

# Gas concentration and flow rate measurements as part of methane baseline assessment: Case of the Fontaine Ardente gas seep, Isère, France

Frédérick Gal, Wolfram Kloppmann, Eric Proust, Pauline Humez

# ▶ To cite this version:

Frédérick Gal, Wolfram Kloppmann, Eric Proust, Pauline Humez. Gas concentration and flow rate measurements as part of methane baseline assessment: Case of the Fontaine Ardente gas seep, Isère, France. Applied Geochemistry, 2018, 95, pp.158-171. 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.05.019 . hal-02860753

# HAL Id: hal-02860753 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-02860753v1

Submitted on 21 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Gas concentration and flow rate measurements as part of methane baseline assessment:
- 2 Case of the Fontaine Ardente gas seep, Isère, France.

3

- 4 Authors:
- 5 Gal F.<sup>1\*</sup>, Kloppmann W.<sup>1</sup>, Proust E.<sup>1</sup>, Humez P.<sup>2</sup>
- 6
- 7 1: BRGM, 3 Avenue Claude Guillemin, 45060 Orléans, France
- 8 2: University of Calgary, Department of Geoscience, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
- 9 \*: corresponding author: <u>f.gal@brgm.fr</u>

### 10 Abstract

Methane and CO<sub>2</sub> gas emissions from a gas seep located in the French Alps, documented 11 over two millenaries, have been quantified along with gas emissions from the miniseepage 12 area contouring the main vent. Several tons per year of both gas phases are released in the 13 14 atmosphere from the main seep (18 tons of CH<sub>4</sub> and 5.5 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>) which has been 15 modified by an old borehole. Diffuse seepage brings additional  $CH_4$  (1 ton per year) and  $CO_2$ (2.3 tons per year) which are emitted from a small area of 240 m<sup>2</sup>. Secondary CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation 16 processes are likely to occur near the surface. Biologically produced CO<sub>2</sub> is also found in the 17 18 soil in the seepage area and the biological component becomes predominant as CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations drop lower than 2% vol. Soil gas CH<sub>4</sub>+CO<sub>2</sub> enrichments are oriented along 19 N45 and N170 pathways that represent the main structural directions of the area. Soil flux 20 anomalies are more scattered because surface clay formations have been strongly reworked 21 at surface. Variety of methods (IRGA, micro-GC, accumulation chamber flux measurements, 22 IR-camera) were tested and are discussed in connection with environmental impact 23 monitoring and the role of sampling conditions, with emphasis on shale gas and carbon 24 25 storage baseline assessments The role of potential leakage pathways represented by the old borehole structure is also discussed as an analogue with leaky shale gas wells. 26

# 27 <u>Highlights</u>

28	-	Definition of the degassing pattern of a major $CH_4+CO_2$ gas seep in the French Alps.
29	-	Quantification of greenhouse gas emissions to the atmosphere from this gas seep.
30	-	Implications for containment and environmental baseline assessment related to
31		shale gas environmental impact.
32		

# 33 <u>Keywords</u>

34 Methane – gas seep – natural degassing – environmental baseline assessment – shale gas

## 35 1. Introduction

Natural Earth degassing is a matter of concern and investigation since many years in various 36 fields such as volcanology or geothermics. Often relying on the characterization of the gas 37 species percolating from depth to shallow horizons and subsequently to the atmosphere, 38 39 these studies have provided better constraints on the sources and amounts of gases released to the atmosphere and on potential migration pathways. Numerous studies have 40 dealt with the evaluation of the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> and other gases naturally released by 41 volcanic structures (e.g. Greenland et al., 1985; Hobbs et al., 1991; Brantley and Koepenick, 42 1995; Halmer et al., 2002) and the consequences such releases may have on human health 43 (e.g. Sigurdsson et al., 1987; Baxter et al., 1999). Gas emissions from geothermal areas and 44 from geothermal exploitation have also received growing interest as contribution to 45 greenhouse gases (GHG) in the atmosphere (e.g. Capaccioni et al., 1993; Cardenelli et al., 46 2003a; Armannsson et al., 2005). Furthermore, they induce direct risks for human dwellings 47 48 (e.g. Durand and Scott, 2005). Gas releases may also be associated with emissions from hydrothermal resources (e.g. Battani et al., 2010), from non-volcanic areas (e.g. Rogie et al., 49 50 2000) or from other subsoil reservoirs (e.g. Etiope and Martinelli, 2002; Voltattorni and Lombardi, 2010): they are associated with mud volcanoes (e.g. Jud, 2005; Etiope et al., 51 52 2011a) and with hydrocarbon plays (e.g. Etiope, 2015). The budgets of carbon emissions to the atmosphere have been assessed in the 2000's (e.g. Mörner and Etiope, 2002) and are 53 54 regularly refined for CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Holloway *et al.*, 2007) or CH<sub>4</sub> (e.g. Etiope, 2015). Recently, methane emissions from igneous rocks (abiotic gas) have also been recognized as more 55 56 widespread and more important than previously thought (e.g. Etiope, 2017).

57 Consequently, there is still need to better constrain methane emissions to the atmosphere given its elevated greenhouse power. As pointed out by Etiope (2015) in his landmark 58 monograph, the importance of hydrocarbon seepage from onshore locations is far from 59 negligible. The refinement of natural gas seepage contributions to the atmospheric methane 60 budget thus contributes to better understanding the impacts on climatic forcing. 61 62 Hydrocarbons released by gas seeps, miniseepages, microseepages and emissions from mud 63 volcanoes are phenomena occurring worldwide, in Europe (Germany, Greece, Italy, Romania, Switzerland), Azerbaijan, Turkey, Asia (China, Japan, Taiwan), Australia and USA. 64 Emissions from ophiolites or igneous intrusions (Etiope, 2015) are even more widespread. 65 Methane seeps are also an important part of the geochemical baseline that needs to be 66 defined when assessing the environmental impact of conventional and unconventional 67 68 hydrocarbon exploration and exploitation (Humez et al., 2016; Mayer et al., 2015; Mayer et al., 2016). 69

Emissions of alkanes in the alpine foreland are reported in Switzerland (Giswil, Northern 70 71 Alps; Etiope et al., 2010) taking the form of both diffuse degassing area and gas vents with 72 large amounts of thermogenic gas released each year (more than 16 tons per year from 2 main seeps and diffuse degassing). Gas seeps in France are largely under-investigated 73 74 (Etiope, 2009). Only site is mentioned in the MAGA database one (http://www.magadb.net/global\_map/) even though methane point emissions do not seem 75 76 to be an uncommon phenomenon in the French Subalpine Chains (Figure 1), apparently 77 systematically related to outcropping or underlying Lower Jurassic argillaceous sediments.

78 We report investigations at the most prominent French alpine gas seep, known for at least 79 two millenaries, the Fontaine Ardente du Gua site, south of Grenoble (hereafter referred to

as Fontaine Ardente). First measurements, in June 2015, aimed to define the shape of the gas emissions at surface and preliminary results can be found in Kloppmann *et al.* (2016) and in Gal *et al.* (2017a). Here we present more detailed investigations based on soil gas measurements and on soil gas flux measurements performed in October 2016, allowing a first quantitative assessment of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes to the atmosphere.

85 Figure 1

86

## 87 2. Geological and historical settings

The Fontaine Ardente gas seep is situated 20 km South-West from Grenoble city (Isère 88 89 department, France), at the foothill of the Urgonian limestone cliffs of the Vercors Massif (Figure 1Error! Reference source not found.). The gas emanates from Callovian claystones 90 91 and argillaceous limestones (black shales) in a small thalweg in the main bed of the Vernant 92 creek. Gas escapes are thought to be linked with faults but the geometry of these faults 93 remains unclear and so does the origin of the gas phase. There has been a debate between a deep origin, linked to the existence of a deep fault reaching Triassic formations, and a near 94 95 surface origin, linked to a minor fault only affecting shales (Mercier and Seguin, 1939), the 96 region being rich in organic-rich sediments (coal seams of La Mûre or the Motte d'Aveillans 97 coalfield; Berthier et al., 1991).

98 The Fontaine Ardente seep is known from ancient times (as early as 426 AD) as "burning 99 water" because the seep was initially observed in the stream bed of the Vernant creek 100 (Figure 1). Following the rush in hydrocarbons exploitation in the US (especially in 101 Pennsylvania) and Canada in the XIX<sup>th</sup> century, pro-parte based on the discovery of natural

102 gas associated with hydrocarbons, attempts to exploit the Fontaine Ardente gas have been 103 made around 1880 (Piret, 1881). It was believed that there must be a considerable gas 104 reservoir together with perennial gas production to allow leakage of methane at ground 105 surface for such a long time – a volume of  $1.73 \times 10^3$  m<sup>3</sup> (3.1 tons at boiling point) of CH<sub>4</sub> per 106 day was evaluated in the late XIX<sup>th</sup> century.

The composition of the gas phase was analyzed for the first time at the end of the XIX<sup>th</sup> century: 98.81% (vol.) of CH<sub>4</sub>, 0.58% of CO<sub>2</sub>, 0.48% of N<sub>2</sub>, 0.10% of O<sub>2</sub> and 0.03% of loss or bias during analysis (Piret, 1881). It was reevaluated in 1960, leading to the sole detection of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> at 91.16% and 8.84% respectively (cited in AHPG, 2012). Traces of O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> previously described were attributed to atmospheric contamination during sampling.

112 In view of the exploitation of the gas phase, the primitive site was profoundly modified in the goal of focusing the patchy gas emissions into a unique emitting point (Piret, 1881). 113 114 Infrastructure was built to deviate stream water and to allow the construction of a 115 gasometer to collect gas without interaction with surface waters. From this gasometer the gas was piped uphill for further use to the plateau surrounding the thalweg where the 116 fountain is located. The exploitation quickly ceased as the gas production could not be 117 118 enhanced and floods repeatedly destroyed the surface infrastructure. The investigations related to these works concluded that the rock formations near the surface were altered 119 120 down to a depth of 18 to 20 m below ground surface by the long-term percolation of the gas. Due to flooding in 1956, the site was covered by detrital sediments, the gas emission 121 pathways have changed and the gas flux to the surface decreased. 122

123 In order to enhance and better constrain gas emissions, further works were undertaken in 124 the 90's (Berthier *et al.*, 1991). After surveying soil gas ( $CO_2$  soil gas concentration 125 prospecting at 0.5 m depth), 2 boreholes (FA1 and FA2) were drilled down to 35 m below ground level. Only one (FA2; Figure 1) was methane rich, main gas inflow occurring at about 126 10 m depth. Gas flowrate was measured at 130 l/min at 0.1 bars during 3 hours (borehole 127 opened). Once closed, borehole pressure increased up to 0.6 bars. Exploitation of the gas 128 129 had been expected to be possible at above 90 l/min but no exploitation was tried. Nowadays 130 the FA2 borehole represents the main seep knowing that the wellhead has been destroyed 131 and that additional reworking led to the building of a concrete platform around the former wellhead and to the flattening of the area close to FA2 borehole as shown by Figure 1Error! 132 Reference source not found.. 133

134

### 135 3. <u>Methods</u>

Site investigations at Fontaine Ardente used two approaches: soil gas concentrations
measurements at 1 m depth and soil gas flux quantification at the soil/atmosphere interface.

