

# Understanding heat transfer along extensional faults: The case of the Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal systems of Madagascar

M. Rajaobelison, J. Raymond, M. Malo, Chrystel Dezayes, S. Larmagnat

# ▶ To cite this version:

M. Rajaobelison, J. Raymond, M. Malo, Chrystel Dezayes, S. Larmagnat. Understanding heat transfer along extensional faults: The case of the Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal systems of Madagascar. Geothermics, 2022, 104, pp.102455. 10.1016/j.geothermics.2022.102455. hal-03694776

# HAL Id: hal-03694776 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-03694776v1

Submitted on 13 Jan 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Understanding heat transfer along extensional faults: the case of the Ambilobe and
2	Ambanja geothermal systems of Madagascar
3	
4	M. Rajaobelison
5	INRS – Institut national de la recherche scientifique
6	490, rue de la Couronne. Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9 Canada
7	Miora_Mirah.Rajaobelison@inrs.ca
8	ORCID : 0000- 0002- 8125-5210
9	Corresponding author
10	J. Raymond
11	INRS – Institut national de la recherche scientifique
12	490, rue de la Couronne. Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9 Canada
13	ORCID: 0000-0001-7596-2800
14	M. Malo
15	INRS – Institut national de la recherche scientifique
16	490, rue de la Couronne. Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9 Canada
17	ORCID: 0000-0001-5941-9736
18	C. Dezayes
19	BRGM - Bureau de recherches géologiques et minières, 3 Avenue Claude Guillemin,
20	45100 Orléans, France
21	ORCID: 0000-0001-7813-359X
22	S. Larmagnat
23	Geological Survey of Canada, 490, rue de la Couronne, Québec, QC G1K 9A9, Canada

24 ORCID:

25 Keywords: Energy; Geothermal; Heat transfer; Faults, Numerical modeling, Madagascar

26 Abstract

27 Understanding the role of faults where forced convective heat transfer is the dominant 28 mechanism giving rise to hot springs is critical in geothermal exploration in extensional 29 environments. This study uses two-dimensional models of coupled fluid flow and heat 30 transfer along cross-sections perpendicular to faults and the regional topography to identify 31 favorable fault conditions for geothermal system development in northern Madagascar. 32 Structural data collected at surface were used to define fault scenarios and simulate the 33 ascension of hot fluids to reproduce hot spring temperatures in the Ambilobe normal fault 34 zone area and the Ambanja graben structure. Fault dips facing topography- driven 35 groundwater flow was shown to be favorable, and hot spring temperatures could be reproduced when the fault permeability was  $>10^{-14}$  m<sup>2</sup>. Faults located in a discharge zone 36 near a river were the most favorable for fluid ascension, regardless of their dip. 37 Constraining the model with a basal heat flow between 90 and 148 mWm<sup>-2</sup> at a depth of 38 39 10 km allowed the reservoir temperature to reach 150-200 °C at depths of 2 km or shallower along favorable faults. 40

41

#### 1. Introduction

42 Economic activity in the northern region of Madagascar relies on export products such as 43 vanilla, cocoa and coffee that are grown and transformed locally. Madagascar is one of the 44 largest vanilla exporters in the world (UNComtrade, 2018). The energy used to transform 45 these products relies heavily on fossil fuels and wood. Off-grid communities, mainly in 46 remote areas, use traditional biomass such as firewood and charcoal as their main source 47 of energy, inducing deforestation and water resource degradation, along with biodiversity 48 and soil loss, as has already happened in many Madagascar communities. Renewable 49 sources, such as geothermal energy, are expected to play a more prominent role in future 50 energy production.

51 Ambilobe and Ambanja, two areas in northern Madagascar, have been characterized for their geothermal potential and respectively classified as a graben border-fault liquid-52 53 dominated moderate-temperature system and a fossil magmatic liquid-dominated 54 moderate-temperature system (Rajaobelison et al. 2020). In this classification scheme 55 based on the extensional type, the fault and lithologic-diagenetic characteristics affecting 56 porosity are thought to control formation permeability. As reported by Rajaobelison et al. 57 (2020), the average matrix permeability of fracture-free rocks in these two areas was evaluated between  $10^{-13}$  and  $10^{-18}$  m<sup>2</sup>, which is low, while the average porosity is ~5.7 %, 58 which is low or poor (5-10%) according to Levorsen and Berry (1967); Tiab and 59 60 Donaldson (2016). However, this matrix permeability has to be considered the lower bound 61 of formation permeability as fractures are common in such an extensional tectonic setting. 62 The occurrence of thermal springs along a major fault zone in Ambilobe and a graben 63 structure in Ambanja confirms that advective heat transfer can take place within fractured rocks (Rajaobelison et al. 2020). The equilibrium formation temperature at depth, 64 65 estimated using chemical geothermometers (Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981), ranges from 140 66 to 200 °C, high enough to be used for scalding vanilla beans ( $\sim 60$  °C), heating greenhouses 67 (> 40 °C; Lindal 1973), and generating electricity (> 80 °C; Grasby et al. 2011; Tomarov 68 and Shipkov 2017). Fluids from geothermal sources with temperatures below 120 °C can 69 be used to generate electricity using an optimized Organic Rankine Cycle (e.g. Chagnon-

70 Lessard et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2017; Shi and Pan 2019).

71 No exploration boreholes have been drilled in northern Madagascar, so the optimal depth 72 for finding geothermal sources remains unknown, as do the geothermal gradient and heat 73 flux density. Previous work classified geothermal play types and evaluated rock thermohydraulic properties from surface outcrops to better understand heat transfer mechanisms 74 75 at depth (Rajaobelison et al. 2020; Rajaobelison et al. 2021). Crustal-scale faults have been 76 identified as potential targets for geothermal exploration, but further work is needed to 77 better understand their role in the subsurface heat transfer mechanisms that control the 78 depth and extent of geothermal sources. Fault influence must be taken into account when 79 exploring geothermal energy sources, especially the influence of the large-scale faults 80 identified by surface mapping and shown on the geological maps of Madagascar by Roig 81 et al. (2012) and Tucker et al. (2014). Therefore, numerical modeling was used to simulate groundwater flow and heat transfer according to various fault scenarios affecting the 82 83 geothermal energy sources associated with petrothermal systems in this extensional 84 domain of Madagascar.

Numerical modeling has been previously used to simulate the depth distribution of temperature to better understand fractured geothermal reservoirs (e.g. Bakhsh et al. 2016; Corbel et al. 2012; Hao et al. 2012; Holzbrecher et al. 2010). Faults that serve as conduits and increase permeability (e.g. Brogi et al. 2021; Ferrill et al. 2004; Liotta et al. 2021; Zucchi 2020) are important targets for geothermal resource exploration, but if they act as barriers and decrease permeability (e.g. Gibson 1998), they can compartmentalize the reservoirs, making it challenging to access and exploit the resource (Loveless et al. 2014).

92 Furthermore, the prediction and modeling of coupled fluid flow and heat transfer processes 93 in naturally fractured rock systems are critical components in energy recovery analysis 94 (Hao et al. 2012). In addition, numerical modeling of hydrothermal systems has often been 95 used to explain hot spring occurrences and explore their controlling factors (e.g. Bense et al. 2008; Forster and Smith 1989; Guillou-Frottier et al. 2013; López and Smith 1995; 96 97 Magri et al. 2016; Taillefer et al. 2018; Volpi et al. 2017). Recently, Szijártó et al. (2019); 98 and Szijártó et al. (2021) used numerical modeling to investigate the conditions that can induce and favor forced convection. Moreno et al. (2018) demonstrated that the orientation 99 100 of the fault dip in relation to the direction of regional groundwater flow driven by 101 topography could affect groundwater uprise and, consequently, hot spring occurrence and 102 temperature.

103 This study aims to understand the role of faults on forced convective heat transfer that gave 104 rise to hot springs in northern Madagascar, thereby providing fundamental knowledge that 105 can be used to improve geothermal exploration concepts. Our working hypothesis is that 106 fault dip at depth, which is currently unknown, can affect the rise of hot fluids. We 107 developed numerical groundwater flow and heat transfer models to reproduce the near-108 surface temperatures of hot springs since this is the only information available in the area 109 to validate simulations. We present innovative concepts supported by 2D numerical 110 simulations of deep subsurface temperature in northern Madagascar that test seven base-111 case fault scenarios or hypotheses. We identified fault characteristics that favored the 112 formation of geothermal energy sources to help advance geothermal exploration.

#### 2. Geological setting and geothermal context

114 **2.1 Tectonic framework and regional fault system** 

115 The Bemarivo domain, one of the six geodynamic domains forming the Madagascar 116 Shield, underlies the northern end of Madagascar, where the geothermal areas of interest, 117 Ambilobe and Ambanja, are situated. This Neoproterozoic domain is composed of 118 Cryogenian igneous rocks, with a cryptic Paleoproterozoic basement accreted to the 119 Greater Dharwar Craton in latest Ediacaran to earliest Cambrian time, 0.53-0.51 Ga 120 (Tucker et al. 2014). The Bemarivo domain has been interpreted as an assemblage of two 121 juvenile arc terranes (Figure 1) with estimated ages between c. 750 and 720 Ma (Thomas 122 et al. 2009). The east-west Antsaba shear zone, a major ductile structure, separates these 123 northern and southern terranes. The shear zone, about 15 km across at its widest location 124 in the west (Thomas et al. 2009), is interpreted as a subduction zone along which the 125 northern and southern terranes were amalgamated (Armistead et al. 2019). Contrary to the 126 suggestion of Thomas et al. (2009) and Armistead et al. (2019), the Bemarivo domain is 127 considered a single domain based on the lithostratigraphy and ages reported in Tucker et 128 al. (2014).

Mesozoic and younger movements along the Antsaba shear zone produced a variety of faults and dykes related to the break-up of Gondwana and rifting in the Mozambique Channel. The extensional phases from Triassic to Jurassic (Karoo rift) gave rise to NNE-SSW and NNW-SSE faults, whereas faults generally striking N140°-N160° were transformed into wrench faults with dextral movement during Jurassic-upper Cretaceous times, coherent with the development of the Davie Ridge (Lardeaux et al. 1999). Numerous NE-SW and NW-SE faults are consistent with the identified faults and the regional Plio-

136 Quaternary direction of extension (N65°E to N85°E) observed in the volcanic region of



137 Montagne d'Ambre, in the extreme north of Madagascar (Chorowicz et al. 1997)

138

Figure 1 Simplified geological maps showing a) the six main geodynamic
Precambrian domains that form the Madagascar Shield (modified from De Waele et al.
2011; Tucker et al. 2014), b) and a close-up of the tectonic framework of the Bemarivo
domain in northern Madagascar (modified from Armistead et al. 2019; Thomas et al. 2009),
enclosing the Ambilobe and Ambanja study areas.

The Ambilobe area is located in the Andavakoera fault zone, a major NE-SW normal fault delineating the contact between sedimentary sequences and basement rocks of the northern Bemarivo domain. Numerous undifferentiated NW-SE faults and NW-SE strike-slip faults with dextral or sinistral movements can be seen on the geological map (Figure 2b) and in the field (Figure 3a). The strike-slip faults include the faults near the Betsiaka hot springs and undifferentiated normal faults of Ranomafana and Ankatakata (Figure 2b). The main fault and fracture systems are dominated by NNW-SSE, NE-SW and N-S orientations. 151 Fracture orientations from field measurements and fault orientations from geological map152 are shown on the rose diagram of Figure 2b.

The Ambanja area is located in the graben structure in the Proterozoic basement units of the southern terrane of the Bemarivo domain. The graben is oriented NW-SE, and its average width is 4 km. The main faults and fractures dominantly strike NNW-SSE. The rose diagrams of fracture orientations from field measurements as well as the faults orientations from the geological map are shown on Figure 2c. Some metric fractures were observed at the outcrop scale (Figure 3b)

#### **2.2 Favorable structural patterns**

160 In a comprehensive inventory of the structural settings of geothermal systems in the Great 161 Basin region of western USA, Faulds and Hinz (2015) identified the most favorable 162 dominant faulting patterns to host geothermal activity in the extensional to transtensional 163 terranes, and more specifically in areas where the bulk of the geothermal resources are 164 likely hidden. Applying the same principles to the Ambilobe and Ambanja areas, the 165 identified favorable fault structures are: 1) major normal fault segments, i.e., near the 166 displacement maxima of the NE-SW Andavakoera fault and the NW-SE fault-borders of 167 the Ambanja graben structure intersections between two normal faults (Figure 2b and 2c), 168 and 2) or between normal faults and transverse oblique-slip faults (Figure 2c).



Figure 2 (a) Location of the Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal areas. (b) and (c)
Detailed geology of each area (modified from Roig et al. 2012). The rose diagrams
illustrate the major fracture trends (in black) established from field data collected in 2018
and the major faults trends (in grey) established from the map data of Roig et al. (2012).



Figure 3: a) Field view of the Andavakoera fault line and its conjugated faults (F). b)
Metric fractures in the Ambanja sandstone formation with close-up illustrating fracture
movements.

At the surface, hot springs reach a temperature varying from 50 to 72 °C in both areas
(Besairie 1959; Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981). Waters from the hot springs have high Mg
concentrations and fall into the immature field on the ternary Na-K-Mg diagram of
Giggenbach (1988), indicating dilution of the geothermal fluid along its path to the surface
(Figure 4). The geothermal fluid thus mixed with meteoric and shallow groundwater.
Using chemical geothermometers (Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981) and the Giggenbah diagram

- 184 (Figure 4), the reservoir temperatures in the Ambilobe and Ambanja areas are expected to
- 185 be 140 and 200 °C, respectively.



Figure 4: Chemical composition of waters from the Ambilobe (AMBL) and Ambanja
(AMBJ) hot springs plotted on a ternary Na-K-Mg diagram (Giggenbach 1988). The Na,
K, Mg concentrations were reported in Gunnlaugsson et al. (1981).

### **2.3 Lithosphere structure and geological formations**

In the Bemarivo domain, the Moho is at a depth of 33 km (Andriampenomanana et al.
2017). The thickness of the upper crust is up to 20.5 km, and its composition is assumed to
be primarily paragneiss and sedimentary rocks which have an average thickness of 4 km.
The lower crust is assumed to be composed of mafic rocks with an average thickness of
12.5 km.



206 Proterozoic basement rocks of the Bemarivo domain are the only geological formations207 exposed in the Ambanja area (Figure 2).

#### 208 3. Development numerical heat transfer model

209

# 3.1 Conceptual model and assumptions

210 The geometry of the models (Figure 5) accounts for the topography on NNW-SSE (AA') 211 and NE-SW (BB') cross-sections (Figures 2b and 2c) that pass through, respectively, the 212 Andavakoera normal fault zone at the contact between sedimentary sequences and 213 basement rocks in the Ambilobe area, and the graben structure in the Ambanja area. The 214 dominant sedimentary and basement units have been considered in the models. The cross-215 sections are perpendicular to the main undifferentiated faults observed near hot spring 216 occurrences. The faults represented in the models are N010° Ankatakata post-Triassic 217 normal oblique-slip faults in the Ambilobe area and N140° border faults in the Ambanja 218 graben (Figures 2b and 2c). These faults appear on the geological map of Madagascar 219 produced by Roig et al. (2012).

220 Different modeling scenarios were defined to investigate the influence of fault dip on 221 groundwater flow and heat transfer processes (Table 1). The dips in the two models range 222 from 60° to  $85^{\circ}$  since dips from 60° to vertical have been shown to cause variations in the 223 pressure and temperature of subsurface fluids (Cherubini et al. 2013). These modeling 224 scenarios were based on the geological maps of Ambanja and Ambilobe, although many 225 uncertainties remain about fault dip and down-dip continuity at depth, which justifies the 226 use of various scenarios. The models simulate the potential temperature distribution for the 227 Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal areas according to fault dip influence.



Figure 5: Geometry and boundary conditions of the 2D numerical model based on the
geological cross-sections (AA') and (BB') in Figure 2, representing a) the Andavakoera
normal fault zone at the basement-sediment contact in the Ambilobe area, and b) the
Ambanja graben.

