomos de Ciudad Real, Universidad de Castilla-
13	La Mancha, Ronda de Calatrava 7, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain
14	*Corresponding author. Email address: <u>f.battaglia@brgm.fr</u>

15

16 Abstract

Microbial processes can influence the complex geochemical behaviour of the toxic 17 metalloid antimony (Sb) in mining environments. The present study is aimed to evaluate the 18 influence of microbial communities on the mobility of Sb from solid phases to water in different 19 20 compartments and redox conditions of a mining site in southwest (SW) Spain. Samples of 21 surface materials presenting high Sb concentrations, from two weathered mining waste dumps and an aquatic sediment were incubated in slurries comparing oxic and anoxic conditions. The 22 initial microbial communities of the three materials strongly differed. Incubations induced an 23 increase of microbial biomass and an evolution of the microbial communities' structures and 24 25 compositions, which diverged in different redox conditions. The presence of active bacteria always influenced the mobility of Sb, except in the neutral pH waste incubated in oxic 26

conditions. The effect of active microbial activities in oxic conditions was dependent on the material: Sb oxic release was biologically amplified with the acidic waste, but attenuated with the sediment. Different bacterial genera involved in Sb, Fe, and S oxidation or reduction were present and/or grew during incubation of each material. The results highlighted the wide diversity of microbial communities and metabolisms at the small geographic scale of a mining site and their strong implication in Sb mobility.

Key words: antimony, microbial communities, redox conditions, incubations, mine waste, aquatic
 sediment

35

36 **1. Introduction**

Antimony (Sb) is a strategic element with a large range of applications. It is involved as 37 a flame retardant in the composition of plastics, coatings and electronics, but also utilised in 38 39 manufacturing batteries, ammunitions, glasses, semiconductors, diodes, infrared detectors, paints, rubbers, and Hall-effect devices (Krachler et al. 2001; Dupont et al. 2016). The current 40 order of magnitude of annual Sb production is 150,000 tons (USGS 2021). Antimony is mainly 41 extracted from stibnite (Sb₂S₃) mines, but also from Sb oxides and, as a secondary product, 42 from Pb, Au, Ag, Cu, Ni or Zn ores (Anderson 2012; Dupont et al. 2016; Herath et al. 2017). 43 This element, although necessary for the elaboration of many manufactured products, 44 presents health and environmental issues. Acute and chronic toxicity of Sb have been reported 45 (Sundar and Chakravarty 2010). Results from recent studies indicate that Sb might be 46 genotoxic (El Shanawany et al. 2017), and Sb trioxide has been recognised as a potential 47 carcinogen (Schildroth et al. 2021). 48

Antimony concentration in water compartments of mining environments, i.e. porewater, groundwater and surface water, ranges from a few μ g L⁻¹ to more than 10 μ g L⁻¹ (Ashley et al. 2003; Casiot et al. 2007; Filella et al. 2009; Fawcett et al. 2015; Cidu et al. 2018; Radková et al. 2020). In most cases, Sb(V) is the main Sb chemical species detected in solution (Casiot

et al. 2007; Fawcett et al. 2015; Cidu et al. 2015), suggesting that the oxidation state is a major 53 54 driver of Sb mobility. From a geochemical point of view, environmental behaviour of Sb 55 depends on its speciation, redox conditions, pH, and the presence of major and trace elements, as Sb can be integrated in a large range of secondary minerals (Roper et al. 2012; Herath et 56 al. 2017). Climatic conditions and mineralogy influence the biogeochemical Sb transfer and 57 soil enzymatic parameters in mining environments (Gallego et al. 2021; Esbrí et al. 2022). 58 59 Meanwhile, the microbial communities naturally present in most environments may influence 60 Sb mobility through several indirect or direct processes.

Aerobic dissolution of Sb sulphides by S- and Fe-oxidising bacteria classically involved 61 in acid mine drainage generation has been shown to produce high Sb concentrations in acidic 62 liquors (Torma and Gabra 1977; Hafeez et al. 2017). Conversely, in anoxic zones, where 63 64 microbial sulphate reduction occurs, Sb might be chemically reduced by dissolved sulphide (Polack et al. 2009), precipitated as Sb₂S₃ (Zhang et al. 2016), or form mobile thioantimonate 65 complexes (Ye et al. 2019). Direct transformations of Sb species by microorganisms include 66 Sb(III) oxidation, Sb(V) reduction and methylation. More than 60 bacterial strains, belonging to 67 27 genera, presented the ability to enzymatically oxidise Sb(III) into Sb(V) (Deng et al. 2021). 68 They may contribute to increasing the mobility of Sb from solid phases to water, in oxic and 69 neutral pH conditions, as shown by Loni et al. (2020). Anaerobic bio-reduction of Sb(V) into 70 Sb(III), involved in respiratory metabolism, has been evidenced with strains of Sinorhizobium 71 72 (Nguyen and Lee 2014), Desulfuribacillus (Abin and Hollibaugh 2017), Dechloromonas, Propionivibrio (Yang et al. 2020) and Geobacter (Nguyen et al. 2018, Yamamura et al. 2021). 73 74 Microbial methylation of Sb has also been shown to occur, particularly in reducing and organic matter-rich environments (Caplette et al. 2021). A few studies have reported microbiologically 75 76 influenced Sb behaviour in environmental samples. Biotic versus abiotic incubations of sediments impacted by ancient mining activity indicated an effect of the microbial compartment 77 on Sb release (Casiot et al. 2007) that increased in oxygenated conditions and decreased in 78 anoxic conditions. This overview of the state of the art highlights the potential involvement of 79

a large range of microbial processes in either release of Sb from solid phases to water, or 80 conversely, immobilisation of this toxic element in mineral sinks. However, considering the 81 82 complexity of Sb geochemical behaviour, significant gaps still need to be addressed to evaluate the global resulting impact of biogeochemical processes, compared with non-83 biological mechanisms, in different environmental compartments of mining sites. The present 84 study is aimed to: (1) evaluate the influence of microbial communities on Sb mobility from solid 85 phases to water, in different surface compartments of a mining site, comparing oxidising versus 86 87 reducing conditions; and (2) examine the composition and evolution of microbial communities in these different systems. 88

89

90 2. Material and Methods

91 **2.1 Site description and sampling**

92 Samples were taken from the abandoned Susana mine, located near La Puebla de 93 Alcocer, Badajoz province, SW Spain (Fig. 1). Gumiel (1983) described this Sb mineralisation 94 as a small vein-type deposit, exploited through two shallow shafts and galleries, with N130°E 95 direction, hosted by the Pre-Ordovician "Complejo Esquisto Grauváquico" (Lotze 1956), a monotonous succession of shales/schists and greywackes, where an important number of Sb 96 97 mineralizations are present (Gumiel & Arribas 1987). The area lacks any remediation measures and presents abandoned mine waste dumps. These wastes are very heterogeneous 98 in grain size, with frequent debris containing stibnite (Sb_2S_3) , the only ore mineral recognised 99 in mineralisation. There is no evidence of in situ ore processing actions, consequently the 100 wastes correspond exclusively to non-processed materials. 101



102

103

Figure 1. Presentation of the site, location (A); geological context (B)

104

From a climatic point of view, the area corresponds to the BSk Köppen climate (Cold semi-arid), which implies a dry area with low precipitation and extreme temperature conditions, particularly during winter. These conditions also imply a low degree of pedogenesis, and very poor vegetal cover, constituted by poor pastures and scattered presence of *Retama sphaerocarpa* bushes and the very occasional presence of acorn trees (*Quercus rotundifolia*). Different constituents of the local landscape around the mine were sampled. Two samples were taken from a mine waste dump, including acidic pH waste (AW) and neutral pH waste (NW). The third sample corresponded to a sediment (NAS for neutral pH aquatic sediment) taken from a small, flooded area, some 1x1x1 meter in size, in which reducing conditions were suggested by a black substrate highly enriched in organic matter, and supporting an active population of tadpoles when the sampling was performed.

All samples were taken using plastic spoons, after homogenisation of the profile (0-15 cm deep) with a clean geologist's hammer and placed in plastic bags for transportation to the laboratory. Samples were air-dried at ambient temperature for 14 days, sieved to remove the <2 mm fraction, and split to obtain three subsamples: one to be stored, one for physicochemical determinations, and a last subsample to be milled for analyses. Non-dried samples were kept at 5°C in closed bags for incubation experiments.

122

123 **2.2 Characterisation of solids**

Preliminary analysis of the elemental composition of the samples was carried out by means of energy dispersive X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry (EDXRF), on milled samples, using an Epsilon 1 (from Malvern Panalytical). Mineralogical characterisation was performed using X-Ray diffractometry using a Bruker D8 Advance A25 in a 15-minute work program, on ground and pressed samples. Interpretation was carried out with Match! Software for phase analysis using powder diffraction data. Interpretation of diffractograms focused on the identification of the presence of quartz, Fe oxides and mineral phases containing Sb.

For incubation experiments, sub-samples of each material were subjected to autoclaving under N₂ atmosphere, three successive times at 120°C for 20 min, at 24 h intervals, in order to strongly attenuate microbial activity. This treatment did not kill 100% of the microorganisms; however, by convention the corresponding conditions are thereafter labelled as "Sterilised - St". In order to determine different mineral phases bearing Sb in soil samples

and to search for possible differences between autoclaved and non-autoclaved materials, 6 136 samples (3 autoclaved and 3 non-autoclaved) were analysed using SEM-EDS. The 6 soil 137 138 samples were compressed using a hydraulic press (10 tons), each sample containing between 70 g and 110 g of material. The resulting samples were put into resin and thinly polished in 139 order to be analysed using a ZEISS Merlin Compact Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). 140 The SEM was operated at 15KV, and it was provided with an EDXRF spectrum analyser (EDS, 141 142 Bruker QUANTAX-XFlash6-30mm2-resolution 129eV), and a Bruker Micro XRF (XTRACE-143 Tube Rh-Filters AI, Ti, Ni). The results were analysed using ESPRIT Software.

Multielemental characterisation including total sulphur was carried out by EDXRF, using a Bruker S2 Ranger with a Pd detector with 10 g of ground and pressed samples.

Total organic carbon (TOC), humic and fulvic acids were analyzed according to the 146 protocol described by Schnitzer (1983). For the determination of the TOC, 10 g of soil were 147 added to 50 mL of extractant solution (44.6 g of 0.1 M pyrophosphate and 4 g of NaOH in a 148 total volume of 1 L). Samples were shaken for 2 h and centrifuged for 15 min at 800 g. After 149 that, 0.098 g of K₂Cr₂O₇ were added to 1 mL of the supernatant of the samples; then, 4 mL of 150 concentrated H₂SO₄ were added and heated using a STUART SBH 200D/3 digester at 150°C 151 for 30 min. After 12 h, 5 mL of ultrapure H₂O were added, finally obtaining the result using a 152 Spectronic UNICAM Helios Epsilon spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 590 nm. For the 153 determination of humic and fulvic acids, 10 mL of the extract were taken and acidified with 0.2 154 155 mL of H₂SO₄. The samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 1,000 g. The resulting supernatant represents fulvic acids. Ten mL of NaOH 0.5 M were added to the resulting precipitate (humic 156 acids). After stirring, 0.098 g of K₂Cr₂O₇ was added to 1 mL of the supernatant of the samples, 157 and 4 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄ were added and heated using a STUART SBH 200D/3 158 digester at 150°C for 30 min. After 12 h, 5 mL of ultrapure H_2O were added, and the solution 159 was analysed at 590 nm using a Spectronic UNICAM Helios Epsilon spectrophotometer. 160

161 Evaluation of geochemical Sb mobility was performed through the following steps. The 162 leachable fraction was evaluated using the Modified Geological Survey Field Leach Test

(Hageman 2007): 5 g of soil were mixed with 100 mL of deionised water shaken at 50 rpm for 163 2 h in a water bath. The supernatant was filtered through 0.45 µm PTFE filters. The available 164 165 fraction was studied by means of AcNH₄ selective extraction: 5 g of soil were mixed with 100 mL of 1 M AcNH₄ solution at pH 7, shaken at 50 rpm for 2 h in a shaking water bath. The 166 supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 µm PTFE filter. Modified BCR sequential extraction 167 was carried out according to Quevauviller et al. (1997) in order to obtain data about 168 fractionation of Sb and related elements. This sequential extraction procedure provides 169 170 information about four fractions: fraction 1 (F1), extracted by a solution of 0.11 M acetic acid, releases the species that are soluble in water, easily leached by weak acids and associated 171 with soluble carbonates; fraction 2 (F2), extracted by 0.5 M hydroxylamine hydrochloride, 172 consists of easily reducible species associated with Fe and Mn oxy-hydroxides; fraction 3 (F3), 173 extracted by 8.8 M hydrogen peroxide and 1.0 M ammonium acetate solutions, consist in easily 174 oxidisable compounds, mainly associated with organic matter and sulphide minerals; and the 175 residual fraction (RF) was determined by calculating the difference between pseudo-total and 176 177 mobile fractions. A suitable certified reference material (BCR 701) was used to evaluate Pb recovery (between 95-98%). Extractions were filtered using Whatman filters (8 µm). The 178 analysis of Sb, Pb, As, Fe, Mn and Cu in all solutions was performed by High-Resolution 179 180 Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (HR-AAS), using an Analytik Jena ContrAA-800D.

