

Effects of smectite dehydration and illitisation on overpressures in sedimentary basins: A coupled chemical and thermo-hydro-mechanical modelling approach

Joachim Tremosa, Hélène Gailhanou, Christophe Chiaberge, Raymi Castilla, Eric Gaucher, Arnault Lassin, Claude Gout, Claire Fialips, Francis Claret

▶ To cite this version:

Joachim Tremosa, Hélène Gailhanou, Christophe Chiaberge, Raymi Castilla, Eric Gaucher, et al.. Effects of smectite dehydration and illitisation on overpressures in sedimentary basins: A coupled chemical and thermo-hydro-mechanical modelling approach. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 2020, 111, pp.166-178. 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.08.021 . hal-02734936

HAL Id: hal-02734936 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-02734936

Submitted on 20 Jul2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Effects of smectite dehydration and illitisation on overpressures in sedimentary				
2	basins: a coupled chemical and thermo-hydro-mechanical modelling approach				
3					
4	Joachim Tremosa ^{a,*} , Helene Gailhanou ^a , Christophe Chiaberge ^a , Raymi Castilla ^b , Eric C. Gaucher ^b ,				
5	Arnault Lassin ^a , Claude Gout ^b , Claire Fialips ^b and Francis Claret ^a				
6					
7	a BRGM, 3 av. Claude Guillemin, BP 36009, 45060 Orléans, France				
8	b TOTAL S.A., Avenue Larribau, 64018 Pau, France				
9					
10	Corresponding author:				
11	Joachim TREMOSA				
12	BRGM, 3 av. Claude Guillemin BP6009				
13	45060 Orléans, France.				
14	* E-mail: j.tremosa@brgm.fr				
15					
16					
17					

18 Abstract

Diagenetic smectite dehydration and smectite-to-illite transition in clay-rich sediments can contribute 19 20 to the generation of overpressure in sedimentary basins, because of the release of water associated 21 with these mineralogical reactions. However, the challenge of deciphering the contribution of smectite 22 dehydration and illitisation to fluid pressure amongst other mechanisms generating overpressure 23 requires the different mechanisms at play to be jointly considered. Here, we developed a coupled 24 chemical and thermo-hydro-mechanical code, named SURP, to calculate the overpressure generation 25 in a sedimentary pile because of sediment compaction during its burial, temperature increase, water 26 flow and because of the release of water by clay dehydration and illitisation. For this purpose, a 27 revised thermodynamic model describing smectite dehydration by a solid solution approach was considered, together with the different thermo-hydro-mechanical processes occurring in sedimentary 28 29 basins. The SURP code was applied to the case study of an overpressured passive margin in the Niger Delta, composed of thick shale layers in a sedimentary pile. In this geological scenario, smectite 30 dehydration was simulated and could explain 10 to 30 % (20 to 50 bar) of the present day 31 overpressure in the main reservoirs, in addition to compaction disequilibrium. 32

33

34 Keywords: overpressure; smectite; dehydration; fluid generation; fluid pressure; THMC; Niger Delta

37

38

1. Introduction

Overpressures, or abnormal fluid pressures, are frequently encountered in sedimentary basins and 39 consist in fluid pressures exceeding the hydrostatic head. During the drilling of deep reservoirs, it is 40 necessary to anticipate and to be able to control the fluid pressure conditions for ensuring well 41 42 integrity and safety. Understanding the fluid pressure regime is also useful for evaluating fluid and hydrocarbon migration and trapping over the sedimentary basin history. The water flushing of 43 hydrocarbons linked to overpressures can clearly be a cause of unsuccessful results during exploration 44 45 phases.

46 These overpressures generally correspond to a delay in the expulsion of the fluids contained in the porosity and can be caused by different mechanisms (Neuzil, 1995; Swarbrick and Osborne, 1998), 47 48 including: i) mechanical compaction disequilibrium; ii) tectonic compression; iii) mineralogical 49 transformations releasing water; iv) hydrocarbon generation; or v) osmotic processes. Combinations of 50 several of these mechanisms are frequently the cause of the abnormal pressure profile in a sedimentary 51 pile and, for interpreting or predicting overpressure, it is necessary to distinguish the respective 52 contribution of each mechanism. For this purpose, the use of basin models able to calculate the 53 pressure evolution in the sedimentary pile by taking into account the different mechanisms generating 54 overpressures is a pertinent approach (Swarbrick and Osborne, 1998; Wangen, 2001; Nordgård Bolås 55 et al., 2004; Tremosa et al., 2012).

56 Overpressures linked to the presence of clay layers are not always correctly interpreted. We have to 57 distinguish (i) the water produced by mechanical compaction and from the macroporosity of the clay 58 formations and (ii) the water produced by the thermodynamic transformation of the clay minerals 59 (Figure 1). By thermodynamic transformation, we mean the dehydration of smectite and the illitisation 60 processes of hydrated smectite. Several cases of overpressures generated by clay dehydration are 61 reported in the literature (Bruce, 1984; Shaw and Primmer, 1989; Kooi, 1997; Gordon and Flemings, 1998; Nordgård Bolås et al., 2004; Tanikawa et al., 2008), as an additional mechanism to compaction 62 disequilibrium. However, clay dehydration is ruled out in most studies on the origin of overpressures 63

64 and is only considered as one unloading process amongst others that decreases the effective stress without changing the porosity. Clay dehydration is rarely considered in forward modelling of 65 66 overpressure build-up but some models have done so, suggesting that clay dehydration can contribute up to ¹/₃ of the overpressure, depending on the geological scenario (Audet, 1995; Wangen, 2001). 67 When smectite dehydration and illitisation were considered in a pressure or transport calculation 68 model in a sedimentary basin (Audet, 1995; Wangen, 2001; Saffer and McKiernan, 2009), the 69 70 mineralogical process releasing water was simplified, only describing a continuous release of water depending on temperature but without considering thermodynamic constraints and dehydration steps. 71 72 Conversely, when a thermodynamic model was established and used to correctly describe clay dehydration, the coupling with the pressure calculation was partial (Colten-Bradley, 1987; Meiller, 73 74 2013). In these last models, the pressure corresponding to the volume of released water was added to 75 the calculated pressure, thus accounting for compaction but without considering the feedback of this 76 additional pressure excess on flow and effective stress.

77 In this paper, we are presenting a model, called SURP, for pore pressure calculation during the burial 78 of a sedimentary pile, accounting for water flow, compaction, temperature increase and involving an 79 advanced thermodynamic model describing smectite dehydration (Vidal and Dubacq, 2009). Hence, 80 pore pressure is calculated by considering both the different thermo-hydro-mechanical processes 81 occurring in a sedimentary basin and the release of water by smectite, calculated depending on the 82 modelled temperature and pressure evolution. A coupled approach considering a sound description of clay dehydration in pore pressure calculation was missing to evaluate the influence of smectite 83 dehydration on overpressure generation. The developed model was applied to a case study in the Niger 84 85 Delta, where the influence of water released by thick shale layers on overpressure is discussed.



Figure 1. Schematic view of the distribution of water in a clay-rock: in the macroporosity between clay aggregates and in the
 interlayer space between clay crystallite.