Table 1 Fault scena	arios			
Area	Faults	Dip	Scenario	
		No fault	0	
		70° NW; 85° SE	1	
Ambiloho	E1, E2	60° NW; 60° SE	2	
Amonobe	$\Gamma 1, \Gamma 2$	80° SE; 75° SE	3	
		80° NW; 85° NW	4	
		No fault	0	
		80° SW; 80° NE	1	
Ambanja	F1; F2	75° NE; 80° NE	2	
		75° NW; 80° NW	3	

#### **3.2 Governing equations**

236 The models were developed using the subsurface flow module with a finite element method in the COMSOL Multiphysics software. The module couples groundwater flow simulated 237 238 with Darcy's law and heat transfer in porous media. This made it possible to simulate 239 forced convective heat transfer, which occurs along major faults where the host rock is 240 considered an equivalent porous medium. Steady-state flow and heat transfer were 241 numerically resolved according to the partial differential equation 1 and the input 242 parameters summarized in Table 2. Sedimentary rocks and granitic and paragneiss 243 basement rocks were considered low-permeability 2D units using the equivalent porous 244 medium, where fluids can circulate. Faults are discrete 1D linear elements superposed on the 2D porous medium, where flow and heat transfer are solved simultaneously. Coupling 245 246 between heat transfer and fluid motion occurs through the velocity field.

247 
$$\lambda_{\rm b} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right) - \rho_{\rm f} c_{\rm f} \left( u_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + u_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + A = 0$$
 (1)

248 where  $\lambda_b$  (Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>) is the bulk thermal conductivity; T (°C) is absolute 249 temperature; u (m s<sup>-1</sup>) is the velocity vector determined from simulations of fluid flow 250 quantified with Darcy's law,  $\rho_f$  (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) is the density of the fluid,  $c_f$  (J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) is the heat 251 capacity of the fluid, and A (10<sup>-6</sup> W m<sup>-3</sup>) is the radiogenic heat production.

252	<b>3.3 Geometry and subsurface properties</b>
253	3.3.1. Geometry
254	• Ambilobe
255	The model has a width of 10 km and a depth of 10 km (Figure 5a). The sedimentary units
256	dip 20-30° to the NE. Table 2 details the material properties of the 5 lithological domains.
257	The reverse fault F marks the contact between the mica schist and orthogneiss formations,
258	and F1 and F2 are the mains faults represented in the models.
259	• Ambanja
260	The model has a rectangular geometry 20 km wide and 10 km deep (Figure 5b). Table 2
261	details the material properties of the 3 lithological domains. The properties of the border
262	faults of the graben, represented by F1 and F2, are also included in the table.
263 264	3.3.2. Thermohydraulic properties and internal heat generation
201	
265	The thermohydraulic properties of the model units were based on average properties
266	measured in the laboratory for each geological formation reported by Rajaobelison et al.
267	(2021), as summarized in Table 2. They are assumed to be constant with depth. Porosity $\emptyset$
268	and permeability $k$ (m <sup>2</sup> ) were evaluated with transient measurements using the combined
269	AP-608 gas permeameter-porosimeter from Core Test Systems with nitrogen as the gas
270	source. The average bulk density $\rho$ (kg m <sup>-3</sup> ) was obtained from porosity assessments.

Parameter	1			Value				Unit	Reference
Area				Ambanja					
Formation		Sediments	Basement		Basement				
Geological Units	Permian Eo Sandstone Triassic shale		Triassic sandstone	Mica Shist Amphibolite	Ortho- gneiss	Syenite	Para- gneiss		
Mean Ø	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.001	0.02	Fractional	
Mean k	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1.4 & 1.0 \\ \times 10^{-13} & \times 10^{-17} \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ \times  10^{-16} \end{array}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-15}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ \times  10^{-16} \end{array}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-18}$	1.0 10 <sup>-15</sup>	$m^2$	Rajaobelison et al. (2021)
Mean $\lambda$	3.6	2.5	3.5	2.6	3.4	2.6	2.7	W m <sup>-1</sup> .K <sup>-1</sup>	
Mean $\rho$	2542	2382	2628	2735	2678	2700	2678	kg.m <sup>-3</sup>	
Mean c	904 875		919	879	846	875	830	J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	
Mean A	1.14	0.72	1.25	0.58	0.38	1.35	0.38	10 <sup>-6</sup> W m- <sup>3</sup>	
Thickness Model		4		6 10		0	km		
Depth of crust				33				km	Andriamnanamanana at al
Upper Crust (UC)	20.5						km	(2017)	
Lower Crust (LC)				12.5				km	(2017)
Mean A (UC)	1.5					$10^{-6} W m^{-3}$			
Mean A (LC)	0.72					10 w III	Horton et al. (2016)		
A- Total Crust				1.2			10 <sup>-6</sup> W m <sup>-3</sup>		
Fault Properties		I	F1; F2						
Aperture	Min. Mean			Max.					
$\hat{D_{\mathrm{f}}}$	$250 \times 10^{-6}$ $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ $2 \times 10^{-6}$			$2 \times 10^{-3}$		m	Current study		
Permeability k <sub>f</sub>		)- 14		$10^{-10}$		10-7		$m^2$	

Table 2 Model input parameters

 $\lambda$  =thermal conductivity,  $\rho$ = density, c= heat capacity, A= radiogenic heat production

272 Transient thermal conductivity  $\lambda$  (Wm<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) and diffusivity  $\alpha$  (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) were measured with 273 the optical scanning method using an infrared scanner developed by LGM Lippmann. The 274 heat capacity, *c* (J kg<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>), was deduced from the thermal conductivity and thermal 275 diffusivity assessment (Popov et al. 2016; Popov et al. 1999).

The internal radiogenic heat generation (A; 10<sup>-6</sup> W m<sup>-3</sup>) for each rock presented in Table 2 was estimated from the concentration of the radiogenic elements U, Th and K, as reported in Rajaobelison et al. (2021).

279

### **3.3.3.** Fault properties

This study assumed fault aperture and permeability from 250  $\mu$ m to 0.2 cm and from 10<sup>-14</sup> 280 to 10<sup>-7</sup> m<sup>2</sup>, respectively (Table 2). These ranges are based on the literature, taken from 281 282 various in-situ hydraulic tests performed at geothermal sites (e.g. Holzbecher et al. 2011; 283 Jeong et al. 2001) and examples from numerical simulation studies of groundwater flow in 284 discrete fracture models (e.g. Bisdom et al. 2016; Guillou-Frottier et al. 2013). The 285 minimum fault aperture range corresponds to that observed under a normal stress of 286 20 Mpa in a laboratory experiment and which validated the cubic law for laminar flow of 287 fluids through open fractures (Witherspoon et al. 1980)

288 **3.4 Mesh** 

Refinement of the mesh increases from bottom to top and close to the faults to avoid convergence problems. These locations require more accuracy due to large flow and temperature contrasts (Figure 6). A non-structured mesh with triangular elements was used, and the mesh statistics are summarized in Table 3.



Figure 6 Finite element mesh of the 2D numerical models for a) the Andavakoera
normal fault zone at the basement-sediment contact in the Ambilobe area, and b) the
Ambanja graben.

Table 3 Mesh statistics of Ambilobe and Ambanja 2D models

	Ambilobe	Ambanja	Unit
Number of element	101 672	274 403	
Maximum element size	104	404	m
Minimum element size	0.208	0.404	m
Nodes	49 506	137 998	

A mesh convergence study was undertaken to verify that the temperature solution is independent of the element size; i.e., a chosen cutline was sampled uniformly from the models at different mesh sizes. The parameter  $\sigma$  was then computed according to equation 2:

301 
$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\Delta T_i)^2}$$
(2)

where *N* is the sample number,  $\Delta T_i$  is the temperature variation at depth  $x_i = (i-1)D/N-1$  along the chosen cutline where the mesh size of the model is refined. Here, *D* is the depth of the model. It demonstrated that a stable solution was obtained above 10<sup>4</sup> elements with a difference of about 1 °C or less (Figure 7).





306

#### 3.5 Boundary conditions

# 309 **3.5.1 Groundwater flow conditions**

310 Topography-driven groundwater flow affecting forced convection was reproduced by 311 imposing lateral flow boundary conditions (López and Smith 1995; Smith and Chapman 312 1983; Tóth 2009; Woodbury and Smith 1985). Without any information about the water 313 table level, the lateral boundaries of the model are assigned a constant hydraulic head equal 314 to the topographic elevation (Figure 5). Each segment representing a river in the model 315 valley is also assigned a constant hydraulic head value equal to its nodal elevation. An 316 outflow boundary was assigned at the upper-end of the faults to allow fluids to exit at the 317 upper-end of the faults. The base of the models was assumed impermeable.

#### **3.5.2 Heat transfer conditions**

A constant temperature was specified at the surface of the models and assumed to be a linear function of the altitude. Equation 3 establishes the relationship between the surface temperature (*T*s) and altitude (*z*): Ts = -0.0046z + 26.85 (3) where -0.0046 and 26.85 °C are constants determined from average annual temperatures measured at four meteorological stations in Madagascar: Antsiranana, Mahajanga,

Antananarivo and Tolagnaro (Table 4; Stalenberg et al. 2018).

Table 4 Data from selected meteorological stations in Madagascar

Station	Antsiranana	Mahajanga	Antananarivo	Tolagnaro
Altitude (m)	105	27	1268	22
Average annual temperature (°C)	24.7	27	19.5	24

The lower boundary of the models, at a depth of 10 km, was assigned a second type condition of constant heat flow (Table 5), defined according to the Curie point depth (CPD; Tanaka et al. 1999) and the internal heat generation in the crust. Heat flow at the CPD  $(Q_{CDP}, mWm^2)$  was initially calculated using equation 4, which takes into account the surface (T<sub>0</sub> =20 °C) and Curie (T<sub>CDP</sub>=580 °C) temperatures:

331 
$$Q_{cpd} = \lambda \frac{T_{CPD} - T_0}{Z_{CPD}} - A \frac{Z_{CPD}}{2}$$
(4)

where  $A (10^{-6} \text{ W m}^{-3})$  is the average heat generation due to the radioactive decay of elements in the crust, which is the weighted average of the lower and upper crust heat generation. The internal heat generation in the upper and lower crusts was estimated at  $1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W m}^{-3}$  and  $0.72 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W m}^{-3}$ , respectively (Table 2; Horton et al. 2016).  $\lambda (\text{W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$  is the average thermal conductivity of the crust and Z<sub>CPD</sub> (m) is the CPD. The range of the CPD was estimated at 10 km to 33 km, corresponding to the average 338 Moho depth in northern Madagascar, using the centroid method of Tanaka et al. (1999)339 and according to the available world map of the CPD (Li et al. 2017).

340 The heat flow at 10 km ( $Q_{10}$ , mWm<sup>-2</sup>) was then calculated with:

341 
$$Q_{10} = Q_{\rm cpd} + A_{\rm LC} H_{\rm LC} + A_{\rm UC} (H_{\rm UC} - H_{\rm model})$$
(5)

where  $H_{LC}$  and  $H_{UC}$  are the thickness of the lower and upper crusts, respectively,  $H_{model}$  is the thickness of the model, and  $A_{LC}$  and  $A_{UC}$  are the heat generation of the lower and upper crusts, respectively (Table 2).

345 Adiabatic heat transfer conditions were applied to the lateral boundaries.

Parameters		Value		Unit
Surface temperature	Ts=-0.0046z+26.85			°C
Heat flow at base (Q <sub>10</sub> )	Min 50	Mean 99	Max 148	mWm <sup>-2</sup>

#### Table 5 Parameters for the heat transfer boundary condition

#### **346 3.6 Parameter sensitivity**

The sensitivity of the model to the uncertain parameters was evaluated using the parametric sweep function implemented in COMSOL Multiphysics. The value of the parameters was set from the minimum to maximum. These parameters are basal heat flow, fault aperture and fault permeability (Tables 2 and 5). Combining these three parameters with the different fault dip scenarios (Table 1) yielded 96 simulations for the Ambilobe 2D model and 81 for Ambanja.

- 354 4. **Results and analysis**
- 355

### 4.1 2D subsurface temperature distribution and flow velocity

356 The different scenarios shown in Figures 8 and 10 are for base conditions using minimum, 357 median and maximum heat flow values and average fault properties (Tables 1 and 2). The 358 simulation results confirm that the highest temperatures occur when heat flow is maximum, 359 and that fluids and heat ascend along faults compared the fault-free base case (scenario 0). 360 Temperature profiles as a function of depth along fault lines (Figures 9 and 11) show which 361 simulations best reproduce the near-surface temperature of the hot springs. The results also 362 indicate the depth at which reservoir temperature is attained, assuming the chemical 363 geothermometer provides a reliable reservoir temperature estimate. The most realistic 364 scenarios are when hot spring temperatures are attained near the upper-end of the faults, 365 and the reservoir temperature is at a reasonable depth, below approximately 2 km. The 366 most realistic simulation cases for Ambilobe are maximum heat flow value and fluids rising 367 along fault F2 in scenario 1, maximum heat flow value and fluids rising along fault F1 in 368 scenario 2, and median or maximum heat flow values and fluids rising along fault F2 in 369 scenarios 3 and 4 (Figures 8 and 9).



**Figure 8** Simulated temperature distribution for the Ambilobe 2D model considering different fault dips and heat flux variations

at 10 km depth. Black arrows indicate the velocity field and flow direction; white lines are isotherms



The most realistic cases for the Ambanja graben are found in scenario 1 with median and maximumheat flow values and fluids rising along fault F2 (Figures 10 and 11).

Figure 9 Temperature profiles as a function of depth along fault lines for the Ambilobe 2D
model. The temperature profiles in scenario 0 were obtained from an average cutline drawn in the
fault-free model.



Figure 10 Simulated temperature distribution for the Ambanja 2D model considering different fault dips and heat flux variations at

383 10 km depth. Black arrows show the velocity field and flow direction; white lines are isotherms.



Figure 11 Temperature profiles as a function of depth along fault lines for the Ambanja
2D model. The temperature profiles in scenario 0 were obtained from an average cutline
drawn in the fault-free model.

The magnitude of the Darcy velocity varies between  $10^{-9}$  and  $10^{-2}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> along the faults (e.g. Figure 12). The simulated outflow velocity at the upper-ends of favorable faults (Table 6 and Figure 12) shows no difference or a 1-2 magnitude difference compared to the measured flow velocity at some hot springs, which varies between  $10^{-9}$  and  $10^{-7}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> (Table 6; Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981).

			Amb	Ambanja			
	Most realistic scenario	1 2		3 and 4	3 and 4	1	1
	Heat flow Q <sub>10</sub> (mWm <sup>-2</sup> )	Max	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max
	Favorable fault	F2	F1	F2	F2	F2	F2
	Fault dip	85° SE	60° NW	75° SE and 85° NW	75° SE and 85° NW	80° NE	80° NE
	Measured flow at hot springs, (m s <sup>-1</sup> ) (Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981)	$1.09 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.45 \times 10^{-7}$	$9.23 \times 10^{-9}$ to $1.23 \times 10^{-8}$	5.45 × 7.27 ×	10 <sup>-9</sup> to < 10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.26 × 2.89 ×	10 <sup>-9</sup> to < 10 <sup>-8</sup>
	Simulated outflow velocity at upper- ends of fault (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	$6.47 \times 10^{-7}$ to 1.21 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	$7.07 \times 10^{-7}$ to 1.11 $\times 10^{-6}$	9.63 10 <sup>-7</sup> and 1.24 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> to 2.6 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.14 $10^{-7}$ and 2.72 × $10^{-7}$ to 1.24 × $10^{-6}$	$2.32 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.19 \times 10^{-3}$	$9.37 \times 10^{-5}$ to $9.74 \times 10^{-4}$
393							
204	а	Darcy ve	locity (m/s) 10 <sup>-07</sup> 10 <sup>-06</sup>	b Da	arcy velocity (m/s) 10 <sup>-05</sup> 10 <sup>-04</sup> 10 <sup>-03</sup>	10 <sup>-02</sup>	
394 395	20	000-		2000			
396							
397	4( ٦	000-		4000			
398	Depth (	000-		6000			
399	80	000		8000			
400	10	000		10000			

Simulated flow velocity at favorable faults for the most realistic scenarios for Ambilobe and Ambanja models Table 6





415 Figure 13 Variation of simulated flow velocity and temperature as a function of depth
416 along the fault. Ambilobe 2D model, scenario 3, fault F2 and median heat flow value.

