

Pesticides and their metabolites in European groundwater: Comparing regulations and approaches to monitoring in France, Denmark, England and Switzerland

Nicole Baran, Annette Elisabeth Rosenbom, Ronald Kozel, Dan Lapworth

▶ To cite this version:

Nicole Baran, Annette Elisabeth Rosenbom, Ronald Kozel, Dan Lapworth. Pesticides and their metabolites in European groundwater: Comparing regulations and approaches to monitoring in France, Denmark, England and Switzerland. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 842, pp.156696. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156696 . hal-03747954

HAL Id: hal-03747954 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-03747954v1

Submitted on 22 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969722037937 Manuscript_42ef52c51ac76321277e0ec5f9cc9c62

- 1 Pesticides and their metabolites in European groundwater: comparing regulations and approaches to
- 2 monitoring in France, Denmark, England and Switzerland
- 3
- 4 BARAN Nicole^{1*}, ROSENBOM Annette Elisabeth^{2,#}, KOZEL Ronald³, LAPWORTH Dan J.⁴
- 5 ¹ BRGM, F-45060 Orléans, France
- ⁶ ² Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland GEUS, Oester Voldgade 10, DK-1350 Copenhagen K,
- 7 Denmark
- 8 ³ Federal Office for the Environment FOEN, 3003 Bern, Switzerland
- 9 ⁴ British Geological Survey, Maclean Building, Wallingford, Oxon, OX10 8BB, UK
- 10
- 11 <u>*Corresponding author</u>: BARAN Nicole, BRGM, 3 av. C Guillemin, BP36009, 45060 Orléans Cedex 2,
- 12 France, e-mail: n.baran@brgm.fr
- 13 # currently at Rambøll, Hannemanns Allé 53, DK-2300 Copenhagen S, Denmark
- 14
- 15 Keywords: Water Framework Directive; plant protection product; Drinking Water Directive; early
- 16 warning; analytical issue; groundwater monitoring

17 Abstract

18 Pesticides, i.e. plant protection products (PPP), biocides and their metabolites, pose a serious threat 19 to groundwater quality and groundwater dependent ecosystems. Across large parts of Europe these 20 compounds are monitored in groundwater to ensure compliance with the European Water Framework 21 Directive (WFD), the Groundwater Directive (GWD) and Drinking water Directive (DWD). European 22 regulation concerning the placing of PPP on the market includes groundwater monitoring as a higher 23 tier of the regulatory procedure. Nevertheless, the lists of compounds to be monitored vary from one 24 directive to another and between countries. The implementation of monitoring strategies for these 25 directives and other national drivers, differs across Europe. This is illustrated using case studies from 26 France, Denmark (EU member states), England (part of the EU up to January 2020) and Switzerland 27 (associated country). The collection of data (e.g. monitoring design and analytical approaches) and 28 dissemination at national and European level and the scale of data reporting to EU is country-specific. Data generated by the implementation of WFD and DWD can be used for retrospective purposes in 29 30 the context of PPP registration whereas the post-registration monitoring data generated by the 31 product applicants are generally only directly available to the regulators. This lack of consistency and 32 strategic coordination between thematic regulations is partly compensated by national regulations. This paper illustrates the benefits of a common framework for regulation in Europe but shows that 33 34 divergent national approaches to monitoring and reporting on pesticides in groundwater makes the 35 task of assessment across Europe challenging.

- 36 1. Introduction
- 37

Pesticides are used throughout the world, particularly in agriculture, to secure good crop yields. 38 39 Groundwater pesticide contamination is a major threat to aquifer quality status globally (Foster and 40 Chilton, 2021), and often results in complex mixtures of pesticides and their degradation products in 41 groundwater sources used for water supply (Lapworth et al., 2006; Gimsing et al., 2019; Baran et al., 42 2021). In Europe, groundwater is the most important drinking water resource for many countries (e.g. 43 up to 100% in Denmark or Austria, 80% in Switzerland and around 70% in France – EurEau, 2021). Pesticides may also affect groundwater ecosystem services based on microbial activities (e.g. 44 45 denitrification, Michel et al., 2021) and other groundwater dependant ecosystems such as wetlands, 46 lakes and rivers (McKnight et al., 2012).

47 There is a wide range of different frameworks used globally for regulating pesticide use (Pelaez et al., 48 2013) and protecting groundwater bodies as well as different approaches undertaking groundwater 49 monitoring. EU legislation divides pesticides into plant protection products (PPP) and biocides used for 50 non-food or feed purposes. This can lead to confusion as PPP and biocides are not subject to the same 51 regulations. The regulation EC N°1107/2009 for PPP was updated and consolidated in March 2021 and the regulation EU N°528/2012 for biocides was updated and consolidated in June 2021. The latter 52 53 apply without prejudice to REACH regulation n°1907/2006. Similarly, active substances used in PPP 54 and/or biocides are first approved at EU level. In a second stage, EU countries authorise the placing on 55 the market of PPP or biocides products containing those active substances on their territory and ensure 56 compliance with EU rules. European Commission decisions on approval and non-approval are 57 published in the Official Journal of the European Union; information being also available on two websites ("EU pesticides database" and "information on biocides" on the European commission and 58 59 ECHA – European Chemicals Agency - websites, respectively). Regarding PPP, it is therefore possible to 60 know if an active substance has uses allowed in the different Member States (MS - even if it is recommended to check the national databases) and to access the information regarding 61 62 environmental risk and notably risk of transport into groundwater. In May 2021, at EU level in the 63 context of PPP, 469 active substances are approved and 61 pending (i.e. waiting for a decision). It 64 should be noted that active substances of PPP are classified in 21 categories and correspond to organic 65 and inorganic compounds as well as fungi and/or viruses.

The ECHA database for biocides contains a list of 366 active substances (corresponding to 21 producttypes – May 2021)) of which 169 are approved at EU level as biocides. It should be noted that an active substance can show properties for being both a PPP and a biocide but can be authorised for only one of the cases (e.g. diuron, clothianidine or warfarin not yet approved as PPP but approved as biocide). A cross comparison between the 2 databases is not trivial making difficult to identify which compounds
 are both an active substance of PPP and a biocide.

72 Although EU regulation encourages the use of less harmful active substances, pesticides are still 73 intensively used and therefore may pose human health or environmental risks. The presence of 74 pesticides or their metabolites in groundwater throughout the world is regularly highlighted either in 75 regional (Fisher et al., 2021; Moreau et al., 2019) or national studies (Bexfield et al., 2021; Close et al., 76 2021; Kiefer et al., 2020; Hintze et al., 2020; Kotal et al., 2021; Lapworth et al. 2015; Lopez et al., 2015; 77 Stuart et al., 2012). Several large-scale monitoring studies have been carried out in Europe more than 78 a decade ago (Loos et al., 2009; 2010) and to our knowledge there has been no such campaign recently. 79 Therefore, having a precise vision of the status of groundwater with respect to pesticides and their 80 metabolites at the European scale is not trivial.

81 Driven by a strong regulatory context, considerable effort is put into focused monitoring of the quality 82 of groundwater in Europe with respect to pesticides and their metabolites compared to other regions 83 (Donley 2019, Pelaez et al., 2013). Major environmental directives (Water Framework Directive 84 2000/60/EC, WFD - and associated Groundwater Directive 2006/118/EC - GWD, and Drinking Water 85 Directive 98/83/EC, recently revised EU 2020/2184, DWD) and the European Regulation (EC) No. 86 1107/2009 concerning the placing of PPP on the market require the implementation of groundwater 87 monitoring. However, the heterogeneity of datasets through Europe regarding groundwater and the 88 lack of knowledge to support the risk assessment were highlighted by European Environment Agency 89 (EEA) as key obstacles to improve regulation and management of groundwater resources (Mohaupt et 90 al., 2020). Very recently, a European wide collection and analysis of existing monitoring data on non-91 relevant pesticide metabolites (nrM) has been carried out in the frame of the WFD CIS task "Voluntary 92 Groundwater Watch List" (cf. chapter 3).

93 There are common challenges across Europe with respect to pesticide contamination in groundwater. 94 The UN (United Nations) Sustainable development goals related to water resources and specifically 95 pollution (targets 3.9, 6.3 and 15.1) are also a common driver for progress in this area. The challenge 96 of pesticide contamination in groundwater needs to be understood at a regional level, e.g. a large 97 number of pesticides are authorised and used across large area in Europe with an agricultural footprint, 98 to enable feffective solutions for improved groundwater protection and management. Societal drivers 99 for improved status of environmental waters extend beyond national boarders, and this has 100 implications for the authorisation and use of PPPs and the trade of food products and goods between 101 countries. More timely sharing of data can direct monitoring and interventions in one region and can 102 inform activities in another region. Highly divergent approaches and implementation of regulation and 103 monitoring at different administrative levels make this task more challenging. Having a common 104 framework really helps, particularly one which is flexible to country specific issues.

While this paper is focussed on Europe, this is not a unique challenge for Europe, indeed regional,
national and state regulation for monitoring of pesticide use and groundwater contamination is also
highly divergent for other regions such as North America and Asia and Africa (Li 2018; Pelaez et al.,
2013; Donley 2019; Bexfield et al., 2020; Bhushan 2006; Gupta et al., 2018; K'oreje et al., 2020).

With a focus on Europe, which has a strong common regulatory framework, this paper examines the current practices in terms of groundwater pesticide monitoring and dissemination of information for use by stakeholders. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no published study that compares and contrasts the different approaches and how these are effectively operationalised in different countries in Europe.

114 Thus, a selection of four European countries is used to illustrate common practice as well as divergent 115 approaches to pesticide sampling, analysis and reporting of groundwater pesticide contamination. The 116 two different monitoring setups i.e. general monitoring (linked to environmental directives) and 117 targeted monitoring studies (for use in the authorization procedure of PPPs) are addressed. These 118 examples discuss to what extent a common vision for groundwater protection from contamination by 119 PPP on a European scale can be realised. Comparing two EU countries (FR, DK) and two non-EU 120 countries (EN, CH) enables insights to a broader range of approaches and the development of new 121 ideas for future European procedures related to pesticide assessment in groundwater.

After a brief presentation of the regulatory context, a meta-analysis of the different approaches covering several aspects including regulatory context, the analytical challenges and the specific monitoring campaigns and developments compared and contrasted for the first time in this paper. Three themes are investigated in detail: i) the implementation of groundwater monitoring and data collection, ii) which substances are actually monitored and their occurrence in groundwater, and iii) approaches to early warning and surveillance for pesticides and metabolites.

128

2. Methods

129 130

131 Based on the examples of three EU member states (MS) (France, FR; Denmark, DK - EU MS; England, 132 EN part of the EU up to January 2020 - so the regulatory framework in place still very much represents 133 the EU framework) and one associated country (Switzerland, CH – AC - contributes quite closely and 134 actively to the EU groundwater framework discussions), we will illustrate the practices both in terms of 135 groundwater monitoring and dissemination of information and its use by decision makers. Note that 136 in the context of PPP registration operating in the EU, France, Denmark and England belong(ed) respectively to the southern, northern and central zone, according to the zonal system (3 zones) of 137 138 PPP authorisation. Regulatory zones are supposed to have comparable agricultural practices, and comparable plant health and environmental (including climatic) conditions. For comparison,Switzerland was chosen as an example of a well-established associated European country.