181

182 2.3 Microcosms

Four types of microcosms were used: biotic and sterilised (St) in aerobic and anaerobic conditions. To establish the biotic microcosms, fresh samples (equivalent to 20 g dry weight) were mixed in sterile 500 mL glass bottles with 200 mL of sterilised Mont Roucous mineral water (composition close to rainwater, pH = 6.38, EC = 160 μ S.cm⁻¹, Cl⁻ = 56 μ M, NO₃⁻ = 24 μ M, SO₄²⁻ = 16 μ M, Na⁺ = 109 μ M, K⁺ = 7.7 μ M, Ca²⁺ = 25 μ M, Mg²⁺ = 21 μ M) and covered with cotton plugs (Ox: oxic conditions) or rubber stoppers (AOx: anoxic conditions). To create conditions with strongly attenuated microbial activities, bottles were prepared according to the

same protocol with St materials. At the beginning of the experiment and every week, 20 µl of 190 formaldehyde was added to each St flask to prevent growth of the microorganisms that were 191 192 not killed by autoclaving. All conditions were tested in triplicates, except the NAS St conditions, that were prepared in duplicates, due to the limitation of the quantity of material. In anoxic 193 conditions, oxygen was purged from the microcosms with sterile N_2 for 20 minutes and 194 pressurised with sterile H₂ (1 bar over atmospheric pressure). All microcosms were incubated 195 196 for 41 days at 20°C with rotational shaking (100 rpm) in the dark to prevent photo-induced 197 oxidation of metals. Every week, samples were taken from the bottles and the water that evaporated in oxic conditions was compensated by adding sterile deionised water. For anoxic 198 microcosms, sampling was conducted under strictly anaerobic conditions in an oxygen-free 199 glove box and H₂ pressure was renewed after each sampling event. 200

201

202 2.4 Characterisation of water samples

203 At the beginning of the experiment and every week, one aliquot of the supernatant was 204 sampled and pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), electric conductivity (EC), Fe(II)/Fe(III) and Sb content were analysed. Additionally, Pb and As content were determined at the 205 beginning, mid-time and end of the experiment. The pH, EC and ORP parameters were 206 measured immediately in the suspensions using a Consort C3040 apparatus equipped with 207 pH, ORP and EC electrodes. Supernatants were centrifuged at 10,000 g and filtered at 208 209 0.45 µm. For dissolved Fe speciation and quantification, a 1.5 mL aliquot was immediately acidified with concentrated HCI. Dissolved Fe(II) was measured using the ortho-phenantroline 210 colorimetric method (Murti et al. 1966; Mamindy-Pajany et al. 2013). For this, 0.5 mL of acetate 211 212 buffer pH 4.5, 0.1 mL of orthophenantroline 0.5 % and 0.9 mL of the filtered sample were added to a spectrophotometer cuvette. The mixture was left at room temperature for 15 min in 213 214 the dark and the absorbance was recorded at 510 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The 215 total dissolved Fe concentration was determined using the same protocol but with the addition 216 of 0.1 mL of hydroguinone 1 % prepared in acetate buffer pH 4.5. Samples for HR-AAS were

immediately acidified with 1 % of concentrated HNO₃. Concentrations of As, Sb and Pb were
quantified by HR-AAS using a ContrAA-800 equipment (Analytik Jena, Germany) equipped
with a xenon short-arc lamp and using the flame technique. All calibration standard solutions
were prepared using 1 g L⁻¹ primary certified standard solutions (Inorganic Ventures).

Speciation of Sb was determined at the end of incubation with the method described by 221 Nguyen and Lee (2015) according to Yu et al. (2002). Samples were diluted in ultrapure water 222 in order to reach a concentration lower than 250 µg L⁻¹. In glass tubes, 1 mL of sample was 223 224 mixed with 3.5 mL of ultrapure water and 1 mL of 1 % pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate solution. After 10 min of reaction, the mixture was transferred to an Agilent SampliQ C18ODS (6 mL) 225 solid-phase extraction cartridge, previously conditioned with 1 mL analytical grade methanol 226 then 1 mL ultrapure water and placed above a collection tube. The cartridge was rapidly rinsed 227 228 with 5 mL of ultrapure water, and Sb(III) was retained in the cartridge. Sb was quantified by oven Atomic absorption spectrometry (VARIAN) in the collection tube that received Sb(V) from 229 the initial sample. The difference between total Sb and Sb(V) was considered to represent 230 Sb(III). 231

232

233 **2.5 Microbial growth and viability**

234 The viability of microorganisms in each flask was determined at the beginning, midtime and end of the incubation process, using a LIVE/DEAD® BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit 235 (Molecular Probes 2004) to stain the bacteria fixed by filtration of 100 µL of incubated slurries 236 diluted in 1 mL of sterile physiological water on GE Whatman[™] Nuclepore polycarbonate 237 membrane. Filters were observed under UV-light using a ZEISS Imager Z1 optical microscope 238 (DSRED and FITC filters). Twelve images were taken in randomly chosen areas of each filter. 239 Determination of live and dead cells was conducted by counting the number of green (live) and 240 241 red (dead) points. Points were counted using a recorded macro in ImageJ (National Institute of Health, USA). 242

243 **2.6 Characterisation of microbial communities**

244 **2.6.1 Extraction and quantification of soil DNA**

DNA extractions were performed on initial soils and pellets obtained at the middle and 245 246 end of the experiment after centrifuging the samples at 10,000 g. Pellets of triplicate microcosms were pooled before DNA extraction. Exclusively for the biotic AW in AOx 247 conditions, DNA extraction and 16S fragment sequencing was also performed on individual 248 249 replicates, because results in terms of Sb concentration strongly differed between these 250 replicates. We used the FastPrep DNA Spin Kit for soil (MP Biomedicals) following the manufacturer's instructions. Total extracted DNA was quantified using a QUANTUS 251 Fluorometer (Promega) and stored at -20 °C until the next analysis. 252

253

254 2.6.2 16S rRNA amplification and next-generation sequencing

Bacterial and archaeal diversity was determined by 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding, 255 256 generated by INRAE Transfert (Narbonne, France). The V4-V5 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the barcoded, universal primer set 515WF/918WR (Wang 257 258 et al. 2009). PCR reactions were performed using AccuStart II PCR ToughMix kit and cleaned (HighPrep PCR beads, Mokascience). Forward and reverse primers contained the adapter 259 260 sequences (5'GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTA) and (3'ACTYAAAKGAATTGRCGGGG), respectively, used in Illumina sequencing technology. The amplicons were sequenced using 261 an Illumina MiSeq at the GeT-PlaGe platform (Auzeville, France). 262

263

264 2.6.3 Processing of sequencing

The datasets from MiSeq sequencing were processing using the FROGS (Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution) bioinformatics pipeline (Escudié et al. 2017). Fastq paired reads were merged with Vsearch software, and clustered into OTU (Operational Taxonomic

Units) with Swarm and an aggregation distance clustering of 1. Chimera and OTU with a proportion less than 0.0005% of all sequences were removed. Taxonomic affiliation was performed using BLAST and the Silva 138.1 database for 16S rRNA gene sequences. The raw datasets can be found on the European Nucleotide Archive system under project accession number **[in progress]**. InvSimpson diversity indexes were calculated based on the Illumina sequence data.

274

275 2.7 Statistics

Data about the evolution of soil microcosms were tested for homogeneity of variance and normal distribution. In this way, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out using SPSS version 21.0 followed by a Tukey test between means of treatments to determine the significant difference ($p \le 0.05$).

Redundancy analysis (RDA), using the vegan R package, was performed to identify the 280 effects of environmental variables on bacterial phylum structure, based on the relative 281 abundance of the detected OTU in pellets at t0, t21 and t41 days. This analysis was calculated 282 283 based on a Hellinger-transformed phylum abundance basis of 16S rRNA data (Borcard et al. 2011). Environmental explanatory tested variables were standardised and the function ordistep 284 in the vegan package was used to identify the significant explanatory environmental variables. 285 The significance of the RDA model was tested by ANOVA based on the Monte Carlo test with 286 999 permutations. This analysis helps to determine the most influential factors and the extent 287 to which different environmental parameters affected the bacterial phylum. 288

289

290

291

292

293 3. Results

294 **3.1 Characterisation of solids**

295 **3.1.1. Mineralogy**

The major Sb phases identified by XRD in rock samples from the site included stibnite (SbS₃) as the primary phase and stibiconite (Sb³⁺(Sb⁵⁺)₂O₆(OH) as the oxidised phase. However, in the soil and sediment samples used in this study, XRD analyses revealed only an oxidised phase of Sb and As, stenhuggarite CaFeSb(AsO₃)₂O (5.3% in NAS sample), in addition to quartz as the majority phase (95-99%) and Fe and Mn oxides (5.6% in AW sample).

301 According to the SEM-EDS observations (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1), the most frequently observed Sb-bearing mineral phases are stibnite (in all samples), 302 and Sb-oxides, including cervantite (Sb³⁺Sb⁵⁺O₄), bindheimite (Pb₂Sb₂O₆(O,OH), and other 303 304 Sb-oxides that would need further studies to be more precisely determined. Jamesonite 305 (Pb₄FeSb₆S) is also present in lesser quantities. Besides these Sb-containing minerals, other 306 important minerals present in both St and non-St samples-include quartz, rutile, Fe oxides 307 (hematite), arsenopyrite, and monazite. Regarding Sb-bearing minerals, no significant 308 difference was found between St and non-St samples through these qualitative analyses. 309 Nevertheless, a detailed quantitative study of the Sb-oxides might lead to some important 310 differentiation.

311

312 3.1.2 Chemical composition

All samples are siliceous, with variable contents of Fe (4.6-16.5 %), Sb (1.02-4.41 %) and As (878-9,800 mg kg⁻¹), as shown in Table 1. The NAS sample clearly differs from the other samples, especially in terms of higher concentrations of Fe, As, TOC (Table 1), P, Mn, Cr and Ca (Supplementary Table 2).