#### 417 **4.2 Influence of faults**

418 Faults play a fundamental role in the movement of fluids and pore pressure distribution 419 and therefore exert considerable influence over the temperature distribution. This is evident 420 when comparing scenario 0 (without faults) to faulted scenarios (Figures 8 and 10). The 421 faults serve as conduits for fluid upflow, with Darcy velocity vectors converging upward 422 along the faults toward the hot springs location. The temperature along faults can be up to 423 150 °C higher than adjacent areas (Figure 8 and 10). Heat transfer becomes conductive in 424 the surrounding host rock, where bulk permeability is lower than the high-permeability 425 zones because fluids drain towards the fault where convection occurs. Faults create 426 permeability heterogeneities in the crust, which affect fluid flow and convective heat 427 transfer, thereby influencing the location of hot springs (Faulds et al. 2010; Faulds and 428 Hinz 2015), especially at their intersections (Belgrano et al. 2016; Person et al. 2012). This 429 is consistent with the numerical modeling of deep fluid flow associated with crustal-scale 430 normal faults in the south-central Canadian Cordillera (Ferguson et al. 2009). The latter 431 reported that the permeability contrasts between host-rocks and faults acting as conduits 432 for preferential fluid flow, have the greatest influence on the subsurface temperature 433 distributions consistent with observed thermal springs. However, the presence of a fault 434 does not necessarily imply the formation of a hot spring unless the favorable conditions are 435 present that allow fluids and heat to ascend and reach the surface (e.g. López and Smith 436 1995; Thiébaud 2008)

437 Simulation scenario 3 for the Ambilobe 2D model clearly illustrates favorable conditions 438 for fluid ascension, with higher simulated temperatures near the upper-end of both faults 439 (Figure 8; Table 1) and fault dips of  $< 90^{\circ}$  facing the fluid flow. As shown in Figure 13, 440 the fluid at the surface, reaches the highest Darcy velocity ( $10^{-6}$  m s<sup>-1</sup>) which match the 441 temperature of the hot spring (50 °C). A flow velocity of  $10^{-8}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> is found at the depth 442 having the reservoir temperature of 180 °C.

On the other hand, if the fault is facing away from the fluid flow direction, like F1 in scenarios 1 and 3 for the Ambanja graben model (Figure 10; Table 1), then the hydraulic gradient between both ends of the fault is smaller and the simulated temperature near the upper-end of the faults is lower. Similar results were reported by Moreno et al. (2018), who simulated the impact of faults on the circulation of fluids originating from the basement underlying the Nevado del Ruiz volcanic complex of Colombia, where hot springs are

449 present. However, scenarios 1 and 2 for the Ambilobe 2D model showed that fluids are 450 able to rise to the surface along a fault with a dip facing away from the fluid flow direction 451 (F2 and F1, respectively) if it is close to a river that acts as a discharge zone (Figure 8 and 452 9). This is also seen in scenario 4. It suggests that both the fault dip and its distance from a 453 discharge zone where the hydraulic head can be low are important characteristics in favor 454 of fluid ascension.

Additionally, convective heat transfer along faults implies that ascending fluids are diluted as they mix with groundwater of shallower origin, to the degree that half of the ascending fluids will reach the upper 75 % of the fault length (Ferguson et al. 2009). This can explain the finding of significant mixing of geothermal fluids with shallower groundwater (Giggenbah diagram of Figure 5) and the absence of boiling hot springs in the Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal fields due to cooling along the fault between the reservoir depth and the surface.

### 462 **4.3 Parameter sensitivity**

463 For each scenario, we investigated the variation in depth at which reservoir temperature is464 attained as a function of basal heat flow, fault aperture and permeability (Figures 15 and

465 16).



Temperature of reservoir along F1 : +  $K_r$ =Min; x  $K_r$ =Mean; •  $K_r$ = Max Temperature of reservoir along F1 : +  $D_r$ =Min; x  $D_r$ =Mean; •  $D_r$ = Max Temperature of reservoir along F2 : +  $K_r$ =Min; x  $K_r$ =Mean; •  $K_r$ =Max Temperature of reservoir along F2 : +  $D_r$ =Min; x  $D_r$ =Mean; •  $D_r$ =Max

467 Figure 14 Depth variation of reservoir temperature along fault lines in the Ambilobe
468 2D model according to different fault dip scenarios a) as a function of heat flow and fault
469 aperture, and b) heat flow and fault permeability. The dotted line indicates the trend of
470 depth variation.

The results reveal that the depth of reservoir temperature decreases with increasing heat flow, fault aperture and fault permeability. For example, in scenario 1 (Figure 14a), with the minimum fault aperture ( $D_f$ ) value and maximum fault permeability ( $k_f$ ), the depth of reservoir temperature decreases from >2500 to 200 m along fault F2 when heat flow increases from the minimum to the maximum. For the same scenario, using maximum heat flow and mean fault permeability, the depth of reservoir temperature decreases from 1400 to 1100 m along fault F2 by increasing the value of fault aperture. In scenario 3 (Figure 478 14b), using minimum fault permeability and maximum fault aperture, the depth of reservoir 479 temperature decreases from >2500 to 750 m along fault F2 as function of the heat flow 480 value. For the same scenario, using maximum heat flow and mean fault permeability, the 481 depth of reservoir temperature decreases from 800 to 400 m along fault F2 by increasing 482 the fault aperture. The least favorable cases in scenarios 1 and 2 are when minimum heat 483 flow fails to produce any points on the plots because the reservoir temperature is always 484 deeper than 2500 m despite increases in fault aperture and permeability.



486 Figure 15 Depth variation of reservoir temperature along fault lines in the Ambanja
487 2D model according to different fault dip scenarios a) as a function of heat flow and fault
488 aperture, and b) as a function of heat flow and fault permeability. The dotted line indicates
489 the trend of depth variation.

The parameter sensitivity trend described for different fault dip scenarios in the Ambilobemodel is the same for the Ambanja model (Figure 15). The least favorable cases correspond

492 to scenarios 1 and 2 using the minimum heat flow value because the reservoir temperature493 is deeper than 2500 m despite increasing fault aperture and permeability.

We assessed the influence of basal heat flow, fault aperture and fault permeability given the uncertainty associated with those inputs. Varying any of these parameters results in significant differences in pressure, velocity and field temperature, enhancing or diminishing fluid pathways along the fault.

498 The basal heat flow used in our models provides a first estimation of the heat flow range 499 for the geothermal region in northern Madagascar, which is comparable to the known heat 500 flows of the proposed analogue geothermal systems for Ambilobe and Ambanja discussed 501 below (Rajaobelison et al. 2020). In zones of extension and continental rifting, regional heat flow estimates are high, generally from 75 to 125 mWm<sup>-2</sup> (Jaupart et al. (2015). The 502 range of heat flow for the Great Basin (USA) is from 50 to ~120 mWm<sup>-2</sup>, averaging roughly 503 90 mWm<sup>-2</sup> (Blackwell D and Richards 2004). In Western Turkey, particularly in the Gediz 504 graben, the heat flow varies from ~50 to 140 mWm<sup>-2</sup>, with values exceeding ~100 mWm<sup>-2</sup> 505 506 (Yilmazer et al. 2010). In the present study, the basal heat flow affects the temperature 507 distribution at depth and thus influences the geothermal gradient along permeable faults 508 (Figure 9, 8 and 10, 11). Moreover, a higher basal heat flow amplifies the convective 509 regime when the fault permeability also increases (López and Smith 1995). In turn, the 510 reservoir temperature is reached at shallower depths. However, the thermal spring 511 distribution does not appear to be a function of heat flow. Indeed, high heat flow is 512 associated with higher temperatures near the upper-ends of the fault, while hot spring 513 temperatures can be found near the upper-ends of the fault in any of the favorable fault 514 scenarios regardless of heat flow (Figures 2).

515 Fault aperture impacts heat transfer along the fault, which is amplified when fault permeability is high ( $k_f > 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2$ ), allowing for fluid ascension as shown in scenarios 1 516 and 2 for the Ambilobe 2D model of Figure 14a. Indeed, a small fault aperture 517  $(D_{\rm f} > 250 \,\mu{\rm m})$  combined with a moderate to high fault permeability  $(10^{-10} - 10^{-7} \,{\rm m}^2)$  allows 518 519 hot fluids to be found at shallow depths, < 2 km from the surface. Increasing fault 520 permeability shifts the system from petrothermal to transitional, thereby making this 521 parameter a critical factor in numerical models. By varying fault permeability in the model, 522 the transmissivity to flow along faults becomes significant when fault permeability is higher than 10<sup>-14</sup> m<sup>2</sup>, attracting hot upwelling fluids at depth (Figure 14b and 15b). An 523 524 optimum combination of country-rock (host rock) and fault permeabilities is also needed 525 to obtain subsurface temperature distributions consistent with observed thermal springs 526 (Forster and Smith 1989). This means, the permeability difference between the country 527 rock and the fault can increase or decrease springs temperatures (Ferguson et al. 2009; Forster and Smith 1989; López and Smith 1995). In the present study, a permeability 528 529 difference of 4 to 7 orders of magnitude between the country rock and the fault depresses 530 the thermal field. The decrease is due to the downward flux of recharge water, while high-531 temperature discharge associated with upward flow occurs along the fault plane. In fact, 532 the pressure that forces the water to the surface is mainly supplied by the difference in elevation between the recharge and discharge areas. 533

However, it is well known that fractures and faults can seal and re-open over time through
multiple tectonic constraints (e.g. Ingebritsen and Manning 2010). The current seismicity
(magnitude Mw > 5 in the study areas; (Bertil and Regnoult 1998) suggests that faults may

537 maintain efficient permeability over time.

538 5. Discussion

539

# 5.1 Groundwater flow boundary conditions

540 The hydraulic head applied to the lateral boundaries of the model reproduces topography-541 driven groundwater flow from NNE to SSE in the Ambilobe model and from NW to SE in 542 the Ambanja model. Surface recharge is neglected since the goal is to evaluate the impact 543 of faults intercepting the regional flow path. At the river segment, the flow vectors are 544 directed toward the surface and the river constitutes a discharge zone (Figures 8 and 10). The magnitude of ascending flow vectors increases from  $10^{-10}$  to  $10^{-2}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> when faults 545 546 intercept ascending flow vectors. The impact of the regional flow path was previously 547 highlighted by Taillefer et al. (2018), who suggested that topography (i.e., an elevated 548 water table) is the key factor controlling fluid pathways and the hot spring distribution in 549 the Têt hydrothermal system of the Eastern Pyrénées in France. Similar conclusions can be 550 made for Ambilobe and Ambanja, where the topography-driven groundwater flow affects 551 forced convective heat transfer along the faults. The flow lines within the models show that 552 the fluids originating from higher elevations reach considerable depth where they can be 553 heated and redirected towards the surface through permeable faults (Figures 8 and 10). This 554 also confirms that hydraulic heads and hydrological budgets control the regional 555 groundwater flow systems in an extensional domain geothermal play, as mentioned by 556 Moeck (2014).

557

#### 5.2 Model limitations

As a first step, a simplified model is necessary to understand the flow and heat transfer
mechanisms influencing the temperature distribution in a geothermal system where crustal-
scale faults control the fluid migration pathway. Fault and host rock permeabilities are assumed constant with depth, although they can decrease with increasing confining pressure (Rajaobelison et al. 2021). With this assumption, forced convection versus conduction is clearly favored. Indeed, permeability probably decreases with depth in the basement due to the contrasting mechanical properties of the minerals present in the rocks, such as mica and feldspar, and in faults due to sealing by the mineral precipitation (Stober and Bucher 2015).

567 The scarcity of structural information in the study area is an important limitation to the 568 models. More structural field data, including dip angle and fracture density measurements, 569 would better constrain the fault dip, aperture and permeability values in the numerical 570 models. Moreover, statistical features summarized from field data are needed for the 571 stochastic processes in discrete fracture models (e.g. Ivanova et al. 2014). It is currently 572 difficult to anticipate fracture behavior at depth. Ggeophysical surveys (e.g. gravity and 573 magnetotellurics) would help to image deep structures, build more accurate 2D models, 574 and eventually develop 3D models around faults.

Even though these aspects limited our modeling approach, the present work successfully
reproduces hot spring temperatures observed at surface, with consistent reservoir
temperatures at reasonable depths.

578

# 5.3 Geothermal exploration

579 This study identified favorable fault characteristics that trigger fluid ascension and favor 580 the formation of geothermal energy sources, knowledge that can assist geothermal 581 exploration efforts to better identify exploration targets. More specifically, the target

should be normal faults with  $< 90^{\circ}$  dips facing the regional fluid flow path driven by topography. Fault permeability must be higher than the host rock, corresponding to the critical range of permeability under which forced convection occurs, combined with a minimum aperture of 250 µm.

The simulation results also revealed that an average drilling depth of 1 to 2 km along favorable faults should reach the temperature interval of 150–200 °C (Figures 8 and 10). This finding can positively impact an exploration project since drilling cost increases nonlinearly with depth (e.g. Augustine et al. 2006).

590

# 6. **Conclusions**

591 This study presents, for the first time, 2D numerical models simulating groundwater flow 592 and heat transfer according to a realistic topography and fault hypothesis in Madagascar. 593 The aim is to better understand heat transfer mechanisms involved with the geothermal 594 systems of the Ambilobe normal fault zone and Ambanja graben in northern Madagascar. 595 Numerical simulations are used to define the large-scale temperature field in such 596 extensional-type geothermal systems. The resulting simulated temperature distribution 597 appears to be characteristic of conductive heat transfer in a low-permeability rock matrix 598 and forced convective heat transfer along faults, representing favorable conditions that 599 facilitate fluid ascension. The most realistic scenarios are when the hot spring temperature 600 is attained near the upper-end of the fault and the reservoir temperature is at a reasonable 601 depth, shallower than  $\sim 2$  km. The simulated flow velocity at the upper-ends of faults is 602 comparable to those measured in surface hot springs.

603 Our findings support previous interpretations of conceptual models in northern 604 Madagascar, where conduction is the dominant heat transfer mechanism in low-605 permeability rock matrix, except along faults that experience a strong hydraulic gradient 606 and provide a path for fluids to rise with forced convective heat transfer as the dominant 607 mechanism

Numerical models used in this study can be a predictive tool to help define geothermal exploration strategies in similar normal fault zone and graben settings. Further hydrogeological assessment using stable isotope analyses on springs and groundwaters can help improve and support the simulated fluid circulation concept by defining infiltration altitudes and determining fluid origin. Deep borehole data, such as temperature logs, will also be required for the next steps to realistically constrain the temperature prediction and advance geothermal exploration in North Madagascar.

## 615 Author contributions

616 MR: Data collection, investigation, conceptualization, methodology, Writing (original

617 draft preparation), formal analysis. JR and MM: Supervision, resources, project leads. JR,

618 CD and MR: Validation. JR, MM, CD and SL: Drafting and editing. All authors reviewed

619 the manuscript and have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# 620 Competing interests

621 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# 622 Availability of data and materials

623 The relevant datasets analyzed in this study are all presented in the manuscript.

624 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Canadian Francophonie Scholarship Program CFSP, which supported the first author with a scholarship. The UNESCO International geoscience program (IGCP) is also acknowledged for providing a framework that supported collaboration among the members of the IGCP-636 group involved in geothermal research and who participated as coauthors to this paper. Additional thanks go to Elie R. for discussions and invaluable help with modeling issues using COMSOL.

### 631 7. **References**

- Andriampenomanana, F., Nyblade, A. A., Wysession, M. E., Durrheim, R. J., Tilmann, F.,
  Julià, J., et al. (2017). The structure of the crust and uppermost mantle beneath
  Madagascar. *Geophysical Journal International*, 210 (3), 1525-1544,
  doi:<u>http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggx243</u>.
- Armistead, S. E., Collins, A. S., Merdith, A. S., Payne, J. L., Cox, G. M., Foden, J. D., et
  al. (2019). Evolving Marginal Terranes During Neoproterozoic Supercontinent
  Reorganization: Constraints From the Bemarivo Domain in Northern Madagascar. *Tectonics*, 38(6), 2019-2035, doi:10.1029/2018tc005384.
- Augustine, C., Tester, J. W., Anderson, B., Petty, S., & Livesay, B. A comparison of
  geothermal with oil and gas well drilling costs. In *31st Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford University, 30 February 2006* pp. 15: SGP-TR179
- Bakhsh, K. J., Nakagawa, M., Arshad, M., & Dunnington, L. Modeling thermal
  breakthrough in sedimentary geothermal system, using COMSOL multiphysics. In *41st Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford, California, Stanford University, 22-24 February 2016* pp. 12: SGP-TR-209
- Belgrano, T. M., Herwegh, M., & Berger, A. (2016). Inherited structural controls on fault
  geometry, architecture and hydrothermal activity: an example from Grimsel Pass,
  Switzerland. *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, *109*(3), 345-364, doi:10.1007/s00015016-0212-9.
- Bense, V. F., Person, M. A., Chaudhary, K., You, Y., Cremer, N., & Simon, S. (2008).
  Thermal anomalies indicate preferential flow along faults in unconsolidated
  sedimentary aquifers. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(24),
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036017.
- Bertil, D., & Regnoult, M. J. (1998). Seismotectonics of Madagascar. *Tectonophysics*, 294(1), 57-74, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(98)00088-2</u>.