138

## 3.1. Soil gas measurements

Soil gas concentrations were measured according to a well-established methodology used 139 140 e.g. for monitoring for Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) sites (Gal et al., 2014). A 1 m square mesh grid was used in 2015 (Figure 1). In 2016, the mesh grid varied from 1 x 1 m to 141 142 1 x 2 m. Some measurements were performed outside of this grid and outside of the 143 Vernant river bed. These points serve as reference points for the evaluation of background characteristics of soil gas. Small holes (1 cm in diameter) were drilled down to 1 m depth. 144 145 Such a small mesh size for systematic sampling is rarely used in similar studies (e.g. 146 Bloomberg *et al.*, 2012) and is a direct consequence of the small size of the area to monitor.

Using a small mesh is also a way to lower the uncertainty in soil gas flux quantifications that may be related to the use of relatively small flux chambers (Parkin and Venterea, 2010). Owing to the presence of a concrete platform and surrounding rockwall (gabion wall), the area cannot be monitored totally.

For soil gas investigations, a sampling tube was inserted into the hole of 1 cm in diameter 151 and an Infra-Red Gas Analyzer (IRGA, LFG20 by ADC Gas Analysis Ltd., UK) was plugged, 152 pumping at low flow rate (200 ml.min<sup>-1</sup>) and reaching steady state conditions within tens of 153 seconds. No concentration changes on very short time scale (minutes) were observed. The 154 IRGA allowed a direct estimate of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> gas concentrations in soils. Analytical 155 precision for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> was ±0.5% of the reading for low concentration range (0.01–10% 156 vol.), ±3% of the reading for higher concentrations (10 to 50% vol.) and up to ±5% of the 157 reading for the CO<sub>2</sub> sensor and ±16% for the CH<sub>4</sub> sensor for concentrations greater than 50% 158 (a procedure is described in supplementary information to correct the bias for  $CH_4$ 159 concentrations). The precision for oxygen was ±0.4% full scale reading (0–25% vol.). 160

161 Complementary characterization of the gas phase was performed in 2015 using gas microchromatography (Agilent 3000) in order to increase the accuracy of CH<sub>4</sub> determinations (IR 162 163 sensors exhibit non-linear absorption at high gas concentrations). Samples were stored in Tedlar<sup>®</sup> bags during site monitoring and analyzed by the end of the day. A quantitative 164 165 assessment of the gas phase composition was then possible for permanent gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>,  $N_2$ , Ar), alkanes (CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>) and <sup>4</sup>He. Detection limits are 10 ppm for permanent gases, 166 2 ppm for alkanes and 50 ppm for helium. Precision of the measurement is better than 2% at 167 full scale. 168

169

### 170 **3.2.** Soil flux measurements

Soil gas flux measurements were performed in 2016 on the 1 x 1 to 1 x 2 m mesh grid. At 171 some locations, flux monitoring was not technically feasible as the ground was too pebbly, in 172 the bed of the Vernant creek or strongly modified by the concrete platform and the rockwall 173 174 (Figure 1). The accumulation chamber technique, with external recirculation, was used. Such 175 closed chamber measurements are widely used for  $CO_2$  flux quantifications (e.g. Chiodini et al., 1998; Fridriksson et al., 2006) and are also applied to CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements (e.g. Etiope 176 et al., 2013a; Gal et al., 2014). Reliable and representative estimates of the soil gas flux can 177 be obtained as this technique does not rely on corrections depending on soil characteristics 178 179 (Chiodini et al., 1998, and references therein). The flux chamber system is a customized version of the soil gas flux system of Echo Instruments (Slovenia). The aluminum chamber 180 has a semi-spherical geometry with a basal area of 0.0289  $m^2$  and a volume of 0.0018  $m^3$ 181 (soft ground) to 0.0023 m<sup>3</sup> (hard ground). There is no anchor installation prior to 182 183 measurement as the sharp-edged base of the chamber is shaped to be easily inserted in the 184 ground. A fan with tunable speed ensures the required mixing of the headspace gas (Parkin 185 and Venterea, 2010). Pressure, temperature and relative humidity inside the chamber, as well as pumping rate, are continuously monitored thus giving estimates on the possible 186 187 influence of external parameters on the measurements. The following gas species are monitored: CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> by Non-Dispersive Infra-Red (NDIR) detectors (0 to 5000 ppmv ± 2% 188 and 0 to 10000 ppmv  $\pm$  5% respectively), O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S by electrochemical cells (0 to 25% vol.  $\pm$ 189 190 2% of the reading and 0 to 10000 ppmv ± 5% of the reading respectively). The 191 measurements are performed directly on the soil surface without removal of the first 192 centimeters of the soil as it was sometimes reported (Fridriksson et al., 2006). Around two 193 thirds of the fluxes were measured on bare soil or gravel soil, the rest on vegetated soil

(grass). This allowed quantification of the gas emissions to the atmosphere under
undisturbed conditions (soil removal may artificially enhance gas emissions if performed
shortly before measurement).

The flux measurement was based on the rate of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  increases (positive fluxes), and possible opposite  $O_2$  decrease ("negative" fluxes), in the chamber. Usually positive values indicate fluxes directed from the soil to the atmosphere and negative values indicate flow from the atmosphere into the soil (*e.g.* D'Alessandro *et al.*, 2018). Details on the procedure used to calculate flux is given as supplementary information.

202

# 203 4. Results and discussion

# 204 4.1. <u>Meteorological conditions</u>

The influence of meteorological conditions is a widely reported phenomenon (e.g. Toutain and Baubron, 1999). The two campaigns were performed under contrasted climatic conditions.

In June 2015, high rainfall amounts were recorded prior to the field acquisitions (40 mm on 208 209 June 13 and 14). Such amounts can lower the soil gas concentrations in the upper part of the 210 soil (0 to 40 cm depth) – and so the gas flux at the soil/atmosphere interface – as the result of a barrier effect that reduces gas emissions to the surface when water is percolating 211 212 downwards and/or dissolving part of the soil  $CO_2$  (Hinkle, 1994). At the opposite, increases of the concentrations by a "piston" accumulation effect can be observed deeper in the soil 213 214 (Bouma and Bryla, 2000). Rainfall has less influence on CH<sub>4</sub> as it is less soluble in water than CO<sub>2</sub> (Weiss, 1974; Wiesenburg and Guinasso, 1979). Gas emission can also be affected by 215

pressure variations with possible anti-correlation of gas with air pressure (Toutain and
Baubron, 1999) even if this relation has not been observed in all cases (*e.g.* Gal *et al.*, 2014).

218 The situation was opposite in October 2016. Only 4 mm of rainfall occurred during the two days preceding the measurements and August and September 2016 were drought months 219 (only 37.8 + 37.1 mm precipitated compared to 67.2 + 105.7 mm on thirty-year average; 220 http://www.meteofrance.com/climat/france/grenoble/38384001/releves). 221 Influence of rainfalls on gas emissions may be of secondary importance in 2016 as water uptake by 222 vegetation and/or evaporation after rainfalls may preclude water to percolate deep in the 223 soil. This period of drought also allowed enlarging the monitoring area by performing some 224 225 data acquisitions directly in the river bed of the Vernant creek (Figure 1).

226

## 227 4.2. Statistical parameters

Main statistical characteristics of soil gas concentrations measured in the field by gas chromatography in 2015 and IRGA in 2016 are reported in Figure 2. The 2016 IRGA data were completed by some gas chromatography measurements ( $N_2$ , <sup>4</sup>He and  $C_2H_6$ ) in order to cross-check high CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations measured by IRGA. The gas phases monitored in 2015 (Gal *et al.*, 2017a) and the gas phases monitored in 2016 are in good agreement:

Soil gas concentrations cover a wide range of variation typically linked to the
 existence of a gas vent. Concentrations of the predominant gas in the main vent
 (CH<sub>4</sub>) ranged from zero up to 90% vol.

CO<sub>2</sub> exists across all the monitoring area with maximum values around 11% for the
 main vent and background values in the surrounding forest soils around 0.2% (Figure
 1).

Trace gases are detected less frequently.  $C_2H_6$  and <sup>4</sup>He appeared to be detectable in 239 half of the samples in 2015 and their concentrations reached respectively 590 and 240 241 250 ppm. In a few samples, having a composition similar to that of the main seep (FA2 inFigure 1), very low amounts of C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> were measured close to the instrumental 242 detection limit (2 to 3 ppm in the present case). Interestingly, H<sub>2</sub>S has not been 243 detected in 2015 but has been monitored at high concentrations in 2016 in four 244 samples (up to 2.2% vol. in two samples; around 200 ppm in two other samples; 245 246 below detection limit – 50 ppm – in the two last samples).

Oxygen and N<sub>2</sub> concentrations varied from atmospheric concentrations down to near 247 zero values for O<sub>2</sub> and residual content for N<sub>2</sub>. The gas emitted at the main seep is 248 composed by 89% CH<sub>4</sub>, 9 to 9.5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 1% residual N<sub>2</sub> and traces of Ar (less than 249 0.07%), <sup>4</sup>He (0.018%) and  $C_2H_6$  (0.0002%).  $H_2S$  measured in 2016 accounts for 250 additional 2% vol. These values well match with the corrected IRGA measurements 251 252 (respectively 92-93% CH<sub>4</sub>, 9-9.2% CO<sub>2</sub> and 0% O<sub>2</sub>). By comparison, a smaller gas seep reported locally (Meylan-Rochasson gas seep; Gal et al., 2017a) is richer in CH<sub>4</sub> 253 254 (94.5%), in  $C_2H_6$  (1.9%) and  $C_3H_8$  (0.3%), and has lower  $N_2$  residual amount (0.35%), lower <sup>4</sup>He (0.007%) and  $CO_2$  contents (0.39%). 255

256 Main statistical characteristics of soil gas fluxes measured in 2016 are reported in Figure 2. 257 Oxygen decrease over the duration of flux measurements (not reported in Figure 2) were 258 measured on 9 points (of 69) and ranged between -3.5 and -20 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h. Both CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>

- fluxes reached values close to 16 to 17 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h at maximum. A CO<sub>2</sub> flux was always possible
- to monitor whereas CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were measurable only on the four-fifths of the data.

261 Figure 2

262

# 263 4.3. Data analysis

All the gas concentrations measured in the soil are linearly correlated for all measured parameters (Gal *et al.*, 2017a):

- 266 Strong positive correlations were found between  $CH_4$  and <sup>4</sup>He in 2015 (Pearson's 267 coefficient of correlation of 0.970),  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  (0.981) and <sup>4</sup>He and  $C_2H_6$  (0.945);
- Weaker albeit statistically significant correlations were found between in 2015 between N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> (0.756), CO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (0.591), CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>4</sup>He (0.587) and in 2015 and 2016 between CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> (0.738);
- 271 Reversely, strong anti-correlations were found in 2015 between N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> (-0.989), 272 N<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (-0.988) and N<sub>2</sub> and <sup>4</sup>He (-0.960);
- 273 Last, reverse correlations were also found in 2015 between  $O_2$  and <sup>4</sup>He (-0.794),  $O_2$

and  $C_2H_6$  (-0.755),  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  (-0.610) and in 2015 and 2016 between  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  (-

275 0.910) and  $O_2$  and  $CH_4$  (-0.810),

Additional quantification of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes allowed to add the following
 tendencies: strong correlation between CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (0.918) but neither
 relation of CH<sub>4</sub> flux with CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations in soil gas (0.115) nor of CO<sub>2</sub> flux with
 CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (0.014) when considering the whole dataset.