Model development for overpressure calculations during burial diagenesis of marine sediments

93 94

2.1. Objective of the SURP code

95 The SURP code has been developed to account for both thermo-hydro-mechanical and mineralogical 96 effects and their reciprocal influence, including the influence of temperature and pressure evolution on 97 clay dehydration and the influence of the water released by mineralogical reactions on the flow, the 98 fluid pressure and the effective stress in the sedimentary pile. In the SURP coupling approach, the 99 thermo-hydro-mechanical calculations are made using a Python code source that can be coupled 100 through a sequential non-iterative approach with the IPHREEQC geochemical calculation code 101 (Charlton and Parkhurst, 2011) used to carry out the thermodynamic and mineralogical calculations.

Hence, the aim of the SURP code is to evaluate the effect of water releases by smectite mineralogical transformations on the pore pressure in a sedimentary pile and in particular in clayey layers. The geological context of application corresponds to a passive margin in the marine domain, where the clay layer of interest is buried over time because of the deposition of overlying sediments. The principle of the pore pressure calculation consists in considering the following processes in a 1D sedimentary pile over time: 108 • Sediment deposition;

Burial and compaction due to overlying sediments giving rise to a porosity reduction and a
 decrease of the sediment thickness;

• Temperature increase with depth;

- Water release during clay mineral transformations;
- Modification of the thermal, hydraulic and mechanical properties of the rock during the burial;
- Water flow in the sedimentary pile and possible overpressure build-up.
- 115

116 **2.2.** Thermodynamic model for dehydration of smectites

117 A thermodynamic model was implemented in the SURP code in order to assess the amount of water 118 released by the dehydration of smectites occurring during the burial of sediments. The proposed thermodynamic approach, based on solid solution models, aims to describe the thermodynamic 119 120 properties of the smectite mineral as well as the amount of hydration water bound to the smectite, i.e. 121 interlayer water, as a function of temperature, pressure and water activity. It was adapted from the model from Vidal and Dubacq (2009) established to simulate the dehydration of dioctahedral Al-122 smectites and the smectite-to-illite conversion occurring with increasing temperature and pressure and 123 124 decreasing water activity.

125 2.2.1. Dehydration model from Vidal and Dubacq (2009)

126 According to the Vidal and Dubacq (2009) model, the compositional and interlayer charge variations 127 of dioctahedral smectite and illite can be described by non-ideal solid solutions using three endmembers, namely hydrated beidellite (Beid. m_n H₂O) R⁺_{0.3}[Al₂]^{VI}[Al_{0.3}Si_{3.7}]^{IV}O₁₀(OH)₂, m_n H₂O, 128 dehydrated beidellite (Beid.A) $R_{0.3}^+[Al_2]^{VI}[Al_{0.3}Si_{3.7}]^{IV}O_{10}(OH)_2$, and anhydrous R^+ -mica 129 $(R^{+}[Al_{2}]^{VI}[AlSi_{3}]^{IV}O_{10}(OH)_{2}$, with $R^{+} = K^{+}$, Na^{+} , $0.5Ca^{2+}$, or $0.5Mg^{2+}$). Four solid solutions were 130 defined, with four hydrated beidellite end-members at $m_0 = 0.7$, $m_1 = 2$, $m_2 = 4$ and $m_3 = 7$ 131 H₂O/O₁₀(OH)₂, for the beidellite end-members at 0, 1, 2 and 3 water layers, respectively. Besides, the 132 133 amounts of interlayer water in the hydrated beidellite end-members were assumed not to depend on the nature of the interlayer cation. Therefore, considering a dioctahedral smectite with an intermediary 134

composition between beidellite and R⁺-mica , the Vidal and Dubacq (2009) model can predict the most stable solid solution amongst the four solid solutions Beid.A-Beid. m_n H₂O-Mica, at fixed pressure, temperature and water activity. The transition between smectite with *n* and (*n*-1) layers occurs at fixed temperature and water activity, with the simultaneous attainment of the following equilibria:

Smect.
$$m_{(n-1)}H_2O = Smect.A + m_{(n-1)}H_2O$$
 eq. 1

Smect.m_nH₂O = Smect.A +
$$m_n$$
H₂O eq. 2

Such a transition generates a sudden release of water, calculated from the conditions of equilibrium (eq. 1 to 3). It results in a stepwise dehydration of smectite at each transition temperature. Besides, inside the stability domain of a given solid solution, the variations of volume and water amount are continuous, as described by the thermodynamic parameters of the non-ideal solid solution.

In our approach, the model from Vidal and Dubacq (2009) was not directly applicable for two main 143 reasons: (i) it is currently non-feasible to introduce non-ideal parameters for ternary solid solutions in 144 the IPHREEQC code (Charlton and Parkhurst, 2011) used for geochemical calculations in the SURP 145 code, and (ii) the compositional range of dioctahedral smectite is restricted to Si⁴⁺, Al³⁺ and interlayer 146 R^+ (K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺/2 or Mg²⁺/2) cations. Despite the model having been extended to (Al, Mg, Fe) 147 octahedral compositions of dioctahedral smectites by Dubacq et al. (2010), it required the use of non-148 149 ideal quaternary solid solutions, not compatible with the IPHREEQC code. Nevertheless, we adapted 150 the model from Vidal and Dubacq (2009), by applying a similar methodology based on the use of solid solutions with hydrated smectite end-members and dehydrated smectite, but restricted to binary solid 151 152 solutions and to a fixed smectite composition, assumed to be representative of the studied geological 153 system.

154

155 2.2.2. The smectite dehydration model in the SURP code

156 In the present approach, we consider a montmorillonite composition for smectite instead of a beidellite 157 composition because in natural diagenetic environments, the smectitic components in interstratified illite-smectite are mostly reported to be of the montmorillonite type (Velde and Brusewitz, 1986;
Meunier and Velde, 1989; Srodon et al., 2009). Illite-beidellite mixed layers are less frequently
observed and generally associated with hydrothermal conditions.

The montmorillonite composition departs from the compositional ranges used in the Vidal and Dubacq 161 (2009) model, by its charge deficit located in the octahedral layer instead of the tetrahedral layer, due 162 163 to the concomitant presence of Al and Mg in octahedral sites. However, the approach used by the 164 authors for extracting the amounts of interlayer water and the energies of hydration as a function of the water activity was based on experimental data obtained for montmorillonites, with interlayer charges 165 at ~ $0.35 - 0.38 \text{ eq}/O_{10}(\text{OH})_2$ (Ferrage et al., 2005). For this reason, the hydration parameters were 166 167 similar to those used in the Vidal and Dubacq (2009) model for hydrated beidellite end-members. Finally, our model was using three binary solid solutions between the hydrated montmorillonite end-168 member and the dehydrated end-member. The hydrated components, Mnt Na.3w (3 water layers), 169 Mnt_Na.2w (2 water layers) and Mnt_Na.1w (1 water layer), contain respectively $m_3 = 7$, $m_2 = 4$ and 170 171 $m_1 = 2 \text{ H}_2 \text{O}/\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2.$

The thermodynamic properties of the dehydrated montmorillonite (ΔH_f° , S° , V_m° , $C_p(T)$) were estimated using the set of predicting models from Blanc et al. (2015). These models for enthalpy, entropy, molar volume and heat capacity function were all calibrated using a common and consistent thermodynamic dataset, based on a rigorous selection between experimental data from the literature and associated exclusively with phyllosilicates. This recent work provides a consistent dataset for smectites and was thus preferred to the approach used by Vidal and Dubacq (2009), which combined different methods for enthalpy, entropy and heat capacity function.