	AW	NW	NAS
Fe	4.61	4.67	16.49
Sb	4.41	1.02	1.08
S	0.53	0.13	1.11
As	0.22	0.09	0.98
тос	2.35	2.43	9.59
Humic Acid	0.89	1.29	2.65
	(37.8%)*	(53.1%)*	(27.6%)*
Fulvic Acid	1.46	1.14	6.94
I UNIC ACIU	(62.1%)*	(46.9%)*	(72.3%)*

Table 1. Characteristics of initial materials. All data are expressed in %. (*) % of TOC

319

320 3.1.3 Geochemical mobility of Sb

321 A clear differentiation was found in the fractionation between different samples and different considered elements. The first noteworthy finding is the overwhelming proportion of 322 Sb in the residual phase in all samples, although the proportion of Sb in more available phases 323 is very slightly higher in the NAS sample (Supplementary Figure 2). This is confirmed by the 324 325 negligible proportion of Sb extracted in a leaching test, or even extracted with AcNH₄ (Supplementary Figure 2A). The fraction extracted with AcNH₄ tends to be higher in the AW 326 sample than in the NAS sample. With the BCR protocol, Fe is extracted in higher proportions 327 (more than 40%) in the soluble/available fractions (Supplementary Figure 2B) only in the NAS 328 329 sample, with the highest values corresponding to the F2 fraction linked to Fe and Mn oxides. However, the low proportion of extracted Sb in F2 suggests that most of the Sb is not 330 associated with oxides in the NAS sample. Iron is only present in very small proportions as 331 oxides in the NW and AW samples. 332

333

334 **3.2 Microcosms**

335 **3.2.1 Evolution of physico-chemical parameters**

In the AW microcosms, oxidising conditions with microbial growth produced a strong
 release of Sb (≈ 495 % of the initial concentration, Fig. 2A). However, under anoxic conditions,

the three replicates in biotic conditions behaved differently (Fig. 2B): Sb was released more in 338 solution than in the St condition for replicate A, but immobilised between days 6 and 15 in 339 340 replicate B and immobilised from the beginning of incubation in replicate C. In all replicates, a late release of Sb into water was observed during the last week of incubation. Few changes 341 were observed in the St microcosms. On the other hand, in NW microcosms (Fig. 2C), a 342 release of Sb was observed in all cases (452 % Ox, 283 % Ox St, 353 % AOx St), although it 343 344 was much less pronounced in the AOx microcosm (92 %). Regarding NAS microcosms (Fig. 345 2D), a release was observed in all cases; however, it was much stronger in the St microcosms 346 than in the biotic microcosms for both Ox and AOx conditions (58 % Ox, 122 % Ox St, 131 % AOx, 152 % AOx St). More precisely, in oxic conditions Sb was released more in biotic than in 347 St conditions during the first week, then the Sb concentration decreased sharply between day 348 6 and day 13. Arsenic had a more homogeneous behaviour within the three studied materials 349 (AW, NW and NAS, Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 3). In all cases, the microcosm under 350 351 anoxic conditions and with microorganisms produced a release of the metalloid, while in the 352 rest of the microcosms the release was lower. No release of Fe(III) was observed in any of the 353 microcosms (data not shown). However, under anoxic and biotic conditions, an increase in the content of soluble Fe(II) could be observed mainly with AW and a few with NW, while in the 354 355 rest of the microcosms it remained almost unchanged (Fig. 3).



356

Figure 2. Evolution of Sb concentration in the liquid phase of acidic pH waste (AW, A & B), neutral pH waste (NW, C) and neutral pH aquatic sediment (NAS, D) microcosms. Ox (oxicbiotic conditions), Ox St (oxic-abiotic conditions), AOx (anoxic-biotic conditions) and AOx St (anoxic-abiotic conditions). Values show the mean of three replicates \pm SE. Values with the same small letters are not significantly different at P \leq 0.05 (ANOVA, Tukey test).

362

AW microcosms showed lower pH as compared to the other two soil types (NW and NAS, Supplementary Fig. 4). In the AW microcosm with microorganisms, the pH decreased in Ox conditions and increased in AOx conditions, whereas no change of pH was observed in abiotic conditions. With NW, pH increased in AOx conditions while no major pH change was observed in the other conditions. Similar behaviour was observed in NAS, where an increase in pH was observed only under AOx conditions with microorganisms. The ORP evolved similarly for all materials (AW, NW and NAS, Supplementary Fig. 5): it reached values of around 200-300 mV (Ref. Ag/AgCl) in microcosms under Ox conditions, while in AOx conditions it decreased down to -300 mV, with no clear influence of living microbes in any condition.



373

Figure 3. Evolution of Fe(II) concentration in reducing conditions of acidic pH waste (AW, A), neutral pH waste (NW, B) and neutral pH aquatic sediment (NAS, C) microcosms. St indicates abiotic conditions. The Fe(II) concentration did not vary under oxidising conditions. Values show the mean of three replicates \pm SE. Values with the same small letters are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 (ANOVA, Tukey test).

379

The monitoring of EC showed differences between the studied microcosms (Supplementary Fig. 6). A very strong increase of EC was observed in AW and NAS incubated in Ox conditions with microorganisms (400% and 162%, AW and NAS, respectively), whereas with NAS, EC tended to decrease in biotic AOx microcosms. In the NW microcosm, there was only a slight increase over the weeks in all conditions.

The final speciation of Sb in the water phase (Table 2) showed the dominance of Sb(V) in all conditions, except in the biotic AOx incubations of NW, in which Sb(V) represented only 20 to 30% of total Sb.

Condition	Replicates	Total Sb (ug L ⁻¹)	Sb(V) (ug L ⁻¹)	Sb(V) (%)	Total As (ug L ⁻¹)
	Α	2231	2030	<u>91</u>	<u> (r·9 </u>
AW Ox	В	2657	2475	93	217
	Ċ	2693	2253	84	240
	A	1316	1205	92	256
AW Ox St	В	887	768	87	265
-	С	1507	1368	91	304
	A	3516	3318	94	1337
AW AOx	В	664	559	84	2955
	С	576	353	61	2963
	Α	1049	819	78	360
	В	992	816	82	322
AW AOX St	С	943	752	80	249
	Α	4596	3981	87	908
NW Ox	В	4898	4175	85	850
	С	5320	4847	91	892
	Α	4030	3691	92	650
NW Ox St	В	3950	3547	90	839
	С	3534	3216	91	854
	Α	2039	629	31	9764
NW AOx	В	2199	649	30	10540
	С	2184	440	20	10676
	А	4250	3696	87	1146
	В	4552	3960	87	1109
NW AUX SI	С	4370	3985	91	1069
	A	3200	3059	96	118
NAS Ox	В	3758	3564	95	116
	С	2652	2424	91	95
NAS OV St	A	5516	5108	93	1250
	В	4474	4083	91	1764
	Α	2021	1453	72	15045
NAS AOx	В	1808	1539	85	14986
	С	2155	1482	69	15515
NAS AOx	Α	3756	3356	89	2285
St	В	2816	2561	91	2216

Table 2. Speciation of Sb, total Sb and total As in the water phase at the end of the incubationexperiment (day 42).

391

392 **3.2.2 Evolution of the microbial compartment**

The cell density increased in all incubation conditions (Fig. 4), the highest cell concentrations being found in the NAS. This result was in accordance with the DNA quantification data (Supplementary Table 3). For AW microcosms, the AOx conditions gave the highest abundance of live cells: however, in NW there was a similar abundance of living cells in Ox and AOx, and in NAS more living cells were found in Ox at the end of incubation (Fig. 4 A, B and C). Considering dead cells, their abundance in AW was similar between Ox
and AOx conditions; however, in NW and NAS materials, dead cells were more abundant
under AOx conditions (Fig. 4 D, E and F). Cells (live and dead) were observed in St conditions,
however, low DNA concentrations confirmed that growth in the presence of St materials was
limited.



Figure 4. Evolution of live and dead cells abundance (cells g⁻¹ of solid dry weight) in acidic waste (AW, A & D), neutral waste (NW, B & E) and neutral aquatic sediment (NAS, C & F) microcosms. Ox (oxic-biotic conditions), Ox St (oxic-abiotic conditions), AOx (anoxic-biotic conditions) and AOx St (anoxic-abiotic conditions). Values show the mean of three replicates ± SE. Values with the same small letters are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 (ANOVA, Tukey test).

A total of 382,567 sequences of 16S rRNA gene were obtained from the 21 samples. 410 The highest richness was observed in the NAS (Supplementary Figure 7). It ranged from 657 411 412 OTUs in the soil at the beginning of experiment (t0) to 570 in the Ox condition after 42 days. In NW, 514 OTUs were observed at t0. This sample shows the greatest difference between 413 the Ox and AOx conditions: after 21 days, in AOx conditions, the richness decreased to 321 414 before increasing to 508 at the end. The lowest richness was observed in AW with a number 415 416 of OTUs between 300 and 400. OTUs were observed in St conditions: with AW and NAS, few 417 OTUs were present at the end of the St experiment however in NW about 400 OTUs were observed in both St conditions. The diversity based on the InvSimpson index decreased in all 418 conditions between 0 and 21 days, except for NW Ox and AW Ox conditions (Supplementary 419 420 Figure 7). Between 21 and 42 days, the diversity increased in NW Ox and NAS AOx, and decreased in AW Ox. At the end of the experiment, diversity was higher in the Ox condition 421 than in the AOx condition for AW and NAS, but higher in the AOx than in the Ox condition for 422 NAS. Diversity in the St conditions was very low, except for the NAS condition, where it was 423 424 close to the biotic condition.

The relative abundance of the major bacterial and archaeal phyla is shown in Fig. 5. 425 AW was characterised by a predominance of Chloroflexi. Ox conditions favoured the 426 development of Proteobacteria, Bacteroidota, Planctomycetota, Cyanobacteria, and WPS-2, 427 while AOx conditions promoted the development of Firmicutes (which became the main 428 phylum) and Acidobacteria. NW was dominated by five phyla: Proteobacteria, 429 Actinobacteriota, Acidobacteriota, Bacteroidota, and Crenarchaeota. Ox conditions enhanced 430 431 the development of Proteobacteriota and Bacteroidota, whereas Firmicutes, Desulfobacterota and Myxococcota emerged in AOx conditions. Quite similar compositions at the phylum level 432 433 were observed in NW and NAS; however, three phyla were important in this sediment: Desulfobacterota, Myxococcota, and Halobacterota. As observed in NW, Proteobacteria and 434 Bacteroidota abundance increased in NAS microcosms with Ox conditions, but a decrease in 435 abundance of Firmicutes, Desulfobacterota, and Halobacterota was also observed. In AOx 436

conditions, the NAS phylum composition was very similar during the experiment with no major
variations. The main phyla observed in AW and NAS St conditions were Proteobacteria and
Actinobacteria, meanwhile Firmicutes, Acidobacteriota, and Bacteroidota were also observed
in proportions greater than 5% in NW.





Figure 5. Relative abundance of the major bacterial and archaeal phyla in soils and samples
from acidic pH waste (AW), neutral pH waste (NW) and neutral pH aquatic sediment (NAS)
microcosms. Ox (oxic-biotic conditions), Ox St (autoclaved oxic-abiotic conditions), AOx
(anoxic-biotic conditions) and AOx St (autoclaved anoxic-abiotic conditions).

With the aim of identifying the influence on the microbial community structure of the physico-chemical characteristics of the sampled water, an RDA was built (Fig. 6). The results indicate that pH and ORP were explanatory variables that significantly influenced the community structure at the phylum level, explaining 24 % (p = 0.009) of the total variance. The lowest pH favoured the presence of Chloroflexi, whereas high pH seemed to induce higher proportions of Desulfobacterota and Bacteroidota. Low ORP, in AOx conditions, favoured the development of Firmicutes. The St conditions had the same community structure, regardless of their conditions, except for the NW Ox condition.

454



455

Figure 6. RDA of sequence abundances assigned at phylum level of bacterial and archaeal communities in soils and microcosm samples in relation to environmental variables. Red lines represent the environmental variables (significant variables in bold), grey lines represent the Phyla, and circles represent the samples (AW in blue, NW in red and NAS in green). Ox (oxicbiotic conditions), Ox St (autoclaved oxic-abiotic conditions), AOx (anoxic-biotic conditions) and AOx St (autoclaved anoxic-abiotic conditions).

462 Some of the OTUs identified at the genus level correspond to genera that were shown 463 to be involved in the metabolisms of Sb, S or Fe (Table 3). Their proportion in the total number 464 of sequences for each sample, grouped by common metabolism, strongly varied between

conditions (Fig. 7). With the AW, these metabolic groups represented a very low proportion of 465 the sequences in oxic conditions, whereas in anoxic conditions, Sb(III)-oxidising, sulphate-466 467 reducing bacteria (SRB) and Fe(III)-reducing groups emerged during incubation. At the end of the experiment (day 41), the two replicates AOx B and AOx C, in which Sb was immobilised, 468 counted more Fe(III)-reducing bacteria and SRB, and fewer genera including Sb(III)-oxidising 469 strains than the replicate AOx A, in which Sb mobility was higher. This result could be linked 470 471 to the higher proportion of Sb(V) in AOx A than in AOx B and C (Table 2). With the NW, a high proportion of the Sb(III)-oxidising group was observed in oxic conditions, particularly in the St 472 conditions, linked to the high proportion of sequences affiliated to the genera Bacillus and 473 Novosphingobium in these samples (Supplementary Table 4). However, this might not lead to 474 475 any biogeochemical interpretation, as biomass was very low in the St conditions (Supplementary Table 3). In anoxic conditions, NW was enriched in Sb(V)-reducing and Fe(III)-476 477 reducing metabolic groups, with a major contribution of Geobacter in these two groups (Supplementary Table 4). Sulphate-reducers also emerged in the NW biotic AOx incubation, 478 479 to a lesser extent. With the NAS, the targeted metabolic groups did not appear in the St conditions, whereas, with the exception of the Sb(V)-red group, they were well represented in 480 all the biotic conditions, even at t0. Incubation conditions seemed to favour the development 481 482 of Sb(III)-oxidising and SOX groups in oxic conditions, and of Sb(III)-oxidising and more clearly 483 Fe(III)-reducing groups in anoxic conditions, with Anaeromyxobacter and Geothermobacter as 484 the main corresponding putative Fe(III)-reducers (Supplementary Table 4).

