

# The "Escarot" gas seep, French Massif Central: CO2 discharge from a quiescent volcanic system – Characterization and quantification of gas emissions

Frédérick Gal, Steve Leconte, Alain Gadalia

# ► To cite this version:

Frédérick Gal, Steve Leconte, Alain Gadalia. The "Escarot" gas seep, French Massif Central: CO2 discharge from a quiescent volcanic system – Characterization and quantification of gas emissions. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 2018, 353, pp.68-82. 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2018.01.026 . hal-02860496

# HAL Id: hal-02860496 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-02860496

Submitted on 21 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 The "Escarot" gas seep, French Massif Central:  $CO_2$  discharge from a quiescent volcanic
- 2 system Characterization and quantification of gas emissions.

- 4 Authors:
- 5 Gal F.<sup>1\*</sup>, Leconte S.<sup>2</sup>, Gadalia A.<sup>1</sup>
- 6
- 7 1: BRGM, F-45060 Orléans, France
- 8 2: BRGM, F-63170 Aubière, France
- 9 \*: corresponding author: <u>f.gal@brgm.fr</u>

#### 10 Abstract

Natural CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the volcanic rocks of the French Massif Central are poorly 11 constrained. It is of interest better to assess the emission of such non-anthropogenic gases 12 that may significantly contribute to the global carbon budget. We quantified the CO<sub>2</sub> 13 emissions to the atmosphere in a small area (0.052 km<sup>2</sup>) located in the Massif Central close 14 to Lake Pavin, the most recent volcanic edifice in metropolitan France. The specific character 15 of this area, known as the Escarot mofette, was earlier studied for soil-gas concentrations 16 only. In June 2017, we used the accumulation chamber method for measuring CO<sub>2</sub> flux and 17 18 related O<sub>2</sub> depletion in the gases emitted at the soil/atmosphere interface, resulting in 176 data acquisitions over four days. In addition, 44 soil-gas concentration measurements were 19 20 made at selected locations. CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates are estimated at 8,100±1,800 tons/year of deep-seated CO<sub>2</sub> and at 660±440 tons/year of biologically produced CO<sub>2</sub>. The uncertainty on 21 these evaluations comes from the high-frequency variability of CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in the more 22 emissive areas and from the occurrence of heavy precipitation events. Though unexpected, 23 these events were used for quantifying the decreases in CO<sub>2</sub> efflux, which were as high as 24 25 500% over a few hours or even days in some locations. However, repeat acquisitions performed under more favourable weather conditions showed errors of commonly accepted 26 amplitude  $(\pm 15\%)$ . The area showed several degassing centres aligned along a NNW-SSE 27 direction that correlates well with known geological structures, proving the ability of soil-gas 28 methods to map hidden faults. The whole area is characterized by strong CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment 29 30 and related O<sub>2</sub> depletion, but it is nonetheless possible to detect areas influenced by the rise 31 of deep-seated gases and a few peripheral areas where biological processes dominate ( $CO_2$ up to 10% vol.). This study of gas emissions in a non-urban area also provides 32 complementary information that is of use when extrapolated to similar structures in urban 33

- 34 areas, where the occurrence of such gas releases, and its potential hazard may be more
- 35 difficult to assess.

# 36 Highlights

- 37 Spatial and geochemical characterizations of a CO<sub>2</sub> gas seep in the French Massif Central.
- Magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> gas emissions into the atmosphere evaluated at around 8,100 tons/year.
- 39 CO<sub>2</sub> gas flux is successfully used for mapping hidden faults.
- 40 Decrease in gas flux of up to 500% after the occurrence of heavy precipitation events.
- 41 Use of the  $O_2$ -decrease parameter in gas efflux better to assess the strength of gas
- 42 emissions to the surface.
- 43

# 44 Keywords

- 45 Carbon dioxide gas flux released amounts meteorological parameters degassing
- 46 structures

## 47 1. Introduction

The Earth's natural degassing induces the release into the atmosphere of many gas species, 48 including some "greenhouse gases" (e.g. N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O). Volcanic structures 49 obviously play an important role in this degassing process, either during explosive (eruptive) 50 51 phases, or during quiescent (non-eruptive) periods (e.g. Halmer et al., 2002). Such degassing may be diffuse, or focused through volcanic edifices, hydrothermal manifestations, or gas 52 vents, the last two commonly being related to faults (e.g. Judd, 2005; Burton et al., 2013; 53 Etiope, 2015). The budgets of carbon emissions into the atmosphere have been assessed 54 55 since the 1980s (e.g. Werner et al., 2000; Kerrick, 2001; Mörner and Etiope, 2002 and references therein) and are regularly refined (e.g. Holloway et al., 2007; Lewicki et al., 2007), 56 57 or the ongoing investigations on French active volcanoes by Boudoire et al., 2017 and Liuzzo et al., 2015). Such carbon-emission budgets should include the release of  $CO_2$  as a gas phase 58 as well as the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in aquifers or lakes that may represent a non-59 negligible contribution (e.g. Sorey et al., 1998). 60

Even during periods of quiescence, the release of gases, especially  $CO_2$ , can be high (e.g. 61 Werner et al., 2009). Volcanic structures are not only characterized by the presence of 62 degassing structures, such as fumaroles or gas vents, and diffuse degassing processes, but 63 commonly also by the existence of thermal anomalies in the soil and of hot springs or steam 64 emissions (e.g. Frondini et al., 2004). This is often the case when the quiescence period has 65 been relatively short at a geological scale, such as around 130 years at Pantelleria Island, 66 Sicily (Favara et al., 2001), around 400 years at Furnas volcano, Azores (Pedone et al., 2015) 67 and around 600 years at Mammoth Mountains, California (Sorey et al., 1998). Nevertheless, 68 69 some volcanic edifices that have been quiescent for a similar duration will have no thermal

anomalies or fumarolic activity (Hakkoda, Japan; Hernández-Perez *et al.*, 2003) and even no
gas emissions into the atmosphere (Mount Fuji, Japan; Notsu *et al.* (2006). The longer the
duration of the quiescent period, the fewer thermal anomalies there will be, such as in the
West European rift and its associated volcanic structures in France and Germany.

74 Here, however, the absence of high-temperature manifestations does not mean that there are no gas releases, but these are less commonly quantified. When they are, quantification 75 76 may refer to the gas flux in water bodies, such as a flux of 1450±350 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.y<sup>-1</sup> of magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> in the German Laacher See (Aeschbach-Hertig et al., 1996), or a flux of 170±60 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.y<sup>-1</sup> 77 78 of magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> in the French Lake Pavin (Aeschbach-Hertig et al., 1999). In the French 79 Massif Central, little is known on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the numerous carbogaseous springs that are part of the West European rift system, though several studies deal with the origin of 80 such CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Batard et al., 1982; Blavoux and Dazy, 1990; Czernochowski-Lauriol et al., 81 2003). Only a rough estimate of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with quiescent volcanism in 82 the French Massif Central is reported by Mörner and Etiope (2002) - citing Hermansson et 83 84 al. (1991) – as being over 6 kg.m<sup>-2</sup>.y<sup>-1</sup>. And only one study quantified the  $CO_2$  emissions into 85 the atmosphere at the Sainte-Marguerite site (Battani et al., 2010).

The present study thus aimed at quantifying the gas emissions related to quiescent volcanism in the French Massif Central near Lake Pavin (France *et al.*, 2016). Here, the  $CO_2$ percolation is not associated with mineral water, thus leading to the appearance of mofette structures ( $CO_2$  dry-gas vents), such structures commonly being related to Cenozoic rifting (Pearce *et al.*, 2004). In Europe, dry  $CO_2$  vents occur, *e.g.*, in the Pannonian basin (Bräuer *et al.*, 2016), in the Eger rift zone (Kämpf *et al.*, 2013), in the Eifel volcanic district (Gal *et al.*, 2011; Krüger *et al.*, 2011). A large number is reported from Italy, though gas vents there can

be related to both magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> accumulated in carbonated
reservoirs (Rogie *et al.*, 2000; Chiodini *et al.*, 2007; Beaubien *et al.*, 2008; Roberts *et al.*,
2011). In the French Massif Central, the only occurrence reported so far is the Escarot
mofette (Gal and Gadalia, 2011; Braüer *et al.*, 2017).

97 Our new investigations around this mofette used the complementary approaches of measuring soil-gas concentrations (e.g. Fleischer and Mogro-Campero, 1978; Toutain and 98 Baubron, 1999) and soil-gas flux (e.g. Chiodini et al., 1998). The data were used to quantify 99 the range of annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, to evaluate their relationship with geological structures 100 101 and to evaluate their contribution to the long-term global carbon cycle (e.g. Werner and 102 Brantley, 2003). Another part of the study was the assessment of changes in gas-emission 103 rates linked to the occurrence of major precipitation events, as fieldwork cannot always be done under favourable weather conditions. Finally, we envisaged the consequences such gas 104 emissions may have in more populated areas. 105

106

# 107 2. <u>Settings</u>

# 108 2.1. Hydro(geo)logical setting

The study area is located 2 kilometres west of Lake Pavin, a maar lake characterized by its meromictic character (Meybeck, 2015) that was formed around 7,000 years ago. The volcanic system today is non-active, though some magma may still be present at depth (France *et al.*, 2016). Compared to the duration of volcanic rest periods in the neighbouring Chaîne des Puys (10,000 years or more), the system must be seen as quiescent (or dormant), but not extinct. The dissolved  $CO_2$  in the lake water is attributed to organic-matter degradation (70% of total input) and to seepage of magmatic  $CO_2$  and/or  $CO_2$  from

methanogenesis (Jézéquel, 2015). Deep-CO<sub>2</sub> input becomes dominant in the deeper part of the lake (Aeschbach-Hertig *et al.*, 1999). The presence of magmatic  $CO_2$  from the subcontinental lithospheric mantle was reported from the Fontaine Goyon mineral spring and the Escarot mofette (Bräuer *et al.*, 2016, 2017). Meybeck (2015) reports that other degassing spots seem to have been identified in the past.

The study area is small (about 200x300 m) close to the Escarot degassing zone (*Figure 1*). The Escarot site was earlier described by Gal and Gadalia (2011) and by Bräuer *et al.* (2017). A distinction has to be made between the location of the mofette as defined in 2011 (*Figure* 1) and the location of the data reported by Bräuer *et al.* (2017) that concerned samples mostly taken around the Escarot piezometer (*Figure 1*). This observation well is part of a network of several boreholes that were drilled in the late 2000s to resolve a controversy about potential renewal of degassing activity (Meybeck, 2015).