Estimation of the thermodynamic dataset for the hydrated end-members was performed according to the procedure described by Vidal and Dubacq (2009), except for molar volumes. The thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta H_f^{\circ}m_nH_2O$, $S^{\circ}H_2O_{(i)}$, $C_p(T)$) associated with interlayer water for Na-montmorillonite endmembers are the same as those used by Vidal and Dubacq (2009) for Na-beidellite end-members (Table 1). The molar volumes V_m° of hydrated montmorillonites at *n* water layers (*n* = 1, 2, 3) were estimated using the recent advances from Meiller (2013). The author established functions to express

- the dependency of the molar volume on the number of water layers, the nature of the interlayer cation(Na and Ca) and the substitution rate Al-Mg in the octahedral sites of the smectite.
- 187 The non-ideality of the solid solutions is described by the same Margules parameters as those used in
- the Vidal and Dubacq (2009) model, extracted from the Ransom and Helgeson (1994) model. Their
- 189 values were assumed at W = -10 kJ for Smect. m_n H₂O Smect.A (n = 1, 2 or 3 water layers) in the case
- 190 of dioctahedral smectites.
- 191 Finally, the thermodynamic dataset used for the solid-solution models is reported in Table 1 and Table
- 192 2, in the case of the low-charge montmorillonite $Na_{0.33}Si_4(Al_{1.67}Mg_{0.33})O_{10}(OH)_2$ (Mnt_Na).

Table 1. Standard-state thermodynamic properties of the montmorillonite end-members.

	m_n H ₂ O	ΔG_f°	ΔH_{f}°	S°	V°	Α	В	С	$\Delta H_f^{\circ} m_n H_2 O$
	(mol/O ₁₀ (OH) ₂)	(kJ/mol)	(kJ/mol)	(J/mol/K)	(cm3/mol)				(kJ)
Mnt_Na.A	0	-5320.51*	- 5688.74*	276.76*	133.83*	330.7*	225.94*	-78.055*	
Mnt_Na.1w	2	-5806.02	-6280.54	386.76	170.53	419.37	275.26	-91.74	293.85
Mnt_Na.2w	4	-6283.23	-6864.04	496.76	207.13	508.05	324.58	-105.43	291.75
Mnt_Na.3w	7	-6994.77	-7735.03	661.76	258.63	641.06	398.56	-125.96	290.33

Notes: The $C_p(T)$ functions of the clay minerals are expressed by $C_p(T) = A + 10^{-3} B T + 10^5 C T^2$, where A, B and C are Maier-Kelley coefficients.

(*) estimated from Blanc et al. (2015) model

The properties of interlayer water, $\Delta H_f^{\circ} m_n H_2 O = (H_f^{\circ} Mnt.m_n H_2 O - H_f^{\circ} Mnt.m_{(n-1)} H_2 O)/(m_n - m_{(n-1)})$, $C_p(T)$ function and entropy $S^{\circ} H_2 O_{(i)} = 55$ J/mol/K are given by Vidal and Dubacq (2009).

The molar volumes of hydrated end-members are calculated according to Meiller (2013).

	logK	A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	A_5	Reaction of dissolution
Mnt_Na.A	3.31	-1.1860978E+03	-2.0559084E-01	6.2330921E+04	4.3249803E+02	-2.5368937E+06	$Na_{0.33}Mg_{0.33}Al_{1.67}Si_4O_{10}(OH)_2 + 6H^+ + 4H_2O = 1.67Al^{+++}$ + 0.33Mg^{++} + 0.33Na^+ + 4H_4SiO_4
Mnt_Na.1w	1.35	-1.1353999E+03	-1.9610007E-01	5.8199874E+04	4.1458388E+02	-2.2979605E+06	$Na_{0.33}Mg_{0.33}Al_{1.67}Si_4O_{10}(OH)_2:2H_2O + 6H^+ + 2H_2O =$ 1.67Al^+++ 0.33Mg^++ + 0.33Na^+ + 4H_4SiO_4
Mnt_Na.2w	0.83	-1.0826594E+03	-1.8627762E-01	5.4396671E+04	3.9592168E+02	-2.0533067E+06	$Na_{0.33}Mg_{0.33}Al_{1.67}Si_4O_{10}(OH)_2:4H_2O + 6H^+ = 1.67Al^{+++} + 0.33Mg^{++} + 0.33Na^+ + 4H_4SiO_4$
Mnt_Na.3w	0.81	-1.0149545E+03	-1.7339608E-01	4.9505162E+04	3.7210547E+02	-1.7182698E+06	$Na_{0.33}Mg_{0.33}Al_{1.67}Si_4O_{10}(OH)_2:7H_2O + 6H^+ = 1.67Al^{+++} + 0.33Mg^{++} + 0.33Na^+ + 4H_4SiO_4 + 3H_2O$

200 *Table 2. Temperature dependency of the equilibrium constant for the reaction of dissolution of the montmorillonite end-members.*

Note: $\log K = A_1 + A_2 T + A_3/T + A_4 \log(T) + A_5/T^2$

logK and A₁-A₅ parameters were calculated using the thermodynamic properties of aqueous species selected from the Thermoddem database (Blanc et al., 2012).

203 2.2.3. Verification of the consistency of the thermodynamic data for dehydrated and hydrated
204 Na-Montmorillonite

The consistency of the thermodynamic data for the dehydrated and hydrated Mnt_Na end-members was first assessed by calculating the dehydration isotherm of the smectite at 25 °C and 1 bar pressure, using PHREEQC v3 (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013) and the Thermoddem database (Blanc et al., 2012) (Figure 1).

The comparison with the stepwise dehydration isotherm is very consistent with the previous results obtained by Vidal and Dubacq (2009) for Na-beidellite (Figure 2). These results are in reasonable agreement with the experimentally determined basal spacing (not shown here) and hydration isotherms, despite some differences due to the coexistence of smectites with different hydration states in the experimental isotherms, as discussed in Vidal and Dubacq (2009).



214

Figure 2. Comparison of measured dehydration isotherms for smectite with results from the Vidal and Dubacq (2009) model
 and its adaptation for the Na-Montmorillonite.

217

A second verification was performed by calculating a phase diagram for the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂-Al₂O₃-

219 MgO-H₂O system (Figure 3), using the Geochemist's Workbench[®] software, at the saturation vapour

pressure. The results indicated that all smectite end-members (Mnt_Na.A, 1w, 2w, 3w) exhibit a stability domain depending on the temperature region, in which the corresponding solid solution is predominant. Moreover, the transition temperatures between the stability domains of the smectite endmembers are consistent with those previously calculated by Vidal and Dubacq (2009) for Nabeidellite. This figure also highlights the expected behaviour of illite, the stability domain of which develops as the potassium concentration increases, and further enlarges at elevated temperatures.



226

227Figure 3. Composition-temperature phase diagram calculated for the Na2O-K2O-SiO2-Al2O3-MgO-H2O system228 $(Illite_{15}H = K_{0.85}Si_{3.7}Al_{0.3}(Al_{1.85}Mg_{0.15})O_{10}(OH)_2).$ The activities of Na, H4SiO4, Al and Mg in solution are constrained by229equilibrium reactions with minerals analcime, quartz, kaolinite and antigorite, respectively.