141 This work was not intended to be exhaustive regarding groundwater monitoring across Europe, but 142 rather to show the similarities and disparities in practices to illustrate to what extent a vision on a 143 European scale can be proposed and implemented. Our work focuses on raw groundwater and considers both pesticides and metabolites. In this paper, the term "metabolite" corresponds to "any 144 145 metabolite or a degradation product of an active substance, safener or synergist, formed either in 146 organisms or in the environment" according to the Article 3(32) of Plant Protection Regulation EC 147 n°1107/2009. A guidance document exists on the assessment of the relevance of metabolites in 148 groundwater of substances regulated under council directive EC/91/414 replaced by EC n°1107/2009 149 (Sanco/221/2000 - rev.10). The revised version of DWD (Directive (EU) 2020/2184 entered in to force 150 on 12 January 2021) refers to EC n°1107/2009 regarding the definition of metabolites (point 32 of 151 Article 3) and the relevance of metabolites for water intended for human 152 consumption.

153 Following DW and GWD, concentrations of pesticides and relevant metabolites may not exceed 0.1 154 µg/L for a single of those compounds (in the case of aldrin, dieldrin, heptachlor and heptachlor 155 epoxide, the parametric value shall be 0.03 μ g/L) and 0.5 μ g/L for the total concentration of those 156 compounds. Swiss legislation fixes a 0.1 µg/L limit for drinking water and groundwater. Except for 157 Denmark, no limit value has been defined for non-relevant metabolites when looking apart from single 158 compound specific cases. In Denmark, all metabolites of pesticides, with a few exceptions, are 159 considered to be relevant in accordance with the uniform principle in Article 29 of Regulation 160 1107/2009/EC, even compounds found to be non-relevant in the EU must not be detected in Danish 161 groundwater in concentrations exceeding the EU parametric drinking water limit of $0.1 \, \mu g/L$.

- 162
- 163

3. Regulatory context in European Union, England and Switzerland

164

165 The DWD, adopted in 1998, concerns the quality of water intended for human consumption. This 166 directive has recently been revised (Directive (EU) 2020/2184) and the MS shall bring into force the 167 laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Articles 1 to 18, Article 23 168 and Annexes I to V by 12 January 2023. Water quality monitoring is mandatory in this context (first 169 type of general monitoring). A list of parameters (including inorganic and organic compounds, odour, 170 colour etc.) that shall be monitored has been established including non-specific terms "pesticides" and "total pesticides". This directive states that "only those pesticides, which are likely to be present in a 171 172 given supply, need be monitored".

173 WFD adopted in 2000 and its daughter directive GWD adopted in 2006 require that all MS ensure that 174 all surface waters are in good chemical and ecological status and groundwater bodies are in good 175 chemical and quantitative status. Programmes and plans to achieve the status required are to be 176 included in river basin management plans. In this context, MS were obliged to design monitoring 177 programmes to provide a comprehensive overview of groundwater chemical and quantitative status that were to be operational (objective) by the end of 2006. This corresponds to the second type of 178 179 general monitoring. WFD thus provided an overarching approach to water management including 180 European and national prioritization of pollutants.

181 Recently (in 2014), a European wide and voluntary 'Groundwater Watch List' (GWWL) process was 182 launched by the European Commission in the frame of the Common Implementation Strategy CIS of the WFD. The objective of the "watch list for pollutants of groundwater" is "to increase the availability 183 184 of monitoring data on substances posing a risk or potential risk to bodies of groundwater" "(Recital 4 185 of the revised GWD). The GWWL should "facilitate the identification of substances, including emerging 186 pollutants, for which groundwater quality standards or threshold values should be set". The most 187 important output of the GWWL process is the identification of substances present in groundwater based on sufficient monitoring data available. These substances integrate the "List facilitating Annex I 188 189 and II review process of the GWD" and support the EC review of the GWD by identifying substances or 190 groups of substances that may be considered for future regulation via the GWD (Lapworth et al., 2019). 191 Up to now three data collections on Pharmaceuticals (Amec Foster Wheeler, 2016), PFAS (WFD CIS 192 2020) and nrM (WFD CIS 2021) have been carried out.

193 The WFD (article 18) also requires the MS to report data on pesticides to the EU Commission 194 (performed every 6 years - A mid-term review of the implementation of the Programmes of Measures 195 is also carried out). Within this framework, the Commission must publish a report to the European 196 Parliament and to the Council on the implementation of this Directive after each update of the River 197 Basin Management Plans (see https://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-198 framework/impl_reports.htm).

Within the DWD, to ensure a comparable reporting, countries report to European Environment Agency
every three years for a limited number of compounds (actually ten active substances namely atrazine,
bentazone, bromacil, diuron, isoproturon, MCPA, mecoprop, simazine, S-metolachlor and
terbuthylazine, one metabolite (atrazine-desethyl), and total pesticides concentrations including also
other national monitored pesticide beside the short list) (Mohaupt et al., 2020).

At EU level, 469 active substances of PPP are currently approved, but the number of national approvals is country-dependent (Figure 1). Thus, only 85 active substances are commonly approved in the four selected countries. More generally, we observe that only 26 active substances are allowed in all the 28 recent MS (Figure 2), whereas 24 active substances have an approval in only one MS. Each MS must therefore consider its specificity in terms of use e.g., fenpicoxamide appears specific to France,
metosulam to England (in May 2021).

210 Associated countries have adopted and implemented EU legislation, in a whole, in part or not at all, as 211 Switzerland, within their national legislation on a voluntary basis. EU legislation covering environmental regulation was transposed into UK law when UK left the EU, and new bodies such as UK 212 213 REACH set up to authorise PPP. It is likely that UK legislation will continue to adhere to EU principles 214 despite leaving the EU. However, how this will be implemented in the long term is a matter at the 215 national level and there is potential for deviation from EU regulations in the medium and long term. 216 For example, in the UK this may affect how groundwater pesticide quality data is collected and on what 217 substances it is collected for in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland in the future. In 218 Switzerland, these issues are considered by the specific Swiss legislations (e.g. Environment and water 219 protection legislation; Food safety and drinking water legislation), which are updated regularly.

220 In addition, regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 concerning the placing of PPP on the market, which came 221 into force on 14 June 2011 includes groundwater monitoring as the highest tier option (Tier 4) in the 222 regulatory groundwater assessment of PPP in EU (See Gimsing et al., 2019 for description of 223 registration procedure). In this case targeted monitoring is employed with a different purpose than 224 general monitoring previously described above. Briefly, the registration is based on risk assessment 225 regarding both human and ecosystem health's. The first-tier groundwater assessment is addressed by 226 using FOCUS groundwater models with nine different soil and weather scenarios to represent different 227 parts of Europe. The models are used to simulate the requested pattern of use and predict 228 concentrations in groundwater for the pesticide active substance and its metabolites. If the active 229 substance or one of its metabolites fails the first-tier groundwater risk assessment, higher tier 230 approaches may be possible. In this context, groundwater monitoring performed by applicants is not 231 systematically requested by the national authorities. Nevertheless, registrants have been conducting 232 monitoring studies with currently registered pesticide active substances and their metabolites with an increasing frequency over years (Gimsing et al., 2019). Data are then provided by the PPP producers 233 234 to regulators to which there is generally no public access. However, in some cases, results are 235 published by the PPP producers (e.g. Pinoxaden and its metabolites – McManus et al., 2021).

- 236
- 237 4. Results and Discussion

a. Implementation of groundwater monitoring and data collection

- 239 National scale
- 240 *France*
- 241

In France, the water law of December 16, 1964 (law n° 64-1245) organizes water management at the
basin level with the creation of six water agencies in mainland and four offices in overseas territories,

and basin committees. As early as the middle of the 90's, the will to structure a national database
appeared (see supplementary material S1 for details). Thus, ADES a national open-access database on
groundwater, was created. This base contains data from 314 networks (i.e. wells and/or springs),
mainly sanitary and environmental monitoring networks, covering both quantitative (groundwater
levels) and qualitative aspects. To date, it contains over 102 million water analyses from about 77,000
quality observation points (vs. 4,900 for groundwater level monitoring).

To answer the WFD and GWD objectives, existing networks were adapted from 2007 to 2009 to ensure a wide and patrimonial vision of groundwater quality leading to an increase of the number of monitored wells (~2 400) distributed on the 575 (on 645) Groundwater bodies – GWBs) delineated and monitored and analyses (see for example atrazine at national scale, Baran et al., 2021). Despite the existence of a core list established at national level (Act 2015, JORF 2015), monitored compounds varied across the country, several water agencies managing the monitoring.

With respect to drinking water, the French Public Health Code specifies the conditions for verifying the conformity of water to quality standards (sanitary surveillance). A control is carried out by a laboratory approved by the Ministry of Health at regional or departmental level (eighteen regional agencies of health).

In addition, drinking water producers carry out self-controls; unfortunately, these data are not
 necessarily shared and are generally poorly stored. Each producer of data uploaded in ADES is
 responsible of its quality.

263

264 Denmark

265 In Denmark, the national groundwater monitoring programme (GRUMO), was initiated in 1988 (see 266 Supporting material S1 for more historic information). GRUMO's aim was to monitor the effect of the 267 Danish Environmental legislation launched in 1987 on general agricultural regulations in relation to the 268 nutrient load (the phosphorus and nitrate load) of the aquatic environment wastewater plants and 269 secondly to ensure the supply of good quality drinking water to the population. GRUMO was hence 270 designed to provide information on the quality of the groundwater in selected catchments estimated 271 to be representative for Denmark. The monitoring has since been adapted continuously based on 272 improved knowledge and varying administrative needs, including the fulfilment of the reporting 273 obligations under the coming EU directives as the Nitrates directive 1991 (91/676/EEC), which is yearly. 274 When deciding which compounds were to be analysed in GRUMO, pesticides were included already in 275 1990. As a consequence of the GRUMO results, also waterworks were from 1996 obligated to monitor 276 both waters directly sampled from water works wells (groundwater) and the water tap (drinking water) 277 for pesticides. The list of compounds to be analysed in GRUMO is adjusted at least every 6th year to

account for environmental political priorities and legislation. If needed, screening studies underGRUMO are organized annually.

280 Danish drinking water is solely based on almost untreated groundwater (only aeration and filtration 281 were permitted following a decision by the Danish parliament). This quality is highly valued by the 282 citizens of Denmark, and thus the increased number of detections of pesticides and their degradation products in the groundwater collected within the framework of GRUMO posed a strong wish to 283 284 determine the source/cause for these detections. Did the compounds originate from agricultural 285 practices (diffuse sources), point sources or accidental spills? To answer this question, the Geological 286 Survey of Denmark and Greenland, GEUS, together with Aarhus University came up with the idea to 287 setup up an early warning monitoring system to monitor at six fields, representative of Danish 288 conditions as regards soil types and climate, whether pesticides being applied on agricultural fields in 289 accordance with regulation and the way of farmers, would cause unacceptable leaching of pesticides 290 and/or selected metabolites.