485

- 487
- 488
- 489
- 490
- 491

Table 3. List of genera including strains with known metabolisms related to the biogeocycles
of Sb, S or Fe and detected in Susana sample incubations. Acidic pH waste (AW), neutral pH
waste (NW) and neutral pH aquatic sediment (NAS). Ox: oxic; AOx: anoxic; St: sterilised
conditions.

Cupriavidus PseudomonasSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNW: AOx, AOx St AW: OXSt NW: OX, OXSt, AOx, AOx StNguyen and Lee 2015 Nguyen and Lee 2015 Nguyen and Lee 2015 Nguyen and Lee 2015 Nguyen and Lee 2015BacillusSb(III)-oxidising StAW: Ox, OXSt, AOx, AOx StLi et al. 2018 StBoseaSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OXSt, AOx, AOx StLi et al. 2018 Xiang et al. 2022 StAcidithiobacillus Flavihumibacter HydrogenophagaSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNW: OX, OXSt, AOX, AOX NAS: OX, AOXXiang et al. 2022 StThiobacillus GeobacterSb(III)-oxidising So(III)-oxidisingNAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOXTerry et al. 2015 Terry et al. 2015Thiobacillus Acidithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising So(III)-oxidisingNAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOXDeng et al. 2021 NW: OX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOXThiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus Fe(II)-oxidisingNW: OX ACX AW: OX AW: OX St, AOX, AOX AW: AOX, OX St AW: AOX, OX St AW: AOX, AOX St AM: AOX, AOX S
PseudomonasSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox8tNguyen and Lee 2015BacillusSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StLi et al. 2018BacillusSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StLi et al. 2018BoseaSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StXiang et al. 2022Acidithiobacillus Flavihumibacter NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx NW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOX NS: Ox, AOXXiang et al. 2022ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOXNguyen and Lee 2015ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOX, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOXNguyen and Lee 2015ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOX, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOX, AOXDeng et al. 2015ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOXDeng et al. 2021GeobacterSb(III)-oxidising Fe(II)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOXNguyen et al. 2018ThermithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, AOXYang et al. 2015SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, AOXYang et al. 2015SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, AOXVang et al. 2017Galionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003Geothermobacterium Desulfiobacte
ContentionContinueContentionContentionContentionBacillusSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StLi et al. 2018BacillusSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StLi et al. 2018BoseaSb(III)-oxidisingNW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StXiang et al. 2022AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StNguyen and Lee 2015AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen and Lee 2015AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen and Lee 2015ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NW: Ox, OXSt, AOx, AOX St NW: Ox, OXSt, AOx, AOXDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OXSt NW: OX, NAS: Ox, AOXDeng et al. 2021Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OXSt NW: OX, NAS: OX, AOXNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-reducingAW: OX St NW: AOX NAS: OX, OX St NAS: OX, AOXYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OX St NX: AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NX: AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NX: AOXGallionella DesulfibohacteriumFe(III)-redu
BacillusSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StLi et al. 2018BoseaSb(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St, NAS: Ox, AOxXiang et al. 2022AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOx StXiang et al. 2022AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOx StNguyen and Lee 2015 Han et al. 2016AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingANS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen and Lee 2015 Han et al. 2016AviorshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOXDeng et al. 2021 NAS: Ox, AOXThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOXDeng et al. 2021 NAS: Ox, AOXRoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOXSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOXRoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OXSt NW: OX, AOXSun et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OX St NAS: OX, AOXYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-oxidisingAW: OX St, AOX, AOX St NAS: OX, OX St, AOXVeises et al. 2007 NAS: OX, OX St, AOXGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NX: AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NX: AOXGaltionella DesulfiobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(I
BacillusSb(III)-oxidising St NW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St, NAS: Ox, AOx St, NAS: Ox, AOx St, NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx St Nas: Ox, AOx Nas: Ox, AOx Nas: Ox, AOx St Nas: Ox, AOx Nas: Ox, AOx St Nas: Ox, AOx Nas: Ox, AOx, AOX Nas: Ox, OX St, AOX Nas: Ox, AOX St Nas: OX,
StStStNW: Ox, OXSt, AOX, AOXBoseaSb(III)-oxidisingNW: Ox, OXSt, AOX, AOXXiang et al. 2022AcidithiobacillusSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOX, AOX, AOXXiang et al. 2022FlavihumibacterSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOX, AOXHan et al. 2016HydrogenophagaSb(III)-oxidisingNAS: OX, AOXHan et al. 2015NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidisingNAS: OX, AOXDeng et al. 2021NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidisingNW: OX, OXSt, AOX, AOX, AOXDeng et al. 2021NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidisingNW: OX, OXSt, AOX, AOX, AOXDeng et al. 2021NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OXSt, NAS: OX, AOXDeng et al. 2021NaS: OX, AOX, AOX, AOXStNAS: OX, AOXNaS: OX, AOXRoseomonasSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OXStSun et al. 2020RoseomonasSb(V)-reducingAW: OXStNguyen et al. 2018GeobacterSb(V)-reducingAW: OXStNguyen et al. 2018NW: OX, AOXNAS: OX, AOXNaS: OX, AOXNaS: OX, AOXThermithiobacillusS-oxidisingAW: OX StYang et al. 2015AcidithiobacillusS-oxidisingAW: OX StQuatrini and Johnson 2019AcidithiobacillusFe(III)-oxidisingNAS: OX, AOXVeiss et al. 2007AcidithiobacillusFe(III)-oxidisingNAS: OX, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011AnaeromyxobacterFe(III)-reducingNAS: OX, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011AnaeromyxobacterFe(III)-reducingNAS: OX, AOX S
NW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St, NAS: Ox, AOxBoseaSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Flavihumibacter Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx NAS: Ox, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX St NW: OX, NAS: OX, AOX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising S-oxidising S-oxidising S-oxidising S-oxidising S-oxidising S-oxidisingSum et al. 2021 NW: OX, NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, St, AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St
St, NAS: Ox, AOXBoseaSb(III)-oxidisingNW: Ox, OXSt, AOx, AOXXiang et al. 2022 StAcidithiobacillus Flavihumibacter Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOXNguyen and Lee 2015 Han et al. 2016 Terry et al. 2016NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOXNguyen and Lee 2015 Han et al. 2016ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising So(III)-oxidisingNW: Ox, AOX NW: Ox, OXSt, AOX, AOX St AW: OX, AOX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOXDeng et al. 2021ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OXSt NW: OX, NAS: OX, AOXDeng et al. 2021GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-oxidisingAW: OXSt NAS: OX, AOXNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OX NAS: OX, AOXYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: OX St NAS: OX, AOXYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019Sideroxydans Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OX St NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX,
BoseaSb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StXiang et al. 2022Acidithiobacillus Flavihumibacter Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen and Lee 2015 Han et al. 2016 Terry et al. 2015Thiobacillus ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOX, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX, AOX StDeng et al. 2021 Deng et al. 2021ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingNW: OxSt, NW: Ox, NAS: Ox, AOX AW: OxSt AW: OxStDeng et al. 2021 NW: Ox, NAS: Ox, AOXRoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, NAS: Ox, AOXSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOXGeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOX NAS: Ox, AOXNguyen et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NW: AOX St NAS: OX, AOXYang et al. 2007 NW: AOX St NAS: OX, AOXSideroxydans Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-reducingAW: Ox St NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOX, OX St NAS: OX, AOX St St Steineran et al. 2003 St Eineran et al. 2003
BoseaSb(III)-oxidisingNW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx StXiang et al. 2022Acidithiobacillus Flavihumibacter Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx Terry et al. 2015 Deng et al. 2021ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising So(III)-oxidising So(III)-oxidisingSb(III)-oxidising NW: Ox, OXSt, AOx, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX St AW: OXSt, AOX, AOX NAS: Ox, AOXDeng et al. 2021 Deng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising Sovidising Fe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingSun et al. 2020 NAS: OX, AOX AW: OXSt NAS: OX, AOXSun et al. 2020 NAS: OX, AOXRoseomonasSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OXSt NW: OX, NAS: OX, AOXSun et al. 2015 Output the optimization optimization optimization NW: OX, NAS: OX, AOXSun et al. 2018 Nguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OX St NW: OX St, AOX, AOX St NAS: OX, OX St, AOXYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019Sideroxydans Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OX St AW: OX St AW: OX St, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St St StScalie et al. 2003 Fe(III)-reducing
Acidithiobacillus Flavihumibacter Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingSt AW: OxSt, AOx, AOx AW: Ox, Ox, AOx, AOx St AW: Ox, AOX, AOX AW: OxSt, AOX, AOX AW: OxSt, AOX, AOXNguyen and Lee 2015 Han et al. 2016 Terry et al. 2015 Deng et al. 2021Thiobacillus GeobacterSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidising Fe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt, AW: OxSt AW: Ox AW: Ox AM: Ox, OX St, AOX AM: Ox, OX St, AOX AM: Ox, OX St, AOX AM: Ox, OX St, AOX AM: OX, OX St AM: AOX, OX St AM: AOX, OX St AM: AOX, AOX ANS: OX, A
Acidithiobacillus Flavihumibacter Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen and Lee 2015 Han et al. 2016NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxTerry et al. 2015 Deng et al. 2021ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingNW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St AW: Ox, Ox, AOx, AOx StDeng et al. 2021ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NW: Ox, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOxGeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen et al. 2015 Sour et al. 2015Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox NAS: OX, AOxYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingFe(II)-oxidising AW: OX St, AOX, NAS: OX, AOXWeiss et al. 2007 NAS: OX, AOXGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingFe(II)-oxidising NAS: OX, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOX, OX St NAS: OX, AOXGeothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOXKashefi et al. 2003 Finneran et al. 2002
Flavihumibacter Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx AX: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx AX: Ox, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX St NAS: Ox, AOX, AOX RoseomonasHan et al. 2016 Terry et al. 2015 Deng et al. 2021Thiobacillus GeobacterSb(III)-oxidising Soxidising Fe(III)-reducingNW: Ox, NAS: OX, AOX AW: OXSt NAS: OX, AOX AW: OXSt NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOXDeng et al. 2021 Deng et al. 2021Thermithiobacillus Acidithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidising S-oxidising Fe(II)-reducingAW: OX AW: OX AW: OX St, AOX AW: OX St, AOX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: OX, OX St, AOXHan et al. 2016 Terry et al. 2011 Deng et al. 2021SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingNW: OX AW: AOX St, AOX NAS: OX, AOX NAS: O
Hydrogenophaga NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidising Sb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx NW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St AW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx StTerry et al. 2015 Deng et al. 2021ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St AW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOxThermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxYang et al. 2007 NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOXGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OX St NAS: Ox, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx st NAS: Ox, AOX St St Desulfitobacterium
NovoshingobiumSb(III)-oxidisingNW: Ox, OxSt, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx StDeng et al. 2021ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NW: Ox, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOXYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NW: AOX St NAS: Ox, OX St, AOXWeiss et al. 2007 NAS: Ox, OX St, AOXGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: AOX, OX St NAS: OX, AOX St Finneran et al. 2003
St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx StThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingSt AW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxRoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOxGeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(II)-reducingAW: OxSt, NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOXYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NW: AOx St NAS: Ox, OX St, AOXWeiss et al. 2007 NAS: Ox, OX St, AOXGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: AOx, OX St NAS: OX, AOX St NW: AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St Finneran et al. 2003
ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St AW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOxRoseomonasSb(III)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt AW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOxGeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: AOXStYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOX, AOX St NAS: OX, OX St, AOXWeiss et al. 2007 NAS: OX, OX St, AOXGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St St Einperan et al. 2003
ThiobacillusSb(III)-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxSt, NW: Ox, NAS: Ox, AOxDeng et al. 2021RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt AW: OxStSun et al. 2020 NAS: Ox, AOxGeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: Ox St AW: OxYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx St NAS: AOxStWeiss et al. 2007 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx St NAS: Ox, AOXGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-reducing Fe(II)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOXDe Vet et al. 2003 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOX NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St Sequence of the stal al 2003
S-oxidisingNW: Ox, NAS: Ox, AOxRoseomonasSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: AOxSt NAS: Ox, St, AOxWeiss et al. 2007 NAS: Ox, OX St, AOxGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX St NAS: OX, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx NAS: Ox, AOXGeothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: AOX, AOX St AW: AOX, ZOX StKashefi et al. 2003 Finneran et al. 2002
RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxStNguyen et al. 2018ThermithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxYang et al. 2015AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(III)-oxidisingAW: OxYang et al. 2015AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(III)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx St NAS: AOx St NAS: AOxStYang et al. 2015SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, OX St, AOXWeiss et al. 2007Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOX NAS: Ox, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St St Steperan et al. 2003
RoseomonasSb(III)-oxidisingAW: OxSt NAS: Ox, AOxSun et al. 2020GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxSt NW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox NAS: Ox, AOx AW: OxYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NW: AOx St NAS: Ox, OX St, AOXWeiss et al. 2007 Quatrini and Johnson 2019Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: OX St NAS: Ox, AOX NAS: Ox, AOXDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx NAS: Ox, AOXGeothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St NAS: OX, AOX St AW: AOX AW: AOX, AOX St AW: AOX, AOX St AW: AOX, AOX St AW: AOX, AOX St Finneran et al. 2003
GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx AW: OxStNguyen et al. 2018Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox AW: OxYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019Thermithiobacillus AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox AW: Ox St, AOx, AOx St NAS: AOxStYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx St NAS: AOxStWeiss et al. 2007 De Vet et al. 2011Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: OX, AOX St Hinperan et al. 2003
GeobacterSb(V)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingAW: OxStNguyen et al. 2018ThermithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxYang et al. 2015AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: OxYang et al. 2015SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: AOxStQuatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: AOxStWeiss et al. 2007Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003Geothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOXEqual 12003
Fe(III)-reducingNW: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxThermithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: OxYang et al. 2015AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx St NW: AOx St NAS: AOxStQuatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: AOxStWeiss et al. 2007Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003Geothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx AOx St NAS: Ox, AOX St AW: AOX, COX St AW: AOX, COX St AW: AOX, COX StKashefi et al. 2003
NAS: Ox, AOxThermithiobacillusS-oxidisingAW: OxYang et al. 2015AcidithiobacillusS-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx StQuatrini and Johnson 2019AcidithiobacillusS-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx StQuatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox StWeiss et al. 2007SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox StWeiss et al. 2007GallionellaFe(II)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011AnaeromyxobacterFe(III)-reducingAW: AOx, Ox StTreude et al. 2003GeothermobacterFe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx StKashefi et al. 2003DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducingAW: AOx, ZOx StFinneran et al. 2002
ThermithiobacillusS-oxidising S-oxidisingAW: Ox S-oxidisingYang et al. 2015 Quatrini and Johnson 2019AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx St NAS: AOxStQuatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingNW: AOx St NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxWeiss et al. 2007 NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003Geothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St AW: AOx, ZOx StKashefi et al. 2003
AcidithiobacillusS-oxidising Fe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St, AOx, AOx St NW: AOx St NAS: AOxStQuatrini and Johnson 2019SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingNW: AOx St NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxWeiss et al. 2007 NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxGallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx NAS: Ox, AOxGeothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOX St StDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 Fe(III)-reducing
Fe(II)-oxidisingNW: AOx St NAS: AOxStSideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxWeiss et al. 2007 De Vet et al. 2011Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011 Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx NAS: Ox, AOxGeothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St NAS: Ox, AOxKashefi et al. 2003 Finneran et al. 2002
NAS: AOxStSideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox St NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxWeiss et al. 2007Gallionella AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidising Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011Geothermobacter DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducing Fe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2003W: AOx NAS: Ox, AOxNAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOxTreude et al. 2003
SideroxydansFe(II)-oxidisingAW: Ox StWeiss et al. 2007NAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxNAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011AnaeromyxobacterFe(II)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011AnaeromyxobacterFe(III)-reducingAW: AOx, Ox StTreude et al. 2003W: AOxNAS: Ox, AOxNAS: Ox, AOxNAS: Ox, AOxGeothermobacterFe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx StKashefi et al. 2003DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-reducingAW: AOx, ZOx StFinneran et al. 2002
GallionellaFe(II)-oxidisingNAS: Ox, Ox St, AOxAnaeromyxobacterFe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOxDe Vet et al. 2011AnaeromyxobacterFe(III)-reducingAW: AOx, Ox StTreude et al. 2003NW: AOxNAS: Ox, AOxNAS: Ox, AOxNAS: Ox, AOxGeothermobacterFe(III)-reducingNAS: Ox, AOx, AOx StKashefi et al. 2003DesulfitobacteriumFe(III)-AW: AOx, ZOx StFinneran et al. 2002
Gallionella Fe(II)-oxidising NAS: Ox, AOx De Vet et al. 2011 Anaeromyxobacter Fe(III)-reducing AW: AOx, Ox St Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx Geothermobacter Fe(III)-reducing NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St Kashefi et al. 2003 Desulfitobacterium Fe(III)-reducing NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St Kashefi et al. 2003
Anaeromyxobacter Fe(III)-reducing AW: AOx, Ox St Treude et al. 2003 NW: AOx NW: AOx NAS: Ox, AOx NAS: Ox, AOx Geothermobacter Fe(III)-reducing NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St Kashefi et al. 2003 Desulfitobacterium Fe(III)- AW: AOx, ZOx St Finneran et al. 2002
NW: AOX NAS: Ox, AOx <i>Geothermobacter</i> Fe(III)-reducing NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St Kashefi et al. 2003 Desulfitobacterium Fe(III)- AW: AOx, ZOx St Finneran et al. 2002
NAS: OX, AOX Geothermobacter Fe(III)-reducing NAS: Ox, AOx, AOx St Kashefi et al. 2003 Desulfitobacterium Fe(III)- AW: AOx, ZOx St Finneran et al. 2002
Desulfitobacterium Fe(III)-reducing NAS: OX, AOX, AOX St Kasheri et al. 2003 Desulfitobacterium Fe(III)- AW: AOX, ZOX St Finneran et al. 2002
Desumopacterium Feulli- AVCAUX 7UX 51 Finneran et al 2002
reducing, 5- NW: OX, AOX
Desulferencesing AW: Ox AOx Alexand et al. 2010
NAS. UX, AUX, UX SL Desulfatirbabdium S reducing NAS: Ox AOx Balk at al. 2008
Desulfatomaculum S-reducing NW/ AOx Kakeonen et al. 2000
Desulfurivibrio S-reducing NAS: AOy Kaksonen et al. 2004
Desulfobulbus S-reducing NAS: $\Omega \times \Delta \Omega \times$ Kaksonen et al. 2004
Desulfovirga S-reducing NAS: Ox AOx Deng et al. 2004
Syntrophobacter S-reducing NAS: Ox, AOx Sánchez-Andrea et al. 2012