230

231 2.2.4. Stability domains of solid solutions as a function of temperature and pressure

In order to take into account the effect of pressure on the stability domains of the solid solutions, the variation of the transition temperatures for the smectite end-members with pressure were calculated using the PHREEQC code. In the case of the montmorillonite Mnt_Na, the dependency of the transition temperatures on pressure is low and may be described by using linear functions (Table 3).

236 *Table 3. Limits of the stability domains as a function of temperature and pressure.*

Transition	Linear function
SS3w – SS2w	$P_{\rm tr} = 115.84 * T_{\rm tr} - 3304.9 \ ({\rm R}^2 = 0.9716)$
SS2w - SS1w	$P_{\rm tr} = -67.021 * T_{\rm tr} + 6941 \ ({\rm R}^2 = 0.9955)$

238 **2.3. Smectite-to-illite conversion model**

239 Smectite illitisation is widely described in the literature, notably in the case of burial diagenesis of 240 clay-rich sediments (Weaver, 1959; Burst, 1969; Perry and Hower, 1970; Altaner and Ylagan, 1997; 241 Lanson et al., 2009). The transformation process generates the formation of intermediate I/S phases, 242 with illite content progressively increasing with temperature, the concentration of potassium in pore 243 water, the water/rock ratio and geological time (Altaner and Ylagan, 1997). In the early stage of burial 244 diagenesis, discrete smectite and randomly interstratified I/S coexist, with a progressive increase in 245 illite content in the I/S. When the latter is higher than $\sim 50\%$ of illite (Inoue et al., 1987), short-range 246 ordered (R1) interstratified I/S are observed. Lanson et al. (2009) showed notably from structural 247 characterization of Texas Gulf Coast interstratified series that intermediate I/S phases and I/S/Chlorite phases may coexist. For the most illite-rich contents (> 85% illite), long-range ordered interstratified 248 249 I/S ($R \ge 3$) are observed (Inoue et al., 1987).

250 Several kinetic models have been developed in order to describe the smectite-to-illite transformations 251 during burial diagenesis in sedimentary basins (Altaner, 1989; Pytte and Reynolds, 1989; Velde and 252 Vasseur, 1992; Cuadros, 2006). Among these models, that of Cuadros (2006) takes better account of 253 the question of the availability of potassium for the smectite-to-illite reaction. Indeed, K concentration 254 is the most problematic variable in the modelling of smectite illitisation kinetics, since it is affected by 255 the competition with other exchangeable cations, also dependent on the degree of hydration of the 256 smectite interlayers. The model from Cuadros (2006) involves the "effective K concentration" variable, which differs from the actual K concentration by integrating notably cationic exchange in 257 258 smectitic interlayers.

259 Such a kinetic model cannot be easily implemented in our modelling approach based on water/rock thermodynamic equilibrium considerations since it would require the thermodynamic parameters 260 261 associated with discrete I/S series to be determined and the dehydration of smectite layers with burial depth to be taken into account. Finally, for the sake of simplicity, it is assumed that the smectite-to-262 illite conversion does not involve the formation of interstratified I/S and can be roughly described by 263 the simplified reaction: 264

$$Mnt_Na + K^+ + Al^{3+} = illite + quartz + H_2O \qquad eq. 4$$

This equation is not balanced but simply aims to indicate that the smectite-to-illite transformation as 265 described in our model requires a source of potassium and aluminium and generates quartz. This 266 267 approach is consistent with the implementation of the dehydration model for montmorillonite Mnt_Na. 268

2.4. Thermo-hydro-mechanical model including chemical forcing 269

270 2.4.1. Water flow model

271 The pore pressure evolution in a porous media is calculated using the continuity equation which describes the mass conservation in a given volume. Its general expression giving the variation over 272 time of the mass of water in a volume of saturated poroelastic media, accounting for a source term, is 273 274 expressed by (De Marsily, 1986; Ingebritsen et al., 2006):

$$\frac{\partial(n\,\rho_f)}{\partial t} = \nabla \left[\frac{k\,\rho_f}{\mu_f} \left(\nabla P - \rho_f g \nabla z \right) \right] + \Gamma \qquad \text{eq. 5}$$

where, n is the porosity, ρ_f is the fluid density (kg.m⁻³), k is the intrinsic permeability (m²), μ_f is the 275 276 fluid dynamic viscosity (Pa.s), P is the pore pressure (Pa), g is the acceleration constant due to gravity (9.81 m.s⁻²), ∇z is (0,0,1) if the z axis is orientated downward and Γ is a source term corresponding to 277 input or withdrawal of water in the porosity. In the present case, this source term corresponds to the 278 279 water released by smectite dehydration and by smectite transformation to illite.

280 The continuity equation can be written in such a way that the variation of fluid mass can be dependent 281 on the variations over time of pore pressure, mechanical stress and temperature. This expression of 282 thermo-hydro-mechanical coupling including a vertical load stress which can vary over time can be written as follows (Ingebritsen et al., 2006): 283

$$Ss'\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} - Ss'\xi\frac{d\sigma_{zz}}{dt} - \rho_f g\Lambda'\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \left[g\frac{k\rho_f}{\mu_f}\left(\nabla P - \rho_f g\nabla z\right)\right] + \Gamma \qquad \text{eq. 6}$$

In this expression, Ss' is the unidimensional specific storage coefficient (m⁻¹), ξ is an unidimensional loading coefficient (dimensionless), σ_{zz} is the total vertical stress (Pa), Λ' is the thermal response coefficient (°C⁻¹) and *T* is the temperature (°C).

287 The unidimensional specific storage coefficient is expressed by (Van Der Kamp and Gale, 1983):

$$Ss' = \rho_f g [(c_b - c_s)(1 - \lambda) + (nc_f - nc_s)]$$
 eq. 7

288 The unidimensional loading coefficient is defined as:

$$\xi = \frac{B(1+\nu)}{3(1-\nu) - 2\alpha B(1-2\nu)}$$
 eq. 8

289 with:

$$\lambda = \frac{2\alpha(1-2\nu)}{3(1-\nu)}$$
 eq. 9

where, c_f , c_b and c_s , are the compressibilities (Pa⁻¹) of fluid, porous media and solid, respectively, *B* is the Skempton coefficient (dimensionless), ν the Poisson's ratio (dimensionless) and α is the Biot coefficient (dimensionless).

Skempton and Biot coefficients can be expressed as a function of the compressibilities of fluid, porousmedia and solid. Thus:

$$B = \frac{c_b - c_s}{(c_b - c_s) + n(c_f - c_s)}$$
 eq. 10

295 and:

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{c_s}{c_b} \qquad \text{eq. 11}$$

296 The compressibilities of fluid, porous media and solid can be expressed as a function of the Young297 modulus *E* (Pa), a parameter dependent on the material:

$$c_b = \frac{3(1-2\nu)}{E} \qquad \text{eq. 12}$$

$$c_s = \frac{(1-2\nu)(1+\nu)}{E(1-\nu)}$$
 eq. 13

To solve the flow equation (eq. 6), it is then necessary to determine the different coefficients involved, which can be written as a function of properties of the fluid, the solid and the porous media (Supplementary material A). It is also necessary to calculate the variation over time of the mechanical stress and of the temperature in the considered volume. The vertical mechanical stress is calculated from the weight of the sediments overlying the considered point, as follows:

$$\sigma_{zz} = \int_0^z \rho_b g dz \qquad \text{eq. 14}$$

303 where, ρ_b is the porous media density, obtained from the porosity and the fluid and solid densities: 304 $\rho_b = \rho_s(1-n) + \rho_f n$; or directly from a density well log.