291 In 1998, the Danish Parliament provided funding until the end of 2001 for the establishment and 292 operation of Danish Pesticide Leaching Assessment Program (PLAP; the 293 http://pesticidvarsling.dk/?lang=en) including five agricultural fields (both sandy and loamy soils) 294 being management according to regulation though always applying the maximum allowed dose of 295 pesticides, when testing the coherent potential leaching. The two main objectives of the PLAP-system 296 are hence to: i) evaluate if approved pesticides and/or their degradation products leach to the 297 groundwater in concentrations above the permitted limit and ii) prepare and inform about the 298 scientific foundation for optimising the Danish authorities' approval and regulation procedures for 299 pesticides based on the collected monitoring data. The PLAP is still ongoing, delivering yearly reports 300 documenting the results (see http://pesticidvarsling.dk/?lang=en), including six fields, and managed 301 headed by the Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS) in close cooperation with the 302 Department of Agroecology (AGRO) at Aarhus University, as sub supplier, the Department of 303 Bioscience (BIOS) at Aarhus University and the project owner the Danish Environmental Protection 304 Agency (EPA).

The same year of the initiation of the PLAP in Denmark, the DWD came into force followed by the WFD and later GWD. Each of these directives gave rise to a changed monitoring strategy within GRUMO, which has become more distributed and resulted in increased surveillance. To date, the monitoring concerns 689 GWBs on the 2,050 delineated (Thorling et al., 2021).

While pesticides are authorised for use within the EU, it is crucial for Denmark's drinking water quality
to evaluate the knowledge acquired by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) if there is even the

10

311 smallest indication of a leaching risk of pesticides and/or their degradation products (Rosenbom et al., 312 2015). This knowledge is applied in the yearly selection of compounds to be monitored in PLAP. If a 313 metabolite or a pesticide appears in high concentrations in groundwater collected from underneath 314 the PLAP-fields, this knowledge is included when updating the list of compounds to be monitored in 315 GRUMO and the result of the national monitoring will finally appear in the Jupiter database (see 316 Supplementary material).

317

318 England

319 Overall, around 30% of drinking water in England is from groundwater, this figure is much higher for parts of southern England where it is closer to 100%. In the United Kingdom, a number of pieces of 320 321 legislation and associated regulatory tools have sought to directly or indirectly protect groundwater 322 from pesticide (and other) pollution (see supplementary material Table S1). Whilst the UK was a 323 member of the European Union, the WFD and GWD were the overarching regulatory drivers for 324 groundwater monitoring. Although the UK has now left the European Union, the devolved nations will 325 continue to adhere to the principles contained within these directives. This is reflected in the recent 326 UK Environment Bill (UK Government, 2020a), the EU Withdrawal Agreement Act 2020 (UK 327 Government, 2020b), the UK Government's 25-year environment plan (UK Government, 2018) and 328 domestic legislation that (directly and indirectly) requires groundwater protection. The responsibility 329 for monitoring pesticides in groundwater bodies, reporting on status and trends and making this 330 information available sits with the devolved organisations within each country, *i.e.* the Environment 331 Agency in England.

332 The delineation of groundwater management units (i.e. GWBs) for the purpose of groundwater-quality 333 monitoring and reporting is based on the knowledge acquired from development of a robust 334 hydrogeological conceptual model of the given unit. The conceptual model informs the design of a 335 monitoring network that is proportional to nature and scale of pollution risk. Various guidance documents set out recommendations for developing conceptual models (UKTAG, 2007, EC, 2007) as 336 337 well the Environment Agency's Operational Instruction 924_08. The Environment Agency's designation 338 of GWBs has followed this conceptual model approach and currently identifies 272 GWBs across 339 England.

England's National Groundwater Quality Monitoring Network (GWQMN) currently has around 2,500 wells that are monitored, with a minority of these being water company owned sites. The current monitoring network is greatly dependent on third-party owned sites. These include public water supply sites, licensed industrial abstractions and unlicensed private abstractions. In relation to pesticides the purpose of the GWQNM is to:

- assist in characterisation of groundwater bodies and risk assessment, including natural quality
 (baseline) assessment and detection of pollutants;
- establish the chemical status of groundwater bodies and identify anthropogenically induced
 trends in pollutant concentrations;
- assist in the design of programmes of measures to protect groundwater from deterioration and/or
 restore to good status;
- evaluate the effectiveness of programmes of measures including trend reversal.
- 352

The GWQMN in England, in terms of the number of sites, analytical capabilities and approach, has evolved with time and was very recently (early 2021) the subject of a periodic review to assess current capabilities against current objectives and future drivers and requirements.

356 England's groundwater quality data visibility has greatly improved in recent years, and groundwater 357 quality monitoring data is regularly uploaded to the NGWQM database and there is web access to 358 water quality data including pesticides and metabolites in waters 359 (https://environment.data.gov.uk/water-quality/view/landing). Water company data can also be 360 obtained, but this requires a separate application, and is not publicly available in the same way due to 361 issues of confidentiality/security. Devolved nations publish status reports on a regular basis, which 362 includes information on pesticide occurrence in groundwater.

363

364 *Switzerland*

Since 2002 the Swiss NAQUA National Groundwater Monitoring provides a nation-wide picture of the situation and evolution of the groundwater resources in terms of both quality and quantity (FOEN/BAFU, 2019). The results including those for pesticides and their metabolites are summarised and made available annually on the website of the Federal Office for the Environment FOEN (Link: Pesticides in groundwater (admin.ch)) and regularly published in national reports (FOEN/BAFU, 2019). The data of the Swiss surface water and groundwater monitoring are stored and managed in a centralised water database at FOEN.

The joint federal-cantonal monitoring programme NAQUA records the state of and changes in the groundwater resources at more than 600 monitoring sites in Switzerland. The natural conditions and the impact of human activity on the typical aquifers of Switzerland are monitored.

375 The purpose of the National Groundwater Monitoring is to:

• document the situation and development of groundwater quality and quantity at national level;

detect the occurrence of problematic substances at an early stage and to systematically follow up
 any undesirable developments;

- check the effectiveness of protective measures already adopted and identify the need for further
 measures;
- characterise and classify the most important groundwater resources in Switzerland.

382 NAQUA forms the basis for nationally coordinated protection of groundwater as a natural resource in 383 Switzerland and ultimately helps to protect the public from harmful substances. As Switzerland 384 collaborates closely with the EU on environmental matters, the collected data are reported to different 385 organisms as the European Environment Agency as a member or on a voluntary base (e.g. IGRAC, IAEA). 386 The Swiss legislation requires the federal government to collect data on environmental pollution 387 (Constitution Art. 65, Environmental Protection Act, Art. 44), water quality and hydrologic conditions 388 in Switzerland (Water Protection Act (WPA) Art. 57) and to inform the public of the results 389 (Environmental Protection Act Art. 10e, WPA Art. 50 & Art. 57). The NAQUA National Groundwater 390 Monitoring carries out these federal functions in relation to groundwater.

According to Art. 58 of the WPA, the cantons shall carry out any further surveys which may be necessary for the enforcement of this Act. The cantons shall furthermore establish an inventory of water supply installations and groundwater resources on their territory. The inventory shall be available to the public unless the interests of national defence require secrecy.

According to Art. 6 of Federal Act on Freedom of Information in the Administration (Freedom of Information Act) "any person has the right to inspect official documents and to obtain information about the content of official documents." Exceptions apply.

398

399 Comparison across the four countries and European scale

For these four countries, databases, generally created long years ago, ensure storage of raw groundwater quality monitoring data mainly collected in the framework of GWD and DWD. National portals provide access to data from other networks. In contrast, data provided by products applicants is typically restricted to national regulatory agencies. Here Denmark via the presence of PLAP are less sensitive to this lack of access regarding the national regulation of PPPs with its links to the GWD and DWD.

Data accessibility via national portals is free or via request, depending on the country. The information
are provided in relation with other metadata: information on the geological context, the aquifer and/or
the groundwater body, characteristics of the well tapping the sampled water etc.

409

410 At European scale, IPCheM Portal (https://ipchem.jrc.ec.europa.eu/) is the European Commission's 411 reference access point for chemical monitoring in various media (environmental, human bio-412 monitoring, food and feed, products and indoor air) allowing searching, accessing and retrieving 413 chemical occurrence data collected and managed in the EU. The platform has been developed to fill 414 the knowledge gap on chemical exposure and its burdens on health and the environment. Several 415 types of database exist including e.g. Waterbase, which is the generic name given to the EEA's 416 databases on the status and quality of Europe's rivers, lakes, groundwater bodies and transitional, 417 coastal and marine waters, on the quantity of Europe's water resources, and on the emissions to 418 surface waters from point and diffuse sources of pollution. Its creation is based on the INSPIRE 419 Directive 2007/2/EC which aims to create a European Union spatial data infrastructure for the 420 purposes of EU environmental policies and policies or activities which may have an impact on the 421 environment. According to the data type (notably raw data versus aggregated data), data access could 422 be restricted, public or limited to the owner. In some cases, it is therefore possible to find raw and 423 more detailed information at national level than in the European database.

424

425 b. Monitored pesticide substances and occurrence in groundwater

426 National scale

427 France

In France, a national regulatory decree (under revision) sets the guidelines (minimum required) for 428 429 groundwater monitoring in terms of frequency of measurements, number of points per km² and lists 430 of parameters to be measured, a "core list" (Act 2015 published in JORF, 2015 and its modified version of 2018, JORF 2018). Three types of monitoring's are defined: i) regular (every year -2 times /year for 431 432 unconfined aquifers - all points of the networks), ii) photographic analysis (once per WFD cycle - all 433 points), and iii) complementary analysis (quarter of the sites of the monitoring program). The list of 434 parameters is monitoring type dependent. A distinction is also made between mainland and the 435 overseas territories. Of the 227 parameters included as a minimum requirement, 115 are active 436 substances of PPP, 21 metabolites and 2 compounds being both active substance and metabolite 437 (Figure 3 – supplementary materiel Table 2). Half of the 138 compounds (69) originate from a currently 438 authorised pesticide, the other half (69) can be related to former uses. Some water agencies carry out 439 a much more exhaustive monitoring (up to 651 molecules for France, 106-612 per river basin 440 depending on the water agency – status report of 2013) in order to cover for instance specific uses 441 (e.g. crops with limited geographical extension). While this more comprehensive research is important 442 to get a more complete picture of groundwater quality, it makes analysis of the data at the national 443 level more challenging.

With respect to drinking water, the minimum frequency of sampling and analyses is determined by the volume of water distributed or produced each day within a supply zone (~ 32,000 wells tapping groundwater). Among the 54 parameters, the generic term "pesticides and (relevant) metabolites" is used, and it is recommended that monitoring should be adapted to regional context (Direction Générale de la Santé, 2020). The Ministry of Health states given the number of authorized (or formerly authorized) pesticides, it is necessary to target the analysis for pesticides, according to their potential
occurrence in water and the risks for human health and adapted based on local use. DWD monitoring
is consequently heterogeneous between regions.