128 The gas phase released at Escarot is dominated by  $CO_2$  (>99.2% vol.) with a minor proportion of permanent gases (N $_2$  <0.65%; O $_2$  <0.09%; Ar <0.007%) and traces of CH $_4$  (360 to 500 ppm) 129 and of He (27 to 30 ppm; Bräuer et al., 2017). Higher helium concentrations in soil gas, up to 130 47 ppm were reported by Gal and Gadalia (2011). Isotope data on  $CO_2$  ( $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ ), from the 131 132 piezometer or the mofette (Figure 1), fall in a narrow range (-3.6 to -3.8‰ VPDB, Bräuer et al., 2017; -3.1 to -4‰, unpublished BRGM data) and so do the  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He ratios (6 to 6.3 R/Ra, 133 Bräuer et al., 2017; 6.09 R/Ra, BRGM unpublished data). Similar values are reported 134 135 regionally for magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Battani et al., 2010; Gal et al., 2017).

The new data were mostly acquired to the west of a road near the Escarot piezometer; here, the ground level is approximately 3 m below the road and flooding occurs frequently. Near the Escarot mofette, the ground level is approximately 2 m above the road. Several zones of

bubbling exist along the road and can be seen each time there is some stagnant water in the road shoulders (after precipitation events). As will be described later, these areas, which are frequently flooded, were investigated at the start of the fieldwork and were not accessible after large precipitation events. To the east of the road, there is a large area of peat land, where flux measurements were made on only unflooded clumps of grass as the soil is nearly saturated all year long. To the south of the monitoring area lie some meadows, but they, too, were almost saturated with water on the day they were investigated.

146 Figure 1

147

### 148 **2.2.** <u>Methods</u>

In June 2017, 44 soil-gas concentration measurements and 176 soil-gas flux measurements 149 150 were made in the 200x300 m area (Figure 1). The objective was to obtain a more detailed 151 description of the degassing area that was highlighted by 53 earlier soil-gas concentration 152 measurements over a 250x400 m area (Gal and Gadalia, 2011). The new sampling aimed at lowering the uncertainty in the estimate of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the Escarot area (Werner et 153 154 al., 2000). As emissions of chemical species into the atmosphere from mofette structures are 155 not restricted to just the gas phases, complementary data on soil gas and dissolved elements in snow cover, acquired in February 2009, are presented as Supplementary Material. 156

157 In order to reduce the uncertainty in soil-gas flux evaluations caused by the use of relatively 158 small flux chambers (Parkin and Venterea, 2010), we used a 10-m-square grid close to the 159 mofette and a 25-m-square grid farther away from the mofette (*Figure 1*). Flux 160 measurements were done first, followed by soil-gas concentration measurements if

161 warranted by the flux results. Such soil-gas concentration measuring points were shifted 162 20 cm away from the flux chamber, to avoid wrong estimates on later flux acquisitions at the 163 same location. All sampling points were marked in the field so that a repeat acquisition could 164 be done in exactly the same place; in addition, the trace of the flux chamber often remains 165 visible.

Soil-gas concentrations were measured with the same method as used before (Gal and 166 Gadalia, 2011). Small holes (1 cm diameter) were drilled down to 1 m depth, and then a 167 sampling probe was inserted that was plugged into an Infra-Red Gas Analyser equipped with 168 169 a moisture filter (LFG20, ADC Gas Analysis Ltd., UK). Pumping was at a low flow rate of 170 200 ml.min<sup>-1</sup>. Steady-state conditions were reached within tens of seconds. No concentration changes over a few minutes were noted. CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations were 171 directly monitored. The monitoring of CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations was not technically feasible 172 (detection limit of 200 ppm at best), but the Escarot mofette is not CH<sub>4</sub>-rich (Bräuer et al., 173 2017). Analytical precision for  $CO_2$  was ±0.5% of the reading for low concentrations (0.01– 174 175 10% vol.), ±3% of the reading for higher concentrations (10 to 50% vol.) and ±5% of the 176 reading when  $CO_2$  was >50%. The precision for oxygen was ±0.4% of the full scale reading (0– 25% vol.). Samples were stored in Tedlar<sup>®</sup> bags and analysed by the end of the day for <sup>4</sup>He 177 178 with mass spectrometry (Adixen ASM102S leak detector, France) with reference to atmospheric content (5.24 ppm). Precision of the measurement was better than 4% at full 179 scale. 180

Soil-gas flux measurements used the accumulation chamber method with external recirculation (*e.g.* Chiodini *et al.*, 1998; Inguaggiato *et al.*, 2013). The flux-chamber system was a customized version of the Echo Instruments (Slovenia) soil-gas flux system. The

184 aluminium chamber has a semi-spherical shape with a basal area of 0.0289 m<sup>2</sup> and a volume of 0.0018 m<sup>3</sup>-(soft ground). The chamber was directly put on the soil surface, which is mainly 185 grassland with some gravel patches close to the road. No soil was removed except incase 186 (point C9). A fan with variable speed ensured the mixing of the headspace gas as required 187 for such sampling (Parkin and Venterea, 2010). The flow rate of the recirculation flux was set 188 189 to 0.5-0.6 l.min<sup>-1</sup>, to avoid possible underestimations of the CO<sub>2</sub> flux when lower recirculation flow rates are used, as well as to avoid possible CO<sub>2</sub> flux overestimations when 190 191 using higher recirculation flow rates (Camarda et al., 2009). Pressure, temperature and relative humidity inside the chamber, as well as pumping rate, were continuously monitored 192 thus constraining the possible influence of external parameters on the data. The following 193 194 gas species were monitored after the gas passed through a moisture filter: CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> by 195 Non-Dispersive Infra-Red (NDIR) detectors (0 to 5000 ppmv ±2% and 0 to 10000 ppmv ±5%, respectively), and  $O_2$  by electrochemical cells (0 to 25% vol. ±2% of the reading). 196

197 Some examples of field-data acquisitions are given in Figure 2. The flux calculation was 198 based on the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> increase (positive flux; e.g. point A15, Figure 2) and potential O<sub>2</sub> 199 decrease in the chamber (e.g. points BZ8, E12, Figure 2). The flux was calculated by considering the linear build-up of gas concentration in the chamber during 3 minutes and by 200 201 determining the initial slope of the gas-concentration vs. time relationship (e.g. Chiodini et al., 1998; Werner et al., 2000). Flux was normalized to take into account the influence of 202 pressure and temperature (Lewicki et al., 2005). Normalized CO<sub>2</sub> flux generally was 4.5% 203 higher than raw flux values. 204

Not all fluxes were calculated using the initial slope of the build-up of gas concentrations. Short time-scale variations of the  $CO_2$  flux (gas flush) were sometimes observed (*Figure 2*)

and were used to evaluate the uncertainty on the gas release. Increases of 10 times of the flux over some seconds were noticed in points A8 (once) and Z16 (several times). Such multilinear increases were also reported from the Yellowstone area (Werner *et al.*, 2000).

210 Figure 2

211

# 212 **2.3.** Weather parameters

It is well established that soil-gas concentrations and fluxes can be affected by, among 213 214 others, meteorological parameters such as temperature, atmospheric pressure, wind or 215 precipitation (e.g. Hinkle, 1994; Toutain and Baubron, 1999; Viveiros et al., 2008, 2009; 216 Werner et al., 2014). Unexpectedly for late June, the weather was strongly variable. No precipitation was reported during the week preceding fieldwork at a nearby weather station 217 218 (Figure 3). Air temperatures were quite high and pressure showed little variability. The 219 situation changed the weekend before the fieldwork. Temperature and pressure steadily fell 220 between 24 and 29 June, and large precipitation events occurred during late afternoon on 26 and 27 June (31 mm and 34.6 mm, respectively). June 28th and 29th were rainy days, with 221 intermittent precipitation events on the 28<sup>th</sup> (10.8 mm) and continuous precipitation events 222 on the 29<sup>th</sup> (14.8 mm). 223

The gas-concentration and -flux dataset was acquired as follows: 45 flux measurements prior the rainfall (26 June), 56 flux and 22 concentration measurements after 31 mm of rain on 27 June, 42 flux and 15 concentration measurements after >65.6 mm of precipitation on 28 June, and 33 flux and 7 concentration measurements after >76.4 mm of rain on 29 June.

The temperature and pressure data recorded during flux acquisitions are in good agreement with the weather station data (*Figure 3*). Temperature during the first two days rose during the day as a consequence of sunshine thermal forcing, the humidity of the gas at the soil/atmosphere interface thus decreasing during the day. During the last two days, the situation was reversed as there was no sunshine; the soil-gas humidity was high and further rose during the day after the rain.

Because of these large precipitation events, there was a strong correlation between temperature and pressure (Pearson's coefficient of 0.88), relative humidity and temperature of the atmosphere (coefficient of -0.89), and relative air humidity and atmospheric pressure (coefficient of -0.82) during flux measurements.

238 Figure 3

239

# 240 3. <u>Results and discussion</u>

# 241 **3.1.** Statistical parameters

The main statistical characteristics of the soil-gas concentrations and fluxes measured in 243 2017 are shown on *Figure 4*. Former concentration data measured near the Escarot mofette, 244 between 2008 and 2012, are also shown; the location of these data is shown on the bottom 245 map of *Figure 1*.

As may be expected from a survey designed for highlighting the behaviour of soil-gas species near a gas vent, the data distribution of soil-gas concentrations shows a very high mean  $CO_2$ concentration (72.6±36.1% – arithmetic mean ± standard deviation), a very low mean  $O_2$ concentration (5.8±6.8%) and a strong enrichment in helium-4 in the soil (19.9±13 ppm as a

250 mean). By comparison, data from the 2008 to 2012 surveys in the Escarot area showed mean 251  $CO_2$  and helium enrichments (47.3±43.7% and 17.3±9.6 ppm, respectively) and  $O_2$  depletion 252 (11.1±8.5% as a mean), suggesting that the degassing pattern of the Escarot area has 253 remained stable over several years.

No  $CH_4$ -flux was measured during the survey, but this is not really surprising. Even on active volcanoes, the diffuse  $CH_4$  flux may only represent trace releases compared to diffuse  $CO_2$ degassing (Hernández *et al.*, 1998).