Among the dataset, about two thirds of the data clearly showed the influence of the gas seep (high  $CH_4$ , presence of <sup>4</sup>He,  $C_2H_6$  and  $CO_2$ ).

282

283

# 3 4.3.1. <u>CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> relationship</u>

One of the most frequently studied relationships in soil gas studies is CO<sub>2</sub> vs. O<sub>2</sub> (e.g. 284 Romanak et al., 2012; Figure 3). Three main mechanisms result in linear correlations of both 285 286 gases. By order of increasingly negative slopes of  $O_2$  vs.  $CO_2$  lines (Figure 3A), these are i) 287 dilution, occurring when a deep CO<sub>2</sub> endmember dilutes the O<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub> pool in near surface environments (slope of -0.2); ii) replacement, due to aerobic respiration in soil that 288 consumes 1 mole of  $O_2$  to produce 1 mole of  $CO_2$  (slope of -1); iii) CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation in near 289 290 surface environments where 2 moles of O<sub>2</sub> are needed to produce one mole of CO<sub>2</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>O 291 (slope of -2). The linear regression line calculated using the 2015 and the 2016 measurements has a slope (-2.07) very close to this CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation line albeit data scattering 292 is not negligible for CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations exceeding 3 to 4% vol. This suggests that CH<sub>4</sub> 293 oxidation plays an important role in the appearance of CO<sub>2</sub> in the soil gas even if, in 2016, 294 concentrations up to 4 to 5% of CO<sub>2</sub> (in the absence of CH<sub>4</sub>) can still be attributed to near 295 296 surface biological CO<sub>2</sub> production.

This 3 to 4% vol.  $CO_2$  concentration range seems to be a threshold from which  $CH_4$  oxidation process has a significant influence onto  $CO_2$  production whatever the sampling period considered. At lower  $CO_2$  concentrations, data are often lying in between the  $CH_4$  oxidation line and the replacement line. This indicates a mixed origin with part of the  $CO_2$  deriving from  $CH_4$  oxidation and part of the  $CO_2$  deriving from biological processes. The latter become predominant for  $CO_2$  concentrations lower than 2% vol. Such concentrations levels can

reasonably be assumed as the mean biological background concentrations (*e.g.* Gal *et al.*, 2014 and references therein). Nonetheless, Figure 4 outlines that even at such low  $CO_2$ concentrations the influence of  $CH_4$  oxidation process may exist thus suggesting a complex behavior of gas emission towards the surface.

The CO<sub>2</sub> vs. O<sub>2</sub> plot allows the identification of another group of points that plots fairly well on the replacement line (Figure 3A). Their CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations reach more than 5% vol. and may represent soils with strong biological activity. Such concentrations are consistent with concentrations up to 8-10% of biologically derived CO<sub>2</sub> reported in the literature (*e.g.* Gal *et al.*, 2011, 2017b). These points are mainly located at the periphery of the monitoring zone (black dots in Figure 3B). This may suggest the maximum extension of the methane-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-plume does not exceed a dozen of meters.

314 Figure 3

315

## 316 **4.3.2. O**<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> relationship

Processes are further constraint by O<sub>2</sub> vs. N<sub>2</sub> relationships (Romanak et al. 2012, 2017). 317 Figure 3C indicates the existence of two distinct behaviors, evidenced mainly by the 2015 318 319 data (only 6 measurements of the N<sub>2</sub> concentration in 2016). The slope of the regression fit in green is close to -4 indicating quickly decreasing O2 at near-constant, elevated N2 320 321 concentrations. This corresponds to respiration processes and/or the presence of air as defined by Romanak et al. (2012, 2017). O<sub>2</sub> depletion on this line may be linked to biological 322 323 processes, at least for O<sub>2</sub> concentration ranging from 21 down to 15-16% as suggested by 324 the CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> plot. At O<sub>2</sub> concentrations close to 15-16%, the gas analyses still indicate that no

CH<sub>4</sub> is associated with N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. When O<sub>2</sub> concentrations are lower than 15%, then the O<sub>2</sub> depletion becomes balanced by CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations increases (up to 12% and up to 9% respectively). Points having constantly high N<sub>2</sub> concentrations are located in the peripheral area surrounding the Fontaine Ardente main seep (black dots in the map; Figure 3C) where the influence of the gas seep becomes weaker. N<sub>2</sub> is only concerned by mixing with seeping gases, whereas O<sub>2</sub> is consumed by oxidation of both organic matter in the forest soil and of methane.

332 The second fit in Figure 3C (orange fit) has a slope of +0.265, close to the atmospheric  $O_2/N_2$ 333 ratio of 0.268, and represents the progressive mixing of air with N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> free gas vent 334 (dilution line). The corresponding points are in the vicinity of the main seep. This location also well corresponds to points with a  $O_2$  vs.  $CO_2$  slope of >=2 (Figure 3B) highlighting CH<sub>4</sub>-335 oxidation. Some data (7 points, yellow black circles in Figure 3C) fall between these two main 336 lines and they may correspond to some altered gas compositions. The spreading of these 337 data is influenced by the CH<sub>4</sub> content of the soil gas phase (points with the lower N<sub>2</sub> 338 339 concentrations are the most enriched in CH<sub>4</sub>, up to 74 %). These points are subject to a 340 variable influence of the gas vent with different rates of mixing between the geogenic and the biological endmembers. Plotted on the map (Figure 3C), these data are located at the 341 342 peripheral of the gas vent highlighting the progressive - and non-linear - vanishing of the geogenic gas input, progressively hidden by the biological gas production in soil. 343

344

345

# 4.3.3. CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub> relationships

As  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  are closely linked, the relationships between  $CH_4$  concentrations and  $CO_2$  or 347  $O_2$  concentrations can be investigated in parallel (Figure 4). The  $CH_4$  vs.  $O_2$  relationship

348	shows less dispersion than the $CH_4$ vs. $CO_2$ relationship, as it is not affected by biological
349	production of $CO_2$ in soil that may alter the compositional ratios in between gas phases.
350	The linear fits indicated in black in Figure 4A and Figure 4B represent the influence of
351	atmospheric dilution. In the gas composition of the Fontaine Ardente main seep, no $O_2$ is
352	present and the $CH_4$ concentration is close to 90%. If air is present in the soil ( <i>c.a.</i> 78% of $N_2$
353	and 21% of $O_2$ ) then a decrease of the $CH_4$ concentration by 10% is accompanied by an
354	increase of +2.1% in O <sub>2</sub> and of 7.8% in N <sub>2</sub> which corresponds to the atmospheric N <sub>2</sub> /O <sub>2</sub> ratio.

The linear fits reported in blue in Figure 4A and Figure 4B correspond to rapid  $O_2$  decrease accompanied by  $CO_2$  increase at near constant  $CH_4$  with a slope close to -2.4. The underlying process is oxidation of organic matter in the wood soil and root respiration, predominant in points located at the periphery of the gas seep. Minor contribution of  $CH_4$  oxidation to the  $O_2$  depletion and to the  $CO_2$  enrichment may also exist. In the vicinity of the gas seep the dominant process is the seepage of methane and associated  $CO_2$ .

361 Figure 4

362

363

# 4.3.4. <u>CO<sub>2</sub> flux – CH<sub>4</sub> flux relationship</u>

Figure 5 shows the relation between  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes. The Pearson's coefficient of correlation, for the whole dataset, masks again a bimodal repartition of the data. Part of the data shows a good linear fit between the two parameters but this relation is mainly defined by a few high fluxes (3 data) whereas intermediate values are lacking (Figure 5A). In the present case study, a  $CH_4$  flux is considered as high when it is greater than 2 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h. Point 17 has a  $CH_4$  to  $CO_2$  flux ratio close to 1, compared to 2.1 and 2.4 for the two other points (28 and 31), whereas the ratios between  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  concentrations are respectively 6.1 (point 17), 9.4 (point 28) and 8.6 (point 31). In the lower  $CH_4$  flux range (grey dots in Figure 5B)  $CH_4$ flux represents approximately half of the  $CO_2$  flux.

373 Two conclusions come from these statements. The first is that the emission of CH<sub>4</sub> is highly variable over short distances albeit the higher fluxes are monitored in close vicinity to the 374 main seep. The second is that there is no relationship between the soil concentrations at 1 m 375 376 depth (Figure 4) and surface fluxes (Figure 5). This is a common observation in non-active 377 areas – no volcanic nor geothermal activity (e.g. Gal et al., 2014). In areas of deep gas fluxes 378 (CO2: e.g. Battani et al., 2010; CH4: e.g. Etiope et al., 2011a) or where gas fluxes are 379 intentionally induced (e.g. Schroder et al., 2016), the link with concentrations may be stronger, even though complicated by transport through a thick soil column (e.g. Jones et al., 380 381 2017).

The impervious geological substratum of the black shales would favor discrete gas flux on fractures rather than diffuse fluxes. Another preferential pathway might be drillholes that could have concentrated the formerly rather patchy gas leakage. At the surface level, the combination of former reworking and the existence of a clayey cover may lead to poor connection between  $CH_4$  enrichment in the soil and  $CH_4$  emission at the soil surface as the driving force is not sufficient for allowing stronger efflux.

Numerous points in Figure 5B show low to very low  $CH_4$  fluxes (less than 0.1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h excepted 1 sample at 0.18) and a wider scattering of the  $CO_2$  flux (up to 4.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h). The locations of these points are generally randomly distributed all around the gas seep with the exception of some points (light blue and light blue-green dots in Figure 5B) which have  $CO_2$ flux greater than 1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h and which seem to surround the main seep a few meters away.