Figure 7. Proportion in each sample, according to the obtained 16S sequences, of the sum of
identified genera including Sb, S or Fe-transforming bacterial strains, grouped according to
metabolic processes. Incubations of acidic pH waste (AW), neutral pH waste (NW) and neutral
pH aquatic sediment (NAS). Ox: oxic; AOx: anoxic; St: sterilised conditions. SbRed: Sb(V)reducing; SbOx: Sb(III)-oxidising, SRB: sulphate-reducing bacteria; SOX: Sulphur-oxidising;
FeRed: Fe(III)-reducing; FeOx: Fe(II)-oxidising. Genera included in each group are detailed in
Table 2.

507

4. Discussion

508

4.1. Evolution of Sb concentrations

Dissolved Sb concentrations in the present experiments varied from 90 μ g L⁻¹ (in the AW biotic anoxic conditions) to 5,400 μ g L⁻¹ (in the NAS St oxic condition). These values are in the range previously found in mining environments, i.e. 2,200 μ g L⁻¹ in the adit of Goesdorf mine, Luxembourg (Filella et al. 2009); 1,600 μ g L⁻¹ in a pond receiving water from tailings of the Giant gold Mine in Yellowknife, Canada (Fawcett et al. 2015); from 2,900 μ g L⁻¹ in surface water to 23,000 μ g L⁻¹ in groundwater from Xikuangshan mine, China (Wen et al. 2016).

The mobility, bioavailability, and transport of Sb in soils are directly linked to its 515 speciation as well as to the pH, redox conditions, type of minerals and microbial processes 516 (Pitman et al. 1957; Fillela et al. 2002; Wilson et al. 2010; Herath et al. 2017). Different 517 behaviours of Sb were observed with our three studied materials presenting different pH 518 519 values. Considering the results of the St microcosms, while in the AW (pH \simeq 4) the dissolved Sb concentration remained unchanged, in NW and NAS (pH \simeq 6.5) there was an increase in 520 soluble Sb concentration. Whereas sequential extractions showed that most Sb is present in 521 forms that are resistant to dissolution, the high total Sb concentration in all materials explains 522 the dissolved Sb concentrations observed in the microcosms. Experiments carried out by Hu 523 et al. (2016) showed different effects of pH on Sb release from mining soils, depending on 524 mineralogy. Here, the three analysed materials contained stibnite and Sb oxides 525 (Supplementary Table 1). Stibnite dissolves in oxygenated water to form the hydroxide 526 527 Sb(OH)₃ (Biver and Shotyk 2012). The corresponding dissolution rate is lower at near neutral pH than in acidic or alkaline conditions, thus this reaction could hardly explain our results in St 528 condition. The resulting hydroxide Sb(OH)₃ presents a low solubility, as it can form a solid 529 species Sb(OH)₃(s) (Brookins 1986; Shkol'nikov 2010). Our materials also contained Sb 530 531 oxides, that might dissolve in aqueous solutions producing the Sb(V) species Sb(OH)₆⁻, which can exist over a wide range of pH (Pitman et al. 1957; Brookins 1986) including our 532 experimental conditions, and the poorly soluble Sb(OH)₃. As a matter of fact, in St conditions, 533

Sb was mainly present as Sb(V) at the end of incubation (Table 2), even in anaerobic 534 conditions, suggesting a phenomenon linked to the abiotic dissolution of Sb(V)-containing 535 536 minerals. Thermodynamic equilibrium data indicate that Sb(V) species should exist in aerobic conditions and Sb(III) species in anoxic and low-redox environments (Pitman et al. 1957; Filella 537 et al. 2002), however the presence of oxidised Sb species in anaerobic systems has already 538 been observed (Fillela et al. 2002; Mitsunobu et al. 2006; Fawcett et al. 2015), suggesting the 539 540 major role of kinetics in Sb speciation, that could be influenced by microbial catalysis 541 phenomena. As a fact, we observed important differences in ORP (Supplementary Fig. 5) that 542 did not induce differences in Sb concentrations (Fig. 2) in St conditions, whereas the redox conditions significantly influenced Sb behaviour in presence of microbes and the microbial 543 communities (Fig. 6). Also, the adsorption and desorption of Sb by oxyhydroxides control its 544 concentration in solution. Oxyhydroxides have strong affinity for Sb; however, adsorption 545 efficiency depends on their type, pH and Sb species (Kong et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2021). The 546 presence of Mn-, Fe- and Al oxyhydroxides affects the fate of Sb through sorption and oxidation 547 548 and its affinity for Sb is affected by pH (He et al. 2019). Acidic pH conditions favour the sorption of both Sb(III) and Sb(V) onto Mn- (Wang et al. 2021), and Fe- (Leuz et al. 2006) oxides, with 549 the rate of sorption decreasing subsequently with the increase in pH. These results appear 550 551 consistent with those obtained in our study, where the most acidic material (AW St) released 552 less Sb in solution than the other two studied materials (NW St and NAS St). Iron oxides were 553 detected in most materials through mineralogical analysis (supplementary Table 1) and chemical extractions (Supplementary Fig. 2). The biogeochemical behaviour of Fe is strongly 554 555 influenced by pH (Emerson and Weiss 2004), Fe(II) solubility being increased in low pH 556 conditions (Frohne et al. 2011). This fact could explain the increase in soluble Fe concentration 557 under reducing conditions in AW (Fig. 3), since it could be attributed to the bio-reduction of Fe hydroxides. However, Sb was rather immobilised than solubilised in the corresponding 558 microcosm. These results suggest that adsorption/desorption mechanisms linked to Fe oxides 559 were not the only processes controlling the fate of Sb in our microcosms. 560