257 As the variation coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> flux shows a skewed distribution, which is common in high-flux areas, we refer to the arithmetic mean as explained by Werner and Brantley (2003) 258 259 and references therein. Three evaluations are presented in Figure 4. The first corresponds to the data calculated using the linear fit prevailing during most of the sampling and 260 261 considering the normalization to standard temperature and pressure (STP) conditions. The second evaluation corresponds to the flux calculated from the gas flush(es) that may occur 262 263 along the 3-minute-long flux acquisition (Figure 2), called "maximum value". The third evaluation combines the previous two datasets in order to account for the short-term 264 variability induced by the occurrence of gas flushes. The STP values are thus corrected to 265 266 include these potential short-term increases, the "maximum value" being integrated as a function of the number and duration of the increases observed during the acquisition. The 267 268 ponderated flux value deriving from this last calculation thus represents the best estimate of the gas emission over 3 minutes. 269

Such gas flushes can have a noticeable influence: they were observed for 59 of the 176 acquisitions. The minimum duration of a gas flush over 3 minutes was 5 seconds (one event) and the maximum was 75 seconds (several events of up to 15 seconds duration each).

273	Maximum fluxes that may be calculated during these short events are shown at least by an
274	increase of 20% of STP-flux, a value similar to the error reported for flux measurements
275	(±15%; Chiodini et al., 1996). At most, the increase corresponds to a multiplication by a
276	factor of 20 of the flux. As a mean, gas flushes induced a short-term increase of the flux by a
277	factor of 7 (e.g. for point A8, Figure 2). Only few points showed a decrease in $CO_2$ flux during
278	the acquisition (e.g. point Z32, Figure 2), which can lower the flux by up to 40% and is only
279	noted at low emissive points. Consequently, the initial mean value of the uncorrected STP-
280	flux data (500±2,080 g.m <sup>-2</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> ) is re-evaluated at 575±2,100 g.m <sup>-2</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> ( <i>Figure 4</i> ).
281	Figure /
201	

282

# 283 3.2. Data analysis

284

# 3.2.1. <u>Relations between gas species</u>

285 The CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> relationship for soil gas is presented in Figure 5A and interpreted using the 286 process-based approach of Romanak et al. (2012). Three main relationships are plotted. The 287 first is the respiration line (in blue), characteristic of aerobic respiration in soil that consumes 1 mole of  $O_2$  to produce 1 mole of  $CO_2$ . The second relation corresponds to the  $CH_4$  oxidation 288 line (in green) in near-surface environments, where 2 moles of O<sub>2</sub> are needed to produce 289 290  $CO_2+H_2O$ . The last relationship (red lines) corresponds to the dilution process occurring 291 when a deep (magmatic)  $CO_2$  endmember dilutes the  $O_2+N_2$  pool in a near-surface 292 environment (relative abundance: 20.9% of O<sub>2</sub> and 78.1% of N<sub>2</sub>). Different dilution lines can 293 be plotted, depending on the  $CO_2/O_2$  initial ratio: Figure 5 illustrates three cases at 0.03%, 294 5% and 10% of biologically produced  $CO_2$ .

295 Only few data lie on the respiration line and these have a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration below 5%. Most of the data plot close to the dilution line with a possible influence of respiration processes. 296 This kind of data distribution confirms previous data that reported a threshold value around 297 10% of CO<sub>2</sub> in soil (Gal and Gadalia, 2011). Below this value, CO<sub>2</sub> mainly originates from 298 biological processes; above it, a supply of deep-seated CO<sub>2</sub> is required. The area investigated 299 300 around the Escarot mofette (Figure 1) is thus largely influenced by the degassing of magmatic  $CO_2$ , as shown by the general relationship between  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  concentrations 301 (slope of -0.20). Biological processes, though existing, have little influence on the relation 302 between these two gas species. 303

Strong helium enrichment (>10 ppm) was earlier measured when the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations 304 were over 10% (Gal and Gadalia, 2011). Figure 5B links <sup>4</sup>He data to CO<sub>2</sub> data and similar 305 conclusions arise from the <sup>4</sup>He-O<sub>2</sub> relationship in the present study. In 2017, there still was a 306 good correlation between <sup>4</sup>He and  $CO_2$  as shown by the overlapping fits in Figure 5B, even if 307 the helium enrichment of soil gas seems to be lower in 2017 for CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations below 308 309 80%. However, for a nearly pure CO<sub>2</sub> gas phase, <sup>4</sup>He concentrations were higher than 310 previously measured. Despite a less sensitive character, the new data still suggest that helium is a good proxy for detecting the presence of deep-seated  $CO_2$ . 311

The variability of the helium signal in soil gas may have at least three different origins. First, it may have been a result of the poor weather conditions during the 2017 fieldwork, with their potential impact on pressure and temperature gradients in soil that govern advective transport (*e.g.* Werner *et al.*, 2000; Etiope and Martinelli, 2002; Rinaldi *et al.*, 2012). Second, such variability may be caused by demixtion phenomena that are variable over time (Gal and

Gadalia, 2011). Third, such variability in the helium signal this may also be caused by longterm variability of the deep-seated gas efflux (*e.g.* Chiodini *et al.*, 2010; Werner *et al.*, 2014).

319 Finally, our data show a good correlation between CO<sub>2</sub> flux and O<sub>2</sub> depletion. Figure 5C presents this relation with reference to the domain of biological CO<sub>2</sub> efflux as recently 320 established in France by Pokryszka et al. (2017). The maximum efflux mentioned by these 321 authors is close to 84 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> (or 30 cm<sup>3</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>) for summer acquisitions and close to 322 34 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> (or 12 cm<sup>3</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>) for winter acquisitions, the last value being closer to 323 maximum values for biogenic flux reported in other areas (e.g. Werner and Brantley, 2003: 324 325 30 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>). Any CO<sub>2</sub> flux over 84 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> strongly suggests a relation with deep-seated 326 processes. Figure 5C shows a fairly good relationship between the CO<sub>2</sub> flux and O<sub>2</sub> depletion, with large depletions associated with strong emission of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere. This is the 327 328 translation on the flux parameter of the flush effect existing for soil-gas concentrations, this effect being induced by the intrusion of deep CO<sub>2</sub> in the soil that sweeps away the naturally 329 existing O<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub> pool in soil. When the strength of the CO<sub>2</sub> efflux is high, then the sweeping of 330 O<sub>2</sub> can also be monitored using the depletion of O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at the soil/atmosphere 331 332 interface. Such monitoring may be an interesting – and complementary – tool for testing the strength of deep-seated gas emissions. 333

Correlation-matrix calculation fails to suggest the existence of other relations between the different gas phases. It is nonetheless important to assess if  $CO_2$  flux is related to  $CO_2$  or <sup>4</sup>He soil-gas concentrations, markers of deep-seated processes in the present case (*Figure 6*). Four groups are defined in *Figure 6*A. Group A has typical  $CO_2$ -flux values overlapping the ones of biological sources, though the  $CO_2$  concentrations may be much higher than those of biologically produced  $CO_2$  in soil gas. Group B marks a clear departure from this biological

pool, especially when considering the high  $CO_2$  concentration values, and group C is only related to  $CO_2$  leaks from depth. There is a graphical trend from Group A to Group C, which suggests that the higher the  $CO_2$  concentration, the higher will be the  $CO_2$  flux. Group D corresponds to high  $CO_2$  concentration in the soil, but low flux at the surface. *Figure 6B* shows that this 'low  $CO_2$  flux' group is He-rich too, suggesting that the soil structure has an influence on gas emission to the surface (June 26<sup>th</sup> acquisitions) and that an additional influence of precipitation may be invoked for acquisitions made on June 27<sup>th</sup>.

The difficulty of monitoring low fluxes over soils that only have CO<sub>2</sub> as a soil-gas phase may 347 348 be linked to several reasons. One reason is the presence of variable amounts of clay in the soil in the area (Figure 7). Because of changing weather conditions and large precipitation 349 events, the upper part of the soil can reach near-saturation conditions (low CO<sub>2</sub> flux), but at 350 351 1-m depth the soil may allow efficient gas advection. Another reason may be the distance from the main CO<sub>2</sub> supplying areas, *i.e.* from highly permeable fault zones. Above a 352 degassing structure, high flux and high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are supposed to occur conjointly 353 354 (Gal et al., 2011, 2012, and references therein), but at some distance of such structures, the 355 strength of advection processes may be lower, thus lowering the efflux at the soil/atmosphere interface. 356

This reasoning can be extended to the helium concentrations in soil gas. Tendency (1) in *Figure 6B* is found close to or above a deep-rooted degassing structure where a strong ascent of gas causes combined strong  $CO_2$  and <sup>4</sup>He enrichment (>30 ppm). Nonetheless, as highlighted by Tendency (2), the higher <sup>4</sup>He values in soil are not found in high  $CO_2$  flux zones (*i.e.* they are found with some distance to the degassing structures), but they correspond to 100% of  $CO_2$  in the soil. In that case, soil permeability may play a role even if some authors

363 consider permeability to be of secondary importance (Camarda et al., 2006). More precisely, the presence of water in the upper part of the soil may be the cause. If the first 10-20 cm are 364 saturated after precipitation, then the emissivity of the soil will be low (low CO<sub>2</sub> flux), but 365 farther down in the soil, the soil-gas concentrations can be high: the data shown on Figure 4 366 suggest that high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are widespread at the scale of the Escarot site so that 367 368 having 100% CO<sub>2</sub> in soil gas is a common phenomenon. Helium has a larger diffusive capacity than  $CO_2$  (e.g. Jähne et al., 1987), as well as a much lower solubility in water (e.g. Weiss, 369 370 1971, 1974). If a saturated horizon is present in the upper part of the soil, then the barrier effect ("soil capping", Werner et al., 2000) induced by this horizon may not be noticed when 371 considering CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (high background), but it may cause an increase in <sup>4</sup>He 372 concentration as the leaking of helium to the atmosphere is considerably reduced because 373 374 of the presence of a water-saturated horizon. Lateral diffusion of <sup>4</sup>He may also contribute to local enrichment. 375

376 Figure 5

377 Figure 6

378

# 379 **3.2.2.** Relationship with meteorological parameters

*Figure 3* illustrates the changing weather conditions during sampling. This offered an opportunity further to evaluate the influence these parameters may have on flux quantification, even it is preferred to sample soil gases during periods of dry weather. Correlation-matrix calculations highlight a good correspondence between the changes in temperature and relative humidity (Pearson's coefficient of correlation r of -0.887), of