393 Even if the values of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes are low, they are still influenced by the gas seep. Methane emissions quantified in forests or in grasslands are indeed frequently 1000 times lower 394 (Jones et al., 2005; Von Arnold et al., 2005) and may rise up to 0.01 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h as a consequence 395 of agricultural practices such as cattle slurry spreading (Jones et al., 2005) or in wetlands (Le 396 Mer and Roger, 2001). Similarly,  $CO_2$  fluxes reaching 4.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h are still high compared to 397 398 fluxes measured in standard environments where they are generally 10 times lower with the exception of agricultural spreading (up to 3.3 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h; Jones et al., 2005) or enhanced 399 emissions in clayey soils (up to 2.3 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h; Gal et al., 2014). The measured CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> 400 fluxes are then still influenced by the existence of the gas seep but there is no clear relation 401 between the strength of the CO<sub>2</sub> flux and the location of the sampling point (Figure 5B). It is 402 403 then complicated to distinguish between a CO<sub>2</sub> flux mainly linked to the gas seep (CO<sub>2</sub> produced by CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation) and a variable contribution to the CO<sub>2</sub> flux of biological origin. 404

405 Figure 5

406

A few points showed a progressive depletion in oxygen concentration during the flux 407 measurement. These "negative" O2 fluxes are reported in Figure 6 together with CO2 and 408 409 CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Locations having the highest CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> flux (points 1, 17, 28, 31 and 73) plot on 410 linear fits thus suggesting that the O<sub>2</sub> depletion is intrinsically dependent from the strength of the CH<sub>4</sub>+CO<sub>2</sub> emission to the surface. Each rise of one flux unit is related to a decrease of 411 one unit of the O<sub>2</sub> flux with an intercept point at -3 to -4  $g/m^2/h$ , value under which the 412 accuracy of electrochemical sensing cells is not sufficient to allow adequate monitoring of 413 small changes of the O<sub>2</sub> concentration. 414

415	The most interesting case is point 73. At this location, the $O_2$ depletion is high (-10 g/m <sup>2</sup> /h),
416	the CO <sub>2</sub> flux is high (4.5 g/m <sup>2</sup> /h) and the CH <sub>4</sub> flux is zero. In the soil, there is an O <sub>2</sub> depletion
417	that is partially balanced by the presence of $CO_2$ ( $CO_2/O_2 = -1.5$ ) and only traces of $CH_4$ are
418	found. The classical way for interpreting this $O_2$ depletion is to consider that an oxygen flux
419	from the atmosphere to the soil exists. Nevertheless, point 73 plots on the $CO_2$ flux – $O_2$ flux
420	linear fit that is defined for locations where a $CH_4$ flux exists. The $O_2$ depletion monitored at
421	this point thus represents the influence of the $CH_4$ gas seep and the genesis of $O_2$ depletion
422	is a consequence of $CH_4$ oxidation that produces $CO_2$ that is to some extent mixed with
423	biologically produced CO <sub>2</sub> .

- 424 Figure 6
- 425
- 426 4.4. Data analysis

# 427 **4.4.1.** Qualifying and quantifying the CH<sub>4</sub> and related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the

# 428 Fontaine Ardente (main seep and peripheral areas)

# 429 4.4.1.1. Variability of the gas emissions

The variability of soil gas signals can be considered along three different time scales from short variations to daily variations and yearly changes. During the two field surveys, no strong daily variations of the soil gas signals were observed. This may be a consequence of the restricted emissive area. Similarly, between the two surveys, the gas anomalies in the soil had the same order of magnitude suggesting that the contrasting meteorological conditions in 2015 and in 2016 had little influence. Over longer time scales, Etiope *et al.* (2010) have highlighted thermal forcing on flux emissions in a gas seep in Switzerland but they also established that air pressure and relative humidity have no influence onto long-term measurements.

439 The other time scale that can be considered is the 3 min duration of flux integration during chamber measurements. Gas emission of major species can also be variable over few 440 minutes (Figure 7). Point 10 represents the routine situation with linear increase of the CO<sub>2</sub> 441 442 concentration indicating constant gas emission. The situation was different for some monitoring points. Examples of varying flow are shown for points located close to the gas 443 seep (Figure 7). We observe both examples of sudden and simultaneous increases of CO<sub>2</sub> 444 and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (point 13) and decreases (points 15 and 17) that are not necessarily 445 synchronous for both gases (point 17). Very short-term changes have also been observed 446 (point 17). These variations may induce a bias in the estimation of fluxes. Of 69 CO<sub>2</sub> and 54 447 CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements, respectively 17 and 12 showed variations, and for two in each case 448 fluxes were potentially underestimated. 449

450 We could not perform repeated monitoring at these points so the bias linked to these short 451 to very short term fluctuations of the seepage rate could not be precisely assessed. These 452 fluctuations are also attested by the rapidly changing height of the flame as depicted 453 inFigure 8. An estimation of the bias that may be related to this short term variability has been done by examining each gas accumulation curve during time. On the 69 CO<sub>2</sub> flux 454 measurements, 17 showed temporal variation during the CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation phase among 455 which only 2 were potentially underestimated. On the 56 CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements, 12 456 showed temporal variation and by them 2 were also potentially underestimated. Thus, the 457 flux measurements may rather lead to a slight overestimation of the global CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> 458 budgets released into the atmosphere so that our estimates can be considered conservative. 459

460 Figure 7

461 Figure 8

462

463 4.4.1.2. Spatial distribution

464 Albeit our dataset is relatively limited compared to those that may be used e.g. in volcanic environments (e.g. Ciotoli et al., 2016), such investigations on small areas can nevertheless 465 yield to accurate estimates of both the gas emissions and the pattern of these emissions 466 (Etiope et al., 2010). As may be viewed from data available in the supplementary 467 information, our dataset is skewed and neither ranges under normal nor log-normal data 468 469 distributions. The existing bimodal distribution is a consequence of the existence of two distinct phenomena. The first is the presence of the gas seep and the second are common 470 biological processes in soils. The definition of threshold values is thus done using quantile-471 quantile (Q-Q) plots (e.g. Ciotoli et al., 2007) further allowing the calculation of the quantity 472 of gas emitted to the atmosphere using Graphical Statistical Analysis (e.g. Bloomberg et al., 473 2012, and references therein). 474

Variogram calculations were done for the data falling in the 20x20 m side square around the main seep (see supplementary information). All the data show an anisotropic pattern with the main axis elongated along the N45 direction with a length ranging from 6.5 to 13.7 m (9.2 m as a mean). Such a shape is in good agreement with the spatial extent of the gas vent that may be deduced directly from field measurements: high CH<sub>4</sub> values were found along the axis of the Vernant creek which flows to the NE and these high values were found in a narrow perimeter (less than 20 m of side).

Data are used to build classed post maps (shown in supplementary information) and interpolated maps (Figure 9). Classed post maps are presented for the entire monitoring area with detail for the vicinity of the main seep whereas contours maps only refer to this area of reduced size. These maps highlight once again that the majority of soil gas anomalies are located within few meters around the gas seep. From the classed post maps it is not obvious to define whether the degassing is structured along pathways inherited from structural or morphological features or if the degassing occurs randomly close to the vent.

A better definition of the spatial distribution of the degassing processes is given by contour 489 490 maps (Figure 9). The N45 direction, which is the average flow direction of the Vernant creek 491 and which was also highlighted by variogram calculations, suggests the existence of preferential pathways inherited from structural constraints. A second direction of degassing 492 493 can also be defined using the helium gas contents in soil probably related to the ability of helium to laterally diffuse as a consequence of its low molecular weight (e.g. Toutain and 494 495 Baubron, 1999). This second direction is close to N170, a direction that is commonly found in 496 the limestones of the Vercors Massif. As for classed post maps, flux data do not allow 497 defining or refining the structural shape of the gas emissions to the surface. Contour maps are dominated by a few outliers (high fluxes) which induce a peak-like interpolation. This 498 may be a consequence of site alterations in the since the  $19^{th}$  century: the point where  $CO_2$ 499 and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions to the atmosphere are at their maximum value is indeed located on a 500 break of slope, in front of the gabion wall that has been built to shelter the fountain, as 501 502 visible on Figure 1 in the foreground of the panoramic photo.

503 Figure 9

504

505

506

# 4.4.1.3. Gas emissions to the atmosphere

507 Even if the Fontaine Ardente gas seep has a rather limited spatial extension – other seeps 508 may have considerably larger geographical manifestations (e.g. Etiope et al., 2011b) – the 509 evaluation of the  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  emissions to the atmosphere is interesting. As shown by the 510 site monitoring, the main emission point is clearly the main seep itself, probably in strong 511 relation with the existence of the former FA2 borehole (Figure 1) that focuses the gas 512 emission by draining. Nonetheless, gas fluxes to the atmosphere are not restricted to the former borehole and exist over a larger area that extends northeastwards from the seep and 513 514 their contribution has also to be quantified.

515 Estimations on the gas release at the seep mouth are done using the fire dynamics model of 516 methane flux vs. flame size (Etiope et al., 2011b, and references therein) using the heat of combustion given by Etiope et al. (2013a) for CH<sub>4</sub>. Typology of the gas emission is taken from 517 flame height and diameter at emission point estimated using Figure 8. As the main seep 518 519 exhibits rapid changes in the flame height, the emission rate ranges between 15 kg per day (flame of 38 cm height and 12 cm on diameter) and 84 kg per day (flame of 77 cm height and 520 521 24 cm on diameter). Based on the flame size variations that may be observed at the seep at short time scale, the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> released in the atmosphere can be estimated to be 522 somewhere between 40 and 50 kg per day. The estimated release rate in the 90's, obtained 523 524 from pressure considerations (Berthier et al., 1991), was 130 l/min giving approx. 120 kg of CH<sub>4</sub> per day. Our present estimates, based on the flame height, may then be underestimated 525 e.g. as a consequence of short duration of the measurements. A significant uncertainty on 526 527 the result has been pointed out by Etiope et al. (2011b) especially when the gas emission is

high and turbulent. This new estimate may also represent a real decrease in the feeding rate of the borehole, the gas flux migrating along this preferential pathway changing with time. The case of the Fontaine Ardente degassing area is also interesting insofar as this gas seep is  $CO_2$ -rich. Using the CH<sub>4</sub> flux estimated in the 90's and the proportion of  $CO_2$  in the gas phase

528

529

530

 $CO_2$ -rich. Using the CH<sub>4</sub> flux estimated in the 90's and the proportion of  $CO_2$  in the gas phase (approx. 11%), the quantity of  $CO_2$  released in the atmosphere through the vent can be roughly estimated at 14 l/min *i.e.* 36 kg per day. Based on flame height estimates and still considering a proportion of  $CO_2$  in the gas phase of 11%, then the estimate of the  $CO_2$ release at the gas vent is close to 15 kg per day as a mean (range: 4.5 to 25 kg per day).

The CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the soil are first quantified on a 84 m<sup>2</sup> area included in the 536 larger 20 x 20 m area reported on Figure 9. The area is not a square area as the vicinity of 537 the gas seep cannot be investigated (concrete plugs + gabion) but its geometry is likely to be 538 representative as most of the efflux is located inside this small region (see Figure 9). This 539 approach considers that the flux measured at one point is valid for 1 m<sup>2</sup>; in case the grid 540 541 mesh is 2 x 1 m, then the value assigned in between two real measurements is the mean value of these two measurements. The sum of the fluxes is 44.8 g/h for CH<sub>4</sub> and 88.3 g/h for 542 CO<sub>2</sub> leading to releases of 1.07 kg of CH<sub>4</sub> and 2.2 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per day. A refined estimate is 543 544 obtained using the interpolated maps. Integration of the anomaly is done using Surfer® software and indicates, for the whole flux monitoring zone (240 m<sup>2</sup>; Figure 9), a release of 545 546 2.83 kg of CH<sub>4</sub> and 6.27 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per day. These estimates are in perfect agreement with those obtained using the 84 m<sup>2</sup> area  $[1.07 \times (240/84) = 3.05]$  suggesting that even the basic 547 method could lead to realistic evaluation in the present case. Nonetheless this flux estimate 548 549 doesn't take into account gas emissions that may occur with the area covered by concrete plugs which may be fissured and permeable to gas. 550

551 More sophisticated methods (e.g. Bloomberg et al., 2012) or other spatial interpolation methods (e.g. Schroder et al., 2017) may be used to quantify more precisely the leakage 552 associated to the gas seep. Nonetheless, even well constrained data interpolation methods 553 lead to biases in the emission estimates. During controlled release experiments, Schroder et 554 al. (2017) report differences of 20 to 45% using the method that best fits the data. In the 555 556 present case, the main emission is the gas seep itself, and errors on the soil emissions may 557 be considered as negligible. Methane emission at gas vent ranges from 18 to 44 tons per 558 year (2016 flame estimate / 1990 data) and CO<sub>2</sub> emission ranges from 5.5 to 13 tons per year. Referring to our measurements, the CH<sub>4</sub> emission from soils represents less than 6% of 559 the CH<sub>4</sub> emitted at the seep (1.03 ton per year) whereas the CO<sub>2</sub> emission from soils 560 561 accounts for 42% of the CO<sub>2</sub> emitted at the seep (2.3 tons per year) including biogenic soil CO<sub>2</sub>. This biological component can be estimated to account for two thirds of the CO<sub>2</sub> release 562 on the basis of volumes calculated using interpolated maps with a biological CO<sub>2</sub> production 563 fixed at 1.25 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup> (Werner and Brantley, 2003). 564

Conjoint emission of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  in gas seep is not a common phenomenon. In the 565 566 European database from Etiope et al. (2009) or the data from other countries (Etiope et al., 2011a), which concern mud volcanoes, CO2-rich emissions (8% vol. or more) are rare and 567 linked to thermogenic CH<sub>4</sub>. CH<sub>4</sub> seep rates associated to mini- and micro-seepages range 568 from less than 10 up to 446 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day; associated CO<sub>2</sub> seep rates range from 3 to 535 569 570  $g/m^2/day$  (Etiope and Klusman, 2002). Maximum seep rates measured at point 17 are in this range (408 and 374 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day for  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  resp.). Abiotic methane emissions from 571 ultrabasic rocks are associated with very low CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Etiope et al., 2011b). In such 572 cases,  $CH_4$  emissions can reach hundreds of g per day per m<sup>2</sup> but  $CO_2$  emissions only reach 573 65 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day when soil litter is present and 10 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day when soil is absent. Eternal flames 574

575 from the USA (Etiope et al., 2013a) or gas seeps reported in Switzerland (Etiope et al., 2010) are not reported to be CO<sub>2</sub>-rich but CH<sub>4</sub> emissions can reach 40 kg per day and 10 kg per day 576 respectively in the US and in Switzerland (strong miniseepages, from hundreds of g/m<sup>2</sup>/day 577 up to a few kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day). Similarly, studies of the large thermogenic gas seepage of Katakolo 578 (Greece) only report CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (from 5.4 to 98.6 kg per day onshore; Etiope et al., 579 580 2013b) albeit 6 to 8% vol. of  $CO_2$  are monitored in the gas phase. Quantification of  $CO_2$ emissions from natural methane seeps is rare, opposite to numerous studies of CO<sub>2</sub> 581 582 emissions in volcanic or geothermal areas (e.g. Cardinelli et al., 2003b).

583 The Fontaine Ardente area has thus a specific behavior that may partly be a consequence of the presence of a draining structure (borehole) and related impact onto surface properties 584 585 of soil. Methane is found at high concentrations in the soil with moderate to weak leakage into the atmosphere. Most of the CH<sub>4</sub> is vented through the borehole that constitutes by 586 itself an important gas seep. According to the terminology of Etiope (2015), miniseepage 587 588 occurs around the gas vent but only accounts for 5% of the global budget of CH<sub>4</sub> emitted 589 (compared to the lowest estimate of 18 tons/y). This differs from the gas emission reported 590 in the Giswil by Etiope et al. (2010) where two thirds of the CH<sub>4</sub> are vented through two main seeps and one third is vented by miniseepage on a smaller area (115 m<sup>2</sup> compared to 591 592 240 m<sup>2</sup> at Fontaine Ardente area). The other specific character of the Fontaine Ardente seepage area is the richness of the gas phase in CO<sub>2</sub>. At the scale of the miniseepage area, 593 this leads to a quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted being more than two times that of CH<sub>4</sub>. This is a 594 595 rather unique situation in the Alpine context and GHG emissions evaluations have to 596 considerer both CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gas phases to be pertinent.

597 The other specificity of the Fontaine Ardente main seep is that it represents a unique analogue of a leakage situation. Hydrocarbon resources are rarely located at few meters 598 below ground level. Here, a borehole intersects at c.a. 10 m depth geological formations 599 which act as drain for CH<sub>4</sub>+CO<sub>2</sub> gas mixture. The borehole as preferential pathway focuses 600 gas emissions to the surface, similarly to degassing pipes like those in lakes Nyos and 601 602 Monoun (Halbwachs *et al.*, 2004). The gas which is not vented through the borehole diffuses 603 laterally in the subsurface layers through pathways whose geometry is likely to be influenced 604 by the network of local fractures.

Old pictures, previous to drilling, clearly show numerous small vents, whereas at present
there is a unique and dominant gas seep with only minor contribution of diffuse seepage.
This highlights the impacts of small-scale works on gas flux patterns.

608

# 5. Implications for methane or CO<sub>2</sub> baseline assessment and monitoring

610 Several tens of tons per year of both CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gas phases are naturally released in the atmosphere by the Fontaine Ardente main seep thus contributing to GHG emissions over at 611 612 least two millenaries. Apart from gas released at the borehole mouth, the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted through soil degassing may largely exceed the range of natural CO<sub>2</sub> flux (from 7.6 to 613 76 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day; Klusman, 2015, and references therein) with maximum values greater than 350 614 615  $g/m^2/day$ . Similarly, CH<sub>4</sub> emissions reaching 10 g per m<sup>2</sup> per day around the main seep are 616 considerably higher than those measured over grasslands during summertime with 617 4.86±22.8 mg per m<sup>2</sup> per day (mean + standard deviation) reported by Klusman (2015, and 618 references therein). Apart from this quantification of GHG emissions, a second interest of 619 this study was to test monitoring techniques and strategies to better assess the natural gas

baseline for impact studies. The production of unconventional natural gas has profoundly transformed the global energy game over the past ten years. Environmental stakes of shale gas exploration and exploitation show some similarities with the CCS thematic, specifically with the risk of leakage from geological formations from which  $CH_4$  can be produced or in which  $CO_2$  can be stored, albeit the gas species of interest have very different behavior in *e.g.* their reactivity or dissolution properties.

626 The example of the Fontaine Ardente seepage area makes it possible to investigate 627 simultaneously methane and CO<sub>2</sub> migration on a unique natural analogue and to learn more 628 about containment monitoring in settings where potential pathways to the surface exist 629 (Jenkins et al., 2015). It constitutes furthermore one of the rare examples of "eternal flames" 630 directly emerging from shale formations, together with those from the Appalachians (Etiope et al., 2013). More specifically, the Fontaine Ardente main seep case represents an example 631 where the leakage is focused to a 1D pathway, represented by the former FA2 borehole. 632 633 Here, the leakage does not occur from the casing itself, because of the presence of a 634 concrete platform at surface, or from defective completion of the casing, which will be the 635 most frequent occurrence of failure. Leakage occurs through the open borehole and this drillhole has strongly modified the gas emission on the site. From a spotty and rather diffuse 636 637 leakage situation, attested by old photographs, it has been changed to a gas vent, with the borehole as main emitting structure, with minor contribution of diffuse degassing. It is thus 638 639 possible that a hypothetical closure of the well will induce a highly different distribution of 640 the seepage with strongly enhanced diffuse degassing, probably occur along fractures/faults 641 following the main structural directions (Roberts et al., 2015; Bond et al., 2017).

642 This natural analogue highlights the potential difficulties of baseline assessment and site 643 monitoring during exploration or exploitation of unconventional gas. The expected spatial extension of the leakage will condition the resolution of the monitoring grid (e.g. 644 observation boreholes) and wrong initial hypotheses may lead to an incapacity to detect any 645 646 anomaly related to leakage. The strongly focused fluxes at the Fontaine Ardente seepage 647 area, further enhanced by the drilling, are somewhat similar to what might be expected in 648 case of shale gas well failure, with a very restricted area of leakage around the borehole. 649 Other leakage pathways, e.g. out-of-formation hydraulic fracturation, may lead to a much 650 more diffuse pattern at surface, due to the predominantly diffusive or pervasive migration, 651 from the host formation, via overlying deep aquifers to shallow formations. Timing of such 652 migration can be also very different from direct pathways via leaking wells.

653 Transposed to a real shale gas or CCS site, the difficulty to anticipate and detect gas seepage 654 areas will be a major challenge for baseline assessment and site monitoring. The area studied here is very small (around 240 m<sup>2</sup>), vegetated and set in a narrow thalweg. Surveying 655 656 methods used directly at ground level may not be operative (too large mesh size) or they 657 should require so much manpower that their cost will dramatically increase in case the grid 658 has a very low mesh size. There is an extensive literature that points out the narrowness of 659 gas emissions from faults and fractures and very recent data still agree with this feature (e.g. Jolie et al., 2016; Bond et al., 2017). The disadvantage of ground monitoring techniques may 660 661 be overcome by airborne/UAV operated methods or methods having wider aperture (such 662 as Eddy-Covariance – EC, laser operated methods...). Such methods are capable of giving 663 better geographical coverage provided that they are appropriately settled. In the case of the 664 EC method, changes of gas flux are monitored in an upwind direction. Nevertheless such 665 methods may suffer from other disadvantages such as the decrease in sensitivity as a

function of distance to the emission point or the existence of photosynthetic processes onland surface or in the canopy (Klusman, 2015).

668 When working in a blind manner during baseline studies, in a setting similar to that of Fontaine Ardente area, it seems very difficult to detect gas anomalies related to minor or 669 670 even major gas seeps. Topography and vegetation play an important role in the detectability 671 of anomalies. Natural seeps from shale formations, as it is the case for the Fontaine Ardente, are frequently located on creeks, themselves following fault structures (Etiope et al., 2013a). 672 673 Their detectability by landborne methods may be simply limited by logistic obstacles and 674 sampling resolution. With the available techniques, it seems virtually impossible to discover 675 unknown seeps through a systematic research. Etiope *et al.*, (2013a) used the Tunable Diode 676 Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS) detectors in accumulation flux chambers to locate 677 methane anomalies in ambient air but only in the vicinity of known eternal flames. Using a 678 statistically stringent measurement pattern (grid) for landborne surveys would be hindered 679 by (1) the accessibility of natural areas with rough terrain and (2) the possible resolution of 680 such a grid compared to the size of anomalies. Some hope can be placed in vehicle-born 681 surveys using CRDS (Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy) detectors but this approach fits best to urban areas with a high road density (Gallagher et al., 2015) as well as to anthropogenic 682 683 sources identified previously to the survey (Zazzeri et al., 2015). It is unlikely that natural point-source emissions from gas seeps of a similar size to the Fontaine Ardente could be 684 detected by such a technique. Airborne techniques, again using CRDS, are capable of 685 686 detecting major methane plumes from known sources (e.g. landfills; Krautwurst *et al.*, 2017) 687 at kilometric scale but, again, their use in the present case seems to be highly limited by the 688 vegetation cover and the topography.