- Besairie, H. Contribution à l'étude des sources minérales et des eaux souterraines de
  Madagascar. Travaux du Bureau Géologique, Service Géologique Antananarivo;
  1959. Report No.: 92.
- Bisdom, K., Bertotti, G., & Nick, H. M. (2016). The impact of in-situ stress and outcropbased fracture geometry on hydraulic aperture and upscaled permeability in
  fractured reservoirs. *Tectonophysics*, 690, 63, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.04.006.
- Blackwell D, D., & Richards, M. (2004). *Geothermal Map of North America*.
- Brogi, A., Alçiçek, M. C., Liotta, D., Capezzuoli, E., Zucchi, M., & Matera, P. F. (2021).
  Step-over fault zones controlling geothermal fluid-flow and travertine formation
  (Denizli Basin, Turkey). *Geothermics*, 89, 101941,
  doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2020.101941</u>.
- Chagnon-Lessard, N., Mathieu-Potvin, F., & Gosselin, L. (2020). Optimal design of
  geothermal power plants: A comparison of single-pressure and dual-pressure
  organic Rankine cycles. *Geothermics*, 86, 101787,
  doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2019.101787</u>.
- 673 Cherubini, Y., Cacace, M., Blöcher, G., & Scheck-Wenderoth, M. (2013). Impact of single
  674 inclined faults on the fluid flow and heat transport: results from 3-D finite element
  675 simulations. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 70(8), 3603-3618,
  676 doi:10.1007/s12665-012-2212-z.
- 677 Chorowicz, J., Bardintzeff, J. M., Rasamimanana, G., Chotin, P., Thouin, C., & Rudant, J.
  678 P. (1997). An approach using SAR ERS images to relate extension fractures to
  679 volcanic vents: examples from iceland and Madagascar. *Tectonophysics*, 271(3),
  680 263-283, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00250-8.
- 681 Corbel, S., Schilling, O., Horowitz, F. G., Reid, L. B., Sheldon, H. A., Timms, N. E., et al.
  682 Identification and Geothermal Influence of Faults in the Perth Metropolitan Area,
  683 Australia. In Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford
  684 University, 30 January- 1 February 2012 Vol. 37, pp. 8: SGP-TR-194
- De Waele, B., Thomas, R. J., Macey, P. H., Horstwood, M. S. A., Tucker, R. D., Pitfield,
  P. E. J., et al. (2011). Provenance and tectonic significance of the Palaeoproterozoic
  metasedimentary successions of central and northern Madagascar. *Precambrian Research, 189*(1), 18-42, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2011.04.004.
- Faulds, J., Coolbaugh, M., Bouchot, V., Moeck, I., & Oguz, K. (2010). Characterizing
  structural controls of geothermal reservoirs in the Great Basin, USA, and Western
  Turkey: Developing successful exploration strategies in extended terranes. In *World Geothermal Congress Bali, 25-30 April 2010* pp. 11
- Faulds, J. E., & Hinz, N. H. Favorable tectonic and structural settings of geothermal
  systems in the Great Basin region, western USA: Proxies for discovering blind
  geothermal systems. In *World Geothermal Congress, Melbourne, 19-25 2015* pp.
  696
- 697Ferguson, G., Grasby, S. E., & Hindle, S. R. (2009). What do aqueous geothermometers698really tell us? *Geofluids*, 9(1), 39-48, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-6998123.2008.00237.x.</u>

- Ferrill, D. A., Sims, D. W., Waiting, D. J., Morris, A. P., Franklin, N. M., & Schultz, A. L.
  (2004). Structural framework of the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone in southcentral Texas. *GSA Bulletin*, *116*(3-4), 407-418, doi:10.1130/b25174.1.
- Forster, C., & Smith, L. (1989). The influence of groundwater flow on thermal regimes in
  mountainous terrain: A model study. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 94(B7), 9439-9451, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/JB094iB07p09439.
- Gibson, R. G. (1998). Physical character and fluid-flow properties of sandstone-derived
  fault zones. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 127*(1), 83-97,
  doi:10.1144/gsl.Sp.1998.127.01.07.
- Giggenbach, W., F. (1988). Geothermal solute equilibria. Derivation of Na-K-Mg-Ca geoindicators. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 52(12), 2749-2765, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(88)90143-3</u>.
- Grasby, S. E., Allen, D. M., Bell, S., Chen, Z., Ferguson, G., Jessop, A., et al. (2011).
   *Geothermal energy resource potential of Canada*. Natural Resources Canada.
- Guillou-Frottier, L., Carre, C., Bourgine, B., Bouchot, V., & Genter, A. (2013). Structure
  of hydrothermal convection in the Upper Rhine Graben as inferred from corrected
  temperature data and basin-scale numerical models. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 256, 29-49,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2013.02.008.
- Gunnlaugsson, E., Arnorsson, S., & Matthiasson, M. Madagascar-Reconnaissance survey
   for geothermal resources. Report, Projet MAG/77/104, Contract 141/79, VIRKIR;
   1981.
- Hao, Y., Fu, P., Johnson, S. M., & Carrigan, C. R. (2012). Numerical studies of coupled flow and heat transfer processes in hydraulically fractured geothermal reservoirs. *Geothermal Resources Council Transactions*, *36*, 453–458.
- Holzbecher, E., Oberdorfer, P., Maier, F., Jin, Y., & Sauter, M. Simulation of Deep
  Geothermal Heat Production In 2011 COMSOL Conference, Stuttgard, 26-28
  October 2011 pp. 6,
- Holzbrecher, E., Wong, L., & Litz, M.-S. Modelling flow through fractures in porous
  media. In *COMSOL Conference, Paris, 17-19 Novembre 2010* pp. 19,
- Horton, F., Hacker, B., Kylander-Clark, A., Holder, R., & Jöns, N. (2016). Focused
  radiogenic heating of middle crust caused ultrahigh temperatures in southern
  Madagascar. *Tectonics*, *35*(2), 293-314, doi:10.1002/2015tc004040.
- Ingebritsen, S. E., & Manning, C. E. (2010). Permeability of the continental crust: dynamic variations inferred from seismicity and metamorphism. *Geofluids*, 10(1-2), 193-205, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-8123.2010.00278.x</u>.
- Ivanova, V. M., Sousa, R., Murrihy, B., & Einstein, H. H. (2014). Mathematical algorithm
  development and parametric studies with the GEOFRAC three-dimensional
  stochastic model of natural rock fracture systems. *Computers & Geosciences*, 67,
  100-109, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2013.12.004</u>.

- Jaupart, C., Labrosse, S., Lucazeau, F., & Mareschal, J. C. (2015). Temperatures, Heat and
  Energy in the Mantle of the Earth. In G. Schubert (Ed.), *Treatise on Geophysics*2nd ed., Vol. 7, (pp. 223-270). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Jeong, W.-C., Cho, Y.-S., & Song, J.-W. (2001). A numerical study of fluid flow and solute
  transport in a variable-aperture fracture using geostatistical method. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 5(4), 357-369, doi:10.1007/BF02829109.
- Lardeaux, J. M., Martelat, J. E., Nicollet, C., Pili, E., Rakotondrazafy, R., & Cardon, H. (1999). Metamorphism and Tectonics in Southern Madagascar: An Overview. *Gondwana Research*, 2(3), 355-362, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1342-937X(05)70274-4</u>.
- Levorsen, A. I., & Berry, F. A. (1967). *Geology of petroleum*. WH Freeman San Francisco.
- Li, C. F., Lu, Y., & Wang, J. (2017). A global reference model of Curie-point depths based
   on EMAG2. *Scientific Report*, 7, 45129, doi:10.1038/srep45129.
- Lindal, B. (1973). Industrial and other applications of geothermal energy. *Geothermal energy*, 135-148.
- Liotta, D., Brogi, A., Ruggieri, G., & Zucchi, M. (2021). Fossil vs. Active Geothermal
  Systems: A Field and Laboratory Method to Disclose the Relationships between
  Geothermal Fluid Flow and Geological Structures at Depth. *Energies*, 14(4), 933.
- Liu, X., Wei, M., Yang, L., & Wang, X. (2017). Thermo-economic analysis and optimization selection of ORC system configurations for low temperature binarycycle geothermal plant. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, *125*, 153-164, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.07.016</u>.
- López, D. L., & Smith, L. (1995). Fluid flow in fault zones: analysis of the interplay of
  convective circulation and topographically driven groundwater flow. *Water resources research*, *31*(6), 1489-1503.
- Loveless, S., Pluymaekers, M., Lagrou, D., Eva, Doornenbal, H., & Laenen, B. (2014).
  Mapping the Geothermal Potential of Fault Zones in the Belgium-Netherlands
  Border Region. *Energy Procedia*, 59, 351-358,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.388.
- Magri, F., Möller, S., Inbar, N., Möller, P., Raggad, M., Rödiger, T., et al. (2016). 2D and
  3D coexisting modes of thermal convection in fractured hydrothermal systems Implications for transboundary flow in the Lower Yarmouk Gorge. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 78, 750-758,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.10.002.
- Moeck, I. S. (2014). Catalog of geothermal play types based on geologic controls. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 37, 867-882, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.032</u>.

# Moreno, D., Lopez-Sanchez, J., Blessent, D., & Raymond, J. (2018). Fault characterization and heat-transfer modeling to the Northwest of Nevado del Ruiz Volcano. *Journal of* South American Earth Sciences, 88, 50-63, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2018.08.008</u>.

- Person, M., Hofstra, A., Sweetkind, D., Stone, W., Cohen, D., Gable, C. W., et al. (2012).
  Analytical and numerical models of hydrothermal fluid flow at fault intersections. *Geofluids*, 12(4), 312-326, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12002.
- Popov, Y. A., Pribnow, D. F. C., Sass, J. H., Williams, C. F., & Burkhardt, H. (1999).
  Characterization of rock thermal conductivity by high-resolution optical scanning. *Geothermics*, 28(2), 253-276, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6505(99)00007-</u>
  3.
- Popov, Y. A., Beardsmore, G., Clauser, C., & Roy, S. (2016). ISRM suggested methods
  for determining thermal properties of rocks from laboratory tests at atmospheric
  pressure. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, *49*(10), 4179-4207.
- Rajaobelison, M., Raymond, J., Malo, M., & Dezayes, C. (2020). Classification of
  geothermal systems in Madagascar. *Geothermal Energy*, 8(1), 22,
  doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40517-020-00176-7</u>.
- Rajaobelison, M., Raymond, J., Malo, M., Dezayes, C., & Larmagnat, S. (2021).
  Assessment of Petrophysical Rock Properties in North Madagascar: Implications for Geothermal Resource Exploration. *Natural Resources Research*, doi:10.1007/s11053-021-09875-9.
- Roig, J. Y., Tucker, R. D., Delor, C., Peters, S. G., & Théveniaut, H. (2012). Carte
  géologique de la Répulique de Madagascar à 1/1000000: Ministère des Mines,
  PGRM, Antananarivo, République Madagascar.
- Shi, W., & Pan, L. (2019). Optimization Study on Fluids for the Gravity-Driven Organic
  Power Cycle. *Energies*, 12(4), 732.
- Smith, L., & Chapman, D. (1983). On the thermal effects of groundwater flow: 1. Regional
   scale systems. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 88, 593-608.
- Stalenberg, E., Hutchinson, M. F., & Foley, W. J. (2018). Using historical normals to
  improve modern monthly climate normal surfaces for Madagascar. *International Journal of Climatology*, *38*(15), 5746-5765, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5776</u>.
- Stober, I., & Bucher, K. (2015). Hydraulic conductivity of fractured upper crust: insights
  from hydraulic tests in boreholes and fluid-rock interaction in crystalline basement
  rocks. *Geofluids*, 15(1-2), 161-178, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12104.
- Szijártó, M., Galsa, A., Tóth, Á., & Mádl-Szőnyi, J. (2019). Numerical investigation of the
  combined effect of forced and free thermal convection in synthetic groundwater
  basins. *Journal of Hydrology*, 572, 364-379,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.03.003.
- Szijártó, M., Galsa, A., Tóth, Á., & Mádl-Szőnyi, J. (2021). Numerical analysis of the potential for mixed thermal convection in the Buda Thermal Karst, Hungary. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 34*, 100783, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2021.100783</u>.
- Taillefer, A., Guillou-Frottier, L., Soliva, R., Magri, F., Lopez, S., Courrioux, G., et al.
  (2018). Topographic and Faults Control of Hydrothermal Circulation Along

821 Dormant Faults in an Orogen. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 19(12), 822 4972-4995, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007965. 823 Tanaka, A., Okubo, Y., & Matsubayashi, O. (1999). Curie point depth based on spectrum 824 analysis of the magnetic anomaly data in East and Southeast Asia. Tectonophysics, 306(3), 461-470, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00072-4. 825 826 Thiébaud, E. (2008). Fonctionnement d'un système hydrothermal associé à un contact 827 tectonique alpin (La Léchère, Savoie). Thèse de Doctorat de Géologie, Université 828 de Savoie, Chambéry. 829 Thomas, B., Waele, B., Schofield, D. I., Goodenough, K., Horstwood, M., Tucker, R., et 830 al. (2009). Geological evolution of the Neoproterozoic Bemarivo Belt, northern 831 Madagascar. Precambrian Research, 279-300, 172, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2009.04.008. 832 833 Tiab, D., & Donaldson, E. C. (2016). Chapter 3 - Porosity and Permeability. In D. Tiab, & 834 E. C. Donaldson (Eds.), Petrophysics (Fourth Edition) (pp. 67-186). Boston: Gulf 835 Professional Publishing. 836 Tomarov, G., & Shipkov, A. (2017). Modern geothermal power: Binary cycle geothermal 837 power plants. Thermal Engineering, 64(4), 243-250. 838 Tóth, J. (2009). Gravitational systems of groundwater flow – Theory, evaluation, 839 utilization. Vol. 297. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. Tucker, R., Roig, J. Y., Moine, B., Delor, C., & Peters, S. G. (2014). A geological synthesis 840 841 of the Precambrian shield in Madagascar. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 94, 9-842 30, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2014.02.001. 843 Volpi, G., Magri, F., Frattini, P., Crosta, G. B., & Riva, F. (2017). Groundwater-driven 844 temperature changes at thermal springs in response to recent glaciation: Bormio 845 hydrothermal system, Central Italian Alps. Hydrogeology Journal, 25(7), 1967-1984, doi:10.1007/s10040-017-1600-6. 846 847 Witherspoon, P. A., Wang, J. S. Y., Iwai, K., & Gale, J. E. (1980). Validity of Cubic Law 848 for fluid flow in a deformable rock fracture. Water Resources Research, 16(6), 849 1016-1024, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/WR016i006p01016. Woodbury, A. D., & Smith, L. (1985). On the thermal effects of three-dimensional 850 groundwater flow. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 90(B1), 759-851 767, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/JB090iB01p00759. 852 Yilmazer, S., Pasvanoğlu, S., & Vural, S. (2010). The relation of geothermal resources 853 854 with young tectonics in the Gediz graben (West Anatolia, Turkey) and their 855 hydrogeochemical analyses. In World Geothermal Congress, Bali, 25-29 April 856 2010 pp. 10, 857 Zucchi, M. (2020). Faults controlling geothermal fluid flow in low permeability rock 858 volumes: An example from the exhumed geothermal system of eastern Elba Island 859 (northern Tyrrhenian Sea. Italy). Geothermics, 85. 101765, 860 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2019.101765. 861