Temperature is obtained from the depth and the geothermal gradient (G_T in °C.m⁻¹). Hence, sediment temperature increases over time with sediment burial. Temperature is calculated as follows:

$$T = G_T z$$
 eq. 15

307 The source term for the input or withdrawal of water Γ (kg.m⁻³.s⁻¹) in the continuity equation (eq. 6) 308 corresponds to a mass of water produced or extracted in the porosity for a given volume and for a 309 given length of time. Since the thermo-hydro-mechanical calculation is made for a 1D geometry, there is no notion of volume. The mass of water liberated or consumed by mineralogical reactions and 310 311 calculated using IPHREEQC for 1kg of water (by convention) is then converted to m³ of porous 312 media, in order to respect the mass balance in the pressure calculation. The balance of the water released and consumed by mineralogical reactions that needs to be respected between Python and 313 314 IPHREEQC can thus be written as follows:

$$\Gamma = \frac{d}{dt} (solution_volume) \times \rho_f \times n \qquad \text{eq. 16}$$

where, dt is the time step length (s). The solution density ρ_f is calculated by IPHREEQC depending on its chemical composition and the temperature and pressure conditions.

317

318 2.4.2. Compaction model

319 During their burial in a sedimentary basin, sediments experience compaction because of the deposition320 of overlying sediments. Compaction is a deformation mechanism which gives rise to a reduction of the

porosity. In a basin model, this reduction of porosity is calculated using relationships introducing a dependence on the depth (e.g. Athy's law) or using relationships calculating the porosity as a function of the effective stress undergone by the rock. The latter kind of expressions allows the driving mechanism of porosity reduction, i.e., the effective stress, to be taken into account. One of these expressions which was shown to provide interesting results (Lambe and Whitman, 1979; Burland, 1990; Nygård et al., 2004) is used in the present work:

$$e = e_0 - C_c log\left(\frac{\sigma_{zz}'}{\sigma_{zz 0}'}\right) \qquad \text{eq. 17}$$

where, e is the void ratio (dimensionless), linked to porosity by the relation e = n/(1 - n), C_c is the 327 compaction index (dimensionless) and σ_{zz}' is the vertical effective stress (Pa). e_0 is the void ratio for 328 329 the reference value of vertical effective stress σ_{zz0} . This reference value corresponds to the 330 consolidation limit of the rock and is considered equal to 1 MPa in the calculations. The rock has an elastic behaviour for effective stress lower than this limit and has a plastic behaviour for effective 331 stress values higher than this consolidation limit. In this case the deformation undergone by the rock is 332 irreversible. This porosity model dependent on the effective stress can be used in the present 333 334 calculations because the weight of the sediments and the pore pressure are known.

As a consequence of the compaction of the rock and of the associated porosity reduction, the thickness of each lithological level and of each cell in the numerical model diminishes. The collapse of the cells is considered to be instantaneous (no time effect on deformation such as creep or stress relaxation) and the hypothesis is made that the solid is incompressible. The thickness diminution is thus only dependent on the loss of porosity. The new cell thickness is calculated by the balance between the initial thickness and porosity and the new porosity after compaction.

341 The effective stress necessary to calculate the porosity reduction by compaction (eq. 17) is calculated342 as follows:

$$\sigma'_{zz} = \sigma_{zz} - \alpha P \qquad \text{eq. 18}$$

Biot's equation is preferred to Terzaghi's original equation to calculate the effective stress because ithas been shown that using a Biot coefficient of 1 (or no Biot coefficient) gives overestimated porosity

reductions in overpressured areas (Burrus, 1998). In addition, the Biot coefficient actually varies with porosity and becomes lower than 1 when porosity decreases (Supplementary material A).

347

346

348 **2.5. IPHREEQC-Python coupling**

One of the main goals of the SURP code is to jointly consider thermo-hydro-mechanical evolution and 349 350 mineralogical transformations in the geological system under study. Hence, calculation of the 351 chemical reactions has to consider the thermo-hydro-mechanical evolution of the system and, inversely, thermo-hydro-mechanical calculation needs to take into account the effect of the chemical 352 353 reactions. In this way, a sequential non-iterative approach has been implemented whereby the thermohydro-mechanical model is solved numerically using Python v 2.7 language and the chemical and 354 355 mineralogical reactions are calculated with IPHREEQC. At each time step, the reactive calculations using IPHREEQC are launched from Python as a DLL dynamic library, using updated parameters as a 356 357 function of pressure, temperature and porosity evolution on the sedimentary pile. Once the 358 IPHREEQC calculations have been made, the mineralogical evolution, the balance of water produced 359 and consumed by the minerals and the solution density are entered into the thermo-hydro-mechanical 360 calculations in Python. The architecture of these coupled calculations is schematised in Figure 4, where the successive calculations and parameters updating during one time-step are shown. Each time 361 362 step gives rise to the numerical resolution of the pore pressure in the sedimentary sequence and allows 363 the resulting overpressure to be calculated.



Figure 4. Architecture of the calculations made during one time step by the SURP code, including the coupling of the thermo hydro-mechanical calculations with Python language and the thermodynamic reactive calculations made using the
 IPHREEQC code.

368

369 **3.** Effect of clay mineralogical reactions on overpressures in Niger Delta

In order to evaluate the influence of smectite dehydration and illitisation effects on overpressure, the SURP code was applied to a pore pressure calculation in the case of the Niger Delta. An overpressure has been reported in this area, which is formed of thick shale layers and where the geothermal gradient is normal. It appeared preferable to apply the SURP code to a real geological context than to limit its application to generic scenarii because the pore pressure that can be calculated with such a model is highly dependent on the sedimentary pile geometry, the sedimentation rate or the temperature evolution (Audet, 1995; Wangen, 2001).

377 **3.1.** Geological context of the Niger Delta

In the Niger Delta, the Akata, Agbada and Benin formations have been successively depositing sincethe Tertiary during various prograding megasequences in the Gulf of Guinea (Whiteman, 1982;

Chukwu, 1991). The Akata formation is the basis of deltaic deposits and is formed of thick clay deposits (up to 7000 m thick) which act as the primary source of hydrocarbons in the Niger Delta. The Agbada formation has been depositing since the Eocene and presents alternations of claystone and sandstone layers over 4000 m thick. Most of the hydrocarbon reservoirs are located in the Agbada formation. The Benin formation is the youngest one and is formed of alluvial and shoreline sand deposits.

386 The Niger Delta was identified for a long time as being an area presenting overpressures in particular 387 in the deepest parts (Akata Fm). By applying the equivalent depth method on onshore and offshore wells of the Niger Delta, Owolabi et al. (1990) estimated that compaction disequilibrium is the main 388 389 cause of overpressures. By using 3D seismic data velocities, Opara (2011) showed that overpressures 390 are frequent, in particular in the deepest layers (up to 10 km deep). In these layers, a relationship has 391 been observed between overpressured zones and the presence of shale diapirs and slippage structures. 392 Ugwu and Nwankwo (2014) also reported overpressures linked to shale diapirism. According to 393 Cobbold et al. (2009), overpressures are effectively linked to compression structures but the main 394 cause of overpressures in Akata Fm and at the base of the Agbada Fm is due to hydrocarbon 395 generation associated with chemical compaction. In this mechanism, hydrocarbon generation reaches 396 the rock failure pressure, and the rupture of the cohesive grains associated with the weight of overlying 397 sediments gives rise to a more efficient recompaction of the rock, which is lithified again by 398 diagenesis. Multiple causes were also proposed by Caillet and Batiot (2003), Nordgård Bolås et al. (2004) or Nwozor et al. (2013) to explain the overpressures in the Niger Delta, based on the 399 observation that compaction disequilibrium is not enough to reproduce the measured pressure profile. 400 401 Besides, Meiller (2013) showed that the mechanism of smectite dehydration can contribute 402 significantly to the generation of overpressures in the Niger Delta.