689 To approximatively assess the vertical extension of the plume emitted by the Fontaine 690 Ardente we used infrared cameras with specific lenses for targeting CH<sub>4</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> gas phases 691 (FLIR GF320). Such devices can image the gas plume emitted by the borehole and its dispersal in the atmosphere. Some wisps of gas do reach the base of the canopy, some 692 693 meters above ground level, but they rather rapidly vanish afterwards (Figure 10). If the 694 leakage flux rate is lower than the one of the Fontaine Ardente main seep (FA2 borehole), 695 the detection by plume imaging becomes virtually impossible in practice. Thorough scanning 696 of the miniseepage area did allow detecting a possible leakage area only after minutes of careful monitoring. It is established that such infrared cameras are not conceived for the 697 detection of diffuse minor leaks in a natural environment (contrast problems) and that other 698 699 monitoring techniques have considerably lower detection limits. Nevertheless, such cameras 700 allow visualizing in real time the shape of the gas emissions especially vertically. Given our 701 preliminary results, any other monitoring at the upper level of the canopy will be very difficult even using techniques with low detection ranges, including low-altitude airborne 702 703 techniques.

Figure 10

705

The question on the best baseline and monitoring strategy for leakage assessment remains thus largely open. Landborne monitoring will always miss anomalies because of restricted accessibility – in our case the ability to perform accurate measurement in forested area – or because of lack of sensitivity – in our case quick dilution of the gas in the atmosphere. Consequently, appropriate monitoring strategy should rely on dense monitoring of structures and/or areas that are suspected to be potential pathways for leakage (known

712 faults, creeks...; Etiope et al., 2013a). As dense monitoring cannot cover a large area, monitoring should be adapted to the size and location of the expected leak. This requires a 713 714 realistic conceptual model of the leakage mechanisms and pathways, relying on a statistically sound database of gas emissions in the context of the storage to monitor. The 715 716 temporal resolution of monitoring and its duration is another crucial issue, depending on (1) 717 the temporal variations of the baseline emissions and (2) the kinetics of potential gas migration via the different suspected pathways (e.g. borehole failures, out-of-formation 718 719 fracturation..., existence natural fractured zones). In case of leakage allegation, even if the 720 alleged zone has not been surveyed before, the knowledge of local behavior of soil gas emissions will allow to adequately identify an anomaly in the soil gas signals - an approach 721 722 defined as process-based approach by Romanak et al. (2012). The example on how the 723 leakage allegation in Weyburn was withdrawn shows how powerful such an approach can be. Furthermore, it may not only apply to soil gas using the established CO<sub>2</sub> vs. O<sub>2</sub> 724 relationship but also to soil gas fluxes using the derivative relation CO<sub>2</sub> flux vs. O<sub>2</sub> depletion is 725 726 the soil efflux.

# 727 Acknowledgments

- This research was conducted in the frame of the G-baseline project, co-funded by the French
- 729 Research Agency (ANR-14-CE05-0050 grant) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering
- 730 Research Council of Canada (NSERC grant n° 463605).

731 The representative of FLIR Systems France (Stéphane Thiebaut) is thanked for the732 demonstration of infrared cameras.

### 733 **References**

734	Armannsson H., Fridriksson T., Kristjansson B.R., 2005, CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from geothermal
735	power plants and natural geothermal activity in Iceland, Geothermics 34, 286-296.

Association Histoire et Patrimoine du Gua (AHPG), 2012, La Fontaine Ardente, merveille du

737 Dauphiné, "Histoire et Patrimoine du Gua" Eds., 68 p.

Battani A., Deville E., Faure J.-L., Noirez S., Tocqué E., Jeandel E., Benoît Y., Schmitz J.,
Parlouar D., Gal F., Le Pierrès K., Brach M., Braibant G., Bény C., Pokryszka Z., Charmoille A.,
Bentivegna G., Pironon J., de Donato P., Garnier C., Cailteau C., Barrès O., Radilla G., Bauer
A., 2010, Geochemical study of the natural CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the French Massif Central: How
to predict origin, processes and evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> leakage, *OGST*, *65*(4), 615-633.

Baxter P.J., Baubron J.-C., Coutinho R., 1999, Health hazards and disaster potential of ground
gas emissions at Furnas volcano, Sao Miguel, Azores, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 92, 95-106.

Berthier M., Honegger J.L., Eberentz P., 1991, Etude et travaux pour le renforcement en gaz
de la Fontaine Ardente - Commune du Gua (Isère), BRGM report R-33584-RHA-4S/91, 38 p.

Bloomberg S., Rissmann C., Mazot A., Oze C., Horton T., Gravley D., Kennedy B., Werner C.,
Christenson B., Pawson J., 2012, Soil gas flux exploration at the Rotokawa geothermal field
and White Island, New Zealand, Proceedings of the 36<sup>th</sup> Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir
Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California, January 30 – February 1, 2012.

Bond C.E., Kremer Y., Johnson G., Hicks N., Lister R., Jones D.G., Haszeldine R.S., Saunders I.,
 Gilfillan S.M.V., Shipton Z.K., Pearce J., 2017, The physical characteristics of a CO<sub>2</sub> seeping

fault: The implications of fracture permeability for carbon capture and storage integrity,International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 60, 49-60.

Bouma T.J., Bryla D.R., 2000, On the assessment of root and soil respiration for soils of
different textures: interactions with soil moisture contents and soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, *Plant and Soil, 227*, 215-221.

Brantley S.L., Koepenick K.W., 1995, Measured carbon dioxide emissions from Oldoinyo
Lengai and the skewed distribution of passive volcanic fluxes, *Geology*, vol. 23, No 10, 933936.

Capaccioni B., Martini M., Mangani F., Giannini L., Nappi G., Prati F., 1993, Light
hydrocarbons in gas-emissions from geothermal fields volcanic areas and geothermal fields, *Geochemical Journal* Vol. 27, 7-17.

Chiodini G., Cioni R., Guidi M., Raco B., Marini L., 1998, Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements in
volcanic and geothermal areas, *Applied Geochemistry*, *13*(5), 543-552.

Ciotoli G., Lombardi S., Annunziatellis A., 2007, Geostatistical analysis of soil gas data in a
high seismic intermontane basin: Fucino Plain, central Italy, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *112*, B05407.

Ciotoli G., Etiope G., Marra F., Florindo F., Giraudi C., Ruggiero L., 2016, Tiber delta CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub>
 degassing: A possible hybrid, tectonically active Sediment-Hosted Geothermal System near
 Rome. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121(1), 48-69.

D'Alessandro W., Yüce G., Italiano F., Bellomo S., Gülbay A.H., Yasin D.U., Gagliano A.L.,
2018, Large compositional differences in the gases released from the Kizildag ophiolitic body

- (Turkey): Evidences of prevailingly abiogenic origin, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, <u>89</u>, <u>174</u><u>184</u>.
- Durand M., Scott B.J., 2005, Geothermal ground gas emissions and indoor air pollution in
  Rotorua, New Zealand, *Science of the Total Environment* 345, 69-80.
- Etiope G., Klusman R.W., 2002, Geologic emissions of methane to the atmosphere, *Chemosphere, 49*, 777-789.
- 781 Etiope G., Martinelli G., 2002, Migration of carrier and trace gases in the geosphere: an
  782 overview, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 129, 185-204.
- 783 Etiope G., 2009, Natural emissions of methane from geological seepage in Europe,
  784 Atmospheric Environment, 43, 1430-1443.
- Etiope G., Feyzullayev A., Milkov A.V., Waseda A., Mizobe K., Sun C.H., 2009, Evidence of subsurface anaerobic biodegradation of hydrocarbons and potential secondary methanogenesis in terrestrial mud volcanoes, *Marine and Petroleum Geology, 26*, 1692-1703.
- Etiope G., Zwahlen C., Anselmetti F.S., Kipfer R., Schubert C.J., 2010, Origin and flux of a gas
  seep in the Northern Alps (Giswil, Switzerland), *Geofluids*, 10, 476-485.
- Etiope G., Nakada R., Tanaka K., Yoshida N., 2011a, Gas seepage from Tokamachi mud volcanoes, onshore Niigata Basin (Japan): Origin, post-genetic alterations and  $CH_4$ – $CO_2$ fluxes, *Applied Geochemistry*, *26*, 348-359.

Etiope G., Schoell M., Hosgörmez H., 2011b, Abiotic methane flux from the Chimaera seep
and Tekirova ophiolites (Turkey): Understanding gas exhalation from low temperature
serpentinization and implications for Mars, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *310*, 96-104.

Etiope G., Drobniak A., Schimmelmann A., 2013a, Natural seepage of shale gas and the origin
of "eternal flames" in the Northern Appalachian Basin, USA, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*,
43, 178-186.

Etiope G., Christodoulou D., Kordella S., Marinaro G., Papatheodorou G., 2013b, Offshore and onshore seepage of thermogenic gas at Katakolo Bay (Western Greece), *Chemical Geology*, *339*, 115-126.

803 Etiope G., 2015, Natural Gas Seepage, The Earth's Hydrocarbon Degassing, Springer.

Etiope G., 2017, Abiotic methane in continental serpentinization sites: an overview, 15th Water-Rock Interaction International Symposium, WRI-15, *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science* 17, 9-12.

Fridriksson T., Kristjansson B.R., Armannsson H., Margretardottir E., Olafsdottir S., Chiodini
G., 2006, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and heat flow through soil, fumaroles, and steam heated mud pools
at the Reykjanes geothermal area, SW Iceland, *Applied Geochemistry*, *21*, 1551-1569.

Gal F., Joublin F., Haas H., Jean-Prost V., Ruffier V., 2011, Soil gas (<sup>222</sup>Rn, CO<sub>2</sub>, <sup>4</sup>He) behaviour
over a natural CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation, Montmiral area (Drôme, France): geographical, geological
and temporal relationships, *J. Env. Rad.*, *102*, 107-118.

Gal F., Michel K., Pokryszka Z., Lafortune S., Garcia B., Rouchon V., de Donato P., Pironon J.,

Barrès O., Taquet N., Prinet C., Hy-Billiot J., Lescanne M., Cellier P., Lucas H., Gibert F., 2014,

815 The SENTINELLE French research project: subsurface geochemical characterization prior CO<sub>2</sub>

injection (baseline survey) - site of Rousse (TOTAL CCS Pilot), International Journal of *Greenhouse Gas Control, 21*, 177-190.