1	Understanding heat transfer along extensional faults: the case of the Ambilobe and
2	Ambanja geothermal systems of Madagascar
3	
4	M. Rajaobelison
5	INRS – Institut national de la recherche scientifique
6	490, rue de la Couronne. Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9 Canada
7	Miora_Mirah.Rajaobelison@inrs.ca
8	ORCID : 0000- 0002- 8125-5210
9	Corresponding author
10	J. Raymond
11	INRS – Institut national de la recherche scientifique
12	490, rue de la Couronne. Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9 Canada
13	ORCID: 0000-0001-7596-2800
14	M. Malo
15	INRS – Institut national de la recherche scientifique
16	490, rue de la Couronne. Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9 Canada
17	ORCID: 0000-0001-5941-9736
18	C. Dezayes
19	BRGM – Bureau de recherches géologiques et minières, 3 Avenue Claude Guillemin,
20	45100 Orléans, France
21	ORCID: 0000-0001-7813-359X
22	S. Larmagnat
23	Geological Survey of Canada, 490, rue de la Couronne, Québec, QC G1K 9A9, Canada

24 ORCID:

25 Keywords: Energy; Geothermal; Heat transfer; Faults, Numerical modeling, Madagascar

26 Abstract

27 Understanding the role of faults where forced convective heat transfer is the dominant 28 mechanism giving rise to hot springs is critical in geothermal exploration in extensional 29 environments. This study uses two-dimensional models of coupled fluid flow and heat 30 transfer along cross-sections perpendicular to faults and the regional topography to identify 31 favorable fault conditions for geothermal system development in northern Madagascar. 32 Structural data collected at surface were used to define fault scenarios and simulate the 33 ascension of hot fluids to reproduce hot spring temperatures in the Ambilobe normal fault 34 zone area and the Ambanja graben structure. Fault dips facing topography- driven 35 groundwater flow was shown to be favorable, and hot spring temperatures could be reproduced when the fault permeability was  $>10^{-14}$  m<sup>2</sup>. Faults located in a discharge zone 36 near a river were the most favorable for fluid ascension, regardless of their dip. 37 Constraining the model with a basal heat flow between 90 and 148 mWm<sup>-2</sup> at a depth of 38 39 10 km allowed the reservoir temperature to reach 150-200 °C at depths of 2 km or shallower along favorable faults. 40

41

# 1. Introduction

42 Economic activity in the northern region of Madagascar relies on export products such as 43 vanilla, cocoa and coffee that are grown and transformed locally. Madagascar is one of the 44 largest vanilla exporters in the world (UNComtrade, 2018). The energy used to transform 45 these products relies heavily on fossil fuels and wood. Off-grid communities, mainly in 46 remote areas, use traditional biomass such as firewood and charcoal as their main source 47 of energy, inducing deforestation and water resource degradation, along with biodiversity 48 and soil loss, as has already happened in many Madagascar communities. Renewable 49 sources, such as geothermal energy, are expected to play a more prominent role in future 50 energy production.

51 Ambilobe and Ambanja, two areas in northern Madagascar, have been characterized for their geothermal potential and respectively classified as a graben border-fault liquid-52 53 dominated moderate-temperature system and a fossil magmatic liquid-dominated 54 moderate-temperature system (Rajaobelison et al. 2020). In this classification scheme 55 based on the extensional type, the fault and lithologic-diagenetic characteristics affecting 56 porosity are thought to control formation permeability. As reported by Rajaobelison et al. 57 (2020), the average matrix permeability of fracture-free rocks in these two areas was evaluated between  $10^{-13}$  and  $10^{-18}$  m<sup>2</sup>, which is low, while the average porosity is ~5.7 %, 58 which is low or poor (5-10%) according to Levorsen and Berry (1967); Tiab and 59 60 Donaldson (2016). However, this matrix permeability has to be considered the lower bound 61 of formation permeability as fractures are common in such an extensional tectonic setting. 62 The occurrence of thermal springs along a major fault zone in Ambilobe and a graben 63 structure in Ambanja confirms that advective heat transfer can take place within fractured rocks (Rajaobelison et al. 2020). The equilibrium formation temperature at depth, 64 65 estimated using chemical geothermometers (Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981), ranges from 140 66 to 200 °C, high enough to be used for scalding vanilla beans ( $\sim 60$  °C), heating greenhouses 67 (> 40 °C; Lindal 1973), and generating electricity (> 80 °C; Grasby et al. 2011; Tomarov 68 and Shipkov 2017). Fluids from geothermal sources with temperatures below 120 °C can 69 be used to generate electricity using an optimized Organic Rankine Cycle (e.g. Chagnon-

70 Lessard et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2017; Shi and Pan 2019).

71 No exploration boreholes have been drilled in northern Madagascar, so the optimal depth 72 for finding geothermal sources remains unknown, as do the geothermal gradient and heat 73 flux density. Previous work classified geothermal play types and evaluated rock thermohydraulic properties from surface outcrops to better understand heat transfer mechanisms 74 75 at depth (Rajaobelison et al. 2020; Rajaobelison et al. 2021). Crustal-scale faults have been 76 identified as potential targets for geothermal exploration, but further work is needed to 77 better understand their role in the subsurface heat transfer mechanisms that control the 78 depth and extent of geothermal sources. Fault influence must be taken into account when 79 exploring geothermal energy sources, especially the influence of the large-scale faults 80 identified by surface mapping and shown on the geological maps of Madagascar by Roig 81 et al. (2012) and Tucker et al. (2014). Therefore, numerical modeling was used to simulate groundwater flow and heat transfer according to various fault scenarios affecting the 82 83 geothermal energy sources associated with petrothermal systems in this extensional 84 domain of Madagascar.

Numerical modeling has been previously used to simulate the depth distribution of temperature to better understand fractured geothermal reservoirs (e.g. Bakhsh et al. 2016; Corbel et al. 2012; Hao et al. 2012; Holzbrecher et al. 2010). Faults that serve as conduits and increase permeability (e.g. Brogi et al. 2021; Ferrill et al. 2004; Liotta et al. 2021; Zucchi 2020) are important targets for geothermal resource exploration, but if they act as barriers and decrease permeability (e.g. Gibson 1998), they can compartmentalize the reservoirs, making it challenging to access and exploit the resource (Loveless et al. 2014).

92 Furthermore, the prediction and modeling of coupled fluid flow and heat transfer processes 93 in naturally fractured rock systems are critical components in energy recovery analysis 94 (Hao et al. 2012). In addition, numerical modeling of hydrothermal systems has often been 95 used to explain hot spring occurrences and explore their controlling factors (e.g. Bense et al. 2008; Forster and Smith 1989; Guillou-Frottier et al. 2013; López and Smith 1995; 96 97 Magri et al. 2016; Taillefer et al. 2018; Volpi et al. 2017). Recently, Szijártó et al. (2019); 98 and Szijártó et al. (2021) used numerical modeling to investigate the conditions that can induce and favor forced convection. Moreno et al. (2018) demonstrated that the orientation 99 100 of the fault dip in relation to the direction of regional groundwater flow driven by 101 topography could affect groundwater uprise and, consequently, hot spring occurrence and 102 temperature.

103 This study aims to understand the role of faults on forced convective heat transfer that gave 104 rise to hot springs in northern Madagascar, thereby providing fundamental knowledge that 105 can be used to improve geothermal exploration concepts. Our working hypothesis is that 106 fault dip at depth, which is currently unknown, can affect the rise of hot fluids. We 107 developed numerical groundwater flow and heat transfer models to reproduce the near-108 surface temperatures of hot springs since this is the only information available in the area 109 to validate simulations. We present innovative concepts supported by 2D numerical 110 simulations of deep subsurface temperature in northern Madagascar that test seven base-111 case fault scenarios or hypotheses. We identified fault characteristics that favored the 112 formation of geothermal energy sources to help advance geothermal exploration.

### 2. Geological setting and geothermal context

114 **2.1 Tectonic framework and regional fault system** 

115 The Bemarivo domain, one of the six geodynamic domains forming the Madagascar 116 Shield, underlies the northern end of Madagascar, where the geothermal areas of interest, 117 Ambilobe and Ambanja, are situated. This Neoproterozoic domain is composed of 118 Cryogenian igneous rocks, with a cryptic Paleoproterozoic basement accreted to the 119 Greater Dharwar Craton in latest Ediacaran to earliest Cambrian time, 0.53-0.51 Ga 120 (Tucker et al. 2014). The Bemarivo domain has been interpreted as an assemblage of two 121 juvenile arc terranes (Figure 1) with estimated ages between c. 750 and 720 Ma (Thomas 122 et al. 2009). The east-west Antsaba shear zone, a major ductile structure, separates these 123 northern and southern terranes. The shear zone, about 15 km across at its widest location 124 in the west (Thomas et al. 2009), is interpreted as a subduction zone along which the 125 northern and southern terranes were amalgamated (Armistead et al. 2019). Contrary to the 126 suggestion of Thomas et al. (2009) and Armistead et al. (2019), the Bemarivo domain is 127 considered a single domain based on the lithostratigraphy and ages reported in Tucker et 128 al. (2014).

Mesozoic and younger movements along the Antsaba shear zone produced a variety of faults and dykes related to the break-up of Gondwana and rifting in the Mozambique Channel. The extensional phases from Triassic to Jurassic (Karoo rift) gave rise to NNE-SSW and NNW-SSE faults, whereas faults generally striking N140°-N160° were transformed into wrench faults with dextral movement during Jurassic-upper Cretaceous times, coherent with the development of the Davie Ridge (Lardeaux et al. 1999). Numerous NE-SW and NW-SE faults are consistent with the identified faults and the regional Plio-

136 Quaternary direction of extension (N65°E to N85°E) observed in the volcanic region of



137 Montagne d'Ambre, in the extreme north of Madagascar (Chorowicz et al. 1997)

138

Figure 1 Simplified geological maps showing a) the six main geodynamic
Precambrian domains that form the Madagascar Shield (modified from De Waele et al.
2011; Tucker et al. 2014), b) and a close-up of the tectonic framework of the Bemarivo
domain in northern Madagascar (modified from Armistead et al. 2019; Thomas et al. 2009),
enclosing the Ambilobe and Ambanja study areas.

The Ambilobe area is located in the Andavakoera fault zone, a major NE-SW normal fault delineating the contact between sedimentary sequences and basement rocks of the northern Bemarivo domain. Numerous undifferentiated NW-SE faults and NW-SE strike-slip faults with dextral or sinistral movements can be seen on the geological map (Figure 2b) and in the field (Figure 3a). The strike-slip faults include the faults near the Betsiaka hot springs and undifferentiated normal faults of Ranomafana and Ankatakata (Figure 2b). The main fault and fracture systems are dominated by NNW-SSE, NE-SW and N-S orientations. 151 Fracture orientations from field measurements and fault orientations from geological map152 are shown on the rose diagram of Figure 2b.

The Ambanja area is located in the graben structure in the Proterozoic basement units of the southern terrane of the Bemarivo domain. The graben is oriented NW-SE, and its average width is 4 km. The main faults and fractures dominantly strike NNW-SSE. The rose diagrams of fracture orientations from field measurements as well as the faults orientations from the geological map are shown on Figure 2c. Some metric fractures were observed at the outcrop scale (Figure 3b)

# **2.2 Favorable structural patterns**

160 In a comprehensive inventory of the structural settings of geothermal systems in the Great 161 Basin region of western USA, Faulds and Hinz (2015) identified the most favorable 162 dominant faulting patterns to host geothermal activity in the extensional to transtensional 163 terranes, and more specifically in areas where the bulk of the geothermal resources are 164 likely hidden. Applying the same principles to the Ambilobe and Ambanja areas, the 165 identified favorable fault structures are: 1) major normal fault segments, i.e., near the 166 displacement maxima of the NE-SW Andavakoera fault and the NW-SE fault-borders of 167 the Ambanja graben structure intersections between two normal faults (Figure 2b and 2c), 168 and 2) or between normal faults and transverse oblique-slip faults (Figure 2c).



Figure 2 (a) Location of the Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal areas. (b) and (c)
Detailed geology of each area (modified from Roig et al. 2012). The rose diagrams
illustrate the major fracture trends (in black) established from field data collected in 2018
and the major faults trends (in grey) established from the map data of Roig et al. (2012).



Figure 3: a) Field view of the Andavakoera fault line and its conjugated faults (F). b)
Metric fractures in the Ambanja sandstone formation with close-up illustrating fracture
movements.

At the surface, hot springs reach a temperature varying from 50 to 72 °C in both areas
(Besairie 1959; Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981). Waters from the hot springs have high Mg
concentrations and fall into the immature field on the ternary Na-K-Mg diagram of
Giggenbach (1988), indicating dilution of the geothermal fluid along its path to the surface
(Figure 4). The geothermal fluid thus mixed with meteoric and shallow groundwater.
Using chemical geothermometers (Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981) and the Giggenbah diagram

- 184 (Figure 4), the reservoir temperatures in the Ambilobe and Ambanja areas are expected to
- 185 be 140 and 200 °C, respectively.



Figure 4: Chemical composition of waters from the Ambilobe (AMBL) and Ambanja
(AMBJ) hot springs plotted on a ternary Na-K-Mg diagram (Giggenbach 1988). The Na,
K, Mg concentrations were reported in Gunnlaugsson et al. (1981).

# **2.3 Lithosphere structure and geological formations**

In the Bemarivo domain, the Moho is at a depth of 33 km (Andriampenomanana et al.
2017). The thickness of the upper crust is up to 20.5 km, and its composition is assumed to
be primarily paragneiss and sedimentary rocks which have an average thickness of 4 km.
The lower crust is assumed to be composed of mafic rocks with an average thickness of
12.5 km.



206 Proterozoic basement rocks of the Bemarivo domain are the only geological formations207 exposed in the Ambanja area (Figure 2).

# 208 3. Development numerical heat transfer model

209

# 3.1 Conceptual model and assumptions

210 The geometry of the models (Figure 5) accounts for the topography on NNW-SSE (AA') 211 and NE-SW (BB') cross-sections (Figures 2b and 2c) that pass through, respectively, the 212 Andavakoera normal fault zone at the contact between sedimentary sequences and 213 basement rocks in the Ambilobe area, and the graben structure in the Ambanja area. The 214 dominant sedimentary and basement units have been considered in the models. The cross-215 sections are perpendicular to the main undifferentiated faults observed near hot spring 216 occurrences. The faults represented in the models are N010° Ankatakata post-Triassic 217 normal oblique-slip faults in the Ambilobe area and N140° border faults in the Ambanja 218 graben (Figures 2b and 2c). These faults appear on the geological map of Madagascar 219 produced by Roig et al. (2012).

220 Different modeling scenarios were defined to investigate the influence of fault dip on 221 groundwater flow and heat transfer processes (Table 1). The dips in the two models range 222 from 60° to  $85^{\circ}$  since dips from 60° to vertical have been shown to cause variations in the 223 pressure and temperature of subsurface fluids (Cherubini et al. 2013). These modeling 224 scenarios were based on the geological maps of Ambanja and Ambilobe, although many 225 uncertainties remain about fault dip and down-dip continuity at depth, which justifies the 226 use of various scenarios. The models simulate the potential temperature distribution for the 227 Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal areas according to fault dip influence.



Figure 5: Geometry and boundary conditions of the 2D numerical model based on the geological cross-sections (AA') and (BB') in Figure 2, representing a) the Andavakoera normal fault zone at the basement-sediment contact in the Ambilobe area, and b) the Ambanja graben.

Table 1 Fault scena	arios			
Area	Faults	Dip	Scenario	
		No fault	0	
		70° NW; 85° SE	1	
Ambiloho	E1, E2	60° NW; 60° SE	2	
Amonobe	$\Gamma 1, \Gamma 2$	80° SE; 75° SE	3	
		80° NW; 85° NW	4	
		No fault	0	
		80° SW; 80° NE	1	
Ambanja	F1; F2	75° NE; 80° NE	2	
		75° NW; 80° NW	3	

# **3.2** Governing equations

236 The models were developed using the subsurface flow module with a finite element method in the COMSOL Multiphysics software. The module couples groundwater flow simulated 237 238 with Darcy's law and heat transfer in porous media. This made it possible to simulate 239 forced convective heat transfer, which occurs along major faults where the host rock is 240 considered an equivalent porous medium. Steady-state flow and heat transfer were 241 numerically resolved according to the partial differential equation 1 and the input 242 parameters summarized in Table 2. Sedimentary rocks and granitic and paragneiss 243 basement rocks were considered low-permeability 2D units using the equivalent porous 244 medium, where fluids can circulate. Faults are discrete 1D linear elements superposed on the 2D porous medium, where flow and heat transfer are solved simultaneously. Coupling 245 246 between heat transfer and fluid motion occurs through the velocity field.