Identifying "only those pesticides which are likely to be present in a given supply" is challenging due to the limited availability of data on pesticides used in specific areas relevant to a given water source (Kotal et al., 2021). This issue is obviously not unique to France. To establish their monitoring program, French regional health agencies can use SIRIS-Pesticides (Le Gall et al., 2007), a decision support tool that classifies active substances according to their potential to reach surface water and groundwater in relation to local uses when known. Two weaknesses of this tool are well known: pesticides withdrawn from the market and metabolites are not considered.

459 The latest national report (ADES data of 2018, Ministère de la transition écologique, 2020) highlights 460 that 46% of 760 analysed substances were quantified at least once: 48% of them are authorized, 5% 461 are degradation products (metabolites) of authorized substances, 37% are banned substances and 9% 462 are metabolites of banned substances. Almost 80% of the 2,340 measuring points of the groundwater 463 quality monitoring networks were found to have at least one pesticide detected. It should be noted 464 that this assessment includes all the compounds analysed and not only those of the core list 465 established within WFD, which for example does not include the metabolites of metazachlor and 466 dimetachlor. The metabolites of atrazine and dimetachlor, present in 55% of the monitoring sites, are 467 the most frequently quantified compounds. Among the active substances authorized in 2020, the 468 metabolites of metolachlor, metazachlor and dimetachlor, as well as the parent substances bentazone, 469 chlortoluron or glyphosate, are among the most quantified compounds in the country. Despite their 470 ban or market withdrawal for almost two decades, some compounds (atrazine, sodium chlorate, 471 simazine, alachlor, oxadixyl, chloridazon), as parent substance or as metabolites, are among the most 472 quantified compounds in the country. The French West Indies (oversea territory) are affected by 473 historical pollution by chlordecone, an insecticide historically used on bananas banned since 1993.

474 Denmark

475 In the GRUMO, the current list of compounds to be monitored for water chemistry regarding pesticides excluding screening and verification (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2021/1110 and 476 477 https://mst.dk/media/210472/grundvandsbilag-pr-febr-2021.pdf in Danish) encompasses 63 478 compounds of which 16 are pesticides, 43 are metabolites, two are both pesticide and metabolite, and 479 two are synthesis impurities (Figure 3 - supplementary materiel Table 2). Only 15 compounds of the 480 61 compounds (not counting the two impurities) are or originate from a currently authorised pesticide, 481 whereas 46 of the 60 compounds can be related to former uses. The outcome of the monitoring, which 482 is published in Thorling et al. (2021), reveals that the five most detected compounds in 2019 in the 483 water from (i) GRUMO's wells are:

- 484 DPC (desphenylchloridazon; 25.7% with detections and 12.4% exceeding 0.1 μ g/L), • 485 N,N-DMS (N,N-dimethylsulfamid; 23.8% with detections and 3.2% exceeding 0.1 μ g/L), • 486 1,2,4-triazole (16.1% with detections and 2.9% exceeding 0.1 μ g/L), • 487 MDPC (methyldesphenylchloridazon; 15.1% with detections and 4.4% exceeding $0.1 \mu g/L$) • 488 BAM (2,6-dichlorobenzamide; 11.1% with detections and 2.8% exceeding $0.1 \mu g/L$) 489 and (ii) water works wells are: 490 • N,N-DMS (N,N-dimethylsulfamid; 28.6% with detections and 7.4% exceeding 0.1 µg/L), 491 DPC (desphenylchloridazon; 22.5% with detections and 6.7% exceeding 0.1 μ g/L), • BAM (2,6-dichlorobenzamide; 15.8% with detections and 1.5% exceeding $0.1 \mu g/L$), 492 •
- 493 dimethachlor ESA (9.0% with detections and 2.1% exceeding 0.1 μg/L)
- MDPC (methyldesphenylchloridazon; 5.0% with detections and 0.8% exceeding 0.1 μg/L).

The list of compounds is seen as dynamic where compounds seldom or never found are removed, andnew compounds added.

Eighteen of the 62 compounds have previously been tested in PLAP (Rosenbom et al., 2021) where only seven are either an authorised pesticide (bentazone, glyphosate and MCPA) or a metabolite of an authorised pesticide (1,2,4-triazole, AMPA, metamitron-desamino and N,N-DMS). Among the last 11 compounds included in the PLAP, six degradation products (diketo-metribuzin, desamino-metribuzin, PPU IN70941, PPU-desamino IN70942, CGA62826 and CGA108906) of three pesticides applied to potato plants (metribuzin, rimsulfuron and metalaxyl-M) were included in GRUMO caused by their long-term leaching being documented in PLAP.

504 With the drinking water in Denmark solely being based on largely untreated groundwater, PLAP has 505 not been the only action initiated by the Danish Government to protect the quality of the groundwater. 506 In 2019, "Vandpanelet" (The Water Panel) was commissioned to act upon threats against the 507 groundwater and hereby the drinking water and coordinate actions against such threats towards the 508 water supply. Two surveys of groundwater quality were conducted in 2019 (415 compounds included) 509 and 2020 (23 compounds detected in the 2019 screening and 76 new compounds; 510 https://mst.dk/media/211541/fagligt-notat-om-resultater-af-massescreening-2020.pdf). The Water 511 panel agreed with the Danish EPA's on the inclusion of six compounds (the pesticide monuron, 512 CGA369873 and CGA373464 (metabolites of dimethachlor), TFMP (metabolite of fluazifop-P-butyl), t-513 sulfinylacetic acid (metabolite of acetochlor) and TFA (metabolite of many pesticides containing a CF3 514 group) in the pesticide list presented in Appendix 2 of Executive Order on Drinking Water 515 (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2021/1110) GRUMO and (https://www.ft.dk/samling/20201/almdel/MOF/bilag/470/2368151/index.htm). This means that 516 517 from 1 July 2021, the water supplies must control the drinking water for those six compounds as well.

518

519 England

A number of analytical suites which cover 155 pesticide and metabolite compounds (141 pesticides, 9 metabolites and 5 being both pesticide and metabolite) are used for quantifying pesticides (1-organonitrogen pesticides, 2-organo-chloride pesticides, 3-acid pesticides and 4-uron/urocarb pesticides, 5pyrethroids, 6- Discretionary pesticides and other organics (e.g. tralkoxydim, flutriafol and propiconazole). Only 37 compounds are or originate from a currently authorised pesticide, whereas 118 compounds can be related to former uses (Figure 3 – supplementary materiel Table 2).

A dedicated suite of pesticide compounds (n=42) were used as part of WFD reporting. Accredited data collected by the 6 pesticide suites is supplemented by broad screening scan methods (GCMS and LCMS) which also contain pesticide and metabolites. Presently around 40% of the GWQMN sites are sampled and analysed on an annual basis for the dedicated pesticide suites (1-5). Repeat sampling is undertaken to different extents (typically annual or quarterly) depending on the vulnerability of the particular groundwater body, its current status in terms of pesticide pollution and the pesticide occurrence trends.

533 In 2019 of the 271 groundwater drinking water protection areas (DWPA) in England, 7 (in the Thames 534 and Anglian river basin districts) were classified as of poor chemical status due to pesticide pollution. 535 Non statutory safeguard zones (SGZs) were established around 31 (11%) groundwater DWPA's due to 536 concerns over pesticide pollution (EAE, 2019). Historical pesticide monitoring data collected between 537 1998-2005 shows the frequency of detections for the 15 most commonly detected pesticides in 538 groundwater exceeding 0.1 µg/L in the GWQMN to be less than 11% and more typically less than 1% 539 for individual pesticides (DERFA, 2010). In 2005 atrazine was still the second most frequently detected 540 pesticide (behind bentazone) but detections exceeding 0.1 μ g/L were low (1.3%) and had fallen 541 significantly since 1998 which had a figure of 10.3 % exceedances. Contaminated groundwater can take 542 many decades to recover, particularly where unsaturated zones are deep, such as within parts of the 543 Chalk aquifers of Southern England, even when the inputs of the chemicals cease (e.g. Lapworth and 544 Gooddy 2006). For example, the pesticides atrazine and simazine were both withdrawn from use in the UK in 2003, but they continue to be detected in groundwater due to very slow degradation rates 545 546 (EAE, 2019). Recent data (EAE, 2019), show that the most frequently detected pesticides in 547 groundwater are bentazone (2.7 % SGZs), metaldehyde (1.5 % SGZs) atrazine (1% SGZs) and mecoprop-548 P (<1% SGZs), which were all (apart from atrazine) approved for use at the time.

Lapworth et al., (2018) summarises results from groundwater surveillance monitoring in England (n=7473, 2009-2017) using targeted semi-quantitative scanning methods. Using GC-MS methods the most frequently detected pesticides and metabolites were atrazine (9.4 % of samples), desethyl atrazine (6.4 %), simazine (4.7 %), emphasising the issue of legacy contamination from banned pesticides, followed by BAM (metabolite of dichlobenil, 2.8 %), metaldehyde (2.5 %) and oxadixyl (2 %). In contrast, from a smaller sample (n=249) the more sensitive LCMS method showed that pesticides dominated the top 50 detected compounds with detection frequencies >60 % for the following compounds, deisopropylatrazine > desethylatrazine > simazine > atrazine. Notable detection frequencies were also reported for diuron (50 %), clothianidin (33 %), bentazone (28 %), monuron (25%) and isoproturon (22%).

559 Switzerland

560 Residues of PPP, i.e. their active substances as well as their degradation products, occur at over half of 561 the groundwater monitoring sites across Switzerland. In intensive arable farming areas, residues of 562 PPP are detected at over 90% of the monitoring sites. According to the Waters Protection Ordinance 563 (WPO), groundwater should not contain persistent synthetic substances in general. For groundwater 564 that is used for drinking water or is intended as such, there is also a limit value ('numerical 565 requirement') of 0.1 micrograms per litre (μ g/L) for active substances of pesticides. In addition, the 566 groundwater – after basic treatment, where appropriate – must meet the requirements of legislation 567 on foodstuffs. This legislation also prescribes a maximum value of 0.1 µg/L for metabolites of pesticides 568 classified as relevant.

In recent years, the concentrations of active substances of PPP have exceeded the limit value (0.1 μg/L) at around 2% of the NAQUA monitoring sites. The three active substances of PPP that exceeded the limit value at multiple monitoring sites every year between 2014 and 2019 are bentazone, metolachlor and atrazine. After the approval of atrazine was revoked in 2007, the concentrations of this compound in groundwater are by now gradually decreasing.

574 Metabolites have also been widely detected in elevated concentrations. The concentrations of various 575 metabolites of metolachlor have risen in recent years. In 2019, four metabolites of this herbicide 576 (metolachlor NOA 413173, metolachlor-ESA, metolachlor-OXA, metolachlor CGA 368208) were found 577 in groundwater in concentrations above 0.1 μ g/L. Metabolites of the beet herbicide chloridazon are 578 also widespread in groundwater. In particular, the metabolite DPC is widely found in groundwater in 579 concentrations of more than 0.1 µg/L. Furthermore, metabolites of the fungicide chlorothalonil were detected for the first time in groundwater in Switzerland during a NAQUA pilot study in 2017. The 580 581 metabolites chlorothalonil R471811 and/or chlorothalonil R417888 exceed at more than 50% of the 582 monitoring sites 0.1 μ g/L in groundwater on the Swiss Plateau.