Several studies report mineralogical analyses at different depths across the Niger Delta sedimentary pile (Braide and Huff, 1986; Odigi, 1986; Oboh, 1992; Jubril et al., 1998), showing both a transformation of smectite to interstratified illite/smectite and to illite over depth. These studies show that illitisation progress is mainly limited by potassium availability and its mobility. Potassium is initially available from the seawater filling the pores as sediments are deposited and from the K- 408 bearing minerals (feldspars, micas). Then, carbonate cementation and overpressures are controlling 409 potassium mobility in the sedimentary pile.

410

3.2. Well data from the studied area

411 The studied area (Figure 5) is located in the East of the Niger Delta (Nigeria) in shallow marine areas (seabed at about 20 m deep). Data were obtained from different exploration wells and mainly from the 412 Ek-1 and Nk-1 wells that reach depths of 3750 and 4640 m, respectively. Benin Fm from the Pliocene 413 414 to the present day is found over the first 1100 meters. Wells then reach the Agbada Fm of Tortonian and Messinian ages. Lithologies found are poorly consolidated sand with rare clay layers in the Benin 415 416 Fm. The upper part of the Agbada Fm presents alternations of shales and sandstones. Deeper, these 417 alternations are dominated by claystones whose layers can reach a thickness of several hundreds of 418 meters. Shales from the deeper Akata Fm are not reached by the wells.

419 Several logs (gamma ray, resistivity, sonic, neutron density) of Ek-1 and Nk-1 wells describe the lithology and parameters such as the porosity and the apparent density as a function of depth (Figure 420 5). The correlated stratigraphic surfaces of the two wells were dated, based on regional 421 422 biostratigraphic markers. These datings indicate a variation of the sedimentation rate over time, with a thickness of 3500 to 4000 m of Agbada Fm deposited over 3.5 My while a 500 to 1000 m-thickness of 423 the superficial Benin Fm was deposited over 5.2 My. 424



426

427 Figure 5. Interpreted log of Ek-1 and Nk-1 wells with a correlation and dating of the major stratigraphic surfaces. Location
428 map shows the studied area in the Niger Delta.

Pore pressure was measured using the RFT method (repeat formation tester) in different wells (Ek-1, 430 Nk-1 and Ik 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) of the studied area in several reservoir layers. These pressure data were 431 432 obtained at depths between 1420 and 4590 m (Figure 6.a). Pore pressure shows deviation from the hydrostatic pressure profile at depths greater than 2400 m, indicating an overpressure in these layers. 433 434 The overpressure can reach up to 500 bar (50 MPa) in the deepest measurements. Two different overpressure regimes seem to be measured, at depths shallower or deeper than 3500 m. Between 2400 435 and 3500 m deep, measured pore pressures correspond to equivalent densities of 1300 to 1500 kg.m⁻³, 436 while below 3500 m the pore equivalent density is about 2100 kg.m⁻³. These two different 437 438 overpressure regimes can indicate different mechanisms of overpressure generation.

Temperature measurements according to depth were also performed in the different wells (Figure 6.b).
The measured temperatures align with a linear geothermal gradient of 30°C.km⁻¹, typical of a passive
margin context. Temperature and depth of the shale layers indicate the petroleum window is reached at
the top of the overpressure zone.



444 Figure 6. Measured (a) pore pressure and (b) temperature profiles in wells of the Niger Delta studied area. The pressure 445 regimes corresponding to equivalent densities (ρ_{eq}) of 1300, 1500 and 2100 kg.m⁻³ are also shown.

446

443

447

3.3. Backstripping and sedimentation model

The sedimentation model aims at determining the successive layers of the sedimentary pile, evaluating the thickness of these sediments at the deposition time and estimating the sedimentation rate and its variation over time. With this aim, it is first necessary to uncompact the current sediments to obtain the thickness of the sediments at the deposition time. The backstripping approach proposed by Sclater and Christie (1980) for normally compacted sediments of different lithologies was used.

From the geological log and the recorded changes in lithology and rock properties, 45 successive lithological layers were identified in the sedimentary pile, from the seabed to the foot of Nk-1 well (Supplementary material B). Over this 4640 m-thickness, the level description in the overpressured zone (from 2400 m deep) was refined and 39 layers 7 to 407 m thick were defined. On the contrary, the upper 1040 m of the sand Benin Fm were defined as one single lithological layer. For each identified layer, the footwall and the roof depth of each lithological layer and the porosity or the apparent density were reported. Three lithology types were considered and assigned to each identified

- 460 layer: 'shale' for the clay rich layers; 'shaly-sandstone' for closely grouped alternations of claystone461 and sandstone layers; and 'sandstone' for the sandstone and sand layers.
- 462 The second step is the application of the backstripping model in itself, which consists in solving the 463 following equation for each lithological layer:

$$z'_{2} - z'_{1} = z_{2} - z_{1} - \frac{n_{0}}{c} (e^{-c z_{1}} - e^{-c z_{2}}) + \frac{n_{0}}{c} (e^{-c z'_{1}} - e^{-c z'_{2}})$$
eq. 19

464 z_1 and z_2 are the present day roof and footwall depths (m) of the considered lithological layer, z'_1 and 465 z'_2 are the depths at the time of deposit ($z'_1 = 0$ m) assuming that the deposit of the entire lithological 466 layer is instantaneous. n_0 is the surface porosity which depends on the lithology (0.49 for 'sandstone' 467 facies, 0.56 for 'shaly-sandstone' facies and 0.63 for 'shale' facies; Sclater and Christie (1980)). *c* is a 468 compaction index dependent on the lithology (2.7 10⁻⁴ m⁻¹ for 'sandstone' facies, 3.9 10⁻⁴ m⁻¹ for 469 'shaly-sandstone' facies and 5.1 10⁻⁴ m⁻¹ for 'shale' facies).

Finally, the sedimentation rate was calculated (Table 4) using the sediment thickness at the deposit time and the dating of remarkable sedimentary surfaces. Based on the evolution of the sedimentation rate over time, a period from 7.3 to 6 My can be identified when sedimentation was intense with a rate of almost 3000 m.My⁻¹. After 5.2 My, the sedimentation rate decreased down to about 125 m.My⁻¹.