247 
$$\lambda_{\rm b} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right) - \rho_{\rm f} c_{\rm f} \left( u_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + u_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + A = 0$$
 (1)

248 where  $\lambda_b$  (Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>) is the bulk thermal conductivity; T (°C) is absolute 249 temperature; u (m s<sup>-1</sup>) is the velocity vector determined from simulations of fluid flow 250 quantified with Darcy's law,  $\rho_f$  (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) is the density of the fluid,  $c_f$  (J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) is the heat 251 capacity of the fluid, and A (10<sup>-6</sup> W m<sup>-3</sup>) is the radiogenic heat production.

252	<b>3.3 Geometry and subsurface properties</b>					
253	3.3.1. Geometry					
254	• Ambilobe					
255	The model has a width of 10 km and a depth of 10 km (Figure 5a). The sedimentary units					
256	dip 20-30° to the NE. Table 2 details the material properties of the 5 lithological domains.					
257	The reverse fault F marks the contact between the mica schist and orthogneiss formations,					
258	and F1 and F2 are the mains faults represented in the models.					
259	• Ambanja					
260	The model has a rectangular geometry 20 km wide and 10 km deep (Figure 5b). Table 2					
261	details the material properties of the 3 lithological domains. The properties of the border					
262	faults of the graben, represented by F1 and F2, are also included in the table.					
263 264	3.3.2. Thermohydraulic properties and internal heat generation					
265	The thermohydraulic properties of the model units were based on average properties					
266	measured in the laboratory for each geological formation reported by Rajaobelison et al.					
267	(2021), as summarized in Table 2. They are assumed to be constant with depth. Porosity $\emptyset$					
268	and permeability $k$ (m <sup>2</sup> ) were evaluated with transient measurements using the combined					
269	AP-608 gas permeameter-porosimeter from Core Test Systems with nitrogen as the gas					
270	source. The average bulk density $\rho$ (kg m <sup>-3</sup> ) was obtained from porosity assessments.					

Parameter	1		,	Value				Unit	Reference
Area	Ambilobe					Ambanja			
Formation		Sediments Basement			Basement				
Geological Units	Geological Units Permian Eo Sandstone Triassic		Triassic sandstone	Mica Shist Amphibolite	Ortho- gneiss	Syenite	Para- gneiss		
Mean Ø	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.001	0.02	Fractional	
Mean k	1.4 × 10 <sup>-13</sup>	$1.0 \times 10^{-17}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ \times  10^{-16} \end{array}$	$1.0 \\ \times 10^{-15}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ \times  10^{-16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ \times \ 10^{-18} \end{array}$	1.0 10 <sup>-15</sup>	$m^2$	Rajaobelison et al. (2021)
Mean $\lambda$	3.6	2.5	3.5	2.6	3.4	2.6	2.7	W m <sup>-1</sup> .K <sup>-1</sup>	
Mean $\rho$	2542	2382	2628	2735	2678	2700	2678	kg.m <sup>-3</sup>	
Mean c	c 904 875		919	879	846	875	830	J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	
Mean A	1.14 0.72 1.		1.25	0.58	0.38	1.35	0.38	10 <sup>-6</sup> W m- <sup>3</sup>	
Thickness Model		4		6 10		km			
Depth of crust				33				km	Andriamnanamanana at al
Upper Crust (UC)	20.5						km	(2017)	
Lower Crust (LC)	12.5					km	(2017)		
Mean A (UC)	1.5					$10^{-6} W m^{-3}$			
Mean A (LC)	0.72					10 w III	Horton et al. (2016)		
A- Total Crust	1.2					10 <sup>-6</sup> W m <sup>-3</sup>			
Fault Properties	F1; F2								
Aperture	Min. Mean			Mean	Max.				
$\hat{D_{\mathrm{f}}}$	$250 \times 10^{-6}$ $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$			$2 \times 10^{-3}$		m	Current study		
Permeability $k_{\rm f}$	10	)- 14		$10^{-10}$		10-7		$m^2$	

Table 2 Model input parameters

 $\lambda$  =thermal conductivity,  $\rho$ = density, c= heat capacity, A= radiogenic heat production

272 Transient thermal conductivity  $\lambda$  (Wm<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) and diffusivity  $\alpha$  (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) were measured with 273 the optical scanning method using an infrared scanner developed by LGM Lippmann. The 274 heat capacity, *c* (J kg<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>), was deduced from the thermal conductivity and thermal 275 diffusivity assessment (Popov et al. 2016; Popov et al. 1999).

The internal radiogenic heat generation (A; 10<sup>-6</sup> W m<sup>-3</sup>) for each rock presented in Table 2 was estimated from the concentration of the radiogenic elements U, Th and K, as reported in Rajaobelison et al. (2021).

279

# **3.3.3. Fault properties**

This study assumed fault aperture and permeability from 250  $\mu$ m to 0.2 cm and from 10<sup>-14</sup> 280 to 10<sup>-7</sup> m<sup>2</sup>, respectively (Table 2). These ranges are based on the literature, taken from 281 282 various in-situ hydraulic tests performed at geothermal sites (e.g. Holzbecher et al. 2011; 283 Jeong et al. 2001) and examples from numerical simulation studies of groundwater flow in 284 discrete fracture models (e.g. Bisdom et al. 2016; Guillou-Frottier et al. 2013). The 285 minimum fault aperture range corresponds to that observed under a normal stress of 286 20 Mpa in a laboratory experiment and which validated the cubic law for laminar flow of 287 fluids through open fractures (Witherspoon et al. 1980)

# 288 **3.4 Mesh**

Refinement of the mesh increases from bottom to top and close to the faults to avoid convergence problems. These locations require more accuracy due to large flow and temperature contrasts (Figure 6). A non-structured mesh with triangular elements was used, and the mesh statistics are summarized in Table 3.



Figure 6 Finite element mesh of the 2D numerical models for a) the Andavakoera
normal fault zone at the basement-sediment contact in the Ambilobe area, and b) the
Ambanja graben.

Table 3 Mesh statistics of Ambilobe and Ambanja 2D models

	Ambilobe	Ambanja	Unit
Number of element	101 672	274 403	
Maximum element size	104	404	m
Minimum element size	0.208	0.404	m
Nodes	49 506	137 998	

A mesh convergence study was undertaken to verify that the temperature solution is independent of the element size; i.e., a chosen cutline was sampled uniformly from the models at different mesh sizes. The parameter  $\sigma$  was then computed according to equation 2:

301 
$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\Delta T_i)^2}$$
(2)

where *N* is the sample number,  $\Delta T_i$  is the temperature variation at depth  $x_i = (i-1)D/N-1$  along the chosen cutline where the mesh size of the model is refined. Here, *D* is the depth of the model. It demonstrated that a stable solution was obtained above 10<sup>4</sup> elements with a difference of about 1 °C or less (Figure 7).





306

# 3.5 Boundary conditions

# 309 **3.5.1 Groundwater flow conditions**

310 Topography-driven groundwater flow affecting forced convection was reproduced by 311 imposing lateral flow boundary conditions (López and Smith 1995; Smith and Chapman 312 1983; Tóth 2009; Woodbury and Smith 1985). Without any information about the water 313 table level, the lateral boundaries of the model are assigned a constant hydraulic head equal 314 to the topographic elevation (Figure 5). Each segment representing a river in the model 315 valley is also assigned a constant hydraulic head value equal to its nodal elevation. An 316 outflow boundary was assigned at the upper-end of the faults to allow fluids to exit at the 317 upper-end of the faults. The base of the models was assumed impermeable.

### **3.5.2 Heat transfer conditions**

A constant temperature was specified at the surface of the models and assumed to be a linear function of the altitude. Equation 3 establishes the relationship between the surface temperature (*T*s) and altitude (*z*): Ts = -0.0046z + 26.85 (3) where -0.0046 and 26.85 °C are constants determined from average annual temperatures measured at four meteorological stations in Madagascar: Antsiranana, Mahajanga,

Antananarivo and Tolagnaro (Table 4; Stalenberg et al. 2018).

Table 4 Data from selected meteorological stations in Madagascar

Station	Antsiranana	Mahajanga	Antananarivo	Tolagnaro
Altitude (m)	105	27	1268	22
Average annual temperature (°C)	24.7	27	19.5	24

The lower boundary of the models, at a depth of 10 km, was assigned a second type condition of constant heat flow (Table 5), defined according to the Curie point depth (CPD; Tanaka et al. 1999) and the internal heat generation in the crust. Heat flow at the CPD  $(Q_{CDP}, mWm^2)$  was initially calculated using equation 4, which takes into account the surface (T<sub>0</sub> =20 °C) and Curie (T<sub>CDP</sub>=580 °C) temperatures:

331 
$$Q_{cpd} = \lambda \frac{T_{CPD} - T_0}{Z_{CPD}} - A \frac{Z_{CPD}}{2}$$
(4)

where  $A (10^{-6} \text{ W m}^{-3})$  is the average heat generation due to the radioactive decay of elements in the crust, which is the weighted average of the lower and upper crust heat generation. The internal heat generation in the upper and lower crusts was estimated at  $1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W m}^{-3}$  and  $0.72 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W m}^{-3}$ , respectively (Table 2; Horton et al. 2016).  $\lambda (\text{W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$  is the average thermal conductivity of the crust and Z<sub>CPD</sub> (m) is the CPD. The range of the CPD was estimated at 10 km to 33 km, corresponding to the average 338 Moho depth in northern Madagascar, using the centroid method of Tanaka et al. (1999)339 and according to the available world map of the CPD (Li et al. 2017).

340 The heat flow at 10 km ( $Q_{10}$ , mWm<sup>-2</sup>) was then calculated with:

341 
$$Q_{10} = Q_{\rm cpd} + A_{\rm LC} H_{\rm LC} + A_{\rm UC} (H_{\rm UC} - H_{\rm model})$$
(5)

where  $H_{LC}$  and  $H_{UC}$  are the thickness of the lower and upper crusts, respectively,  $H_{model}$  is the thickness of the model, and  $A_{LC}$  and  $A_{UC}$  are the heat generation of the lower and upper crusts, respectively (Table 2).

345 Adiabatic heat transfer conditions were applied to the lateral boundaries.

Parameters		Value		Unit
Surface temperature	urface temperature $Ts=-0.0046z+26.85$			°C
Heat flow at base (Q <sub>10</sub> )	Min 50	Mean 99	Max 148	mWm <sup>-2</sup>

### Table 5 Parameters for the heat transfer boundary condition

### **346 3.6 Parameter sensitivity**

The sensitivity of the model to the uncertain parameters was evaluated using the parametric sweep function implemented in COMSOL Multiphysics. The value of the parameters was set from the minimum to maximum. These parameters are basal heat flow, fault aperture and fault permeability (Tables 2 and 5). Combining these three parameters with the different fault dip scenarios (Table 1) yielded 96 simulations for the Ambilobe 2D model and 81 for Ambanja.

4. Results and analysis

### 355

# 4.1 2D subsurface temperature distribution and flow velocity

356 The different scenarios shown in Figures 8 and 10 are for base conditions using minimum, 357 median and maximum heat flow values and average fault properties (Tables 1 and 2). The 358 simulation results confirm that the highest temperatures occur when heat flow is maximum, 359 and that fluids and heat ascend along faults compared the fault-free base case (scenario 0). Temperature profiles as a function of depth along fault lines (Figures 9 and 11) show which 360 361 simulations best reproduce the near-surface temperature of the hot springs. The results also 362 indicate the depth at which reservoir temperature is attained, assuming the chemical 363 geothermometer provides a reliable reservoir temperature estimate. The most realistic 364 scenarios are when hot spring temperatures are attained near the upper-end of the faults, 365 and the reservoir temperature is at a reasonable depth, below approximately 2 km. The 366 most realistic simulation cases for Ambilobe are maximum heat flow value and fluids rising 367 along fault F2 in scenario 1, maximum heat flow value and fluids rising along fault F1 in 368 scenario 2, and median or maximum heat flow values and fluids rising along fault F2 in 369 scenarios 3 and 4 (Figures 8 and 9).



**Figure 8** Simulated temperature distribution for the Ambilobe 2D model considering different fault dips and heat flux variations

at 10 km depth. Black arrows indicate the velocity field and flow direction; white lines are isotherms



The most realistic cases for the Ambanja graben are found in scenario 1 with median and maximumheat flow values and fluids rising along fault F2 (Figures 10 and 11).

Figure 9 Temperature profiles as a function of depth along fault lines for the Ambilobe 2D
model. The temperature profiles in scenario 0 were obtained from an average cutline drawn in the
fault-free model.



Figure 10 Simulated temperature distribution for the Ambanja 2D model considering different fault dips and heat flux variations at

383 10 km depth. Black arrows show the velocity field and flow direction; white lines are isotherms.



385 Figure 11 Temperature profiles as a function of depth along fault lines for the Ambanja
386 2D model. The temperature profiles in scenario 0 were obtained from an average cutline
387 drawn in the fault-free model.

The magnitude of the Darcy velocity varies between  $10^{-9}$  and  $10^{-2}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> along the faults (e.g. Figure 12). The simulated outflow velocity at the upper-ends of favorable faults (Table 6 and Figure 12) shows no difference or a 1-2 magnitude difference compared to the measured flow velocity at some hot springs, which varies between  $10^{-9}$  and  $10^{-7}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> (Table 6; Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981).

			Amb	Ambanja			
	Most realistic scenario	1 2		3 and 4	3 and 4	1	1
	Heat flow Q <sub>10</sub> (mWm <sup>-2</sup> )	Max	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max
	Favorable fault	F2	F1	F2	F2	F2	F2
	Fault dip	85° SE	60° NW	75° SE and 85° NW	75° SE and 85° NW	80° NE	80° NE
	Measured flow at hot springs, (m s <sup>-1</sup> ) (Gunnlaugsson et al. 1981)	$1.09 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.45 \times 10^{-7}$	$9.23 \times 10^{-9}$ to $1.23 \times 10^{-8}$	5.45 × 7.27 ×	10 <sup>-9</sup> to < 10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.26 × 2.89 ×	10 <sup>-9</sup> to < 10 <sup>-8</sup>
	Simulated outflow velocity at upper- ends of fault (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	$6.47 \times 10^{-7}$ to 1.21 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	$7.07 \times 10^{-7}$ to 1.11 $\times 10^{-6}$	9.63 10 <sup>-7</sup> and 1.24 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> to 2.6 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.14 $10^{-7}$ and 2.72 × $10^{-7}$ to 1.24 × $10^{-6}$	$2.32 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.19 \times 10^{-3}$	$9.37 \times 10^{-5}$ to $9.74 \times 10^{-4}$
393							
204	а	Darcy ve	locity (m/s) 10 <sup>-07</sup> 10 <sup>-06</sup>	b Da	arcy velocity (m/s) 10 <sup>-05</sup> 10 <sup>-04</sup> 10 <sup>-03</sup>	10 <sup>-02</sup>	
394 395	20	000-		2000			
396							
397	4( ٦	000-		4000			
398	Depth (	000-		6000			
399	80	000		8000			
400	10	000		10000			

Simulated flow velocity at favorable faults for the most realistic scenarios for Ambilobe and Ambanja models Table 6




415 Figure 13 Variation of simulated flow velocity and temperature as a function of depth
416 along the fault. Ambilobe 2D model, scenario 3, fault F2 and median heat flow value.