385 temperature and pressure (r of +0.876), and thus of pressure and relative humidity (r of -0.816). The amounts of precipitation also negatively correlated with the relative humidity (r 386 of -0.830). Huge amounts of rain fell during the strong precipitation events, increasing the 387 388 humidity at the soil/atmosphere interface. By the end of the sampling period, the amount of 389 precipitation was much lower, but the cumulative amount was high and thus the rise of 390 relative humidity continued. Nonetheless, these calculations fail to illustrate if there is a 391 global influence of these meteorological parameters onto the soil-gas and soil-flux data. For instance, correlation coefficients are <0.1 (r) when comparing CO<sub>2</sub>-flux data with 392 393 temperature, pressure or relative humidity. This suggests that external parameters exert 394 little to insignificant influence on degassing processes; this is congruent with a deep-seated origin of the gases and with the low variability of the degassing strength that is expected for 395 a monitoring period of short duration (e.g. Werner et al., 2014). 396

However, it is well established that external parameters can have a strong influence on the 397 acquisitions (e.g. Oertel et al., 2016), and especially on flux data when considering the 398 399 duration of our survey. A time span of three days may be too short to allow the percolation 400 of water to 1-m depth, particularly during summer when water is easily taken up by the vegetation. For instance, Werner et al. (2014) report a decrease of emission rates by a factor 401 402 of 2-3 over several days after the passage of a weather front associated with high winds and strong precipitation: they highlight the influence of wind in this decrease as this may change 403 404 the pressure gradient in soil. This may be less obvious for our dataset because the wind 405 speed measured during the survey (maximum 3.33 m.s<sup>-1</sup>) and far below the 14 m.s<sup>-1</sup> reported 406 by Werner et al. (2014). As temperature, pressure, amount of precipitation and relative 407 humidity are interdependent, it is not easy to designate the most influent parameter, though 408 rain and its consequences on soil moisture are of primary importance (e.g. Viveiros et al.,

2014, and references therein). We will thus use the generic term "precipitation event" todescribe the influence of external parameters on flux evaluation.

Some examples are shown in Figure 7 to illustrate different aspects. Short-term variations 411 under consistent weather conditions were assessed through repeat acquisitions at points 412 413 Z13, Z18 and Z19 before precipitation events (grey and blue dots on Figure 7) and at point E12 after several precipitation events, in order to evaluate the bias that may exist for high 414 CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. Under dry weather conditions, the variability in CO<sub>2</sub> flux was between 2.5% and 415 12% for points showing similar degassing properties (points Z13 and Z18). This 2.5-12% 416 417 variability is in reasonable agreement with the standard error of this method (e.g. Chiodini et al., 1996; Evans et al., 2001). This is also similar to what is reported for the amplitude of flux 418 variation even under stable weather conditions (10% to 20%; Viveiros et al., 2014). The 419 420 variability can be much higher when gas flushes exist (300% of variability for point Z19). High intrinsic variability may thus be caused by these flushes of unpredictable variability, which 421 were common during our acquisitions and represent fluctuations of the advective 422 423 component (Werner et al., 2000). For point E12, the reduction of flux was around 150% and 424 associated with increased soil moisture, but no acquisition was done before precipitation events so that the decrease between dry and wet conditions may be much larger. 425

The occurrence of a precipitation event may not always points to a decrease in  $CO_2$  flux. An increase of the flux was monitored at point Z13 after the first precipitation event (*Figure 7*). As shown on the three photographs above the plot, the area of point Z13 was bare and the soil was humid (31 mm precipitation, evening of 26 June). Surprisingly, this had no influence on the gas emission as the flux was 25% higher. This is certainly due to the location of this point Z13, as shown by the strong bubbling on 28 June after another large precipitation

event. The monitoring point was just above a degassing centre and soil saturation had almost no influence on this process at least over a short period. Though not frequent, such an increase of gas flux after rainfall may also occur in areas where water taken up by the vegetation, such as point EZ12. General flux was low, in the range of biological  $CO_2$  flux (Pokryszka et al, 2017), but an increase of +60% was measured after the second precipitation event. Some of this variability may also be linked to the daily variability of biological soil-gas efflux (*e.g.* Parkin and Kaspar, 2003).

Normally, flux (e.g. Werner et al., 2000) and soil-gas concentrations (e.g. Hinkle, 1994) 439 440 decrease after precipitation events. This is illustrated for flux by repeat acquisitions at points CZ4, Z18 and Z19 (Figure 7); a decrease by a factor 5 or 10 occurred after 31 mm of rain 441 442 (respectively, points Z18 and Z19). Werner et al. (2000) reported a decrease by a factor 2 after 21 mm rain in the Yellowstone area. Further decrease may occur as the cumulative 443 precipitation increases (-15% at Z18, 8-fold decrease at CZ4). Such huge decreases are the 444 direct result of soil capping, which is maximized when acquisitions occur during precipitation 445 446 events. It is thus recommended to wait for two days without any precipitation to get rid of 447 the potential effects of water saturation in the soil pores (e.g. Fridriksson et al., 2006), but this was not possible during our survey. 448

Soil properties must be considered as well. In volcanic areas, high degassing zones are generally located on or near faults, meaning that soil permeability will have only minor influence on flux values (Camarda *et al.*, 2006), and a shallow soil cover may only slightly affect the shape and size of the soil degassing anomalies. However, this general statement has to be verified for each study (Viveiros *et al.*, 2014), and in our case the influence of the soil cover is shown by the increase in  $CO_2$  flux at point C9 (*Figure 7*). Here, a first direct measurement was followed by the removal of the uppermost few centimetres; the second

456 measurement, 15 minutes after the first, then showed a 6-fold increase in CO<sub>2</sub> flux. This delay may be too short to reach stability of the gas efflux; Fridriksson et al. (2006) indeed 457 mentioned a duration of 30 minutes to reach steady-state conditions. Nevertheless, the 458 amplitude of the increase also suggests that the clayey topsoil strongly reduced the gas 459 460 emission, especially when rain fell before the acquisitions. This may explain some of the 461 discrepancies between soil-gas concentrations and soil-gas flux, the second being far more 462 affected by the humidity and type of soil. There may be exceptions to this rule as shown by 463 the data from point E12, which is close to a road on gravel banks that are highly permeable even under wet conditions. Some variability (40%) was noted between repeat acquisitions, 464 but this was largely due to uncertainty on the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in the chamber in the 465 case of very high emissions. 466

Precipitation events were common during this survey, more or less affecting three quarters of the acquisitions. Direct use of these field acquisitions to calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> budget into the atmosphere would probably underestimate this budget. We thus corrected the dataset to arrive at a better assessment of the gas emissions, based on relationships established between the data points that were measured before and after precipitation events.

Based on the above-described data, this underestimate can be evaluated at up to 500% for a flux range of 96-720 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> just after a large precipitation event, whereas higher fluxes (>1440-1920 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>) that are found in areas with potential bubbling manifestations are not really modified. When precipitation events are less intense but last for several hours, an additional drop of the flux by approximately 15% is seen. We thus propose the following empirical correction procedure: 1) 45 data from 26 June: no correction; 2) Data from 27 June: if CO<sub>2</sub> flux is <240 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> the value is multiplied by 5 (49 data); if the flux is 240 to

1440 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> the value is multiplied by 2 (5 data); if  $CO_2$  flux >1440 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> there is no 479 correction (2 data); 3) Data from 28 and 29 June: similar correction as for 27 June data and 480 an additional correction of +15% (multiplied by 5 +15%: 28 data; multiplied by 2 +15%: 2 481 data; no correction: 3 data). Data corrected only for pressure and temperature effects and 482 those including further correction steps (gas flush and precipitation events) are compared in 483 484 Figure 8 (data shown in Supplementary table). The effect of this correction procedure is greatest for low fluxes considered as extreme. Some low CO<sub>2</sub> flux values after precipitation 485 correction are still less than 40 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>, but most are much higher and point to CO<sub>2</sub> flux 486 values far above biological ones. Even if this procedure likely represents an over-correction 487 of the data, it should still be used when evaluating  $CO_2$  release at site scale, to give a range 488 indication of the maximum expected gas release. 489

490 Figure 7

491 Figure 8

492

# 493 **3.3.** Spatial distribution

494

# 3.3.1. Background values and threshold levels

Soil-gas and soil-flux data are usually processed in order to determine threshold values from the data distribution. Such threshold levels help in better distinguishing between a pure biological, deep-seated, or mixed origin (*e.g.* Bloomberg *et al.*, 2014), using the procedure proposed by Sinclair (1974) that relies on probability plot calculations. This procedure may be adapted when the dataset is skewed, as is the case here with neither a normal nor log-

normal distribution, except for helium data that range under normal law with a p-value of
0.20. Some inflections in the cumulative probability plot may exist (*Figure 9*).

502 In our case, the acquisitions were oriented towards definition of the mofette structure and not towards a characterization of the background population, which may correspond to soil-503 respired CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Nevertheless, an upper limit for biologically sourced CO<sub>2</sub> in the soil, 504 505 close to 10% may be deduced from the 2017  $CO_2$  data (blue on Figure 9) and from the 506 previous dataset (red on Figure 9). A similar result derives from the earlier described  $CO_2$ - $O_2$ relationship. An upper threshold of 80-90% CO<sub>2</sub> may be defined, but its sole significance is to 507 508 help locating potential gas vents that show strong emission into the atmosphere as a 509 function of meteorological and soil parameters.

The helium data showed a similar distribution in 2017 (Escarot area) and in 2008-2012 (over a much larger area), suggesting some representativeness. A lower threshold of 5.5 ppm can be defined and corresponds to near-atmospheric values accounting for a measuring error of 513 5% (5.24 x 1.05 = 5.5). The upper threshold is around 24 ppm and any value above this threshold will indicate the presence of deep-seated gas phases.

Finally, depending on which  $CO_2$  flux data are considered (*Figure 9*), the biological background value can range from <33.6 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> (precipitation correction taken into account) to <74.4 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> (data normalized to STP conditions). The higher value is close to the upper limit defined by Pokryszka *et al.* (2017) for French soils. Higher flux values are typical of strong gas emissions with a growing influence of deep-seated  $CO_2$  feeding. Mixed sources (biological and deep-seated) may exist until the 240 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> threshold level is reached.

522 Figure 9

523

# 524 3.3.2. Data interpolation

525 As may be expected from the skewed data that were acquired near the gas seeps, all gas data show an anisotropic behaviour as pointed out by variogram calculations. Variograms for 526 soil-gas data (data not shown) are best fitted using a preferential distribution along a N60° 527 axis, whereas soil-gas flux data rather show a preferential distribution along a N25° axis. The 528 529 lag distances were calculated between 50 and 60 m except for helium (35 m). This agrees 530 with the size of emitting areas reported elsewhere for  $CO_2$  or  $CH_4$  degassing structures (e.g. Battani et al., 2010; Etiope et al., 2010). These data were used to construct interpolated 531 532 maps for an area of about 310 m N-S by 170 m E-W.