474 *Table 4. Sedimentation rate for the studied area of the Niger Delta determined for different time periods.*

Period	Sedimentation rate (m.My ⁻¹)
9.86 to 7.30 My	508.1
7.30 to 6.00 My	2868.3
6.00 to 5.20 My	429.5
5.20 My to present	124.6

475

476 **3.4. Overpressure calculations for the Niger Delta case**

477 3.4.1. Calculation scenario

The thermo-hydro-mechanical model coupled to chemical processes (SURP) previously presented was
used to calculate the pore pressure evolution along the sedimentary pile of the studied area of the
Niger Delta during its burial. The considered geometry is a 1D column from the seabed to the footwall

481 of the Agbada Fm. Seismic profiles indicate that the Akata Fm is located some hundreds of meters below the well foots. To deal with the boundary condition between the Agbada and Akata formations 482 483 and without information about the inflow over time from the Akata Fm, it was decided that 500 m of 484 'shale' lithology at the foot of the modelled sedimentary pile would be included to consider the water inflow from the overpressured Akata Fm. A no flow Neumann boundary condition was considered at 485 the base of these additional 500 m of shale. Additional causes of overpressure proposed for the Niger 486 487 Delta, such as lateral tectonic compression due to shale diapirism, were not taken into account in the 488 model. As the upper hydraulic boundary condition, hydrostatic pressure was imposed down to the aquifer overlying the overpressured zone (layer shsd11, in Supplementary material B), meaning that 489 490 the lateral groundwater circulations occurring close to the ground surface did not require to be 491 considered.

492 The principle of the reactive model rests on the hypothesis of seawater as the initial sediment pore 493 water and an initial mineralogical assemblage that evolves as a function of temperature increase with 494 burial. In the absence of a detailed mineralogical description of the shale layers, a fictive but realistic 495 mineralogical assemblage was considered. The 'sandstone' facies was assumed to be composed only 496 of quartz. In the 'shale' and 'shaly-sandstone' lithologies, the primary mineralogical assemblage was 497 composed of montmorillonite, microcline, quartz, calcite, siderite, dolomite, pyrite and goethite. The 498 dehydration of montmorillonite took place in accordance with the thermodynamic dehydration model 499 introduced in the SURP code. Illite and kaolinite were allowed to precipitate during the simulation 500 (Supplementary material C). Water/rock reactions were controlled by thermodynamic equilibrium and not by kinetics, impeding the coexistence of some aluminosilicate minerals. The assumption of a local 501 502 equilibrium between pore water and the surrounding reacting minerals relies mainly on the fact that 503 the interaction time was long enough to establish equilibrium conditions.

The simulation was run for a duration of 9.5 My, corresponding to the deposition time of the sediment column drilled in the Ek-1 and Nk-1 wells. At each time step and depending on the sedimentation rate, a thickness of sediment deposit and the underlying sediments already deposited are buried accordingly because of the compaction model.

509 3.4.2. Overpressure calculation results

510 The calculated pore pressure at present day in the Niger Delta case was approximately 450 bar at 3000 511 m deep (Figure 7), corresponding to an overpressure of 150 bar at the depth of the main reservoirs. At 512 3000 m deep, good agreement was achieved between the modelled and measured fluid pressures. Two 513 pressure regimes in the overpressured zone were simulated for the profile (between 2750 and 3500 m 514 and below 4000 m), as was observed on the measured pressure profile. Nevertheless, the simulations 515 did not reproduce the deepest (< 4000 m) measured pressures. Indeed, the model does not take into 516 account the influence of the deep Akata shale on overpressures and this influence seems to explain the 517 remaining 100 to 200 bar required to match the present day pressure profile.

518 According to the calculations, smectite dehydration was inducing an excess pressure of about 50 bar 519 on the present day pressure profile at 3000 m deep compared to the simulation accounting only for 520 compaction and thermal effects (Figure 7). The release of water due to smectite dehydration and 521 illitisation can explain a third of the overpressure. A simulation performed accounting for smectite dehydration but without smectite illitisation gave a pressure 5 bar lower than the pressure calculated 522 523 considering both smectite dehydration and illitisation (in addition to the thermo-mechanical phenomena) at 3000 m deep, and 10 bar lower at the bottom of the modelled sedimentary column. 524 525 Smectite dehydration thus appears as the main source of water released by smectite. The initial amount 526 of smectite in the sediment also has an influence on the generated overpressure. For example, by dividing the amount of Na-montmorillonite by two (15 %vol for 'shale' facies and 7.5%vol for 'shaly-527 528 sandstone' facies), the calculated overpressure at the present day is 20 bar higher than without the release of water by smectite at 3000 m deep, corresponding to 10 to 15 % of the total overpressure. 529

Figure 8 shows the evolution of the calculated overpressure over time since the beginning of the sediment deposition and as a function of depth. The period with a high sedimentation rate is well identified in this figure where major burial and sediment accumulation was simulated. It resulted in a build-up of the overpressure, which reached values of 500 bar at the bottom of the sedimentary pile and 400 bar at 3000 m deep during this high sedimentation rate period. This pressure build-up is related to the compaction disequilibrium that occurred during this period with the fluids stressed within the porosity, the increase of fluid volume with temperature (aquathermal expansion) and the release of water from clay minerals that was more efficient with the rapid increases in temperature and pressure. When only compaction and thermal effects were considered, the maximal overpressure reached within this period was 350 bar at 3000 m and 500 bar at the bottom of the modelled column. The overpressure was then simulated to dissipate after the Pliocene, when the sedimentation rate noticeably decreased. Compaction and water release by smectite were less efficient during this final period, allowing the pressure to decrease depending on the hydraulic parameters acquired during burial.









Figure 8. Simulated overpressure evolution as a function of geological age and depth in the Niger Delta. The grey area
corresponds to a zone outside of the model. The simulation accounts for the clay dehydration and smectite-to-illite
transformation processes.

549

554 3.4.3. Compaction model results

After 9.5 My of simulation, the model gave a sediment thickness of 4428 m to be compared to the 4640 m of the well log. This sediment thickness was achieved after the initial backstripping calculation followed by the forward modelling of the deposition and burial of the sediments for overpressure evaluation. The main difference in thickness between the model and the field resided in the superior sandstone layer that was not uncompacted during backstripping and was modelled at a thickness of 956 m compared to the well thickness of 1040 m. Moreover, compaction was slightly overestimated for 'shale' facies ($\approx 5\%$) and underestimated for 'sandstone' facies ($\approx 2\%$).

The porosity decreased because of compaction for each lithology (Figure 9.a), with porosities lower
than 15% below 3000 m for the 'shale' facies at present day. Hydraulic permeability (Figure 9.b) and

other porosity-dependent hydro-mechanical parameters evolved as the porosity decreased. The permeability reached values of about 10^{-21} m² for the 'shale' lithology at depth, forming an efficient caprock to limit the dissipation of the overpressure built up during the maximal burial period, so that the overpressure is still monitored at present day. Permeability for 'shaly-sandstone' facies was simulated between 10^{-19} and 10^{-20} m² and permeability for 'sandstone' remained constant at 10^{-16} m².