583

584 *Comparison across the four countries*

In the four countries, analysis is performed by a national laboratory or several public or private
laboratories. In all cases, quality assurance is taken into account, based in particular on the directive
EC 2009/90, to guarantee the reliability of the data (see supplementary material S3).

588 In France and Denmark, monitoring programmes for WFD are constrained by the duration of public 589 procurements i.e. the list cannot be revised every year in relation for example with new pesticide 590 approvals. In France, the implementation by several agencies leads to heterogeneity in monitoring for 591 both GWD and DWD even if core lists are defined. By contrast, in Switzerland and England, the list is 592 revised regularly if necessary. We can also mention that for the four countries, the delay between the 593 measurement of a pesticide and the publication of a synthesis report driven by different bodies 594 depending on water governance is about two years (collecting, storing, processing and using the data). 595 In all the four countries, the lists of monitored compounds are different with the DWD targeting the 596 compounds prone to be present and the WFD wanting to have a broader view. Even if the prioritization 597 criteria are different, the existence of information on uses is essential to establish these lists. Although 598 data on PPP sales by country may be available, even on a more regional scale, this scale is not 599 necessarily suitable for defining targeted monitoring at the catchment/well level. On the other hand, 600 farmers in EU countries are obliged to register their practices (PPP - Art. 67 of regulation EC N°1107/2009 601 and biocides). These data are not directly accessible but should be available (for at least 3 years) to the 602 competent authority on request. Third parties such as the drinking water industry, retailers or 603 residents, may request access to this information by addressing the competent authority. To our 604 knowledge, this request is not generally made.

605 For the four countries, among the list of pesticides, the compounds searched for are mainly active 606 substances or metabolites of PPPs and few are biocides. Less environmental data, less well 607 characterised uses could explain the low monitoring of biocides. Through expanded monitoring, it 608 appears that groundwater contamination is due to presence of legacy pesticides and their metabolites 609 as well as pesticides currently in use and their metabolites. However, the number of metabolites 610 included in regular monitoring remains low and their detection in groundwater can be linked to 611 extended or more specific campaigns. Atrazine is probably the best-known case of legacy groundwater 612 contamination in Europe, but it is not unique. Persistence of active substance and/or metabolites in 613 GW several years after the last application can be due to several factors (Baran et al., 2021), including 614 a long-term release of the substance from soil and/or a long residence time (i.e. low rate of 615 replenishment). For the latter, knowledge on aquifer hydrodynamic is needed but in many cases, 616 remains limited. More generally metabolites are seldom monitored in the existing regulatory contexts. 617 Yet, data is available on their risk of leaching to groundwater through scientific reports of the EFSA or 618 the European Union publicly available for active substances (re)approved recently. In this respect, 619 Denmark stands out with 42 metabolites monitored, selected based on the above-mentioned 620 documents, versus 21 for France (core list) and 8 for England (Figure 3).

621

622 European scale

623 The Waterbase – Water quality database includes a large volume of concentration measurement data 624 reported by countries to the European Environment Agency. Data include observations on sampling 625 dates (so called 'disaggregated'), data and aggregated data (including yearly mean, minimum, 626 maximum and Limit of Quantification of pesticide concentrations). Therefore, there are many data 627 sources for pesticides, but the availability of comparable data across Europe is rather limited and 628 except for triazine metabolites, monitoring of metabolites is scarce (Mohaupt et al., 2020). Within the 629 WFD, the data on 184 distinct pesticides were extracted from Waterbase (Mohaupt et al., 2020 – data 630 2007-2017), covering a total of 16,886 groundwater monitoring sites in 26 countries. According to the 631 authors, 156 compounds are pesticides, 12 are both pesticide and metabolite, 13 are metabolites and 632 three are "others" (Figure 3 – supplementary material Table 2). Only 67 of the 187 compounds are or 633 originate from a currently authorised pesticide, whereas 93 can be related to former uses and 24 not 634 having information on their status. The comparison with FR, DK and EN shows that 353 compounds 635 are considered but that only 11 are present in all four lists (Supplementary material Table 2). The 636 European report does not highlight the compound identified in each country with high occurrence and 637 in particular metabolites mentioned in one or more of the four countries (e.g. metabolites of 638 metolachlor, dimethachlor, chlorothalonil and chloridazon).

639 To answer the issue of non-relevant metabolites on a European scale, a study on nrM as defined within 640 the EC n°1107/2009 has very recently been carried out in the frame of the CIS GWD Groundwater 641 Watch list (GWWL) activity (WFD GWD 2021). MS and AC were asked to provide available monitoring 642 data on 53 selected nrM in groundwater across Europe. 29 MS/AC responded and 17 countries 643 provided groundwater data on the nrM compounds in the related questionnaire. nrM were widely detected in European groundwater above limits of quantification. The percentage of detections in 644 645 groundwater were highest for DPC (31.7%), Metolachlor ESA (30.0%), N,N-DMS (24.4%), MDPC 646 (23.7%), Metazachlor ESA (21.0%) and Dimethachlor CGA 369873 (20.7%).

647 Regarding drinking water, in 2015 a draft list with 21 DWD pesticides and metabolites of concern has 648 been established by compiling voluntary contributions from nine MS sent to European Commission DG 649 Environment. From one contribution including around 700 compounds, only the 25 highest ranked 650 compounds with over 50,000 analyses have been considered. Compounds indicated by at least four 651 MS have been included in a short list (an extended list has also been established including compounds 652 indicated by less than four MS). The six metabolites mentioned are: AMPA (Aminomethylphosphonic 653 acid), desethyl-atrazine, desethyl-terbuthylazine, desisopropylatrazine, Metazachlor ESA, MDPC and 654 15 active substances: atrazine, bentazone, chloridazon, diuron, glyphosate, hexazinone, isoproturon, MCPA, mecoprop/mecoprop-P, metalaxyl/metalaxyl-M, metamitron, metazachlor, metribuzine, 655 656 simazine, terbuthylazine.

657 c. Early warning / surveillance prospective and contributions from research

658 National scale

659 France

Regarding drinking water production there is an ongoing campaign performed at national scale on 48 pesticides, and three compounds being both metabolite and pesticide as well as explosives and others compounds (Direction Générale de la Santé, 2020a). This campaign concerns ~ 300 sampling points including surface and groundwater, raw and treated and distributed water/site. If most of the selected compounds have already been analysed, others are not usually monitored and will provide new information on their occurrence (e.g. on metabolites M2 and M3 of pinoxaden or pethoxamide-MET100, MEYT101 and MET-42).

667 As part of WFD activities, the French Ministry of Ecology and the French National Agency for Water 668 and Aquatic Environments have initiated in 2010 a national reconnaissance study of 411 emerging (or 669 poorly monitored) contaminants of various possible origins (e.g., pharmaceutical products, industrial 670 compounds, personal care products and 103 active substances or metabolites of pesticides). The 671 results (but also results obtained in the overseas territories, not published), highlighted the presence 672 of parent molecules that, in many cases, are no longer on the market and their metabolites. These 673 results were taken into account during the revision of the act (2015; 18 active substances or 674 metabolites linked with legacy or current uses have been added in the mandatory surveillance.

Later, according to WFD, in 2016, France set up the "prospective surveillance network" managed by 675 676 French ministry of Ecology and French office of biodiversity (Staub et al., 2019). This surveillance 677 programme aims to ensure "the efficient and effective design of the future monitoring programme". 678 Although focused on contaminants of emerging concern, this approach will also increase knowledge 679 on pesticides and metabolites. It includes several components such as the implementation of 680 explanatory campaigns (at this stage surface and coastal waters / in preparation groundwater) or the 681 validation of innovative tools for monitoring (bioassays, passive sampling, etc.). The use of high-682 resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was recently applied at national scale for surface waters 683 complementary to conventional quantitative analyses. Indeed, HRMS enables a comprehensive 684 screening whereas triple quadrupole analyser allows only a pre-define list of molecules to be analysed.

685

686 Denmark

687 Since the initiation of PLAP in 1999 in Denmark, this early warning system has documented and warned 688 the Danish EPA about pesticides and/or their metabolites being prone to leaching to the groundwater 689 after the pesticide has been applied to a well-characterised agricultural field included in PLAP in 690 accordance with regulation.

In general, compounds (pesticides and/or their metabolites) are selected for being monitored in PLAP
following three categories: (i) newly registered PPP that are assessed to have a high application

693 potential in amount per application or area wise. The purpose of this is to provide an early warning 694 regarding compounds leaching to the groundwater; (ii) Old PPP that have already been applied in high 695 quantities in large parts of the agricultural land through time; iii) approved pesticides, which in 696 connection with first approval, reapproval or new knowledge obtain are assessed to have a high 697 leachability of the pesticide itself or it degradation products. For the category i and iii, the 698 establishment of a method of analysis is often required, which is conducted based on analytical 699 standards provided by the producer of the pesticide included for test in PLAP. Like in France, 700 possibilities of improving the identification of leacher with HRMS is evaluated.

As early warning systems, the PLAP fields (sandy soils having a short distance to the 1-2 m fluctuating groundwater or loamy soils being tile drained in approximately one meter depth and having 3-5 m of fluctuating groundwater) were selected to reduce the vertical and lateral transport of the compounds within the saturated and unsaturated zones.

705 The value of PLAP can be exemplified by the action taken regarding metalaxyl-M. Metalaxyl-M and its 706 two metabolites CGA 62826 and CGA 108906 were included in PLAP as the EU-evaluation of metalaxyl-707 M from 2002 presented results, revealing pronounced leaching of the two metabolites. When the 708 national approval of metalaxyl-M in Denmark occurred in 2007, the Danish EPA was aware of the 709 metabolites and requested their inclusion as part of monitoring. At one of the PLAP fields, CGA 108906 710 was detected in groundwater samples 6 ½ years after the spraying in July 2010, highest concentration 711 being 0.34 μ g/L. After the first years of detections in PLAP, metalaxyl-M was banned in Denmark in 712 December 2013. In 2014, it was included in both the revised analysis program of GRUMO and in the 713 Danish Waterworks quality control of groundwater used for drinking water purposes and detected 714 (Thorling et al., 2021) not only in sandy agricultural areas where potatoes are grown but also loamy 715 agricultural areas, which could be caused by metalaxyl-M also being applied as seed dressing. Further, 716 results from PLAP were sent to EFSA to be considered in the re-evaluation of metalaxyl-M in EU.

717

718 England

719 In England there is a twin approach of using quantitative analytical suites with limited numbers of compounds and HRMS screening techniques for surveillance. Dedicated pesticide monitoring suites 720 721 are used for regulatory reporting purposes, historically for WFD reporting and to assess river basin 722 status and trends, using accredited and dedicated quantitative suites (see earlier section 3.2). This is 723 informed and supplemented by surveillance monitoring for organic compounds in groundwater 724 (n=1526), including for pesticides and metabolites (n=796), using high resolution broad screening 725 GCMS and LCMS methods (e.g. Lapworth et al., 2015; 2018, Manamsa et al., 2016). For these 726 campaigns, groundwater samples were collected by the Environment Agency England and analysed by 727 the NLS using a target-based, multi-residue GCMS methods, which are semi-quantitative.