533 The interpolated maps for  $CO_2$  flux and correlative  $O_2$  depletion (Figure 10A and B) show 534 several degassing centres that seem to be aligned along a roughly NNW-SSE direction. Several seeps of secondary degassing power occur in the north of the area, between the 535 piezometer and the first mofette centre (as defined by Gal and Gadalia, 2011), releasing 536 2,400 to 3,600 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere. Interestingly, this NNW-SSE direction is 537 also revealed by O<sub>2</sub> depletion data at the soil/atmosphere interface, indicating the most 538 539 efficient degassing centres. Two main such centres are located in the south of the area. The less emissive one releases about 6,000 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> of  $CO_2$ , whereas the most active can reach 540 over 14,000 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> of CO<sub>2</sub> (Point E12, *Figure 7*). This most active area, set close to the road 541 542 over a gravel bed, shows no traces in the vegetation (grass) that might suggest the existence of such strong CO<sub>2</sub> release. Compared, e.g, to the Latera gas vents, of lower release strength 543 (2,000 to 3,000 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>; Beaubien et al., 2008) but without vegetation, the absence of 544 545 visible effects at Escarot is probably related to the absence of reducing gas species such as

 $H_2S$  or  $CH_4$ , which are released at Latera. Based on the maps constructed from STPnormalized flux or that corrected for precipitation effects, an inner structuring of these high release seeps seems to exist. This potential structure is oriented NE-SW, but, as the map built using the flux accounting from gas flushes does not reveal the same secondary structuring, this may be an artefact from kriging calculations and thus little confidence is given to this feature.

The soil-gas concentration measurements shown on Figure 10C include all the available 552 (2008-2012 and 2017) data. The resulting interpolated maps show a good spatial agreement 553 554 between these data, as was expected from probability-plot results (Figure 9). The data define several structures in the soil where CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>4</sup>He enrichment and the correlative O<sub>2</sub> 555 556 depletion may be very high. The mofette and the piezometer are located on two of these 557 structures that are also aligned along a NNW-SSE direction. Helium enrichment appears to 558 be less important to the southeast, but this effect may be caused by a lack of data is this 559 area.

At site scale, the soil-gas and soil flux measurements correlate. Areas where  $CO_2$  flux is high are rich in  $CO_2$  in the soil, but not all areas rich in  $CO_2$  in the soil show high  $CO_2$  release into the atmosphere. Similar spatial correlations were reported by Battani *et al.* (2010) and highlight the role of soil in the shape and size of degassing (Camarda *et al.*, 2006).

The structure and surface arrangement of degassing centres perfectly match the known regional tectonic structures. Brousse *et al.* (1990) reported the existence of NE-SW to NNE-SSW faults whose directions were inherited from pre-existing fractures in the Hercynian basement. Locally, these authors also mention the existence of a recent NNW-SSE fault (*Figure 10B*) that separates two series of the Mont-Dore volcanism (3 to 1.2 Ma-old basalt to

the west and 1.2 to 0.3 Ma-old benmoreite to the east). Hydrothermal traces exist along this fault over some tens of metres across the fault trace. This fault becomes invisible about 300 m north of the Escarot piezometer after offsetting the surficial formations filling the valley. Farther south this fault has no surface expression, but our data show that its trace can be extended as it still has some permeability for gas phases.

574 Figure 10

575

# 576 3.4. Quantifying gas release to the atmosphere

The strength of degassing and thus the permeability of the fault to gas flow can be assessed using the interpolated maps described above (*Figure 10*). Such evaluation is based on volume calculations functionalities that are found in *e.g.* Surfer software (Goldensoftware), provided the coordinates are in metric scale (*e.g.* Werner *et al.*, 2000). *Table 1* shows some results.

The  $CO_2$  that is released into the atmosphere may not all be of deep-seated origin (*Figure 5*). Three different levels of background biological flux are considered: 30 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>, the common upper limit for biological flux; 48 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>, the upper limit for grassland and agricultural soil; and 84 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>, the upper limit reported for French soils. Each increase of the biological  $CO_2$ flux induces a decrease of the deep-seated  $CO_2$  component.

The first evaluation refers to the STP-normalized  $CO_2$  flux, without considering any further correction. The second evaluation includes a correction to take gas flush into account. The third evaluation adds a correction to consider the effect of precipitation events, as earlier mentioned. Finally, a basic estimate is given, simply using the surface of the monitored area

591 (52,000 m<sup>2</sup>) and the mean CO<sub>2</sub> flux (576 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>). The end result is an emission of 30 tons 592 per day (tpd) of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere.

593 The first two evaluations gave quite similar results: the area affected by degassing is smaller than 32,000 m<sup>2</sup> (60% of the investigated area) and larger than 17,000 m<sup>2</sup> (33% of the 594 investigated area). The amount of biologically produced  $CO_2$  for a 52,000 m<sup>2</sup> area is between 595 596 1.6 and 4.4 tpd (depending on soil productivity). Interpolation calculations show that some areas may not be as productive as expected, so the biological CO<sub>2</sub> flux was re-estimated at 597 between 0.7 and 2 tpd. This correction could only be a calculation bias linked to the 598 599 procedure itself, but it may also be representative: biological flux can naturally vary and may 600 be low in areas where deep CO<sub>2</sub> escapes. The resulting quantity of such deep-seated CO<sub>2</sub> is evaluated between 17.3 and 20.8 tpd. The third evaluation, including the flux decrease 601 related to precipitation events, leads to quantities of emitted CO<sub>2</sub> very close to that of the 602 basic estimate (27 to 30 tpd), suggesting that even the most basic assumption can lead to a 603 realistic result, where the role of biological CO<sub>2</sub> flux is higher but nearly all the area appears 604 605 to be affected by diffuse degassing. This may be an optimistic appraisal, but we nevertheless 606 used it for proposing an overall estimate of the CO<sub>2</sub> budget, based on the mean value of all estimates presented in Table 1. The CO2 degassing over 0.052 km<sup>2</sup> at Escarot is thus 607 608 estimated at between 8100±1800 tons per year with an additional biological contribution of 660±400 tons per year, or respectively 22.2±4.9 and 1.8±1.1 tpd. 609

This amount of deep-seated  $CO_2$  is three times larger than that reported regionally from Sainte-Marguerite, 35 km to the north-east, where a  $CO_2$  release of 8.5 tpd over 0.06 km<sup>2</sup> was estimated (Battani *et al.*, 2010). This quantity is similar to that emitted by diffuse degassing in volcanic environments, such as in Greece (25 tpd, 0.346 km<sup>2</sup>; Brombach *et al.*,

2001), in Italy (Stromboli Island: 20 tpd on the flanks; Inguaggiato *et al.*, 2013), in Japan
(74 tpd of hydrothermal CO<sub>2</sub> reported over 0.58 km<sup>2</sup> by Hernández-Perez *et al.*, 2003), or in
New-Zealand (Taupo Volcanic Zone: from 8±3 tpd over 0.3 km<sup>2</sup> to 72±13 tpd over 0.19 km<sup>2</sup>;
Bloomberg *et al.*, 2014). Far higher emissions are nevertheless known from other volcanic
areas (*e.g.* Viveiros *et al.*, 2010; Burton *et al.*, 2013; and references therein) and also for
other mofettes in Europe (1566 tpd over 0.075 km<sup>2</sup> in the Czech Republic; Kämpf *et al.*,
2013).

621 Table 1

622

### 623 3.5. Links to risk assessment

The second aspect of this study relates to risk assessment, of interest to the populated areas 624 625 that exist a few dozen kilometres to the north-east. The Escarot area is not inhabited, but cattle grazing close to, or over, the seep apparently is unaffected by the strong gas 626 627 emissions. However, this situation can be different when transposed to urban areas. Gasemission occurrences are known from the French Massif Central, especially in its capital city 628 629 Clermont-Ferrand, where some degassing spots release as much as 18 to 100 tons  $CO_2$  per 630 year over 1 m<sup>2</sup> (Gal et al., 2017). In an urban context, buildings and other constructions often hamper and modify natural degassing pathways, thus focusing and/or enhancing the 631 release, possibly causing gas accumulations in foundations or cellars. For comparison, the 632 highest emission rate measured at Escarot leads to a release of 'only' 7.5 tons CO<sub>2</sub> per year 633 634 over 1 m<sup>2</sup>. As a result, the population could be exposed to a gas hazard, but there may be no warning signal, such as H<sub>2</sub>S, in the gas phase or damage to the vegetation. The Escarot case 635 636 study can be seen as an analogy to the situation prevailing before human occupation. It

strongly suggests that further investigations are needed in (future) urban areas, to evaluate
the potential hazard and, if yes, to assess the composition and volume of gas releases, as is
done in Italy (*e.g.* Carapezza *et al.*, 2012).

640

# 641 4. Concluding remarks

With the aim of better evaluating emissions of non-anthropogenic gas and their influence on 642 the global carbon cycle, the 2017 fieldwork on the Escarot site revealed that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions 643 into the atmosphere are not of minor importance compared those reported from 644 volcanically more active environments. At ground level, a NNW-SSE elongated structure was 645 revealed, marked by several degassing centres that are located as a function of soil 646 647 properties and their relative permeability to gas transport. In the soil, the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> is 648 even more common, and a clear relationship with local and regional fault directions was established. Local biological CO<sub>2</sub> production can be high (up to 10% of soil-gas), thus 649 650 contributing to a total mean CO<sub>2</sub> release into the atmosphere of 660 tons per year (tpy) for 651 the 52,000 m<sup>2</sup> area. This agrees with the magnitude of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reported by 652 Hermansson et al. (1991), when extrapolated to a similar scale (310 tpy). The present case 653 highlights the need for better understanding the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the scale of the French Massif Central, because existing evaluations may have missed far higher CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates 654 associated to mineral springs and/or gas vents. The existence of structures emitting CO<sub>2</sub> in 655 656 populated areas should also be taken into account in risk scenarios.

The deep-seated contribution is evaluated at over 8,000 tpy of  $CO_2$  and compares well with gas emissions reported for diffuse degassing in some active volcanic areas. However, gas emissions may vary throughout the year, depending on the season and on meteorological

660 conditions. Very strong, though temporary, decreases of flux into the atmosphere (up to a 661 factor 5) are caused by precipitation that saturates the upper soil horizons, and the presence 662 of snow cover during winter may further reduce the yearly  $CO_2$  budget. In addition, the rise 663 of deep-seated  $CO_2$  is not a linear process and high-frequency changes in gas-emission rates 664 have been noted. The result is a quite high uncertainty as to the quantification of such gas 665 emissions (±1,800 tpy), or about one quarter of the overall estimated amount of  $CO_2$ .