561

4.2. Significance of the comparison biotic vs. St conditions

Through the present experiments, it was possible to evaluate the influence of microbial 562 563 activities on the mobility of Sb in diverse surface compartments of a mining site, through 564 comparison of complete microbial communities with systems in which microbial activities were greatly weakened. There is no efficient way to completely sterilise environmental samples 565 (Otte et al. 2018). Here, the autoclaving of materials in anoxic conditions did not seem to 566 strongly alter minerals, whereas it could have impacted the organic compartment, in particular 567 568 in the NAS. Monitoring of cell densities by the LIVE/DEAD® BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit showed higher cell concentrations in anoxic conditions than in oxic conditions. Abundant 569 growth in anoxic conditions could be linked to the availability of H₂ that could be used as an 570 energy source. Dominance of "dead" cells in these samples might simply result from the 571 572 experimental procedure as filtration and staining were performed in an oxygenated atmosphere. However, "live" cells were also observed in all incubations and their concentration 573 increased, indicating active microbial metabolisms. Conversely, low cell counts, and low final 574 DNA concentrations, indicated that autoclaving and formaldehyde injections greatly weakened 575 576 microbial metabolism in the St conditions.

577

578

4.3. Role of microbial communities

579 **4.3.1. Comparison of environmental compartments**

Microbial communities presented very different compositions depending on the type of 580 material, AW, NW or NAS, suggesting a diversity of biological processes depending on 581 environmental compartments at the site. Interestingly, a few OTUs identified at the genus level 582 that were retrieved in most of the samples, such as Bacillus, Desulfosporosinus and 583 Desulfitobacterium, correspond to spore-forming microorganisms, able to resist adverse 584 585 conditions. Not surprisingly, the most acidic environment presented the lowest diversity, and pH was one of the parameters influencing microbial communities' structure. Previous studies 586 587 showed that Sb contamination could influence the composition of microbial communities on

588 mining sites (Deng et al. 2020; Duan et al. 2022). In sediments of a watershed contaminated 589 by Sb mining, pH, temperature, ORP and dissolved Sb significantly structured the microbial 590 communities (Sun et al. 2016). Here, we observed strong divergences between communities 591 of surface environments at a small site scale that seemed to be more influenced by pH and 592 redox conditions than by soluble Sb.

- 593
- 594

4.3.2. Bio-processes in oxic conditions

595 Previous studies have already shown that microbial activities could influence the mobility of Sb. Casiot et al. (2007) observed that Sb release from a sediment polluted by mining 596 activities was enhanced by aerobic microbial activity and attenuated in anoxic biotic conditions. 597 Loni et al. (2020) observed higher Sb solubilisation from mining rock samples, in oxic 598 599 conditions, by an Sb(III)-oxidising micro-organism affiliated to the Paracoccus genus. Here, in the presence of oxygen, the overall effect of microbial processes differed between the types 600 of materials: Sb release was enhanced by biological processes in the AW, not influenced in 601 the NW, and attenuated in the NAS. 602

603 In AW, microbial activities in oxic conditions promoted Sb release and acidification; however these processes could not be clearly related to the analysis and evolution of the 604 605 microbial community, that was largely dominated by OTUs belonging to Chloroflexi of the family of Ktedonobacteraceae, none identified at the genus level. This family includes 606 607 filamentous, spore-forming, gram-positive heterotrophic bacteria from soil (Cavaletti et al. 2006). However, although limited (0.6% of total OTUs on day 41), emergence of the known S-608 oxidising genus Thermithiobacillus was observed in these microcosms. The observed 609 610 biologically-related release of Sb from AW might be related to the direct or indirect alteration 611 of Sb-bearing phases, such as sulphides or organic matter. In NW, biological activities did not significantly influence Sb mobility in oxic conditions, and no known genus with metabolisms 612 based on Sb, S or Fe transformations was identified in the microbial communities that seemed 613 dominated by heterotrophic phyla. Conversely, the NAS incubated in oxic conditions showed 614

an overall immobilisation of Sb in biotic compared with St conditions, but only after a strong 615 release of Sb that was observed in both conditions during the first week of incubation. These 616 617 results could be explained by the early oxidation of amorphous Fe sulphide, often present in aquatic sediments (Andrade et al. 2010), that would release Fe(II), (bio)-oxidised into Fe(III), 618 619 that would rapidly precipitate as fresh Fe oxides known to adsorb Sb (Ashley et al. 2003). The 620 increase in the proportion in S-oxidising genus Thiobacillus between T0 and T20 days, and the 621 presence of the Fe(II)-oxidising genera Sideroxydans and Gallionella in the sediment appear 622 to be in agreement with this hypothesis. The observation of a colour change, from dark brown (St condition) to ochre-brown (biotic condition) also supported this scenario (Supplementary 623 figure 8A). Moreover, the pH values that were lower in NAS than in NAS St, possibly linked to 624 biologically-induced reactions (Fe hydroxydes precipitation, oxidation of sulphur compounds, 625 oxidation of organic matter producing CO₂), could favour Sb adsorption onto oxides (Leuz et 626 627 al. 2006).

628

629 4.3.2 Bio-processes in anoxic conditions

In the microcosms, Sb release was nearly always attenuated by living micro-organisms 630 631 in anaerobic conditions. However, with the AW incubated in AOx conditions, the three replicates behaved differently in terms of Sb mobility and microbial communities. In two 632 replicates, Sb was immobilised compared with the St condition, and the proportions of: (i) the 633 Fe(III)-reducer Desulfitobacterium (25 to 40% of the total sequences) and (ii) the sulphate-634 635 reducer Desulfosporosinus increased. In the third replicate, Sb was more mobile than in the St condition, the Fe(III)-reducer proportion and identity were different (Anaeromyxobacter, "only" 636 3%), and known sulphate-reducers were not detected. Conversely, this replicate exhibited a 637 high proportion of sequences affiliated to the Bacillus genus, which includes known Sb(III)-638 639 oxidisers (Li et al., 2018) and strains able to grow either in aerobic or anaerobic conditions (Clements et al., 2002). The development of genera including Sb(III)-oxidisers in anoxic 640 641 conditions seems paradoxical; however, some genera of this metabolic group are facultative

anaerobic (Bacillus, Acidithiobacillus...), thus they could grow in the absence of oxygen, and 642 possibly oxidise Sb(III) using alternative electron acceptors such as nitrate (Terry et al. 2015). 643 644 Other subtle differences between these replicates could be detected: ORP decreased less sharply, and Fe release was delayed in the microcosm with higher Sb mobility. These results 645 show that Sb behaviour cannot be simply inferred from the redox context and could be strongly 646 influenced by the growth sequence of different microorganisms belonging to different metabolic 647 648 groups. Divergences of biogeochemical reactions linked with subtle differences in the 649 composition of the initial microbial communities have already been observed in microcosms of sediments (Kwon et al. 2016; Battaglia-Brunet et al. 2022). 650

With the NW, anoxic incubation in the presence of active microbes induced both 651 immobilisation of Sb and a clear increase of the Sb(III) proportion in dissolved Sb (Table 2). 652 653 Immobilisation of Sb in reducing conditions may be linked to Sb(V) reduction, as Sb(III) hydroxide is less soluble than Sb(V) anions in neutral conditions (Brookins 1986; Shkol'nikov 654 2010; Herath et al. 2017), or linked to the precipitation of Sb sulphide in the presence of 655 biogenic H₂S (Zhang et al. 2016). Here, NW AOx incubations induced the growth of bacteria 656 belonging to the Geobacter genus, whose proportion of 16S sequences rose from non-657 detectable in the initial material to 13% on day 20. A Geobacter strain (Yamamura et al. 2021) 658 was shown to be able to grow through dissimilatory Sb(V) reduction with acetate as an electron 659 donor, producing Sb₂O₃, and this genus was detected as an active member of an Sb(V)-660 661 reducing community in incubated paddy soil (Sun et al. 2021). Hydrogen can be used as an energy source by members of the Geobacter genus (Caccavo et al. 1994), and this inorganic 662 663 substrate was also shown to promote Sb(V) bio-reduction in soils (Nguyen et al. 2018). Thus, Geobacter-affiliated organisms could contribute to attenuating Sb mobility in NW incubations. 664 665 In NAS microcosms incubated in anoxic conditions, Sb mobility was attenuated, and the Sb(V) 666 proportion was slightly lower than in St conditions. In these microcosms, SRB were maintained as a major metabolic group identified at the genus level (2-3%), but the main enriched group 667 corresponded to Fe(III)-reducers, whose proportion increased continuously during incubation, 668

from 4% (day 0) to 8% (day 41). The main Fe(III)-reducers in this group belonged to the genera 669 Anaeromyxobacter and Geothermobacter. These microcosms contained diverse SRB, and 670 671 among them, the proportion of Desulfovibrio-related sequences slightly increased during incubation. Thus, the biotic stabilisation of Sb in NAS AOx microcosms may have resulted from 672 bio-sulphide precipitation, or bio-reduction of Sb(V) into Sb(III) by some micro-organisms 673 whose Sb(V)-reducing activity has not been yet characterised. These mechanisms could be 674 675 helped by the generation of Fe(II) by Fe(III)-reducing bacteria, as Fe(II) was shown to 676 accelerate Sb sulphide precipitation by stimulating SRB activity (Xi et al. 2020). In the NAS incubations, dissolved the Fe(II) concentration remained around 2 mg L⁻¹, probably because 677 Fe(II) also precipitated with hydrogen sulphide as FeS. This hypothesis is supported by the 678 darkening of the biotic slurries compared with the St NAS microcosms (Supplementary Fig. 679 680 8B).

Globally, anoxic microbial activities decreased the mobility of Sb; however, they induced a significant release of As in water. The mobilisation of As from mine-contaminated materials in reducing conditions, linked to biological activities, is a well-known phenomenon (Drewniak and Sklodowska 2013; Rajpert et al. 2016), and the antagonist behaviour of Sb and As in mine sediments has previously been described (Casiot et al. 2007).

686

687 **5. Conclusions**

Microbial communities inhabiting different surface compartments of a small mining area presented very different compositions. The influence of microbial activities on Sb mobility and speciation was proven in nearly all conditions. Whereas Sb was mainly present in mineral phases resistant to chemical extractions, leaching of soils and sediments released several mg L⁻¹ of dissolved Sb. Several microbial activities could contribute to Sb immobilisation, including Fe(II)-oxidation, Sb(V)-reduction, and sulphate-reduction. In aquatic sediments, microbial activities attenuated Sb mobility in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Conversely, Sb(III)-

695 oxidising activities could enhance Sb solubilisation from solid phases of mine wastes. The overall results suggest that microbial processes influencing Sb behaviour on mining sites are 696 697 very diverse. They should be carefully identified and quantified in each environmental compartment in order to avoid unreliable risk assessments. Moreover, the antagonist 698 biogeochemical behaviour of As and Sb deserves specific awareness as these two toxic 699 elements are very often associated in ore bodies. Specific management options, potentially 700 701 exploiting microbial reactions, should be developed, aimed to prevent dissemination of these 702 two metalloids in the environment.

703

Abbreviations: SW, south west; Sb, antimony; As, arsenic; AW, acidic pH waste; NW, neutral
pH waste; NAS, neutral pH aquatic sediment; AOx, anoxic conditions; Ox, oxic conditions; St,
sterilised; EC, electrical conductivity; ORP, oxidation reduction potential; TOC, total organic
carbon.