# 417 **4.2 Influence of faults**

418 Faults play a fundamental role in the movement of fluids and pore pressure distribution 419 and therefore exert considerable influence over the temperature distribution. This is evident 420 when comparing scenario 0 (without faults) to faulted scenarios (Figures 8 and 10). The 421 faults serve as conduits for fluid upflow, with Darcy velocity vectors converging upward 422 along the faults toward the hot springs location. The temperature along faults can be up to 423 150 °C higher than adjacent areas (Figure 8 and 10). Heat transfer becomes conductive in 424 the surrounding host rock, where bulk permeability is lower than the high-permeability 425 zones because fluids drain towards the fault where convection occurs. Faults create 426 permeability heterogeneities in the crust, which affect fluid flow and convective heat 427 transfer, thereby influencing the location of hot springs (Faulds et al. 2010; Faulds and 428 Hinz 2015), especially at their intersections (Belgrano et al. 2016; Person et al. 2012). This 429 is consistent with the numerical modeling of deep fluid flow associated with crustal-scale 430 normal faults in the south-central Canadian Cordillera (Ferguson et al. 2009). The latter 431 reported that the permeability contrasts between host-rocks and faults acting as conduits 432 for preferential fluid flow, have the greatest influence on the subsurface temperature 433 distributions consistent with observed thermal springs. However, the presence of a fault 434 does not necessarily imply the formation of a hot spring unless the favorable conditions are 435 present that allow fluids and heat to ascend and reach the surface (e.g. López and Smith 436 1995; Thiébaud 2008)

437 Simulation scenario 3 for the Ambilobe 2D model clearly illustrates favorable conditions 438 for fluid ascension, with higher simulated temperatures near the upper-end of both faults 439 (Figure 8; Table 1) and fault dips of  $< 90^{\circ}$  facing the fluid flow. As shown in Figure 13, 440 the fluid at the surface, reaches the highest Darcy velocity ( $10^{-6}$  m s<sup>-1</sup>) which match the 441 temperature of the hot spring (50 °C). A flow velocity of  $10^{-8}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> is found at the depth 442 having the reservoir temperature of 180 °C.

On the other hand, if the fault is facing away from the fluid flow direction, like F1 in scenarios 1 and 3 for the Ambanja graben model (Figure 10; Table 1), then the hydraulic gradient between both ends of the fault is smaller and the simulated temperature near the upper-end of the faults is lower. Similar results were reported by Moreno et al. (2018), who simulated the impact of faults on the circulation of fluids originating from the basement underlying the Nevado del Ruiz volcanic complex of Colombia, where hot springs are

449 present. However, scenarios 1 and 2 for the Ambilobe 2D model showed that fluids are 450 able to rise to the surface along a fault with a dip facing away from the fluid flow direction 451 (F2 and F1, respectively) if it is close to a river that acts as a discharge zone (Figure 8 and 452 9). This is also seen in scenario 4. It suggests that both the fault dip and its distance from a 453 discharge zone where the hydraulic head can be low are important characteristics in favor 454 of fluid ascension.

Additionally, convective heat transfer along faults implies that ascending fluids are diluted as they mix with groundwater of shallower origin, to the degree that half of the ascending fluids will reach the upper 75 % of the fault length (Ferguson et al. 2009). This can explain the finding of significant mixing of geothermal fluids with shallower groundwater (Giggenbah diagram of Figure 5) and the absence of boiling hot springs in the Ambilobe and Ambanja geothermal fields due to cooling along the fault between the reservoir depth and the surface.

# 462 **4.3 Parameter sensitivity**

463 For each scenario, we investigated the variation in depth at which reservoir temperature is464 attained as a function of basal heat flow, fault aperture and permeability (Figures 15 and

465 16).



Temperature of reservoir along F1 : +  $K_r$ =Min; x  $K_r$ =Mean; •  $K_r$ = Max Temperature of reservoir along F1 : +  $D_r$ =Min; x  $D_r$ =Mean; •  $D_r$ = Max Temperature of reservoir along F2 : +  $K_r$ =Min; x  $K_r$ =Mean; •  $K_r$ =Max Temperature of reservoir along F2 : +  $D_r$ =Min; x  $D_r$ =Mean; •  $D_r$ =Max

467 Figure 14 Depth variation of reservoir temperature along fault lines in the Ambilobe
468 2D model according to different fault dip scenarios a) as a function of heat flow and fault
469 aperture, and b) heat flow and fault permeability. The dotted line indicates the trend of
470 depth variation.

The results reveal that the depth of reservoir temperature decreases with increasing heat flow, fault aperture and fault permeability. For example, in scenario 1 (Figure 14a), with the minimum fault aperture ( $D_f$ ) value and maximum fault permeability ( $k_f$ ), the depth of reservoir temperature decreases from >2500 to 200 m along fault F2 when heat flow increases from the minimum to the maximum. For the same scenario, using maximum heat flow and mean fault permeability, the depth of reservoir temperature decreases from 1400 to 1100 m along fault F2 by increasing the value of fault aperture. In scenario 3 (Figure 478 14b), using minimum fault permeability and maximum fault aperture, the depth of reservoir 479 temperature decreases from >2500 to 750 m along fault F2 as function of the heat flow 480 value. For the same scenario, using maximum heat flow and mean fault permeability, the 481 depth of reservoir temperature decreases from 800 to 400 m along fault F2 by increasing 482 the fault aperture. The least favorable cases in scenarios 1 and 2 are when minimum heat 483 flow fails to produce any points on the plots because the reservoir temperature is always 484 deeper than 2500 m despite increases in fault aperture and permeability.



486 Figure 15 Depth variation of reservoir temperature along fault lines in the Ambanja
487 2D model according to different fault dip scenarios a) as a function of heat flow and fault
488 aperture, and b) as a function of heat flow and fault permeability. The dotted line indicates
489 the trend of depth variation.

The parameter sensitivity trend described for different fault dip scenarios in the Ambilobemodel is the same for the Ambanja model (Figure 15). The least favorable cases correspond

492 to scenarios 1 and 2 using the minimum heat flow value because the reservoir temperature493 is deeper than 2500 m despite increasing fault aperture and permeability.

We assessed the influence of basal heat flow, fault aperture and fault permeability given the uncertainty associated with those inputs. Varying any of these parameters results in significant differences in pressure, velocity and field temperature, enhancing or diminishing fluid pathways along the fault.

498 The basal heat flow used in our models provides a first estimation of the heat flow range 499 for the geothermal region in northern Madagascar, which is comparable to the known heat 500 flows of the proposed analogue geothermal systems for Ambilobe and Ambanja discussed 501 below (Rajaobelison et al. 2020). In zones of extension and continental rifting, regional heat flow estimates are high, generally from 75 to 125 mWm<sup>-2</sup> (Jaupart et al. (2015). The 502 range of heat flow for the Great Basin (USA) is from 50 to ~120 mWm<sup>-2</sup>, averaging roughly 503 90 mWm<sup>-2</sup> (Blackwell D and Richards 2004). In Western Turkey, particularly in the Gediz 504 graben, the heat flow varies from ~50 to 140 mWm<sup>-2</sup>, with values exceeding ~100 mWm<sup>-2</sup> 505 506 (Yilmazer et al. 2010). In the present study, the basal heat flow affects the temperature 507 distribution at depth and thus influences the geothermal gradient along permeable faults 508 (Figure 9, 8 and 10, 11). Moreover, a higher basal heat flow amplifies the convective 509 regime when the fault permeability also increases (López and Smith 1995). In turn, the 510 reservoir temperature is reached at shallower depths. However, the thermal spring 511 distribution does not appear to be a function of heat flow. Indeed, high heat flow is 512 associated with higher temperatures near the upper-ends of the fault, while hot spring 513 temperatures can be found near the upper-ends of the fault in any of the favorable fault 514 scenarios regardless of heat flow (Figures 2).

515 Fault aperture impacts heat transfer along the fault, which is amplified when fault permeability is high ( $k_f > 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2$ ), allowing for fluid ascension as shown in scenarios 1 516 and 2 for the Ambilobe 2D model of Figure 14a. Indeed, a small fault aperture 517  $(D_{\rm f} > 250 \,\mu{\rm m})$  combined with a moderate to high fault permeability  $(10^{-10} - 10^{-7} \,{\rm m}^2)$  allows 518 519 hot fluids to be found at shallow depths, < 2 km from the surface. Increasing fault 520 permeability shifts the system from petrothermal to transitional, thereby making this 521 parameter a critical factor in numerical models. By varying fault permeability in the model, 522 the transmissivity to flow along faults becomes significant when fault permeability is higher than 10<sup>-14</sup> m<sup>2</sup>, attracting hot upwelling fluids at depth (Figure 14b and 15b). An 523 524 optimum combination of country-rock (host rock) and fault permeabilities is also needed 525 to obtain subsurface temperature distributions consistent with observed thermal springs 526 (Forster and Smith 1989). This means, the permeability difference between the country 527 rock and the fault can increase or decrease springs temperatures (Ferguson et al. 2009; Forster and Smith 1989; López and Smith 1995). In the present study, a permeability 528 529 difference of 4 to 7 orders of magnitude between the country rock and the fault depresses 530 the thermal field. The decrease is due to the downward flux of recharge water, while high-531 temperature discharge associated with upward flow occurs along the fault plane. In fact, 532 the pressure that forces the water to the surface is mainly supplied by the difference in elevation between the recharge and discharge areas. 533

However, it is well known that fractures and faults can seal and re-open over time through
multiple tectonic constraints (e.g. Ingebritsen and Manning 2010). The current seismicity
(magnitude Mw > 5 in the study areas; (Bertil and Regnoult 1998) suggests that faults may

537 maintain efficient permeability over time.

538 5. Discussion

539

# 5.1 Groundwater flow boundary conditions

540 The hydraulic head applied to the lateral boundaries of the model reproduces topography-541 driven groundwater flow from NNE to SSE in the Ambilobe model and from NW to SE in 542 the Ambanja model. Surface recharge is neglected since the goal is to evaluate the impact 543 of faults intercepting the regional flow path. At the river segment, the flow vectors are 544 directed toward the surface and the river constitutes a discharge zone (Figures 8 and 10). The magnitude of ascending flow vectors increases from  $10^{-10}$  to  $10^{-2}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> when faults 545 546 intercept ascending flow vectors. The impact of the regional flow path was previously 547 highlighted by Taillefer et al. (2018), who suggested that topography (i.e., an elevated 548 water table) is the key factor controlling fluid pathways and the hot spring distribution in 549 the Têt hydrothermal system of the Eastern Pyrénées in France. Similar conclusions can be 550 made for Ambilobe and Ambanja, where the topography-driven groundwater flow affects 551 forced convective heat transfer along the faults. The flow lines within the models show that 552 the fluids originating from higher elevations reach considerable depth where they can be 553 heated and redirected towards the surface through permeable faults (Figures 8 and 10). This 554 also confirms that hydraulic heads and hydrological budgets control the regional 555 groundwater flow systems in an extensional domain geothermal play, as mentioned by 556 Moeck (2014).

557

### 5.2 Model limitations

As a first step, a simplified model is necessary to understand the flow and heat transfer
mechanisms influencing the temperature distribution in a geothermal system where crustal-

35

scale faults control the fluid migration pathway. Fault and host rock permeabilities are assumed constant with depth, although they can decrease with increasing confining pressure (Rajaobelison et al. 2021). With this assumption, forced convection versus conduction is clearly favored. Indeed, permeability probably decreases with depth in the basement due to the contrasting mechanical properties of the minerals present in the rocks, such as mica and feldspar, and in faults due to sealing by the mineral precipitation (Stober and Bucher 2015).

567 The scarcity of structural information in the study area is an important limitation to the 568 models. More structural field data, including dip angle and fracture density measurements, 569 would better constrain the fault dip, aperture and permeability values in the numerical 570 models. Moreover, statistical features summarized from field data are needed for the 571 stochastic processes in discrete fracture models (e.g. Ivanova et al. 2014). It is currently 572 difficult to anticipate fracture behavior at depth. Ggeophysical surveys (e.g. gravity and 573 magnetotellurics) would help to image deep structures, build more accurate 2D models, 574 and eventually develop 3D models around faults.

Even though these aspects limited our modeling approach, the present work successfully
reproduces hot spring temperatures observed at surface, with consistent reservoir
temperatures at reasonable depths.

578

### 5.3 Geothermal exploration

579 This study identified favorable fault characteristics that trigger fluid ascension and favor 580 the formation of geothermal energy sources, knowledge that can assist geothermal 581 exploration efforts to better identify exploration targets. More specifically, the target

36

should be normal faults with  $< 90^{\circ}$  dips facing the regional fluid flow path driven by topography. Fault permeability must be higher than the host rock, corresponding to the critical range of permeability under which forced convection occurs, combined with a minimum aperture of 250 µm.

The simulation results also revealed that an average drilling depth of 1 to 2 km along favorable faults should reach the temperature interval of 150–200 °C (Figures 8 and 10). This finding can positively impact an exploration project since drilling cost increases nonlinearly with depth (e.g. Augustine et al. 2006).

590

## 6. **Conclusions**

591 This study presents, for the first time, 2D numerical models simulating groundwater flow 592 and heat transfer according to a realistic topography and fault hypothesis in Madagascar. 593 The aim is to better understand heat transfer mechanisms involved with the geothermal 594 systems of the Ambilobe normal fault zone and Ambanja graben in northern Madagascar. 595 Numerical simulations are used to define the large-scale temperature field in such 596 extensional-type geothermal systems. The resulting simulated temperature distribution 597 appears to be characteristic of conductive heat transfer in a low-permeability rock matrix 598 and forced convective heat transfer along faults, representing favorable conditions that 599 facilitate fluid ascension. The most realistic scenarios are when the hot spring temperature 600 is attained near the upper-end of the fault and the reservoir temperature is at a reasonable 601 depth, shallower than  $\sim 2$  km. The simulated flow velocity at the upper-ends of faults is 602 comparable to those measured in surface hot springs.

603 Our findings support previous interpretations of conceptual models in northern 604 Madagascar, where conduction is the dominant heat transfer mechanism in low-605 permeability rock matrix, except along faults that experience a strong hydraulic gradient 606 and provide a path for fluids to rise with forced convective heat transfer as the dominant 607 mechanism

Numerical models used in this study can be a predictive tool to help define geothermal exploration strategies in similar normal fault zone and graben settings. Further hydrogeological assessment using stable isotope analyses on springs and groundwaters can help improve and support the simulated fluid circulation concept by defining infiltration altitudes and determining fluid origin. Deep borehole data, such as temperature logs, will also be required for the next steps to realistically constrain the temperature prediction and advance geothermal exploration in North Madagascar.

### 615 Author contributions

616 MR: Data collection, investigation, conceptualization, methodology, Writing (original

617 draft preparation), formal analysis. JR and MM: Supervision, resources, project leads. JR,

618 CD and MR: Validation. JR, MM, CD and SL: Drafting and editing. All authors reviewed

619 the manuscript and have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### 620 Competing interests

621 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### 622 Availability of data and materials

623 The relevant datasets analyzed in this study are all presented in the manuscript.

624 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Canadian Francophonie Scholarship Program CFSP, which supported the first author with a scholarship. The UNESCO International geoscience program (IGCP) is also acknowledged for providing a framework that supported collaboration among the members of the IGCP-636 group involved in geothermal research and who participated as coauthors to this paper. Additional thanks go to Elie R. for discussions and invaluable help with modeling issues using COMSOL.

### 631 7. **References**

- Andriampenomanana, F., Nyblade, A. A., Wysession, M. E., Durrheim, R. J., Tilmann, F.,
  Julià, J., et al. (2017). The structure of the crust and uppermost mantle beneath
  Madagascar. *Geophysical Journal International*, 210 (3), 1525-1544,
  doi:<u>http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggx243</u>.
- Armistead, S. E., Collins, A. S., Merdith, A. S., Payne, J. L., Cox, G. M., Foden, J. D., et
  al. (2019). Evolving Marginal Terranes During Neoproterozoic Supercontinent
  Reorganization: Constraints From the Bemarivo Domain in Northern Madagascar. *Tectonics*, 38(6), 2019-2035, doi:10.1029/2018tc005384.
- Augustine, C., Tester, J. W., Anderson, B., Petty, S., & Livesay, B. A comparison of
  geothermal with oil and gas well drilling costs. In *31st Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford University, 30 February 2006* pp. 15: SGP-TR179
- Bakhsh, K. J., Nakagawa, M., Arshad, M., & Dunnington, L. Modeling thermal
  breakthrough in sedimentary geothermal system, using COMSOL multiphysics. In *41st Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford, California, Stanford University, 22-24 February 2016* pp. 12: SGP-TR-209
- Belgrano, T. M., Herwegh, M., & Berger, A. (2016). Inherited structural controls on fault
  geometry, architecture and hydrothermal activity: an example from Grimsel Pass,
  Switzerland. *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, *109*(3), 345-364, doi:10.1007/s00015016-0212-9.
- Bense, V. F., Person, M. A., Chaudhary, K., You, Y., Cremer, N., & Simon, S. (2008).
  Thermal anomalies indicate preferential flow along faults in unconsolidated
  sedimentary aquifers. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(24),
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036017.
- Bertil, D., & Regnoult, M. J. (1998). Seismotectonics of Madagascar. *Tectonophysics*, 294(1), 57-74, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(98)00088-2</u>.