666

# 667 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank C. Werner and an anonymous reviewer for their thoughtful comments, which greatly improved this manuscript. The 2017 work was carried out with the financial assistance of the BRGM Laboratory Division (IN-SITU project). The previous work was part of a project funded by the Natural Risks Department of the Puy-de-Dôme district and by the BRGM Research Division (PREVOIR project). Dr H.M. Kluijver revised the final English version of this MS.

### 674 **References**

- Aeschbach-Hertig W., Kipfer R., Hofer M., Imboden D.M., Wieler R., Signer P., 1996,
  Quantification of gas fluxes from the subcontinental mantle: The example of Laacher See, a
  maar lake in Germany, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 60(1), 31-41.
- Aeschbach-Hertig W., Hofer M., Kipfer R., Imboden D.M., Wieler R., 1999, Accumulation of
  mantle gases in a permanently stratified volcanic lake (Lac Pavin, France), *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 63(19/20), 3357-3372.
- 681 Batard F., Baubron J.C., Bosch B., Marcé A., Risler J.J., 1982, Isotopic identification of gases of
- a deep origin in French thermomineral waters, *Journal of Hydrology* 56, 1-21.
- 683 Battani A., Deville E., Faure J.L., Noirez S., Tocqué E., Jeandel E., Benoît Y., Schmitz J.,
- 684 Parlouar D., Gal F., Le Pierrès K., Brach M., Braibant G., Bény C., Pokryszka Z., Charmoille A.,
- 685 Bentivegna G., Pironon J., de Donato P., Garnier C., Cailteau C., Barrès O., Radilla G., Bauer
- A., 2010, Geochemical study of the natural CO2 emissions in the French Massif Central: How
- to predict origin, processes and evolution of  $CO_2$  leakage, Oil and Gas Science Technology,
- 688 *65*, 615-633.
- Beaubien S.E., Ciotoli G., Coombs P., Dictor M. C., Krüger M., Lombardi S., Pearce J.M., West
  J.M., 2008, The impact of a naturally occurring CO<sub>2</sub> gas vent on the shallow ecosystem and
  soil chemistry of a Mediterranean pasture (Latera, Italy), *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2(3), 373-387.
- Blavoux B., Dazy J., 1990, Caractérisation d'une province à CO<sub>2</sub> dans le bassin du Sud-Est de
  la France, *Hydrogéologie 4*, 241-252.

Bloomberg S., Werner C., Rissmann C., Mazot A., Horton T., Gravley D., Kennedy B., Oze C.,
2014, Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions as a proxy for heat and mass flow assessment, Taupo Volcanic
Zone, New Zealand, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 15, 4885–4904,
doi:10.1002/2014GC005327.

Boudoire G., Liuzzo M., DiMuro A., Ferrazzini V., Michon L., Grassa F., Derrien A., Villeneuve
N., Bourdeu A., Brunet C., Giudice G., Gurrieri S., 2017, Investigating the deepest part of a
volcano plumbing system: Evidence for an active magma path below the western flank of
Piton de la Fournaise (La Réunion Island), *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*341, 193-207.

Bräuer K., Geissler W.H., Kämpf H., Niedermannn S., Rman N., 2016, Helium and carbon
isotope signatures of gas exhalations in the westernmost part of the Pannonian Basin (SE
Austria/NE Slovenia): Evidence for active lithospheric mantle degassing, *Chemical Geology*,
422, 60-70.

Bräuer K., Kämpf H., Niedermann S., Wetzel H.-U., 2017, Regional distribution pattern of
carbon and helium isotopes from different volcanic fields in the French Massif Central:
Evidence for active mantle degassing and water transport, *Chemical Geology*, 469, 4-18.

Brombach T., Hunziker J.C., Chiodini G., Cardellini C., Marini L., 2001, Soil diffuse degassing
and thermal energy fluxes from the southern Lakki plain, Nisyros (Greece), *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(1), 69-72.

Brousse R., Rançon J.P., Le Garrec M.J., Tempier P., Suire J., Veyret-Mekdjian Y., D'Arcy D.,
Périchaud J.J., 1990, Explanatory Notes to the 1:50,000-scale geological map of La Tourd'Auvergne, BRGM Editions, Orléans, France.

- 717 Burton M.R., Sawyer G.M., Granieri D., 2013, Deep carbon emissions from volcanoes,
- 718 Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 75(1), 323-354.
- Camarda M., Gurrieri S., Valenza M., 2006, CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements in volcanic areas using
  the dynamic concentration method: Influence of soil permeability, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111(B05202) doi:10.1029/2005JB003898.
- Camarda M., Gurrieri S., Valenza M., 2009, Effects of soil gas permeability and recirculation
   flux on soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements performed using a closed dynamic accumulation
- chamber, Chemical Geology 265, 387–393.
- 725 Carapezza M.L., Barberi F., Ranaldi M., Ricci T., Tarchini L., Barrancos J., Fischer C., Granieri
- D., Lucchetti C., Melian G., Perez N., Tuccimei P., Vogel A., Weber K., 2012, Hazardous gas emissions from the flanks of the quiescent Colli Albani volcano (Rome, Italy), *Applied Geochemistry 27*, 1767-1782.
- Chiodini G., Frondini F., Raco B., 1996, Diffuse emission of CO<sub>2</sub> from the Fossa Crater,
  Vulcano Island (Italy), *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 58(1), 41–50.
- Chiodini G., Cioni R., Guidi M., Raco B., Marini L., 1998, Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements in
  volcanic and geothermal areas, *Applied Geochemistry*, 13(5), 543-552.
- Chiodini G., Baldini A., Barberi F., Carapezza M.L., Cardellini C., Frondini F., Granieri D.,
  Ranaldi M., 2007, Carbon dioxide degassing at Latera caldera (Italy): Evidence of geothermal
  reservoir and evaluation of its potential energy, *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
  112(B12204), doi:10.1029/2006JB004896.
- Chiodini G., Caliro S., Cardellini C., Granieri D., Avino R., Baldini A., Donnini M., Minopoli C.,
  2010, Long-term variations of the Campi Flegrei, Italy, volcanic system as revealed by the

- monitoring of hydrothermal activity, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115(B03205),
  doi:10.1029/2008JB006258.
- Czernochowski-Lauriol I., Pauwels H., Vigouroux P., Le Nindre Y.-M., 2003, The French
   carbogaseous province: an illustration of natural processes of CO<sub>2</sub> generation, migration,
   accumulation and leakage, In: Gale K., Kaya Y., Editors, Greenhouse gas control technologies,
- vol. 1. Amsterdam: Pergamon, p. 411–416.
- Etiope G., Martinelli G., 2002, Migration of carrier and trace gases in the geosphere: an
  overview, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 129, 185–204.
- 747 Etiope G., Zwahlen C., Anselmetti F.S., Kipfer R., Schubert C.J., 2010, Origin and flux of a gas

seep in the Northern Alps (Giswil, Switzerland), *Geofluids*, 10, 476-485.

- Etiope G., 2015, Natural Gas Seepage The Earth's Hydrocarbon Degassing, Springer Eds.,
  199 p., DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-14601-0.
- Evans W.C., Sorey M.L., Kennedy B.M., Stonestrom D.A., Rogie J.D., Shuster D.L., 2001, High
   CO<sub>2</sub> emissions through porous media: transport mechanisms: and implications for flux
   measurement and fractionation, *Chemical Geology* 177, 15-29.
- Favara R., Giammanco S., Inguaggiato S., Pecoraino G., 2001, Preliminary estimate of CO<sub>2</sub>
  output from Pantellaria Island volcano (Sicily, Italy): evidence of active mantle degassing,
  Applied Geochemistry 16, 883-894.
- 757 Fleischer R.L., Mogro-Campero A., 1978, Mapping of integrated radon emanation for 758 detection of long-distance migration of gases within the Earth: Techniques and Principles,
- Journal of Geophysical Research, 83(B7), 3539-3549

760	France L., Demacon M., Gurenko A.A., Briot D., 2016, Oxygen isotopes reveal crustal
761	contamination and a large, still partially molten magma chamber in Chaîne des Puys (French
762	Massif Central), Lithos 260, 328-338.

763 Fridriksson T., Kristjansson B.R., Armannsson H., Margretardottir E., Olafsdottir S., Chiodini

G., 2006, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and heat flow through soil, fumaroles, and steam heated mud pools

at the Reykjanes geothermal area, SW Iceland, Applied Geochemistry, 21, 1551-1569.

Frondini F., Chiodini G., Caliro S., Cardellini C., Granieri D., Ventura G., 2004, Diffuse CO<sub>2</sub>
 degassing at Vesuvio, Italy, *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 66, 642–651.

Gal F., Brach M., Braibant G., Jouin F., Michel K., 2011, CO<sub>2</sub> escapes in the Laacher See
region, East Eifel, Germany: application of natural analogue onshore and offshore
geochemical monitoring, *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 5*, 1099-1118.

Gal F., Gadalia A., 2011, Soil gas measurements around the most recent volcanic system of
 metropolitan France (Lake Pavin, Massif Central), *Comptes Rendus Geosciences* 343, 43-54.

Gal F., Brach M., Braibant G., Bény C., Michel K., 2012, What can be learned from natural
analogues studies in view of CO2 leakage issues in Carbon Capture and Storage applications?
Geochemical case study of Sainte-Marguerite area (French Massif Central), *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* 10, 470-485.

Gal F., Proust E., Bentivegna G., Leconte S., De Lary de Latour L., Loschetter A., Pokryszka Z.,
Collignan B., 2017, What may be the consequences of a CO<sub>2</sub> leakage? Insights from soil gas
measurements in an urban area – Clermont-Ferrand, French Massif Central, *Energy Procedia*114, 3006-3019.

Halmer M.M., Schmincke H.U., Graf H.F., 2002, The annual volcanic gas input into the
atmosphere, in particular into the stratosphere: a global data set for the past 100 years, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 115, 511-528.

Hermansson P.H., Akerblom G., Chyssler J., Linden A., 1991, Geogas—a carrier or a tracer?
Statens Karnbransle Namnd (SKN) Report 51, National Board for Spent Nuclear Fuel,
Stockholm, p. 66.