728 More recently, LC-MS-MS-time of flight methods with vastly improved detection limits (typically an 729 order of magnitude lower) compared to GCMS methods have been used for surveillance purposes 730 (Lapworth et al., 2018). GC x GC TOF-MS methods are also being developed which extend detection 731 limits and the range of compounds compared to conventional GCMS methods (e.g. Mohler et al., 2020) 732 and these techniques are also being considered for surveillance monitoring in England. There has been 733 a growing reliance on multi-residue screening techniques, which are semi-quantitative, to undertake 734 surveillance monitoring and the large database of results going back as far as 2009 provides a 735 significant resource for groundwater pesticide and metabolite occurrence data for England. These 736 screening techniques are a flexible and versatile tools and when standards become available for new 737 compounds these can be rapidly added to the existing extensive database of pesticide compounds and 738 they will continue to be an important surveillance tool in the future.

To date there has been a focus on the use of grab samples for surveillance monitoring, passive sampling techniques have not been routinely deployed for groundwater monitoring purposes in England. Surveillance monitoring is used to supplement and inform other monitoring undertaken by other dedicated quantitative methods and for formal reporting. The process of monitoring and reporting national data collected as part of the GWQMN is devolved (England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland), and there are broadly consistent approaches, at least for England and Wales.

745

746 Switzerland

747 In Switzerland, a target screening study covered more than 180 pesticides (active substances, safeners, 748 synergists of PPP only) and approximately 70 metabolites in 2017. A suspect screening approach was 749 also applied with a special focus on pesticide metabolites being most of the time more polar than the 750 parent compound (Kiefer et al., 2019). In this study, 31 groundwater samples (9 springs and 22 751 abstraction wells regularly monitored within the Swiss National Groundwater Monitoring NAQUA) 752 were sampled. The suspect screening identified with variable degree of confidence, additional 19 753 metabolites including those of chlorothalonil, dimethachlor, metolachlor, nicosulfuron and 754 terbuthylazine.

Recent field studies concluded furthermore, that the anionic chlorothalonil metabolites R471811 and R417888, frequently detected in Swiss groundwater, do not accumulate in soil due to their very high mobility (Hintze et al., 2021). Therefore, soil and unsaturated zone is frequently not expected to act as long-term source unlike for metabolites of chloridazon. These chloridazon metabolites (Hintze et al., 2020) seem to have a longer residence time in soils and are thus expected to impact groundwater over longer times scale and can also show some retardation in aquifers.

In Switzerland, a common process to prioritize pesticide metabolites for the cantonal and federal
 monitoring is currently set up. The process will be based on monitoring results, research results (e.g.

screening studies), the pesticide substance approval list, and the outcomes of evaluation studies (e.g.
groundwater contamination risk score e.g. Korkaric et al., 2022).

765

766 *Comparison across the four countries*

Denmark stands out for its specific site-based monitoring, but all four countries tend to increase the list of molecules searched. For the four countries, actions are implemented for going beyond the regulatory monitoring related to WFD and DWD, in terms of compounds monitored and types of sampling. Thus, broad screening campaigns are undertaken more or less regularly to try to highlight the occurrence of compounds that more readily leach to groundwater, notably metabolites. These campaigns correspond either to *suspect* or non-*targeted* screenings based on HRMS.

773 Thus, HRMS allows identifying compounds and provides a level of confidence on target and non-target 774 screening based on mass accuracy (Soulier et al., 2016), samples may be raw water, extracts of water 775 or extracts of passive samplers. HRMS is therefore relevant to identify new compounds in 776 groundwater, but it should be remembered that physico-chemical properties range of organic 777 compounds detected or identified with this technique is limited by sample pretreatment, 778 chromatographic or ionization conditions (Reemtsma et al., 2013b; Soulier et al., 2016). HRMS 779 followed by a suspect screening appears also a solution to overcome the lack of analytical standards 780 (not always commercially available notably for metabolites) even if uncertainty on molecule 781 identification remains and can only be overcome by standard injection (Schymanski et al., 2014).

782 This pitfall can be overcome thanks to the obligation of producers of PPP to make available nonmarketed analytical standards for the metabolites of the active molecules they market, provided that 783 784 the market, if requested, for example if they are needed for official control (Commission Regulation 785 (EU) 284/2013 of 1 March 2013 – section 5). This obligation has been met in the case of specific 786 research projects (Kiefer et al., 2019; Reemtsma et al., 2013 a,b) or specific environmental monitoring 787 projects (PLAP). However limits exist: i) the standard may no longer be available (e.g. "old" dossier), ii) 788 producers of PPP will probably not be able to provide in the long term or for all laboratories and iii) 789 working with only one standard is not completely in line with the French recommendations (and 790 probably also applied in other countries) (COFRAC recommendation based on ISO 17025 regarding the 791 need to prove accuracy of calibration) to perform a cross-analysis of two standard solutions from 792 different suppliers or preparations.

793

794 795

5. Conclusions, Perspectives and Future Outlook

Characterising groundwater contamination by pesticides and their metabolites is paramount to ensure
 resource protection and mitigate potential effects on human and ecosystems health. The strong

regulatory framework in European Union (WFD, GWD, DWD, regulation EC N°1107/2009) facilitates a high level of groundwater quality monitoring, especially for pesticide / active substances (and in particular PPPs - versus biocides) and to a lesser extent, their metabolites. However, with regard to this regulatory framework, two types of monitoring exist: general monitoring and targeted monitoring with different implementations, different objectives and different data dissemination, monitoring data. Thus monitoring data obtained in the case of targeted monitoring (regulation EC N°1107/2009), generally has limited access to regulators.

National networks (i.e. general monitoring) were created or strengthened in the context of the WFD and GWD, leading to a great production of data on groundwater quality. To convert such data into insights on actual and potential exposure combinations at the European scale, is not trivial and demands a vision for future detailed assessment of the status of groundwater with respect to pesticides and their metabolites. This pitfall in assessment of data is in part due to the lack of consistency and strategic coordination between different pieces of legislation e.g. thematic regulations as illustrated by the four countries described here.

Nevertheless, data clearly reveal that groundwater contamination is caused by pesticides (parent compounds) with both past or current uses and their metabolites. Moreover, metabolites of "recently" (re-)approved active substances of PPP, prone to leached to groundwater, are known thanks to EC n°1107/2009 regulation, but they are only rarely monitored. However, at the national level, specific campaigns make it possible to highlight these compounds. The challenge is then to share the information at the European level, this includes difficulties in sharing raw data, variable detection/quantification limits etc.

819 The impact of pesticide use on groundwater can persist for a very long time because i) in some areas 820 there is a deep unsaturated zone and low renewal rate of the groundwater and ii) the active substances 821 or their metabolites can be stored and released from soils and unsaturated zone over several years or 822 decades. These compounds can also be preferentially, and rapidly, transported to depth of lower 823 degradation than in the plough layer. These aspects must be better taken into account in the PPP 824 regulatory procedures including leaching assessment to the surface and groundwater. The variability 825 in soils and climate within EU calls for a differentiated regulation based on improved knowledge 826 regarding dominant processes controlling the leaching of compounds from the soil surface to the 827 groundwater obtainable via target high-quality early-warning monitoring systems, which addresses 828 the representability of a water sample taking consideration of high-resolution geological models. 829 Today, in accordance with the EC n°1107/2009 regulation, the assessment of the leaching risk of 830 pesticides and metabolites to groundwater are conducted applying nine mostly unvalidated virtual 831 FOCUS groundwater scenarios. Here the lack of field data (Vanderborght et al., 2011) and spatial 832 representation in soil-related parameters (hydraulic, chemical, physical and microbial) (Rosenbom et 833 al., 2014 and 2015) have been obstacles for improvement of the assessment. Adding to this, the 834 scenarios have significant drawbacks such as: i) being one-dimensional, ii) applying climate from 1960-835 1990, iii) not accounting for fluctuating groundwater table, iv) having limited or no inclusion of 836 preferential flow and transport. With "A Century of Denial: Preferential and Nonequilibrium Water 837 Flow in Soils" by Beven, 2018) and numerous studies suggesting considerable preferential solute 838 transport through soils being the rule more than the exception – this process needs to be addressed 839 in future leaching assessments of PPP to the groundwater (Beven and Germann, 2013; Beven, 2018; 840 Nimmo, 2021; Nimmo et al., 2021). Better knowledge of soil contamination could also be useful, but 841 to date there is no regulatory framework at EU level for this.

842 To assess the representability of a groundwater sample, detailed hydrogeological knowledge is 843 needed. Today, the hydrogeological understanding of many aquifers / groundwater bodies is limited, 844 therefore hydrogeological knowledge must be strengthened to support and underpin improved 845 conceptualisation and subsequent monitoring. WFD and GWD provide a framework for this that needs 846 to be strengthened. Similarly, the long-term fate and preferential transport of pesticides and their 847 metabolites are poorly documented and are not considered in European pesticide regulations; this 848 point appears as a weak point of current pesticide regulation. Thus, the development, improvement 849 and evaluation of new models and/or scenarios for predicting leaching of pesticides and metabolites, 850 which can be applied in a premarket stage appears necessary.

851 In the three studied EU/recent EU countries, the implementation of European directives (WFD, GWD 852 and DWD) is managed at different scales (regional or national) by one or several stakeholders. Each 853 country has its own dissemination strategies with freely accessible databases including raw data as 854 opposed to the European database including sometimes only aggregate data. In addition, the above-855 mentioned directives have different objectives, leading to different monitoring strategies. For 856 instance, DWD determines the list of compounds to be monitored in the context of drinking water 857 production considering that "only pesticides which are likely to be present in a given supply need to be monitored". On the other hand, importantly, drinking water is not the only issue of GWD. Indeed, 858 859 there are other groundwater dependant water bodies and ecosystems for which the presence of 860 pesticides and their metabolites is significant. A broader remit than just 'drinking water' needs to be 861 considered for monitoring/regulating pesticides regarding groundwater.

Furthermore, whatever the regulatory context, the issue of the effects of mixtures arises even for compounds which might be considered individually to be of low toxicity. Therefore, broad surveillance is justified as a first approach.

However, establishing exhaustive lists of compounds to be monitored remains challenging and should
be tackled at different scales (from regional to European levels), considering country-specific uses, for
both biocide and PPP. For the latter and, with agriculture being highly variable within EU level, a large

panel of national authorisations and uses of active substances exists within EU. Thus, the monitoring needs to address these heterogeneous conditions and cannot be conducted referring to a unique list for EU to get a comprehensive view. A method for establishing country-specific lists could be defined in order to have harmonized practices and to make pesticide monitoring more cost-effective and more reliable.

It is essential that once information is acquired, it is made available using FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) principles to the various potential users (regulators, scientists, other stakeholders etc.) even if they operate within different regulatory frameworks. Thus, data acquired under WFD or DWD, should be made available as raw data (and not aggregate data) to regulators acting in the context of EC n° 1107/2009 as part of a re-approval of an active substance. Reciprocally, data coming from EC n° 1107/2009 registration should be better taken into account to implement the surveillance within the context of WFD and DWD.