Gal F., Kloppmann W., Proust E., Bentivegna G., Defossez P., Mayer B., Gaucher E.C., 2017a, Natural  $CH_4$  gas seeps in the French Alps: characteristics, typology and contribution to  $CH_4$ natural emissions to the atmosphere, *Energy Procedia*, *114*, 3020-3032.

Gal F., Proust E., Bentivegna G., Leconte S., De Lary De Latour L., Loschetter A., Pokryszka Z.,
Collignan B., 2017b, What may be the consequences of a CO<sub>2</sub> leakage? Insights from soil gas
measurements in an urban area – Clermont-Ferrand, French Massif Central, *Energy Procedia*, *114*, 3006-3019.

Gallagher M.E., Down A., Ackley R.C., Zhao K.G., Phillips N., Jackson R.B., 2015, Natural Gas
Pipeline Replacement Programs Reduce Methane Leaks and Improve Consumer Safety, *Environmental Science & Technology Letters 2*, 286-291.

Greenland L.P., Rose W.I., Stokes J.B., 1985, An estimate of gas emissions and magmatic gas
content from Kilauea volcano, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, Volume 49, Issue 1, 125129.

Halbwachs M., Sabroux J.C., Grangeon J., Kayser G., Tochon-Danguy J. C., Felix A., Béard J.C.,
Villevieille A., Vitter G., Richon P., Wüest A., Hell J., 2004, Degassing the "killer lakes" Nyos
and Monoun, Cameroon, *EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union*, Vol. 85, No 30,
281-285.

Halmer M.M., Schmincke H.-U., Graf H.-F., 2002, The annual volcanic gas input into the
atmosphere, in particular into the stratosphere: a global data set for the past 100 years, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 115, 511-528.

Hinkle M.E., 1994, Environmental conditions affecting concentrations of He, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>
in soil gases, *Applied Geochemistry*, *9*, 53-63.

Hobbs P.V., Radke L.F., Lyons J.H., Ferek R.J., Coffman D.J., Casadevall T.J., 1991, Airborne
measurements of particle and gas emissions from the 1990 volcanic eruptions of Mount
Redoubt, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 96, No D10, 18,735-18,752.

- Holloway S., Pearce J.M., Hards V.L., Ohsumi T., Gale J., 2007, Natural emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from
  the geosphere and their bearing on the geological storage of carbon dioxide, *Energy* 32,
  1194-1201.
- Humez, P., Mayer, B., Nightingale, M., Becker, V., Kingston, A., Taylor, S., Bayegnak, G.,
  Millot, R., Kloppmann, W., 2016. Redox controls on methane formation, migration and fate
  in shallow aquifers. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 20, 2759–2777.
- Jenkins C., Chadwick A., Hovorka S.D., 2015, The state of the art in monitoring and
  verification—Ten years on, *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* 40, 312-349.
- Jolie E., Klinkmueller M., Moeck I., Bruhn D., 2016, Linking gas fluxes at Earth's surface with fracture zones in an active geothermal field, *Geology*, Vol. 44, No. 3, 187-190.
- Jones S.K., Ress R.M., Skiba U.M., Ball B.C., 2005, Greenhouse gas emissions from a managed
  grassland, *Global Planet. Change*, 47, 201-211.
- Jones D.G., Beaubien S.E., Lister T.R., Graziani S., Finoia M.G., Barkwith A.K.A.P., Ruggiero L.,
- 856 Ciotoli G., Bigi S., Lombardi S., 2017, Continuous monitoring of natural CO<sub>2</sub> emissions near
- 857 Rome lessons for low-level CO<sub>2</sub> leakage detection, *Energy Procedia*, 114, 3824-3831.

- 858 Kloppmann W., Blessing M., Proust E., Gal F., Bentivegna G., Henry B., Defossez P., Catherine
- 859 C., Humez P., Mayer B., Millot R., Gaucher E.C., 2016, Natural gas seeps in the French Alps:
- 860 Sources and pathways, *Geophysical Research Abstracts, 18*, pp.EGU2016-14484, EGU2016.
- 861 Klusman R.W., 2015, Surface geochemical measurements applied to monitoring, verification,
- and accounting of leakage from sequestration projects, Interpretation, Vol. 3, No. 2, p. SM1-
- 863 SM21, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1190/INT-2014-0093.1</u>.
- Krautwurst S., Gerilowski K., Jonsson H.H., Thompson D.R., Kolyer R.W., Iraci L.T., Thorpe
  A.K., Horstjann M., Eastwood M., Leifer I., Vigil S.A., Krings T., Borchardt J., Buchwitz M.,
  Fladeland M.M., Burrows J.P., Bovensmann H., 2017, Methane emissions from a Californian
  landfill, determined from airborne remote sensing and in situ measurements, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 10, 3429-3452.
- Le Mer J., Roger P., 2001, Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by
  soils: A review, *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, *37*, 25-50.
- Mayer, B., Humez, P., Becker, V., Nightingale, M., Ing, J., Kingston, A., Clarkson, C., Cahill, A.,
  Parker, E., Cherry, J., Millot, R., Kloppmann, W., Osadetz, K., Lawton, D., 2015. Prospects and
  Limitations of Chemical and Isotopic Groundwater Monitoring to Assess the Potential
  Environmental Impacts of Unconventional Oil and Gas Development. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science* 13, 320-323.
- Mayer, B., Humez, P., Nightingale, M., Clarkson, C., Cahill, A., Parker, B., Cherry, J., Millot, R.,
  Kloppmann, W., Osadetz, K., Lawton, D., 2016. Tracing Fugitive Gas in Shallow Groundwater
  in Areas of Unconventional Energy Resource Development, *Goldschmidt Conference*,
  Yokohama.

- 880 Mercier M., Seguin A., 1939, L'épigraphie et les fontaines ardentes du Dauphiné, Bulletin de
- 881 l'Association Française des Techniciens du Pétrole, N°48-49.
- Mörner N.-A., Etiope G., 2002, Carbon degassing from the lithosphere, *Global and Planetary Change* 33, 185-203.
- Parkin T.B., Venterea R.T., 2010, Sampling Protocols Chapter 3 Chamber-Based Trace Gas

885 Flux Measurements, In Sampling Protocols. R.F. Follett editor, 3-1 to 3-39.

- Piret F.M., 1881, La Fontaine Ardente de Saint-Barthélémy (Isère) Gaz naturel, charbon,
  pétrole, Vincent and Perroux Eds, 76 p.
- Roberts J.J., Wood R.A., Wilkinson M., Haszeldine S., 2015, Surface controls on the characteristics of natural  $CO_2$  seeps: implications for engineered  $CO_2$  stores, Geofluids 15, 453-463.
- Rogie J.D., Kerrick D.M., Chiodini G., Frondini F., 2000, Flux measurements of non-volcanic
  emission from some vents in central Italy, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 105, No B4,
  8435-8445.
- Romanak K. D., Bennett P. C., Yang C., Hovorka S.D., 2012, Process-based approach to CO<sub>2</sub>
  leakage detection by vadose zone gas monitoring at geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage sites, *Geophysical Research Letters, 39*, L15405.

Romanak K., Yang C., Darvari R., 2017, Towards a method for leakage quantification and
remediation monitoring in the near-surface at terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> geologic storage sites, *Energy Procedia*, 114, 3855-3862.

Schroder I.F., Zhang H., Zhang C., Feitz A.J., 2016, The role of soil flux and soil gas monitoring
in the characterisation of a CO<sub>2</sub> surface leak: A case study in Qinghai, China, *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, *54*, 84-95.

Schroder I.F., Wilson P., Feitz A.F., Ennis-King J., 2017, Evaluating the performance of soil flux
surveys and inversion methods for quantification of CO<sub>2</sub> leakage, *Energy Procedia*, 114,
3679-3694.

Sigurdsson H., Devine J.D., Tchoua F.M., Presser T.S., Pringle M.K.W., Evans W.C., 1987,
Origin of the lethal gas burst from Lake Monoun, Cameroun, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 31, 1-16.

Toutain J.P., Baubron J.C., 1999, Gas geochemistry and seismotectonics: a review, *Tectonophysics*, 304, 1-27.

911 Voltattorni N., Lombardi S., 2010, Soil gas geochemistry: significance and application in
912 geological prospectings. In: Potocnik, Primoz (Ed.), Natural Gas. IX, pp. 183–204.

Von Arnold K., Weslien P., Nilsson M., Svensson B.H., KlemedtssonL., 2005, Fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>,
CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from drained coniferous forests on organic soils, *Forest Ecol. Manag.*, *210*, 239254.

Weiss R.F., 1974, Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas, *Mar. Chem.*, 2, 203-215.

918 Werner C., Brantley S., 2003, CO2 emissions from the Yellowstone volcanic system, 919 Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 4(7), 1061, doi:10.1029/2002GC000473.

- Wiesenburg D.A., Guinasso N.L., 1979, Equilibrium Solubilities of Methane, Carbon
  Monoxide, and Hydrogen in Water and Sea Water, *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data*, 24(4), 356-360.
- 223 Zazzeri G., Lowry D., Fisher R.E., France J.L., Lanoisellé M., Nisbet E.G., 2015, Plume mapping
- 924 and isotopic characterisation of anthropogenic methane sources, Atmospheric Environment
- 925 *110*, 151-162.

926 Figure captions.

927	Figure 1 A: Locatior	of methane-rich ga	s seeps in the W	V-subalpine chains	(Blavoux et al.,
-----	----------------------	--------------------	------------------	--------------------	------------------

1990, Etiope et al., 2010, Gal et al., 2017a) plotted on the geological map of France (1:1

929 000 000, BRGM). Geological formations in blue range from Lower Jurassic to Upper

930 Cretaceous; B: close-up view of the Fontaine Ardente du Gua gas seep: different views in

2015 and 2016 showing the bare soil zone where CH<sub>4</sub> emissions occur and the pebbly area

932 where the Vernant creek usually flows (arrow indicates the location of the Fontaine Ardente

933 corresponding to the location of former wellhead of FA2 borehole); C: location of the

sampling points in 2015 and in 2016 (satellite photograph from Google Earth).

935 Figure 2 : whisker plots for the 2015 and the 2016 surveys. CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> soil gas

936 concentrations data: GC measurements (2015) and corrected IRGA measurements (2016).

937 Other soil gas concentrations data: GC measurements only. Flux data are only available for

938 2016 (see supplementary information for details about flux calculation).

939 Figure 3: A: O<sub>2</sub> vs CO<sub>2</sub> binary plot; B: location of points as a function of the slope of the O<sub>2</sub> vs CO<sub>2</sub> relationship (inset: detailed location around the main vent). Blue circled points 940 correspond to points labeled in Error! Reference source not found.. C: left: O2 vs N2 binary 941 942 plot showing two data distributions; equations of each linear fit are indicated; yellow points correspond to 2015 data plotting between the two distributions; right: location of points as a 943 function of the slope of the O<sub>2</sub> vs N<sub>2</sub> relationship: grey dots for the orange linear fit (N<sub>2</sub>+O<sub>2</sub> 944 945 depletion line = dilution line); white dots for green linear fit ( $O_2$  depletion line = respiration line). 946

Figure 4: A:  $CH_4$  vs.  $O_2$  binary plot; B:  $CH_4$  vs.  $CO_2$  binary plot. Plots are drawn using filtered data by reference to the  $N_2+O_2$  depletion line and the  $O_2$  depletion line defined in Figure 3.