Hernández P.A., Pérez N.M., Salazar J.M., Nakai S.I., Notsu K., Wakita H., 1998, Diffuse
emission of carbon dioxide, methane, and helium-3 from Teide Volcano, Tenerife, Canary
Islands, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(17), 3311-3314.

Hernández-Perez P., Notsu K., Tsurumi M., Mori T., Ohno M., Shimoike Y., Salazar J., Pérez
N., 2003, Carbon dioxide emissions from soils at Hakkoda, north Japan, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(B4), 2210, doi:10.1029/2002JB001847.

Hinkle M., 1994, Environmental conditions affecting concentrations of He, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> in
soft gases, *Applied Geochemistry*, *9*, 53-63.

Holloway S., Pearce J.M., Hards V.L., Ohsumi T., Gale J., 2007, Natural emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from
the geosphere and their bearing on the geological storage of carbon dioxide, *Energy 32*,
1194-1201.

Inguaggiato S., Jácome Paz M.P., Mazot A., Delgado Granados H., Inguaggiato C., Vita F.,
2013, CO<sub>2</sub> output discharged from Stromboli Island (Italy), *Chemical Geology* 339, 52–60.

Jähne B., Heinz G., Dietrich W., 1987, Measurement of the diffusion coefficients of sparingly

soluble gases in water, Journal of Geophysical Research, 92(C10), 10767-10776

Jézéquel D., Michard G., Viollier E., Agrinier P., Albéric P., Lopes F., Abril G., Bergonzini L.,
2015, Carbon Cycle in a Meromictic Crater Lake: Lake Pavin, France, *In* Lake Pavin - History,
Geology, Biogeochemistry, and Sedimentology of a Deep Meromictic Maar Lake, SimeNgando T., Boivin P., Chapron E., Jézéquel D., Meybeck M. Eds, Springer, 10.1007/978-3-31939961-4.

- Judd A., 2005, Gas Emissions from Mud Volcanoes. In: Martinelli G., Panahi B. (eds) Mud Volcanoes, Geodynamics and Seismicity. NATO Science Series (Series IV: Earth and Environmental Series), vol. 51. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Kerrick D.M., 2001, Present and past non-anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> degassing from the solid earth, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 39(4), 565-585.
- Krüger M., Jones D., Frerichs J., Oppermann B.I., West J., Coombs P., Green K., Barlow T.,
  Lister R., Shaw R., Strutt M., Möller I., 2011, Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on the
  vegetation and microbial populations at a terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> vent at Laacher See, Germany, *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 5*, 1093-1098.
- Kämpf H., Bräuer K., Schumann J., Hahne K., Strauch G., 2013,  $CO_2$  discharge in an active, non-volcanic continental rift area (Czech Republic): Characterisation ( $\delta^{13}C$ , <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He) and quantification of diffuse and vent  $CO_2$  emissions, *Chemical Geology*, 339, 71-83.
- Lewicki J.L., Bergfeld D., Cardellini C., Chiodini G., Granieri D., Varley N., Werner C., 2005,
- 820 Comparative soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements and geostatistical estimation methods on Masaya
- volcano, Nicaragua, Bulletin of Volcanology, 68, 76-90.

Lewicki J.L., Birkholzer J., Tsang C.-F., 2007, Natural and industrial analogues for leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> from storage reservoirs: identification of features, events, and processes and lessons learned, *Environmental Geology*, *52*, 457-467.

Liuzzo M., Di Muro A., Giudice G., Michon L., Ferrazzini V., Gurrieri S., 2015, New evidence of CO<sub>2</sub> soil degassing anomalies on Piton de la Fournaise volcano and the link with volcano tectonic structures, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 16, 4388-4404, doi:10.1002/2015GC006032.

Meybeck M., 2015, Pavin, the Birthplace of French Limnology (1770–2012), and Its Degassing
Controversy (1986–2016), *In* Lake Pavin - History, Geology, Biogeochemistry, and
Sedimentology of a Deep Meromictic Maar Lake, Sime-Ngando T., Boivin P., Chapron E.,
Jézéquel D., Meybeck M. Eds, Springer, 10.1007/978-3-319-39961-4.

Mörner N.-A., Etiope G., 2002, Carbon degassing from the lithosphere, *Global and Planetary Change 33*, 185-203.

Notsu K., Mori T., Chanchah Do Vale S., Kagi H., Ito T., 2006, Monitoring quiescent volcanoes
by diffuse CO<sub>2</sub> degassing: case study of Mt. Fuji, Japan, *Pure Applied Geophysics* 163, 825837 835.

838 Oertel C., Matschullat J., Zurba K., Zimmermann F., Erasmi S., 2016, Greenhouse gas 839 emissions from soils — A review, *Chemie der Erde 76*, 327–352.

Parkin T.B., Kaspar T.C., 2003, Temperature controls on diurnal carbon dioxide flux:
implications for estimating soil carbon loss, *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 67, 17631772.

- Parkin T.B., Venterea R.T., 2010, Sampling Protocols Chapter 3 Chamber-Based Trace Gas
  Flux Measurements, *In* Sampling Protocols. R.F. Follett editor, 3-1 to 3-39.
- Pearce J., Czernichowski-Lauriol I., Lombardi S., Brune S., Nador A., Baker J., Pauwels H.,
  Hatziyannis G., Beaubien S., Faber E., 2004, A review of natural CO<sub>2</sub> accumulations in Europe
  as analogues for geological sequestration, *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*,
  233, 29-41.
- Pedone M., Viveiros F., Aiuppa A., Giudice G., Grassa F., Gagliano A.L., Francofonte V.,
  Ferreira T., 2015, Total (fumarolic + diffuse soil) CO<sub>2</sub> output from Furnas volcano, *Earth*, *Planets and Space 67*:174.
- Pokryszka Z., Adelise F., Farret R., Lafortune S., Michel E., Rupasinghe S., 2017, Valeurs de
- 853 référence du flux de CO<sub>2</sub> et de CH<sub>4</sub> d'origine naturelle biogénique des sols, Rapport INERIS
- 854 DRS-17-164646-05731A, 48 p.,

855 https://www.ineris.fr/sites/ineris.fr/files/contribution/Documents/INERIS\_DRS-17-164646-

- 856 05731\_Referentiel-flux-CO2-CH4-sols\_ZPo.pdf
- Rinaldi A.P., Vandemeulebrouck J., Todesco M., Viveiros F., 2012, Effects of atmospheric
  conditions on surface diffuse degassing, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117(B11201),
  doi:10.1029/2012JB009490.
- Roberts J.J., Wood R.A., Haszeldine R.S., 2011, Assessing the health risks of natural CO<sub>2</sub> seeps
  in Italy, PNAS, 108(40), 16545-16548.
- Rogie J.D., Kerrick D.M., Chiodini G., Frondini F., 2000, Flux measurements of non-volcanic
  emission from some vents in central Italy, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(B4), 8435864 8445.

- Romanak K.D., Bennett P.C., Yang C., Hovorka S.D., 2012, Process-based approach to CO<sub>2</sub>
  leakage detection by vadose zone gas monitoring at geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage sites, *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, L15405.
- Sinclair A.J., 1974, Selection of thresholds in geochemical data using probability graphs,
  Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 3, 129-149.
- Smith A.Y., Barretto P.M.C., Pournis S., 1976, Radon methods in uranium exploration, IAEA
  paper SM-208/52, in Exploration for uranium ore deposits Proceedings of a symposium
  held in Vienna, 29 March 2 April 1976, organized by IAEA and NEA (OECD), IAEA
  SI/PUB/434, ISBN 92-0-040076-0.
- Sorey M.L., Evans W.C., Kennedy B.M., Farrar C.D., Hainsworth L.J., Hausback B., 1998,
  Carbon dioxide and helium emissions from a reservoir of magmatic gas beneath Mammoth
  Mountain, California, *Journal of Geophysical Research* 103(B7), 15303-15323.
- Toutain J.P., Baubron J.C., 1999, Gas geochemistry and seismotectonics: a review,
  Tectonophysics 304, 1–27.
- Viveiros F., Ferreira T., Vieira J.C., Silva C., Gaspar J.L., 2008, Environmental influences on soil
  CO<sub>2</sub> degassing at Furnas and Fogo volcanoes (São Miguel Island, Azores archipelago), *Journal*of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 177(4), 883-893.
- Viveiros F., Ferreira T., Silva C., Gaspar J.L., 2009, Meteorological factors controlling soil gases and indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: A permanent risk in degassing areas, *Science of the Total Environment* 407, 1362-1372.
- 885 Viveiros F., Cardellini C., Ferreira T., Caliro S., Chiodini G., Silva C., 2010, Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at
- 886 Furnas volcano, São Miguel Island, Azores archipelago: Volcano monitoring perspectives,

- geomorphologic studies, and land use planning application, *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
- 888 115 (B12208), doi:10.1029/2010JB007555.
- Viveiros F., Vandemeulebrouck J., Rinaldi A.P., Ferreira T., Silva C., Cruz J.V., 2014, Periodic
  behavior of soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in diffuse degassing areas of the Azores archipelago:
  Application to seismovolcanic monitoring, *Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth*, 119,
  7578-7597, doi:10.1002/2014JB011118.
- Weiss R.F., 1971, Solubility of helium and neon in water and seawater, *Journal of Chemical*and Engineering Data, 16, 235-241.
- Weiss R.F., 1974, Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas,
  Marine Chemistry, 2, 203-215.
- Werner C., Brantley S., Boomer K., 2000, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions related to the Yellowstone volcanic
  system. 2. Statistical sampling, total degassing and transport mechanism, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(B5), 10831-10846.
- Werner C., Brantley S., 2003, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the Yellowstone volcanic system,
  Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 4(7), 1061, doi:10.1029/2002GC000473.
- Werner C., Evans W.C., Poland M., Tucker D.S., Doukas M.P., 2009, Long-term changes in quiescent degassing at Mount Baker Volcano, Washington, USA; Evidence for a stalled intrusion in 1975 and connection to a deep magma source, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 186, 379–386.
- Werner C., Bergfeld D., Farrar C.D., Doukas M.P., Kelly P.J., Kern C., 2014, Decadal-scale
   variability of diffuse CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and seismicity revealed from long-term monitoring

- 908 (1995-2013) at Mammoth Mountain, California, USA, Journal of Volcanology and
- 909 Geothermal Research, 289, 51–63.