708

709 Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the ANR (ANR-19-MIN2-0002-01), the AEI (MICIU/AEI/REF.: PCI2019-03779) and author's institutions in the framework of the ERA-MIN2 AUREOLE project. J.D.P.P. is the beneficiary of a grant for the requalification of the Spanish university system from the Ministry of Universities of the Government of Spain, financed by the European Union, NextGeneration EU.

715

716 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personalrelationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

719

720 Author Contributions

The contributions of each author to this study are as follows: Jesus Daniel Peco Palacios to 721 722 methodology, investigation and writing (original draft preparation, editing); Hugues Thouin to methodology, investigation and writing (original draft preparation, editing); Héctor R. Campos-723 724 Rodríguez to investigation and writing (original draft preparation, editing); José María Esbrí to investigation and writing (original draft preparation, editing); Eva Maria García-Noguero, 725 Dominique Breeze and Jaime Villenato to Investigation ; Eric Gloaguen to conceptualisation 726 727 and resources; Pablo Leon Higueras to conceptualisation, writing (original draft), resources and supervision; Fabienne Battaglia-Brunet to conceptualisation, writing (editing) and 728 729 supervision.

730

731 **References**

- Abin CA, Hollibaugh JT (2017) *Desulfuribacillus stibiiarsenatis* sp. nov. an obligately anaerobic, dissimilatory antimonate- and arsenate-reducing bacterium isolated from anoxic sediments, and emended description of the genus *Desulfuribacillus*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67(4), 1011-1017. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001732.
- Alazard D, Joseph M, Battaglia-Brunet F, Cayol JL, Ollivier B (2010) *Desulfosporosinus acidiphilus* sp. nov.: a moderately acidophilic sulfate-reducing bacterium isolated from
 acid mining drainage sediments. Extremophiles 14, 305–312.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-010-0309-4</u>
- Anderson CG (2012) The metallurgy of antimony. Geochemistry 72, 3-8.
 https://doi.or/10.1016/j.chemer.2012.04.001
- Andrade CF, Jamieson HE, Kyser TK, Praharaj T, Fortin D (2010) Biogeochemical redox
 cycling of arsenic in mine-impacted lake sediments and co-existing pore waters near Giant
 Mine, Yellowknife Bay, Canada. Appl Geochem 25(2), 199-211.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2009.11.005.

Ashley PM, Craw D, Graham BP, Chappell DA (2003) Environmental mobility of antimony
around mesothermal stibnite deposits, New South Wales, Australia and southern New
Zealand. J Geochem Explor 77, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(02)00251-0

Balk M, Altınbas M, Rijpstra WIC, Sinninghe D, Jaap S, Stams AJM (2008) Desulfatirhabdium 749 butyrativorans gen. nov. sp. nov. a butyrate-oxidizing, sulfate-reducing bacterium isolated 750 751 from an anaerobic bioreactor. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58, 110-115. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65396-0 752

- Battaglia-Brunet F, Naveau A, Cary L, Bueno M, Briais J, Charron M, Joulian C, Thouin H
 (2022) Biogeochemical behaviour of geogenic As in a confined aquifer of the Sologne
 region, France. Chemosphere, 304, 135252.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.135252</u>
- Borcard D, Gillet F, Legendre P (2011) Numerical Ecology with R. Springer, New York, New
 York, USA.
- Brookins DG (1986) Geochemical behavior of antimony, arsenic, cadmium and thallium: EhpH diagrams for 25°C, 1-bar pressure. Chem Geol 54, Issues 3–4, 271-278.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(86)90141-5
- Caccavo F, Lonergan DJ, Lovley DR, Davis M, Stolz JF, Mc Inerney MJ (1994) *Geobacter sulfurreducens* sp. nov. a hydrogen- and acetate-oxidizing dissimilatory metal-reducing
 microorganism. Appl Environ Microbiol 60, 3752-3759.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.10.3752-3759.1994</u>.
- Caplette JN, Grob M, Mestrot A (2021) Validation and deployment of a quantitative trapping
 method to measure volatile antimony emissions. Env Pol 289, 117831.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117831.
- Casiot C, Ujevic M, Munoz M, Seidel JL, Elbaz-Poulichet F (2007) Antimony and arsenic
 mobility in a creek draining an antimony mine abandoned 85 years ago (upper Orb basin,

- 771
 France).
 Appl
 Geochemi
 22(4),
 788-798.

 772
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2006.11.007.
- 773 Cavaletti L, Monciardini P, Bamonte R, Schumann P, Rohde M, Sosio M, Donadio S (2006)
- New lineage of filamentous, spore-forming, gram-positive bacteria from soil. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 72, 4360-4369. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00132-06</u>
- Cidu R, Biddau R, Dore E (2015) Determination of trace of Sb(III) using ASV in Sb-rich water
 samples affected by mining. Anal Chim Acta 854, 34–39.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2014.11.020
- Cidu R, Dore E, Biddau R, Nordstrom DK (2018) Fate of Antimony and Arsenic in
 Contaminated Waters at the Abandoned Su Suergiu Mine (Sardinia Italy). Mine Water
- 781 Environ 37, 151–165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10230-017-0479-84</u>
- Clements LD, Miller BS, Streips UN (2002) Comparative Growth Analysis of the Facultative
 Anaerobes *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, and *Escherichia coli*. System Appl
 Microbiol 25, 284-286. <u>https://doi.org/10.1078/0723-2020-00108</u>
- 785 De Vet WWJM, Dinkla IJT, Rietveld LC, van Loosdrecht MCM (2011) Biological iron oxidation
- by *Gallionella* spp. in drinking water production under fully aerated conditions. Water Res
- 787 45(17), 5389-5398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.07.028</u>
- Deng D, Weidhaas JL, Lin LS (2016) Kinetics and microbial ecology of batch sulfidogenic
 bioreactors for co-treatment of municipal wastewater and acid mine drainage. J Hazard
 Mater 305, 200-208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.11.041.
- 791 Deng R, Chen Y, Deng X, Huang Z, Zhou S, Ren B, Jin G, Hursthouse A (2021) A Critical
- Review of Resistance and Oxidation Mechanisms of Sb-Oxidizing Bacteria for the Bioremediation of Sb(III) Pollution. Front Microbiol 12, 2418.
- 794 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.738596</u>

- Deng R, Tang Z, Hou B, et al (2020) Microbial diversity in soils from antimony mining sites:
 geochemical control promotes species enrichment. Environ Chem Lett 18, 911–922 (2020).
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-00975-1
- Drewniak L, Sklodowska A (2013) Arsenic-transforming microbes and their role in biomining
 processes. Environ Sci Pollut Res 20, 7728–7739. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-</u>
 1449-0
- Duan R, Du Y, Chen Z, Zhang Y, Hu W, Yang L, Xiang G, Luo Y (2022) Diversity and
 composition of soil bacteria between abandoned and selective-farming farmlands in an
 antimony mining area. Front Microbiol 13:953624.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.953624
- Bupont D, Arnout S, Jones PT, Binnemans K (2016) Antimony Recovery from End-of-Life
 Products and Industrial Process Residues: A Critical Review. J Sustain Metall 2, 79–103.
- 807 https://doi.org.10.1007/s40831-016-0043-y
- El Shanawany S, Foda N, Hashad DI, Salama N, Sobh Z (2017) The potential DNA toxic changes among workers exposed to antimony trioxide. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24, 12455–
- 810 12461. <u>https://doi.org.10.1007/s11356-017-8805-z</u>
- 811 Emerson D, Weiss JV (2004) Bacterial Iron Oxidation in Circumneutral Freshwater Habitats:
- Findings from the Field and the Laboratory. Geomicrobiol J 21:6, 405-414.
 https://doi.org.10.1080/01490450490485881
- Esbrí JM, Minang CM, Rivera S, Madrid-Illescas M, García-Noguero E, González-Valoys A,
 Maguregui M, Thouin H, Battaglia-Brunet F, Gloaguen E, Higueras PL (2022) Evaluation of
 antimony availability in a mining context: Impact for the environment, and for mineral
 exploration and exploitation. Chemosphere 137086.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137086

- Escudié F, Auer L, Bernard M, Mariadassou M, Cauquil L, Vidal K et al (2018) FROGS: find,
 rapidly, OTUs with galaxy solution. Bioinformatics 34(8), 1287-1294.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791
- Fawcett SE, Jamieson HE, Nordstrom DK, McCleskey RB (2015) Arsenic and antimony
 geochemistry of mine wastes, associated waters and sediments at the Giant Mine,
 Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, Canada. Appl Geochem 62, 3-17.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2014.12.012</u>
- Filella M, Belzile N, Chen YW (2002a) Antimony in the environment: a review focused on
- natural waters. I Occurrence Earth-Sci Rev 57, 125-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-
- 828 <u>8252(01)00070-8</u>
- Filella M, Philippo S, Belzile N, Chen Y, Quentel F (2009) Natural attenuation processes
 applying to antimony: A study in the abandoned antimony mine in Goesdorf, Luxembourg.
 Sci Total Environ 407, 6205-6216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.08.027</u>
- Finneran KT, Forbush HM, Gaw VanPraagh CV, Lovley DR (2002) *Desulfitobacterium metallireducens* sp. nov. an anaerobic bacterium that couples growth to the reduction of
- 834 metals and humic acids as well as chlorinated compounds. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52(6),
- 835 1929-1935. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-52-6-1929</u>
- Frohne T, Rinklebe J, Diaz-Bone RA, Du Laing G (2011) Controlled variation of redox
 conditions in a floodplain soil: Impact on metal mobilization and biomethylation of arsenic
 and antimony. Geoderma 160(3-4), 414-424.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.10.012
- Gallego S, Esbrí JM, Campos JA, Peco JD, Martin-Laurent F, Higueras P (2021) Microbial
- diversity and activity assessment in a 100-year-old lead mine. J Hazard 410, 124618.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124618
- Gumiel P (1983) Metalogenia de los yacimientos de antimonio de la Península Ibérica.
 Tecniterrae 54, 120 pp. (in Spanish).

- Gumiel P, Arribas A (1987) Antimony deposits in the Iberian Peninsula. Econ Geol 82(6), pp.
 1453-1463. <u>https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.82.6.1453</u>
- Hafeez I, Azam M, Amin A, Mahmood Z, Aamir M, Akram A (2017) Microbial Extraction of
 Antimony from Stibnite of Qillah Abdullah. ASRJETS 28, 117-127.
- 849 Hageman PL (2007) Geological survey field leach test for assessing water reactivity and
- leaching potential of mine wastes soils and other geologic and environmental materials.
- 851 U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods
 852 <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/tm/2007/05D03/pdf/TM5-D3_508.pdf</u>
- Han Y, Zhang F, Wang Q, Zheng S, Guo W, Feng L, Wang G (2016) Flavihumibacter
- stibioxidans sp. nov. an antimony-oxidizing bacterium isolated from antimony mine soil.
- 855 IJSEM 66, 4676–4680. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001409</u>
- He M, Wang N, Long X, Zhang C, Ma C, Zhong Q, Shan J (2019) Antimony speciation in the
 environment: Recent advances in understanding the biogeochemical processes and
 ecological effects. J Environ Sci 75, 14-39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2018.05.023</u>
- Herath I, Vithanage M, Bundschuh J (2017) Antimony as a global dilemma: Geochemistry,
 mobility, fate and transport. Environ Pollut 223, 545-559.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.057
- Hu X, Guo X, He M, Li S (2016) pH-dependent release characteristics of antimony and arsenic
 from typical antimony-bearing ores. J Environ Sci 44, 171-179.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.01.003
- Kaksonen AH, Plumb JJ, Robertson WJ, Franzmann PD, Gibson JAE, Puhakka JA (2004)
- 866 Culturable Diversity and Community Fatty Acid Profiling of Sulfate-Reducing Fluidized-Bed
- 867 Reactors Treating Acidic, Metal-Containing Wastewater. Geomicrobiol J 21(7), 469-480.
- 868 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01490450490505455
- Kashefi K, Holmes DE, Baross JA, Lovley DE (2003) Thermophily in the Geobacteraceae:
- 870 Geothermobacter ehrlichii gen. nov. sp. nov. a Novel Thermophilic Member of the