- Besairie, H. Contribution à l'étude des sources minérales et des eaux souterraines de
  Madagascar. Travaux du Bureau Géologique, Service Géologique Antananarivo;
  1959. Report No.: 92.
- Bisdom, K., Bertotti, G., & Nick, H. M. (2016). The impact of in-situ stress and outcropbased fracture geometry on hydraulic aperture and upscaled permeability in
  fractured reservoirs. *Tectonophysics*, 690, 63, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.04.006.
- Blackwell D, D., & Richards, M. (2004). *Geothermal Map of North America*.
- Brogi, A., Alçiçek, M. C., Liotta, D., Capezzuoli, E., Zucchi, M., & Matera, P. F. (2021).
  Step-over fault zones controlling geothermal fluid-flow and travertine formation
  (Denizli Basin, Turkey). *Geothermics*, 89, 101941,
  doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2020.101941</u>.
- Chagnon-Lessard, N., Mathieu-Potvin, F., & Gosselin, L. (2020). Optimal design of
  geothermal power plants: A comparison of single-pressure and dual-pressure
  organic Rankine cycles. *Geothermics*, 86, 101787,
  doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2019.101787</u>.
- 673 Cherubini, Y., Cacace, M., Blöcher, G., & Scheck-Wenderoth, M. (2013). Impact of single
  674 inclined faults on the fluid flow and heat transport: results from 3-D finite element
  675 simulations. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 70(8), 3603-3618,
  676 doi:10.1007/s12665-012-2212-z.
- 677 Chorowicz, J., Bardintzeff, J. M., Rasamimanana, G., Chotin, P., Thouin, C., & Rudant, J.
  678 P. (1997). An approach using SAR ERS images to relate extension fractures to
  679 volcanic vents: examples from iceland and Madagascar. *Tectonophysics*, 271(3),
  680 263-283, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00250-8</u>.
- 681 Corbel, S., Schilling, O., Horowitz, F. G., Reid, L. B., Sheldon, H. A., Timms, N. E., et al.
  682 Identification and Geothermal Influence of Faults in the Perth Metropolitan Area,
  683 Australia. In Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford
  684 University, 30 January- 1 February 2012 Vol. 37, pp. 8: SGP-TR-194
- De Waele, B., Thomas, R. J., Macey, P. H., Horstwood, M. S. A., Tucker, R. D., Pitfield,
  P. E. J., et al. (2011). Provenance and tectonic significance of the Palaeoproterozoic
  metasedimentary successions of central and northern Madagascar. *Precambrian Research, 189*(1), 18-42, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2011.04.004.
- Faulds, J., Coolbaugh, M., Bouchot, V., Moeck, I., & Oguz, K. (2010). Characterizing
  structural controls of geothermal reservoirs in the Great Basin, USA, and Western
  Turkey: Developing successful exploration strategies in extended terranes. In *World Geothermal Congress Bali, 25-30 April 2010* pp. 11
- Faulds, J. E., & Hinz, N. H. Favorable tectonic and structural settings of geothermal
  systems in the Great Basin region, western USA: Proxies for discovering blind
  geothermal systems. In *World Geothermal Congress, Melbourne, 19-25 2015* pp.
  696
- 697Ferguson, G., Grasby, S. E., & Hindle, S. R. (2009). What do aqueous geothermometers698really tell us? *Geofluids*, 9(1), 39-48, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-6998123.2008.00237.x.</u>

- Ferrill, D. A., Sims, D. W., Waiting, D. J., Morris, A. P., Franklin, N. M., & Schultz, A. L.
  (2004). Structural framework of the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone in southcentral Texas. *GSA Bulletin*, *116*(3-4), 407-418, doi:10.1130/b25174.1.
- Forster, C., & Smith, L. (1989). The influence of groundwater flow on thermal regimes in
  mountainous terrain: A model study. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 94(B7), 9439-9451, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/JB094iB07p09439.
- Gibson, R. G. (1998). Physical character and fluid-flow properties of sandstone-derived
  fault zones. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 127*(1), 83-97,
  doi:10.1144/gsl.Sp.1998.127.01.07.
- Giggenbach, W., F. (1988). Geothermal solute equilibria. Derivation of Na-K-Mg-Ca geoindicators. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 52(12), 2749-2765, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(88)90143-3</u>.
- Grasby, S. E., Allen, D. M., Bell, S., Chen, Z., Ferguson, G., Jessop, A., et al. (2011).
   *Geothermal energy resource potential of Canada*. Natural Resources Canada.
- Guillou-Frottier, L., Carre, C., Bourgine, B., Bouchot, V., & Genter, A. (2013). Structure
  of hydrothermal convection in the Upper Rhine Graben as inferred from corrected
  temperature data and basin-scale numerical models. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 256, 29-49,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2013.02.008.
- Gunnlaugsson, E., Arnorsson, S., & Matthiasson, M. Madagascar-Reconnaissance survey
   for geothermal resources. Report, Projet MAG/77/104, Contract 141/79, VIRKIR;
   1981.
- Hao, Y., Fu, P., Johnson, S. M., & Carrigan, C. R. (2012). Numerical studies of coupled flow and heat transfer processes in hydraulically fractured geothermal reservoirs. *Geothermal Resources Council Transactions*, *36*, 453–458.
- Holzbecher, E., Oberdorfer, P., Maier, F., Jin, Y., & Sauter, M. Simulation of Deep
  Geothermal Heat Production In 2011 COMSOL Conference, Stuttgard, 26-28
  October 2011 pp. 6,
- Holzbrecher, E., Wong, L., & Litz, M.-S. Modelling flow through fractures in porous
  media. In *COMSOL Conference, Paris, 17-19 Novembre 2010* pp. 19,
- Horton, F., Hacker, B., Kylander-Clark, A., Holder, R., & Jöns, N. (2016). Focused
  radiogenic heating of middle crust caused ultrahigh temperatures in southern
  Madagascar. *Tectonics*, 35(2), 293-314, doi:10.1002/2015tc004040.
- Ingebritsen, S. E., & Manning, C. E. (2010). Permeability of the continental crust: dynamic variations inferred from seismicity and metamorphism. *Geofluids*, 10(1-2), 193-205, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-8123.2010.00278.x</u>.
- Ivanova, V. M., Sousa, R., Murrihy, B., & Einstein, H. H. (2014). Mathematical algorithm
  development and parametric studies with the GEOFRAC three-dimensional
  stochastic model of natural rock fracture systems. *Computers & Geosciences*, 67,
  100-109, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2013.12.004</u>.

- Jaupart, C., Labrosse, S., Lucazeau, F., & Mareschal, J. C. (2015). Temperatures, Heat and
  Energy in the Mantle of the Earth. In G. Schubert (Ed.), *Treatise on Geophysics*2nd ed., Vol. 7, (pp. 223-270). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Jeong, W.-C., Cho, Y.-S., & Song, J.-W. (2001). A numerical study of fluid flow and solute
  transport in a variable-aperture fracture using geostatistical method. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 5(4), 357-369, doi:10.1007/BF02829109.
- Lardeaux, J. M., Martelat, J. E., Nicollet, C., Pili, E., Rakotondrazafy, R., & Cardon, H. (1999). Metamorphism and Tectonics in Southern Madagascar: An Overview. *Gondwana Research*, 2(3), 355-362, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1342-937X(05)70274-4</u>.
- Levorsen, A. I., & Berry, F. A. (1967). *Geology of petroleum*. WH Freeman San Francisco.
- Li, C. F., Lu, Y., & Wang, J. (2017). A global reference model of Curie-point depths based
   on EMAG2. *Scientific Report*, 7, 45129, doi:10.1038/srep45129.
- Lindal, B. (1973). Industrial and other applications of geothermal energy. *Geothermal energy*, 135-148.
- Liotta, D., Brogi, A., Ruggieri, G., & Zucchi, M. (2021). Fossil vs. Active Geothermal
  Systems: A Field and Laboratory Method to Disclose the Relationships between
  Geothermal Fluid Flow and Geological Structures at Depth. *Energies*, 14(4), 933.
- Liu, X., Wei, M., Yang, L., & Wang, X. (2017). Thermo-economic analysis and optimization selection of ORC system configurations for low temperature binarycycle geothermal plant. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, *125*, 153-164, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.07.016</u>.
- López, D. L., & Smith, L. (1995). Fluid flow in fault zones: analysis of the interplay of
  convective circulation and topographically driven groundwater flow. *Water resources research*, *31*(6), 1489-1503.
- Loveless, S., Pluymaekers, M., Lagrou, D., Eva, Doornenbal, H., & Laenen, B. (2014).
  Mapping the Geothermal Potential of Fault Zones in the Belgium-Netherlands
  Border Region. *Energy Procedia*, 59, 351-358,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.388.
- Magri, F., Möller, S., Inbar, N., Möller, P., Raggad, M., Rödiger, T., et al. (2016). 2D and
  3D coexisting modes of thermal convection in fractured hydrothermal systems Implications for transboundary flow in the Lower Yarmouk Gorge. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 78, 750-758,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.10.002.
- Moeck, I. S. (2014). Catalog of geothermal play types based on geologic controls. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 37, 867-882, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.032</u>.

# Moreno, D., Lopez-Sanchez, J., Blessent, D., & Raymond, J. (2018). Fault characterization and heat-transfer modeling to the Northwest of Nevado del Ruiz Volcano. *Journal of* South American Earth Sciences, 88, 50-63, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2018.08.008</u>.

- Person, M., Hofstra, A., Sweetkind, D., Stone, W., Cohen, D., Gable, C. W., et al. (2012).
  Analytical and numerical models of hydrothermal fluid flow at fault intersections. *Geofluids*, 12(4), 312-326, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12002.
- Popov, Y. A., Pribnow, D. F. C., Sass, J. H., Williams, C. F., & Burkhardt, H. (1999).
  Characterization of rock thermal conductivity by high-resolution optical scanning. *Geothermics*, 28(2), 253-276, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6505(99)00007-</u>
  3.
- Popov, Y. A., Beardsmore, G., Clauser, C., & Roy, S. (2016). ISRM suggested methods
  for determining thermal properties of rocks from laboratory tests at atmospheric
  pressure. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, *49*(10), 4179-4207.
- Rajaobelison, M., Raymond, J., Malo, M., & Dezayes, C. (2020). Classification of
  geothermal systems in Madagascar. *Geothermal Energy*, 8(1), 22,
  doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40517-020-00176-7</u>.
- Rajaobelison, M., Raymond, J., Malo, M., Dezayes, C., & Larmagnat, S. (2021).
  Assessment of Petrophysical Rock Properties in North Madagascar: Implications for Geothermal Resource Exploration. *Natural Resources Research*, doi:10.1007/s11053-021-09875-9.
- Roig, J. Y., Tucker, R. D., Delor, C., Peters, S. G., & Théveniaut, H. (2012). Carte
  géologique de la Répulique de Madagascar à 1/1000000: Ministère des Mines,
  PGRM, Antananarivo, République Madagascar.
- Shi, W., & Pan, L. (2019). Optimization Study on Fluids for the Gravity-Driven Organic
  Power Cycle. *Energies*, 12(4), 732.
- Smith, L., & Chapman, D. (1983). On the thermal effects of groundwater flow: 1. Regional
   scale systems. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 88, 593-608.
- Stalenberg, E., Hutchinson, M. F., & Foley, W. J. (2018). Using historical normals to
  improve modern monthly climate normal surfaces for Madagascar. *International Journal of Climatology*, *38*(15), 5746-5765, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5776</u>.
- Stober, I., & Bucher, K. (2015). Hydraulic conductivity of fractured upper crust: insights
  from hydraulic tests in boreholes and fluid-rock interaction in crystalline basement
  rocks. *Geofluids*, 15(1-2), 161-178, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12104.
- Szijártó, M., Galsa, A., Tóth, Á., & Mádl-Szőnyi, J. (2019). Numerical investigation of the
  combined effect of forced and free thermal convection in synthetic groundwater
  basins. *Journal of Hydrology*, 572, 364-379,
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.03.003.
- Szijártó, M., Galsa, A., Tóth, Á., & Mádl-Szőnyi, J. (2021). Numerical analysis of the potential for mixed thermal convection in the Buda Thermal Karst, Hungary. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 34*, 100783, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2021.100783</u>.
- Taillefer, A., Guillou-Frottier, L., Soliva, R., Magri, F., Lopez, S., Courrioux, G., et al.
  (2018). Topographic and Faults Control of Hydrothermal Circulation Along

821 Dormant Faults in an Orogen. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 19(12), 822 4972-4995, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007965. 823 Tanaka, A., Okubo, Y., & Matsubayashi, O. (1999). Curie point depth based on spectrum 824 analysis of the magnetic anomaly data in East and Southeast Asia. Tectonophysics, 306(3), 461-470, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00072-4. 825 826 Thiébaud, E. (2008). Fonctionnement d'un système hydrothermal associé à un contact 827 tectonique alpin (La Léchère, Savoie). Thèse de Doctorat de Géologie, Université 828 de Savoie, Chambéry. 829 Thomas, B., Waele, B., Schofield, D. I., Goodenough, K., Horstwood, M., Tucker, R., et 830 al. (2009). Geological evolution of the Neoproterozoic Bemarivo Belt, northern 831 Madagascar. Precambrian Research, 279-300, 172, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2009.04.008. 832 833 Tiab, D., & Donaldson, E. C. (2016). Chapter 3 - Porosity and Permeability. In D. Tiab, & 834 E. C. Donaldson (Eds.), Petrophysics (Fourth Edition) (pp. 67-186). Boston: Gulf 835 Professional Publishing. 836 Tomarov, G., & Shipkov, A. (2017). Modern geothermal power: Binary cycle geothermal 837 power plants. Thermal Engineering, 64(4), 243-250. 838 Tóth, J. (2009). Gravitational systems of groundwater flow – Theory, evaluation, 839 utilization. Vol. 297. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. Tucker, R., Roig, J. Y., Moine, B., Delor, C., & Peters, S. G. (2014). A geological synthesis 840 841 of the Precambrian shield in Madagascar. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 94, 9-842 30, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2014.02.001. 843 Volpi, G., Magri, F., Frattini, P., Crosta, G. B., & Riva, F. (2017). Groundwater-driven 844 temperature changes at thermal springs in response to recent glaciation: Bormio 845 hydrothermal system, Central Italian Alps. Hydrogeology Journal, 25(7), 1967-1984, doi:10.1007/s10040-017-1600-6. 846 847 Witherspoon, P. A., Wang, J. S. Y., Iwai, K., & Gale, J. E. (1980). Validity of Cubic Law 848 for fluid flow in a deformable rock fracture. Water Resources Research, 16(6), 849 1016-1024, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/WR016i006p01016. Woodbury, A. D., & Smith, L. (1985). On the thermal effects of three-dimensional 850 groundwater flow. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 90(B1), 759-851 767, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/JB090iB01p00759. 852 Yilmazer, S., Pasvanoğlu, S., & Vural, S. (2010). The relation of geothermal resources 853 854 with young tectonics in the Gediz graben (West Anatolia, Turkey) and their 855 hydrogeochemical analyses. In World Geothermal Congress, Bali, 25-29 April 856 2010 pp. 10, 857 Zucchi, M. (2020). Faults controlling geothermal fluid flow in low permeability rock 858 volumes: An example from the exhumed geothermal system of eastern Elba Island 859 (northern Tyrrhenian Sea. Italy). Geothermics, 85. 101765, 860 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2019.101765. 861

# Author's contribution:

MR: Data collection, Investigation, Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft preparation, formal analysis.

JR and MM: Supervision, Resources, led the project;

JR, CD and MR: Validation.

JR, MM, CD, and SL: Drafting - Reviewing and Editing.

All authors reviewed the manuscript, have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.