# 910 **Figure captions**

- 911 Figure 1: Location of the study area.
- 912 Top: Location of soil-gas sampling sites (red squares) performed around Lake Pavin (Gal and
- 913 Gadalia, 2011).
- Bottom: Detailed view of the Escarot site: yellow arrows indicate the precise position of the
- mofette as defined in the 2011 paper and the piezometer defined as a "wet mofette" by
- 916 Bräuer et al. (2017). Sampling sites of soil-gas flux and soil-gas concentration in June 2017
- 917 are indicated by blacked and concentric circles, respectively. Blue pictograms show areas
- 918 where gas bubbles are observed in stagnant surface puddles.
- 919 Background satellite view from Google Earth.
- Figure 2: Typology of the flux emissions ( $CO_2$  flux and  $O_2$  depletion).
- Point A15 show regular CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment inside the chamber; points A8 and Z16 show short-
- term variations of the  $CO_2$  enrichment; point E12 show quick sensor saturation; point Z32
- show decrease of the  $CO_2$  concentration over time; points BZ8 and E12 show  $O_2$  depletion.
- 224 Location of the measurement points is indicated on the satellite view.
- 925 Figure 3: Top: Atmospheric meteorological conditions (air temperature, atmospheric
- pressure, wind speed and amount of precipitation) just before before and during the June
- 927 2017 survey, on an hourly basis (data from Laqueuille weather station
- 928 <u>http://www.meteociel.fr/climatologie/villes.php?code=204&mois=6&annee=2017</u>).
- 929 Bottom: Detailed view of the survey parameters; temperature, pressure and relative humidity
- 930 data from the sensors mounted on the flux chamber are indicated by black, red and green
- 931 dots, respectively.

Figure 4: A: Box plots for soil-gas concentrations data – studies from 2008 to 2012.

933 B: Box plots for soil-gas concentrations data – 2017 study.

934 C: Box plots for soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux. 1: Standard Temperature- and Pressure-corrected data. 2: STP

- 935 data using steepest slopes in case of fluctuating gas emissions corresponding to gas flushes.
- 936 3: STP data corrected to take into account the existence of these gas flushes) and box plot for
- 937 O<sub>2</sub> depletion. 4. The Coefficient of Variation (CV = Standard Deviation divided by mean value)
- 938 is indicated for each dataset (in blue).
- Figure 5: A:  $O_2$  vs.  $CO_2$  binary plot; dilution lines correspond to the intrusion of  $CO_2$  as a pure
- phase with end-members corresponding to the  $CO_2$ - $O_2$  composition of the atmosphere, and
- to the  $CO_2$ - $O_2$  composition of biologically produced  $CO_2$  and consumed  $O_2$  (at 5% and 10%)
- 942 CO<sub>2</sub>).
- 943 B: <sup>4</sup>He vs.  $CO_2$  binary plot.
- 944 C: O<sub>2</sub> depletion vs. STP-normalized CO<sub>2</sub> flux binary plot (domain of biological flux from the
- synthesis of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in France; Pokryszka et al., 2017). Flux data including a gas-flush
- 946 correction are indicated by yellow stars.
- Figure 6: A:  $CO_2$  concentration vs.  $CO_2$  flux binary plot.

948 B: <sup>4</sup>He vs. CO<sub>2</sub> flux binary plot; blue triangles: data from June 26<sup>th</sup>; pink triangles: data from

- June 27<sup>th</sup>; green domain: data from Pokryszka et al. (2017); other domains: see text for
- 950 explanation.
- 951 Figure 7: Influence of precipitation events on flux acquisition see text for explanation. The
- 952 X-axis shows the duration of the measurement (typically 3 minutes) and the Y-axis shows the
- 953 increase of  $CO_2$  concentration inside the chamber.

954	Figure 8: Relation between STP-corrected $CO_2$ flux and $CO_2$ flux corrected from gas flushes
955	(blue squares), and $CO_2$ flux corrected from gas flushes and from the effect of precipitation
956	events (red squares); green domain: data from Pokryszka et al. (2017).
957	Figure 9: Probability plots for $CO_2$ and helium concentrations, and $CO_2$ flux. $CO_2$ -flux data are
958	considered as measured in the field (normalized to STP conditions) and corrected for
959	precipitation influence (see text for the correction procedure). The 2008-2012 dataset refers
960	to the entire dataset, i.e. Gal and Galalia (2011) plus some unpublished data from 2012.
961	Figure 10: A: Various interpolated maps for CO <sub>2</sub> flux: from left to right: using STP normalized
962	data; using STP-normalized data and the gas-flush correction; using additional correction to
963	account for precipitation effect. Dashed line shows the NNW-SSE direction.
964	B: Interpolated map for $O_2$ depletion – scale similar to that of $CO_2$ flux. On the right, part of
965	the 1:50,000-scale geological map showing the NNW-SSE fault (dashed line).
966	C: From left to right: interpolated maps for CO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>2</sub> and <sup>4</sup> He concentrations in soil gas (dashed
967	rectangles show the area used for flux interpolations). All maps were constructed with

968 variogram results.

# 969 <u>Table caption</u>

Table 1: evaluation of  $CO_2$  emissions in the atmosphere; see text for details.











Accepted Manuscript



Accepted Manuscript







Accepted Manuscript





### Other emissions to the atmosphere

The rise of deep-seated  $CO_2$  to <u>the</u> surface and its final emission into the <u>atmosphere</u> is not only accompanied by the degassing of other gases, such as helium, <u>but</u> also by the release of chemical elements such as trace metals. This aspect of degassing is less studied than the emission of gases itself, but, *e.g.* Mehlhorn *et al.* (2014) <u>described</u> the consequences of trace-element release/remobilization associated with mofettes, and Bagnato *et al.* (2014) <u>discussed</u> mercury releases associated with volcanic <u>centres</u>. Other <u>work was done</u> for <u>mineral</u> exploration purposes (Pauwels *et al.*, 1999; Castillo *et al.*, 2015; Noble *et al.*, 2017). Here we present some data <u>showing</u> that the Escarot mofette is also prone to such emission of elements. These data were not collected using the approach referring to the use of passive samplers and the adsorption of aerosols; they are the result of a <u>one-day</u> sampling session in February 2009 when a snow cover was present (*Figure A*). We used this snow cover as a natural passive sampler to test if some gases—and <u>thus</u> some chemical elements—can percolate and accumulate in the snow.

On this February day, three locations were investigated. First, the snow cover was removed and the soil-gas atmosphere at 1-m depth was analysed. This allowed defining a location characteristic of the mofette (referred to as Pt200; 100% CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the soil gas), a location set some metres away, in a less active environment (Pt2000; 22.5% CO<sub>2</sub>) and finally a remote location (Pt2001; 0.4% CO<sub>2</sub>). Once the soil gas was characterized, we installed PVC pipes at 2 to 3 different levels in the snow cover, to collect snow for subsequent laboratory analyses and to measure the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration once the pipe was removed.

The most interesting results came from Point 200 (*Figure A-A*). The gas phase in the snow cover was influenced by  $CO_2$  release from the mofette: 5 cm above ground level, the

concentration was as high as 10.7%. The concentration then decreaseds to 2.5%, 30 cm above the ground level and to 0.1%, 60 cm above the ground level (or 10 cm below the snow/atmosphere interface). The other two points did not show any enrichment in  $CO_2$  in the snow thus confirming that only areas with high  $CO_2$  fluxes can produce a gas flux that is strong enough to percolate upward into the snow cover.

The concentrations in dissolved elements were also informative (*Figure AB and -C*). Points 2000 and 2001 have similar <u>element</u> concentrations and show little variability with emplacement in the snow cover. Na-Cl contents appear <u>are</u> homogeneous at site scale with a slight tendency of higher contents close to the snow/atmosphere boundary. This may be a consequence of salt spreading on roads, remobilization as dust when <u>the</u> salt has dried and <u>is</u> dispersed by wind. This also highlights that there is no input of Na or Cl from the gas vent. <u>However</u>, enrichment in Ca and K exists for Pt200 <u>5 cm</u> above <u>the</u> soil (enrichment factor: 4 to 5). The concentrations decrease progressively as the distance with soil increases. Similar statements can be made for trace elements such as Pb, Zn, Sr, Cd, Mn, Ni (enrichment factors of 3 at least) and also <u>for</u> Al or As (not shown in *Figure* A1). Some species are not detected at Pt2000 or Pt2001 and are only <u>occur</u> close to <u>the</u> soil surface at Pt200<sub>2</sub> such as Fe and Cr and Li (not shown in *Figure* A). <u>But</u> these data are too scarce to pretend <u>at</u> a general rule on the release of elements associated to gas seeps, <u>even if they</u> highlight a common <u>behaviour</u> between these two endmembers near the surface.



Figure A1: A:  $CO_2$  concentrations in soil (1 m depth) and in the snow cover at 5, 30 and 50 or 60 cm above the ground level as measured on 29 February 2009; temperatures are also indicated – location of the points is <u>plotted</u> on the satellite picture; an overview of the procedure is given in the bottom picture.

B: <u>Some major-element (except Pb)</u> concentrations measured in the snow 5, 30 and 50 or 60 cm <u>above</u> the soil surface.

C: Some trace-element concentrations measured in the snow 5, 30 and 50 or 60 cm above the soil surface.

### References:

Bagnato E., Barra M., Cardellini C., Chiodini G., Parello F., Sprovieri M., 2014, First combined flux chamber survey of mercury and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from soil diffuse degassing at Solfatara of Pozzuoli crater, Campi Flegrei (Italy): Mapping and quantification of gas release, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 289, 26-40.

Castillo P.I.C., Townley B.K., Emery X., Puig A.F., Deckart K., 2015, Soil gas geochemical exploration in covered terrains of northern Chile: data processing techniques and interpretation of contrast anomalies, *Geochemistry: Exploration, Environment, Analysis, 15, 222-233, doi:10.1144/geochem2014-283.* 

Mehlhorn J., Beulig F., Küsel K., Planer-Friedrich B., 2014, Carbon dioxide triggered metal(loid) mobilisation in a mofette, *Chemical Geology* 382, 54–66.

Noble R.R.P., Seneshen D.M., Lintern M.J., Anand R.R., Pagès A., Pinchand G.T., 2017, Soilgas and weak partial soil extractions for nickel exploration through transported cover in Western Australia, *Geochemistry: Exploration*, *Environment*, *Analysis*, https://doi.org/10.1144/geochem2017-026.

Pauwels H., Baubron J.-C., Freyssinet P., Chesneau M., 1999, Sorption of metallic compounds on activated carbon: application to exploration for concealed deposits in southern Spain, *Journal of Geochemical Exploration 66*, 115–133.