949 The map (C) locates the points as defined by the linear fits in black and blue lines in the 950 binary plots (points in black and blue respectively).

Figure 5: A: left: relation between  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes for all measurements; right: location of the measurements; B: left: relation between  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes for low  $CH_4$  fluxes (lesser than 1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h); the linear fit corresponds to the data plotted in grey circles; right: map showing the geographical distribution of high and low  $CO_2$  fluxes (high fluxes are divided into 3 subgroups).

Figure 6: A:  $O_2$  depletion as a function of  $CO_2$  flux or  $CH_4$  flux; B: geographical location of points showing  $O_2$  depletion.

Figure 7: typology of  $CO_2$  concentration increase and  $CH_4$  concentration increase (shaded area) in the chamber during flux measurement. Blue lines represent the fits used for flux calculations; red lines represent the fits that are not used for flux calculations.

Figure 8: chrono-photography of the "Fontaine Ardente" gas seep in the manner of Étienne
Jules Marey, French physiologist (end of XIX<sup>th</sup>) – 06 October 2016.

Figure 9: contour maps of soil gas species (concentrations in % vol.) and soil gas flux (in 963 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h); kriging is done using data reported in supplementary information. Locations of 964 measurements are reported on each map: area investigated during soil gas measurements: 965 400 m<sup>2</sup> (20x20 m); area investigated during soil flux measurements: 240 m<sup>2</sup> (dashed polygon 966 967 in bottom maps). The red dot indicates the location of the "Fontaine Ardente". The striped 968 area in bottom right map shows the emplacement of the Vernant creek bed; the dark grey area shows the area (84 m<sup>2</sup>) used for quantification of the gas emissions (no flux 969 measurement possible in the light grey area: old concrete plugs from former FA2 borehole). 970

Figure 10: infrared camera monitoring using specific lens sensitive to  $CO_2$  (FLIR); the picture in the right shows the conditions of monitoring, with shooting of the  $CO_2$  plume just above the flame (as can be seen in the background). The insets in the left show, from bottom to top: the  $CO_2$  plume directly issued from the burning seep; the  $CO_2$  plume reaching the first branches in the trees (3 to 4 m above ground); the dilution of the  $CO_2$  plume at around 5 m height.



















# Figure 9 Click here to download high resolution image





# Supplementary material

# A.1 Methods

### A.1.1 Soil gas measurements

In 2016, only IRGA device was used to monitor soil gas concentrations. In order to take into account of deviations from the gas concentrations resulting from these IRGA measurements, IRGA data were calibrated against gas chromatography data. Six samples, representative of the whole  $CH_4$  concentration range as monitored in the field (from 0 to 77% vol.), were taken in appropriate containers (Isotubes<sup>®</sup>) and analyzed in the lab (Thermo Trace GC). This allowed correcting the bias inherent to IRGA analyses at high concentrations and to homogenize the 2016 dataset in view of comparing 2015 and 2016 campaigns. The same procedure was applied to  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  gas concentrations.  $R^2$  correlation coefficients between IRGA field measurements and laboratory analyses are 0.9952, 0.9941 and 0.9992 for  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$  and  $CH_4$  respectively (n=6). The mean error, evaluated using the slope of the linear fit between IRGA field measurements and lab measurements, is close to +4.8% for  $CO_2$  (field data are slightly higher), -16.5% for  $CH_4$  (field data are strongly underestimated) and +2.8% for  $O_2$  (field data are slightly higher).

## A.1.1 Soil gas flux measurements

The flux is calculated by considering the linear build-up of the gas concentration in the chamber during 3 minutes and by determining the initial slope of the gas concentration vs. time relationship, as described by many authors (*e.g.* Chiodini *et al.*, 1998; Etiope *et al.*, 2013a, and references therein). Fluxes were calculated according to the equation detailed by Lewicki *et al.* (2005) to correct the influence of pressure and temperature changes.

Uncorrected and P-T-normalized  $CO_2$  fluxes only differ by 0.65% as a mean (uncorrected fluxes are slightly higher), the whole range being between -0.24% and +1.41% (69  $CO_2$  flux measurements). The influence of temperature and pressure changes is thus very low in the present case and the dataset can be considered as non- temperature or pressure dependent.

Not all the fluxes were calculated using the initial slope obtained from the 3 minutes duration of the build-up of gas concentrations inside the chamber. Contrarily to soil gas concentration measurements, some flux measurements were subject to short time scale variations of both the CO<sub>2</sub> and the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. In such cases, the fluxes have been calculated considering the linear fit that was prevailing during the major part of the acquisition. These short term variations concerned only a minor part of the data (respectively 17 of 69 CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements and 12 of 56 CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements).

Oxygen concentration decreases have been monitored at 10% of the monitoring points – this may be a consequence of a too short duration of the measurement sequence, typically set at 3 minutes in order to remain in the domain of linear increase (or decrease) of the gas concentrations. This may also be simply the consequence of low soil  $O_2$  (progressive dilution by an oxygen-poor gas in the chamber). The point (label 17 in Figure 1) where  $O_2$  concentration decrease was at maximum is also the unique point where the  $CH_4$  sensor reached saturation within only 2 minutes thus the linear regression from which the flux is calculated was established only over this 2 minutes period.

As earlier reported (Chiodini *et al.*, 1998; Bloomberg *et al.*, 2012; Sanci *et al.*, 2009), soil moisture may also have a strong influence on the evaluation of gas emissions. The most obvious influence is via soil biological activity. In the present case –  $CH_4$ -rich seepage – this influence may eventually exist for the  $CO_2$  gas phase but is less obvious for the  $CH_4$  gas phase

as there are no potentially CH<sub>4</sub>-emitting wetlands in the vicinity of the investigated sites. Field monitoring was performed during summertime thus the influence of humidity may be expected as reduced. Soil moisture directly influences soil gas concentrations through gas dilution by the water vapor content of the gas phase. Values of relative humidity of the air inside the chamber generally fall between 55% and 70% after the purge of the flux chamber depending on the hour of the day. Humidity variations measured during 3 minutes did not exceed +10% of the initial value and the observed maximum value was 75%. No apparent influence of moisture on CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub> measurements has been stated during the graphical examination of raw data. This is consistent with the statement reported by Parkin and Venterea (2010). They found that an increase in relative humidity within a chamber from 0% to 100% will dilute the gas by only 3.05%. In the present case, it may be postulated that the influence of gas dilution will be considerably lower than 1% and can therefore be reasonably neglected.

Last, permanent visualization of the flow rate inside the system did not highlight any biases linked to the system itself during the measurement session. Nonetheless, such issues were sometimes encountered during CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> measurements. A purge time is allocated between two measurements in order to refresh the air inside the system. The recovery of near atmospheric values is established in between 1 and 3 minutes for CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. This may be somewhat longer for CH<sub>4</sub> as some memory effect on the sensor may delay the return to near zero values in case the atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> background is elevated. This residual effect, sometimes reaching 200 to 300 ppm, may have induced some underestimates of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (no flux was measured instead of measuring a small flux).

### A.2 Statistics

As shown by Figure A.2.a, the whole dataset is skewed for all gases. Consequently, the data are not distributed under normal law (Gaussian distribution) nor lognormal law (logtransformed data show Gaussian distribution). The situation is better when the dataset is reduced; He and  $C_2H_6$  concentration distributions are then normal (p-values of 0.100 and 0.188 resp. using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) and CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes distributions rather lognormal (p-values of 0.929 and 0.729 resp.). As the data measured hundreds of meters from the Fontaine Ardente main seep are likely not influenced by the gas seep (background data), the use of a dataset restricted to the vicinity of the seep (square of 20 m of side) is a way to better define data distribution. The only change by using sub-sampled dataset is the appearance of a slight tendency for CO<sub>2</sub> concentration to follow a normal distribution (pvalue of 0.05). Another change is a higher p-value for CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (0.965).

From Figure A.2.a it can be seen that data distribution is frequently bimodal. These polymodal data distributions may exist *e.g.* for  $O_2$  concentrations with a cut-off at 6.8% vol. or for CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations with a cut-off at 4.36%. One distribution is linked to the existence of the gas seep and the second is linked to common biological processes in soils. Consequently, the use of arithmetic parameters (mean and standard deviation), defined for a normal distribution, for the calculation of threshold levels cannot be used.



Figure A.2.a: histograms of soil gas data (2015 and 2016 data) and soil flux data (2016) showing heterogeneous data distribution.

Here we refer to the quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots to define threshold values (Figure A.2.b). The log-transformed data help to highlight changes in data distribution associated with strong deviations in the slope of the Q-Q plots. Anomaly thresholds are defined as follows: 17.5% vol. (O<sub>2</sub>), 3.8 and 7.5% vol. (CO<sub>2</sub>), 65% vol. (CH<sub>4</sub>), 190 ppm (He) and 360 ppm (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>). As CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> flux data are linearly distributed, a clear definition of a threshold is not possible albeit deviations around the 0.25 quantile may have some significance (1.23 and 0.21 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h resp.). A reference to the thresholds obtained using the basic approach (mean + 2SD) gives levels of 5.14 and 5.66 g/m<sup>2</sup>/h respectively and such levels are not meaningful (only 1 point is above the CO<sub>2</sub> threshold and 2 points above the CH<sub>4</sub> flux threshold). Interestingly, the CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> soil gas threshold levels (3.8% and 17.5%) are in good agreement with the pivot values defined in Figure 3 thus giving more confidence on the concentrations from which influence of the gas seep can be noticed.

Prior to establishing contour maps, variograms were calculated for each parameter on a restricted dataset (data falling in the 20x20 m side square around the seep). Results are given in Figure A.2.c and show the parameters of variogram calculation used for krigged maps.

Figure A.2.d presents classed post maps built using threshold levels given in Figure A.2.b.



Figure A.2.b: quantile-quantile plots calculated for all the soil gas species and the  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  soil gas fluxes.

Accepted Manuscript



Figure A.2.c: variograms calculated for all the soil gas species and the  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  soil gas fluxes. Lag distance in meters.



Figure A.2.d: classed post maps for the monitored parameters; insets in each map shows a detailed view of the 20x20 m side square near the gas seep. The blue arrow indicates the location of the Fontaine Ardente main seep. Red dots correspond to points that are above the threshold values defined in the text and in Figure A.2.b.

# Supplementary material – References (if not cited in main text)

Lewicki J.L., Bergfeld D., Cardellini C., Chiodini G., Granieri D., Varley N., Werner C., 2005, Comparative soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements and geostatistical estimation methods on Masaya volcano, Nicaragua, *Bull. Volcanol., 68*, 76-90.

Sanci R., Panarello H.O., Ostera H.A., 2009, Assessment of soil moisture influence on CO<sub>2</sub> flux: a laboratory experiment, *Environ Geol, 58*, 491-497.