880 Making data available requires evaluation of its quality, and delimitation of the potential limitations of 881 its use. From an analytical point of view, Directive 2009/90/EC provides a framework that can ensure 882 this. HRMS is being developed for environmental applications but also has limitations (e.g. extraction 883 of polar compounds or ionization) and must be subject to quality assurance procedures that have yet 884 to be created. More generally, development of innovative tools and analytical methods to detect and 885 measure complex mixtures (including metabolites) in groundwater remains essential. Of equal 886 importance, specifically for metabolites, the lack of commercially available analytical standards is a 887 strong technical limitation for routine monitoring.

888 In conclusion, there is a need to harmonize monitoring protocols including sampling and conservation, 889 assessment of the representativeness of the monitored point, analytical aspects as well as data 890 management to allow appropriate assessment of national and regional data. These points highlight 891 the necessity of sharing expertise and the necessity of improved uptake of research results in creation 892 or revision of technical guidances, databases management etc. There is also a need to further develop 893 methodology and guidance on how to select compounds of relevance. Sharing knowledge and 894 expertise on these different aspects is essential to optimise surveillance by adapting it to the 895 specificities of each country but guaranteeing a possible comparison.

896 Acknowledgements - funding

897

This work was carried out with funds from each of the institutions to which the authors belong. DL was funded by BGS national capability funding under the ECAR challenge area. From France, we would like to thank Dominique Darmendrail from BRGM for her comments and fruitful exchanges. From Denmark, we would like to thanks Signe Bonde Rasmussen from the Danish EPA for providing material for a list of PEST-compounds included in the GW-monitoring of Denmark excluding screening and verification and Lærke Thorling from the GEUS providing information and reviewing the text in regard to GRUMO.

905 **FIGURES CAPTIONS**

Figure 1. Number of active substances of plant protection products approved at European level (EU)
and simultaneously by the countries France (FR), Denmark (DK), England (EN) and Switzerland (CH)
added with the number <u>common</u> for these four countries and their respective categories: fungicide
only (FU), herbicide only (HB), insecticide only (IN) and <u>others</u> than FU, HB and IN (source: EU Pesticide
database, May 2021).

- 911
- 912 Figure 2. The relationship between the number of active substances of plant protection products
- being approved at European level (469) and the number of member states having authorised use.
- 914
- 915 Figure 3. Distribution of substances monitored (minimum required) within the WFD by A) status
- 916 (compounds are or originated from an approved active substance or not approved active substance
- 917 according to national status in May 2021 or not known) and **B)** distinguishing metabolite and
- 918 pesticide by the countries France (FR), Denmark (DK), United England (EN) and at European level (EU
- 919 data from Mohaupt and al., 2020)

920 **REFERENCES**

921

- 922 Amec Foster Wheeler, 2016 Groundwater Watch List: Pharmaceuticals Pilot Study Monitoring Data
- 923 Collection and Initial Analysis. p 50. https://circabc.europa.eu/ui/group/9ab5926d-bed4-4322-
- 924 9aa7-9964bbe8312d/library/a1e23792-6ecd-4b34-b86c-dcb6f1c7ad1c/details or pilot study

925 on pharmaceuticals in groundwater

- ⁹²⁶ Baran N., Surdyk N., Auterives C., 2021. Pesticides in groundwater at a national scale (France): Impact
- 927 of regulations, molecular properties, uses, hydrogeology and climatic conditions. *Sci. Tot.* 928 *Environ.* 791, p. 148137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148137
- Beven K., 2018. A Century of Denial: Preferential and Nonequilibrium Water Flow in Soils, 1864-1984.
 Vadose Zone Journal 17(1), Article Number: 180153.
- Beven K., Germann P., 2013. Macropores and water flow in soils revisited. *Water Resources Research*49(6): 3071-3092 .
- Bexfield L.M., Belitz K., Lindsey B.D., Toccalino P.L., Nowell, L.H., 2021. Pesticides and pesticide
 degradates in groundwater used for public supply across the United States: occurrence and
 human-health context. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 55, 362-372.
- Bhushan, C., 2006. Regulation of Pesticides in India, Centre for Science and Environment, Conference
 on Health and Environment, 24–25 March, New Delhi
- Close M.E., Humphries B., Northcott G., 2021. Outcomes of the first combined national survey of
 pesticides and emerging organic contaminants (EOCs) in groundwater in New Zealand 2018.
 Sci. Tot. Environ. 754: 142005.
- 941 COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2009/90/EC of 31 July 2009 laying down, pursuant to Directive 2000/60/EC
- 942 of the European Parliament and of the Council, technical specifications for chemical analysis943 and monitoring of water status.
- 944 Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human
 945 consumption. *OJ L 330*, 5.12.1998, p. 32–54.
- 946 Council directive of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused
 947 by nitrates from agricultural sources (91/676/EEC). *OJ L 375*, 31.12.1991, p.1.
- DERFA (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs), 2010. Pesticides in groundwater
 monitoring data, 1998-2005. https://data.gov.uk/dataset/2b919842-7e27-4e20-afd9 f66af88ca587/pesticides-in-groundwater-samples. Last access July 2021.
- 951 Direction Générale de la Santé, 2020. Instruction N° DGS/EA4/2020/177 du 18 décembre 2020 relative
 952 à la gestion des risques sanitaires en cas de présence de pesticides et métabolites de

- 953 pesticides dans les eaux destinées à la consommation humaine, à l'exclusion des eaux
 954 conditionnées (In French).
- Direction Générale de la santé, 2020a. Note d'information n°DGS/EA4 du 10 janvier 2020 relative à la
 campagne nationale de mesures de paramètres émergents (pesticides, métabolites de
 pesticides, résidus d'explosifs, 1,4 dioxane) dans les eaux brutes et eaux fournies par un
 réseau de distribution public (In French).
- DIRECTIVE (EU) 2020/2184 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 December
 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (recast) 23.12.2020 EN Official
 Journal of the European Union L 435/1.
- 962 DIRECTIVE 2006/118/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 12 December 2006
 963 on the protection of groundwater against pollution and deterioration.
- 964 Donley N., 2019. The USA lags behind other agricultural nations in banning harmful pesticides.
 965 *Environmental Health* 18(1): 1-12.
- 966 ECHA Information on Chemicals Biocidal Active Substances https://echa.europa.eu/information-
- 967 on-chemicals/biocidal-active-substances
- 968 Environment Agency England (EAE), 2019, Drinking water protected areas pressure, 969 https://consult.environment-agency.gov.uk/++preview++/environment-and-business/challenges-
- 970 and-choices/user_uploads/drinking-water-protected-areas-pressure-rbmp-2021.pdf. Last accessed971 July 2021
- 972 European commission EU pesticides database (https://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/pesticides/eu-973 pesticides-db_en
- EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) 2000/60/EC (of 23 October 2000) of the European Parliament
 and of the Council establishing a framework for the Community action in the field of water
 policy".
- EurEau, 2021. Europe's water in figures. An overview of the European drinking water and waste water
 sectors. 2021 edition. https://www.eureau.org/resources/publications/eureau publications/5824-europe-s-water-in-figures-2021/file

European Commission (EC), 2007. Common Implementation Strategy for the Water Framework
 Directive (2000/60/EC). Guidance Document No. 15: Guidance on Groundwater Monitoring,
 https://circabc.europa.eu/sd/a/e409710d-f1c1-4672-9480-

- 983 e2b9e93f30ad/Groundwater%20Monitoring%20Guidance%20Nov-2006_FINAL-2.pdf
- Fisher I.J., Philipps P.J., Bayraktar B.N., Chan S., McCarthy B.A., Sandstrom M.W., 2021. Pesticides and
 their degradates in groundwater reflect past use and current management strategies, Long
 Island, New York, USA. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 752, 141895.

- Foster S., Chilton J., 2021. Policy experience with groundwater protection from diffuse pollution–A
 review. Current Opinion in *Environmental Science & Health*, p.100288.
- FOEN/BAFU, 2019. Zustand und Entwicklung Grundwasser Schweiz. Ergebnisse der Nationalen
 Grundwasserbeobachtung NAQUA, Stand 2016. BAFU, 142 S (in German and French).
- 991 FOEN/BAFU website, last accessed May 2022: Plant protection products in groundwater. Link:

992 Pesticides in groundwater (admin.ch)

- Gimsing A.L., Agert J., Baran N., Boivin A., Ferrari F., Gibson R., Hammond L., Hegler F., Jones, R.L.,
 König W., Kreuger J., Van Der Linden T., Liss D., Loiseau L., Massey A., Miles B., Monrozies L.,
 Newcombe A., Poot A., Reeves G.L., Reichenberger S., Rosenbom A.E., Staudenmaier H., Sur
 R., Schwen A., Stemmer M., Tüting W., Ulrich U., 2019. Conducting groundwater monitoring
 studies in Europe for pesticide active substances and their metabolites in the context of
 Regulation (EC) 1107/2009. *Journal of Consumer Protection and Food Safety* 14: 1-93.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00003-019-01211-x.
- Gupta S.D., Mukherjee A., Bhattacharya J., Bhattacharya A., 2018. An overview of agricultural
 pollutants and organic contaminants in groundwater of India. *Groundwater of South Asia*, pp.
 247-255.
- 1003 Gravesen P., 2001. Borearkivet har 75-års jubilæum. GEOLOGI Nyt fra GEUS no. 3. 1004 GEOGRAFFORLAGET 5464, Brenderup. ISSN 1396-2353 (In Danish)
- Hintze S., Glauser G., Hunkeler D., 2020. Influence of surface water groundwater interactions on the
 spatial distribution of pesticide metabolites in groundwater. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 733: 39109 39121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139109
- Hintze S., Bahgat Hannalla Y.S., Guinchard S., Hunkeler D., Glauser G., 2021. Determination of
 chlorothalonil metabolites in soil and water samples. Journal of Chromatography A 1655(5):
 462507 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2021.462507
- 1011ISO/IEC 17025: (2017). General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration1012laboratories.
- 1013 JORF (Journal officiel de la république Française), (2015). « Arrêté du 7 août 2015 modifiant l'arrêté du
 1014 25 janvier 2010 établissant le programme de surveillance de l'état des eaux en application de
 1015 l'article R. 212-22 du code de l'environnement ». (In french)
- 1016 JORF (Journal officiel de la république Française), (2018). Arrêté du 17 octobre 2018 modifiant l'arrêté
 1017 du 25 janvier 2010 établissant le programme de surveillance de l'état des eaux en application
 1018 de l'article R. 212-22 du code de l'environnement. (in french)
- Kiefer K., Bader T., Minas N., Salhi E., Janssen E. M. -L., von Gunten U., Hollender J., 2020. Chlorothalonil
 transformation products in drinking water resources: widespread and challenging to abate.
 Water Research 183, p. 116066.