- 871 Geobacteraceae from the "Bag City" Hydrothermal Vent. Appl Environ Microbiol 69, 2985-2993. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.5.2985-2993.2003 872
- Kong L, He M (2016) Mechanisms of Sb (III) photooxidation by the excitation of organic Fe (III) 873
- Technol 50(13). 874 complexes. Environ Sci 6974-6982. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00857 875
- 876 Krachler M, Emons H, Zheng J (2001) Speciation of antimony for the 21st century: Promises and pitfalls. TrAC Trends Analyt Chem 20, 79-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-877 9936(00)00065-0
- Kwon MJ, O'Loughlin EJ, Boyanov MI, Brulc JM, Johnston ER, Kemner KM, Antonopoulos DA 879

- 880 (2016) Impact of Organic Carbon Electron Donors on Microbial Community Development
- 881 under Ironand Sulfate-Reducing Conditions. PLOS ONE https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146689 882
- 883 Leuz AK, Mönch H, Johnson CA (2006) Sorption of Sb(III) and Sb(V) to Goethite: Influence on Mobilization. Environ Technol 884 Sb(III) Oxidation and Sci 40:7277-7282. https://doi.org/10.1021/es061284b 885
- Li J, Yu H, Wu X, Shen L, Liu Y, Qiu G, Zeng W, Yu R (2018) Novel Hyper Antimony-Oxidizing 886
- Bacteria Isolated from Contaminated Mine Soils in China. Geomicrobiol J 35(8), 713-720. 887 https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2018.1454556 888
- Loni PC, Wu M, Wang W, Wang H, Ma L, Liu C, Song Y, Tuovinen OH (2020) Mechanism of 889
- microbial dissolution and oxidation of antimony in stibnite under ambient conditions. J Haz 890
- Mat 385, 121561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121561 891
- Lotze F (1956) Das Präkambrium Spaniens. N Jb Geol Paläont 8: 373-380. 892
- 893 Mamindy-Pajany Y, Bataillard P, Séby F, Crouzet C, Moulin A, Guezennec A-G, Hurel C,
- Marmier N, Battaglia-Brunet F (2013) Arsenic in marina sediments from the Mediterranean 894
- coast: speciation in the solid phase and occurrence of thioarsenates. Soil Sediment 895
- Contam 22, 984–1002. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2013.770441 896

- Mitsunobu S, Harada T, Takahashi Y (2006) Comparison of antimony behavior with that of
 arsenic under various soil redox conditions. Environ Sci Technol 40(23), 7270-7276.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02839926
- Molecular Probes (2004) LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit product information.
 Handbook of fluorescent probes and research products.
- Murti GK, Volk V, Jackson M (1966) Colorimetric determination of iron of mixed valency by
 orthophenanthroline. Soil Sci Soc Am J 30, 663–664.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1966.03615995003000050037x</u>
- 905 Nguyen VK, Choi W, Park Y, Yu J, Lee T (2018) Characterization of diversified Sb(V)-reducing
- 906 bacterial communities by various organic or inorganic electron donors. Bioresour Technol
- 907 250, 239-246. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.11.044</u>.
- Nguyen VK, Lee JU (2014) Isolation and Characterization of Antimony-Reducing Bacteria from
- Sediments Collected in the Vicinity of an Antimony Factory. Geomicrobiol J 31(10), 855861. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2014.901440</u>.
- 911 Nguyen VK, Lee JU (2015) Antimony-Oxidizing Bacteria Isolated from Antimony-Contaminated
- 912 Sediment A Phylogenetic Study, Geomicrobiol J 32(1), 50-58.
 913 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2014.925009</u>
- Otte JM, Blackwell N, Soos V, Rughöft S, Maisch M, Kappler A, Kleindienst S, Schmidt C
 (2018) Sterilization impacts on marine sediment-Are we able to inactivate microorganisms
 in environmental samples? FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94(12) December 2018, fiy189.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy189
- Pitman AL, Pourbaix M, de Zoubov N (1957) Potential-pH Diagram of the Antimony-Water
 System: Its Applications to Properties of the Metal, Its Compounds, Its Corrosion, and
 Antimony Electrodes. J Electrochem Soc 104, 594-600. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2428423

- Polack R, Chen YW, Belzile N (2009) Behaviour of Sb(V) in the presence of dissolved sulfide
 under controlled anoxic aqueous conditions. Chem Geol 262, 179-185.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2009.01.008.
- 924 Quatrini R, Johnson DB (2019) Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans. Trends Microbiol.
 925 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.11.009</u>
- 926 Quevauviller P, Rauret G, López-Sánchez J, Rubio R, Ure A, Muntau H (1997) Certification of
- 927 trace metal extractable contents in a sediment reference material (CRM 601) following a
- 928 three-step sequential extraction procedure. Sci Total Environ 205(2-3), 223-234.
- 929 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(97)00205-2</u>
- Radková AB, Jamieson HE, Campbell KM (2020) Antimony mobility during the early stages of
 stibnite weathering in tailings at the Beaver Brook Sb deposit, Newfoundland. Appl
 Geochem 115, 104528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104528</u>
- Rajpert L, Kolvenbach BA, Ammann EM, Hockmann K, Nachtegaal M, Eichel E, Schäffer A,
 Corvini PFX, Skłodowska A, Lenz M (2016) Arsenic Mobilization from Historically
 Contaminated Mining Soils in a Continuously Operated Bioreactor: Implications for Risk
 Assessment. Environ Sci Technol 50(17), 9124–9132.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02037
- Roper AJ, Williams PA, Filella M (2012) Secondary antimony minerals: Phases that control the
 dispersion of antimony in the supergene zone. Geochemistry 72, 9-14.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer.2012.01.005
- 941 Sánchez-Andrea I, Knittel K, Amann R, Amils R, Sanz JL (2012) Quantification of Tinto River
 942 Sediment Microbial Communities: Importance of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria and Their Role
 943 in Attenuating Acid Mine Drainage. Appl Environ Microbiol 78, 4638-4645.
 944 <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00848-12</u>

- Schildroth S, Osborne G, Smith AR, Yip C, Collins C, Smith MT, Sandy MS, Zhang L (2021)
 Occupational exposure to antimony trioxide: a risk assessment. Occup Environ Med 78,
 413-418. <u>https://oem.bmj.com/content/78/6/413.full.pdf</u>
- Schnitzer M (1983) Organic matter characterization. Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2.
 Chemical and Microbiological Properties 9, 581-594.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/agronmonogr9.2.2ed.c30</u>
- 951 Shkol'nikov EV (2010) Thermodynamic characterization of the amphoterism of oxides M₂O₃
- 952 (M = As, Sb, Bi) and Their hydrates in aqueous media. Russ J Appl Chem 83, 2121–2127.
- 953 <u>https://doi.org/10.1134/S1070427210120104</u>
- 954 Sun L-N, Guo B, Lyu W-G, Tang X-J (2020) Genomic and physiological characterization of an
- antimony and arsenite-oxidizing bacterium *Roseomonas rhizosphaerae*. Env Res 191,
 110136. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110136</u>
- 957 Sun W, Sun X, Häggblom MM, Kolton M, Lan L, Li B, Dong Y, Xu R, Li F (2021) Identification
- 958 of Antimonate Reducing Bacteria and Their Potential Metabolic Traits by the Combination
- of Stable Isotope Probing and Metagenomic-Pangenomic. Analysis Environ Sci Technol 55,
- 960 20, 13902–13912. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c03967</u>
- Sun W, Xiao E, Dong Y, Tang S, Krumins V, Ning Z, Sun M, Zhao Y, Wu S, Xiao T (2016) 961 Profiling microbial community in a watershed heavily contaminated by an active antimony 962 (Sb) mine Southwest China. Sci Total Environ 550, 297-308. 963 in 964 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.090.
- Sundar S, Chakravarty J (2010) Antimony Toxicity. Int J Environ Res Public Health 7, 42674277. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7124267</u>
- Terry LR, Kulp TR, Wiatrowski H, Miller LG, Oremland RS (2015) Microbiological Oxidation of
 Antimony(III) with Oxygen or Nitrate by Bacteria Isolated from Contaminated Mine
 Sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 81, 8478-8488. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01970-15</u>

- 970 Torma AE, Gabra GG (1977) Oxidation of stibnite by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Antonie van
 971 Leeuwenhoek 43, 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02316204
- 972 Treude N, Rosencrantz D, Liesack W, Schnell S (2003) Strain FAc12, a dissimilatory iron-
- 973 reducing member of the Anaeromyxobacter subgroup of Myxococcales, FEMS Microbiol
- 974 Ecol 44(2), 261–269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00048-5</u>
- 975 USGS (2021) Mineral Commodity Summaries, January 976 <u>https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2021/mcs2021-antimony.pdf</u>
- 977 Wang X, Yang Y, Tao L, He M (2021) Antimonite oxidation and adsorption onto two tunnel-
- 978 structured manganese oxides: Implications for antimony mobility. Chem Geol 579, 120336.
- 979 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120336
- Wang Z, Tollervey J, Briese M, et al (2009) CLIP: Construction of cDNA libraries for high throughput sequencing from RNAs cross-linked to proteins in vivo. Methods 48, 287–293.
- 982 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2009.02.021</u>
- 983 Weiss JV, Rentz JA, Plaia T, Neubauer SC, Merrill-Floyd MT, Lilburn Bradburne C, Megonigal
- JP, Emerson D (2007) Characterization of Neutrophilic Fe(II)-Oxidizing Bacteria Isolated
- 985 from the Rhizosphere of Wetland Plants and Description of Ferritrophicum radicicola gen.
- 986 nov. sp. nov. and *Sideroxydans paludicola* sp. nov. Geomicrobiol J 24(7-8), 559-570.
- 987 https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450701670152
- Wen B, Zhou J, Zhou A, Liu C, Xie L (2016) Sources, migration and transformation of antimony
 contamination in the water environment of Xikuangshan, China: Evidence from geochemical
- 990 and stable isotope (S, Sr) signatures. Sci Total Environ 569–570, 114-122.
- 991 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.124</u>
- Wilson SC, Lockwood PV, Ashley PM, Tighe M (2010) The chemistry and behaviour of
 antimony in the soil environment with comparisons to arsenic: a critical review. Environ
 Pollut 158(5), 1169-1181. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.10.045</u>

- Xi YS, Lan S, Li X, Wu Y, Yuan X, Zhang C, Yunguo L, Huang Y, Quan B, Wu S (2020)
 Bioremediation of antimony from wastewater by sulfate-reducing bacteria: Effect of the
 coexisting ferrous ion. I Biodeterior Biodegradation 148, 104912.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2020.104912
- Xiang L, Liu C, Liu D, Ma L, Qiu X, Wang H, Lu X (2022) Antimony transformation and
 mobilization from stibnite by an antimonite oxidizing bacterium Bosea sp. AS-1. J. Environ.
- 1001 Sci 111, 273-281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2021.03.042</u>
- Yamamura S, Iida C, Kobayashi Y, Watanabe M, Amachi S (2021) Production of two
 morphologically different antimony trioxides by a novel antimonate-reducing bacterium, *Geobacter* sp. SVR. J Hazard Mater 411, 125100.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125100
- Yang H, Gao K, Feng S, Zhang L, Wang W (2015) Isolation of sulfide remover strain
 Thermithiobacillus tepidarius JNU-2, and scale-up bioreaction for sulfur regeneration. Ann
 Microbiol 65, 553–563. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-014-0891-2
- 1009 Yang Z, Hosokawa H, Sadakane T, Kuroda M, Inoue D, Nishikawa H, Ike M (2020) Isolation 1010 and Characterization of Facultative-Anaerobic Antimonate-Reducing Bacteria.
- 1011 Microorganisms 8, 1435. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8091435</u>
- Ye L, Chen H, Jing C (2019) Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria Mobilize Adsorbed Antimonate by
 Thioantimonate Formation. Environ Sci Technol Lett 6, 418–422.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.9b00353.
- 1015 Yu C, Cai Q, Guo ZX, Yang Z, Khoo SB (2002) Antimony speciation by inductively coupled
- 1016 plasma mass spectrometry using solid phase extraction cartridges. Analyst 127, 380-1385.
- 1017 <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/B206829J</u>
- 1018 Zhang G, Ouyang X, Li H, Fu Z, Chen J (2016) Bioremoval of antimony from contaminated
- 1019 waters by a mixed batch culture of sulfate-reducing bacteria. Int Biodeter Biodegradation
- 1020 115, 148-155. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.08.007</u>