- Kiefer K., Müller A., Singer H., Hollender J., 2019. New relevant pesticide transformation products in
 groundwater detected using target and suspect screening for agricultural and urban
 micropollutants with LC-HRMS. *Water Research* 165, p. 114972.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116066
- K'oreje K.O., Okoth M., Van Langenhove H., Demeestere K., 2020. Occurrence and treatment of
 contaminants of emerging concern in the African aquatic environment: Literature review and a
 look ahead. *Journal of environmental management* 254, p. 109752.
- Korkaric M., Ammann L., Hanke I., Schneuwly J., Lehto M., Poiger T., de Baan L., Daniel O., Blom J.F.,
 2022. New Plant Protection Product Risk Indicators for Switzerland. Swiss Agricultural Research
 13, 1-10
- 1032Kotal F., Kozisek F., Jeligova H., Vavrous A., Mayerova L., Gari D.W., Moulisova A., 2021. Monitoring of1033pesticides in drinking water: finding the right balance between under- and over-monitoring –

1034 experience from the Czech Republic. *Environmental science processes and impacts* 2, 311-322.

- Lapworth D.J., Gooddy D.C., Stuart M.E., Chilton P.J., Cachandt G.C.M.M.K., Knapp M., Bishop S., 2006.
 Pesticides in groundwater: some observations on temporal and spatial trends. *Water and Environment Journal* 20(2): 55-64.
- Lapworth D.J., Baran N., Stuart M.E., Manamsa K., Talbot J., 2015. Persistent and emerging micro organic contaminants in Chalk groundwater of England and France. *Environmental Pollution* 203:
 214-225.
- Lapworth D.J., Crane E.J., Stuart M.E., Talbot J.C., Besien T., Civil W., 2018. Micro-organic contaminants
 in groundwater in England: summary results from the Environment Agency LC-MS and GC-MS
 screening data. http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/524322/
- Lapworth D.J., Gooddy D.C., 2006. Source and persistence of pesticides in a semi-confined chalk aquifer
 of southeast England. *Environmental Pollution* 144(3): 1031-1044.
- Lapworth D.J., Lopez B., Laabs V., Kozel R., Wolter R., Ward R., Amelin E.V., Besien T., Claessens J.,
 Delloye F., Ferretti E., 2019. Developing a groundwater watch list for substances of emerging
 concern: a European perspective. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(3), p. 035004.
- 1049Le Gall, A. C., Morot, A., Jouglet, P., and Chatelier, J.-Y., 2007. Mise à jour et amélioration de la méthode1050SIRIS et développement d'un outil informatique pour son application; Rapport de l'étape 1 du
- 1051 projet, Rep. No. DRC-07-73770-04644A. INERIS, Verneuil en Halatte, France. pp. 122 (In french)
- Li, Z., 2018. Variation of United States environmental regulations on pesticide soil standard values.
 Journal of Chemical Health & Safety 25(5): 28-38.
- Lopez B., Ollivier P., Togola A., Baran N., Ghestem J.P., 2015. Screening of French groundwater for
 regulated and emerging contaminants. Science of the Total Environment 518–519: 562–573.

- Loos R., Gawlik B.M., Locoro G., Rimaviciute E., Contini S., Bidoglio G., 2009. EU-wide survey of polar
 organic persistent pollutants in European river waters. *Environmental pollution 157*: 561-8.
- Loos R., Locoro G., Comero S., Contini S., Schwesig D., Werres F., Balsaa P., Gans O., Weiss S., Bolchi
 M., Gawlik B.M., 2010. Pan-European survey on the occurrence of selected polar organic
 persistent pollutants in ground water. *Water Research* 44: 4115-4126.
- McKnight U.S., Rasmussen J.J., Kronvang B., Bjerg P.L., Binning P.J., 2012. Integrated assessment of the
 impact of chemical stressors on surface water ecosystems. *Sci. Tot. Environ.*, 427: 319-331.
- McManus S., Payvandi S., Sweeney P., Jones N., Andrews R., Schofield D., White J., Hamer P., Langridge
 G., Garcia de Oteyza T., Rincon V.J., Dorn R., Bird M., Greener M., 2021. Regulatory groundwater
 monitoring: realistic residues of pinoxaden and metabolites at vulnerable locations. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 761: 143313.
- Manamsa K., Crane E., Stuart M., Talbot J., Lapworth D., Hart A., 2016. A national-scale assessment of
 micro-organic contaminants in groundwater of England and Wales. *Sci. Tot. Environ. 568*: 712 726.
- 1070 Michel C., Baran N., André L., Charron M., Joulian C., 2021. Side effects of pesticides and metabolites
 1071 in groundwater: impact on denitrification. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12, p. 662727
- Mohaupt V., Völker J., Altenburger R., Birk S., Kirst I., Kühnel D., Küster E., Semeradova S., Šubelj G.,
 Whalley C., 2020. Pesticides in European rivers, lakes and groundwaters Data assessment.
 ETC/ICM Technical Report 1/2020: European Topic Centre on Inland, Coastal and Marine
 waters, 86 pp.
- Mohler, R.E., Ahn, S., O'Reilly, K., Zemo, D.A., Devine, C.E., Magaw, R. and Sihota, N., 2020. Towards
 comprehensive analysis of oxygen containing organic compounds in groundwater at a crude
 oil spill site using GC× GC-TOFMS and Orbitrap ESI-MS. *Chemosphere* 244, p. 125504.
- Moreau M., Hadfield J., Hughey J., Sanders F., Lapworth D.J., White D., Civil W., 2019. A baseline
 assessment of emerging organic contaminants in New Zealand groundwater. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 686: 425-439.
- 1082NAQUA Active substances and metabolites of plant protection products in groundwater 2007-10832020 (PDF,134kB,17.03.2021)1084https://www.bafu.admin.ch/dam/bafu/en/dokumente/wasser/fachinfo-daten/nagua-
- 1085 pflanzenschutzmittel-im-grundwasser-2007-

1087

- 1086 2017.pdf.download.pdf/NAQUA_PSM_Grundwasser_2007_2020_en.pdf
- Nimmo J.R., 2021. The processes of preferential flow in the unsaturated zone. Soil Science Society of
 America Journal 85(1): 1-27.

34

- Nimmo J.R., Perkins K.S., Plampin M.R., Walvoord M.A., Ebel B.A., Mirus B.B., 2021. Rapid-Response
 Unsaturated Zone Hydrology: Small-Scale Data, Small-Scale Theory, Big Problems. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 9, p. 613564.
- Pelaez, V., da Silva, L.R. and Araujo, E.B., 2013. Regulation of pesticides: a comparative analysis. *Science and Public Policy* 40(5): 644-656.
- 1095 Reemtsma T., Alder L., Banasiak U., 2013(a). Emerging pesticide metabolites in groundwater and 1096 surface water as determined by the application of a multimethod for 150 pesticide 1097 metabolites. *Water research* 47(15): 5535-5545.
- Reemtsma T., Alder L., Banasiak U., 2013(b). A multimethod for the determination of 150 pesticide
 metabolites in surface water and groundwater using direct injection liquid chromatography–
 mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 1271: 95-104.
- Rosenbom A.E., Binning P.J., Aamand J., Dechesne A., Smet B.F., Johnsen A.R., 2014. Does microbial
 centimeter-scale heterogeneity impact MCPA degradation in and leaching from a loamy
 agricultural soil? *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 472: 90-98.
- Rosenbom A.E., Karan S., Badawi N., Gudmundsson L., Hansen C.H., Nielsen C.B., Plauborg F., Olsen P.,
 2021. The Danish Pesticide Leaching Assessment Programme. Monitoring results May 1999 June 2019. Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland. Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Rosenbom A. E., Olsen P., Plauborg F., Grant R., Juhler R. K., Brüsch W., Kjær J., 2015. Pesticide leaching
 through sandy and loamy fields long-term lessons learnt from the Danish Pesticide Leaching
 Assessment Programme. *Environ. Pollut.* 201, 75–90.
- Schymanski E., Jeaon J., Gulde R., Fenner K., Ruff M., Singer H. Hollender J., 2014. Identifying small
 molecules via high resolution mass spectrometry: communicating confidence. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48: 2097-2098.
- Soulier C., Coureau C., Togola A, 2016. Environmental forensics in groundwater coupling passive
 sampling and high resolution mass spectrometry for screening. Sci. Tot. Environ. 563: 845 854.
- Staub P.F., Dulio V., Gras O., Perceval O., Ait-Aïssa S., aminot Y., Budzinski H., Lardy-Fontan S.,
 Lestremeau F., Miège C., Munschy C., Togola A., Vulliet E., 2019. A prospective surveillance
 network for improved and testing innovative monitoring tools in France. *Norman Bulletin* 6,
 October 2019.
- Stuart M, Lapworth D, Crane E, Hart A, 2012. Review of risk from potential emerging contaminants in
 UK groundwater. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 416: 1-21.
- 1122Thorling L., Albers C.N., Ditlefsen C., Ernstsen V., Hansen B., Johnsen A.R., Troldborg L., 2021.1123Grundvand. Status og udvikling 1989 2019. Teknisk rapport, GEUS 2021. (In Danish)

- Thorling L., Nilsson B., Moeller I., Bollmann U.E., Johnsen A.R., Troldborg L., 2021.
 Dokumentationsrapport. Udvikling af metode og gennemførelse af vurderinger for de danske
 grundvandsforekomsters kemiske tilstand for pesticider. Danmark og Grønlands Geologiske
 Undersøgelse Rapport 2021/15. (In Danish)
- UK GOVERNMENT. 2018. A Green Future: Our 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment, 151 pp pp.
 HM Government. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/25-year-environment plan.
- 1131UKGOVERNMENT.2020a.EnvironmentBill2020,1132https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environment-bill-2020.
- 1133 UK GOVERNMENT, 2020b. European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020, UK Government.
 1134 https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2020/1/contents/enacted.
- 1135UK Technical Advisory Group (UKTAG), 2007. Guidance on monitoring groundwater, UK Technical1136AdvisoryGroupOntheWaterFrameworkDirective.1137https://www.wfduk.org/sites/default/files/Media/Characterisation%20of%20the%20water1138%20environment/Groundwater%20monitoring_Draft_010807.pdf
- Vanderborght J., Tiktak A., Boesten J.J.T.I., Vereecken H., 2011. Effect of pesticide fate parameters and
 their uncertainty on the selection of 'worst-case' scenarios of pesticide leaching to
 groundwater. *Pest Management Science* 67: 294-306.
- WFD CIS 2020. Voluntary Groundwater Watch List Group. Study on Per- and Perfluoroalkyl substances
 (PFAS) Monitoring Data Collection and Initial Analysis. p. 24
 https://circabc.europa.eu/ui/group/9ab5926d-bed4-4322-9aa7-
- 11459964bbe8312d/library/3f6900fe-107c-4551-bb13-393c9d9f600d/details or report on PFAS1146in groundwater
- 1147 WFD CIS 2021. Voluntary Groundwater Watch List Group. Non-relevant pesticide Metabolites (nrM) –
- 1148Groundwater Monitoring Data Collection and Initial Analysis. p. 41. non-relevant pesticides
- 1149 metabolites. https://circabc.europa.eu/ui/group/9ab5926d-bed4-4322-9aa7-
- 1150 9964bbe8312d/library/ea6fd51b-427a-485c-b572-163640d11cb6/details