571 *Figure 9. Simulated present day (a) porosity and (b) permeability profiles. The 3 lithological facies used in the model are distinguished by their colour, showing the difference in compaction trends for each facies.*

573

570

574 3.4.4. Mineralogical evolution results

The mineralogical evolution can be inferred by the present day mineralogical profile (Figure 10), at 575 the end of the simulation. The 'shale' and 'shaly-sandstone' facies are identified in the profile by the 576 577 differences in mineral content. Mineralogical composition evolves with depth because temperature is 578 the main reactivity factor of control. Hydrated Na-montmorillonite content is observed to diminish with depth while anhydrous Na-montmorillonite content increases, revealing the dehydration of Na-579 580 montmorillonite. The transition from hydrated Na-montmorillonite with two layers of water to one layer of water is located at about 3070 m (96 °C and 485 bar) and corresponds to a sudden release of 581 582 water. The transition from three layers of water to two layers is not observed on the present day profile 583 because this transition occurred at about 850 m (30°C), at a depth where the 'sandstone' lithology is 584 currently found. Hydrated montmorillonite content also concomitantly decreases at the depth where

585 illite forms (about 2500 m). Dissolution of microcline also accompanied this illitisation on the profile, acting as a source of K⁺ that stabilizes illite. The modelled mineralogical evolution is thus consistent 586 587 with the expected smectite-to-illite reactive pathway and smectite dehydration process. The evolution 588 of the calculated CO_2 partial pressure with increasing temperature (Figure 10.B) is in line with the pCO_2 usually measured in sedimentary basins (Coudrain-Ribstein et al., 1998). Since the pCO_2 is 589 calculated from an equilibrium between the different involved minerals and the pore water for 590 591 evolving temperature and pressure conditions, the agreement between calculated and measured pCO_2 592 is an another indication of the consistence of the mineralogical assemblage selection.

593



594

Figure 10. A) Simulated present day mineralogical profile. Hydrated Mnt_Na: 3w, 2w or 1w Na-montmorillonite; Anhydrous
Mnt_Na: anhydrous Na-Montmorillonite; Carbonates: sum of calcite, dolomite and siderite. B) Comparison of calculated
pCO2 with pCO2 data from sedimentary formations (Coudrain-Ribstein et al., 1998). With the exception of the Mnt_Na 2w to
Mnt_Na 1w hydrated smectite transition, the discontinuities in mineral content that are seen in the profile are linked to the
distribution of lithological facies with depth.

600

601 **4. Discussion**

A thermo-hydro-mechanical model coupled with an advanced thermodynamic model for smectitedehydration has been developed to calculate overpressures during the burial of a sedimentary pile.

Named SURP, this overpressure calculation code accounts for mechanical compaction, thermal effects and water release by smectite. Hence, the effect of pressure and temperature on the smectite dehydration process can be taken into account and the water released is considered in the pressure calculation. This coupling clearly goes beyond the previous models that considered smectite dehydration through an approximated evaluation of a source term in the overpressure calculation (Audet, 1995; Wangen, 2001) or considered smectite dehydration properly but without a feedback on pressure (Colten-Bradley, 1987; Meiller, 2013).

The SURP code was applied to the case study of the Niger Delta, formed of thick shale layers. Depending on the clay content, smectite dehydration was simulated to be the cause of 10 to 30 % of the present day overpressure in the main reservoirs, in addition to the compaction disequilibrium. The overpressure built-up during the Messinian is still high (about 200 bar at 3000 m deep) despite its dissipation. Water release by illitisation of smectite has much less influence on the pressure profile than smectite dehydration.

Although dependent on the smectite content in the sedimentary pile, the geological scenario and the 617 618 hydraulic conditions and parameters, the calculated contribution of smectite dehydration to overpressure is in the range of previously estimated contributions. Audet (1995) calculated that 619 620 dehydration can increase overpressuring by about 30 % provided that the sediments are relatively 621 impermeable and that sufficient water is released. Also in a theoretical scenario, Wangen (2001) 622 calculated a contribution of smectite dehydration in the same range, of about $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{3}$ of the overpressure. The examples of quantification of the contribution of smectite dehydration to 623 overpressures are few in the literature since the release of water is often considered as only one 624 625 contributor amongst other unloading processes that decrease the effective stress without modifying the 626 porosity. In the North Sea Viking Graben (Nordgård Bolås et al., 2004) and Central Graben (Kooi, 627 1997), smectite dehydration is discussed as a potential additional overpressuring mechanism as 628 compaction disequilibrium alone is not sufficient to explain the measured overpressure, and other 629 possible mechanisms were ruled out. In the Gulf of Mexico, offshore Louisiana, Gordon and Flemings 630 (1998) simulated that, depending on the elastic or inelastic compaction model considered, clay 631 dehydration can provide up to 20 % of the overpressure. Clay dehydration is also discussed in the Gulf 632 of Mexico as a possible source of overpressure by Bruce (1984) and Shaw and Primmer (1989), based on the observation that the onset of the overpressure coincides with the smectite-to-illite 633 634 transformation. In the Western Foothills of Taiwan, Tanikawa et al. (2008) performed overpressure calculations suggesting that clay dehydration is a secondary mechanism generating overpressures, 635 complementary to compaction disequilibrium and more significant than hydrocarbon generation. In 636 637 the Taiwan case, it is estimated that at the maximum pressure build-up, clay dehydration can double 638 the calculated overpressure compared to cases in which only compaction and thermal expansion are 639 taken into account. Overpressure nevertheless dissipated then and a basal fluid flux was necessary to 640 explain the present day pressure profile.

Clay dehydration is often ruled out as a mechanism contributing to overpressure because the volume increase due to the water produced by smectite dehydration was estimated at only 1.4 % below a depth of 1 km, which is the depth at which overpressures are observed to develop (Swarbrick and Osborne, 1998). Our results are at the opposite: when clay dehydration is integrated in forward modelling of overpressure, the different examples, including ours, suggest that the release of water by smectite dehydration or by illitisation can contribute to the pressure profile as an important additional contributor to compaction disequilibrium.

648 Although able to consider each process that can contribute to the overpressure build-up and dissipation 649 calculations individually, the forward modelling approach we considered presents limitations inherent 650 to every hydraulic and water/rock interaction model. The two key factors in a hydraulic model are the 651 boundary conditions and their evolution over time, and the hydraulic conductivity and its dependence on compaction and porosity reduction (Person et al., 1996; Bjørlykke et al., 2010). The hydraulic 652 boundary condition at the base of the sedimentary pile is generally unknown and a simple and 653 654 idealized boundary condition is often considered. A no flow basal boundary condition is a convenient 655 approach but is evidently complicated to verify. In the case of the Niger Delta, we had no information about an inflow at 5000 m deep, at the bottom of the sedimentary pile of our model. Seismic data 656 657 indicated an apparent density in line with the deepest pressure measurements. The hydraulic and 658 hydro-mechanical parameters used in the simulation have a high influence on the flow and pressure 659 regime in the modelled sedimentary pile. In our study, we used porosity-dependent empirical 660 relationships to determine these parameters, calibrated on various sets of experimental data 661 (Supplementary material A). The scattering of the data around the empirical relationships illustrates 662 the variability of these parameters. When data from the studied site are available, the empirical 663 relationships can be calibrated on these data. However, data scattering around the law may be 664 unavoidable, which may affect the robustness of the law.

Limitations of the water/rock interaction model rely on the fact that it is necessary to know the pore 665 666 water composition and its evolution, and that mineralogical and petrographical data are necessary for good constraining of the interaction model (Person et al., 1996). For instance, the depths of the 667 smectite dehydration reactions and the smectite-to-illite transformation may vary significantly 668 669 according to the chemical composition of the clay phases or the variation of the thermodynamic 670 parameters within their error margins. Based on this knowledge and on the reasonable assumptions that seawater was trapped in the sediment porosity and that the initial mineralogical assemblage 671 672 corresponded to a clay-rich sediment, our model has been able to simulate the expected illitisation of 673 smectite, accompanied by microcline dissolution. Reactive transport models have already been applied 674 to diagenesis modelling (e.g. Le Gallo et al., 1998), giving valuable simulations of mineral evolution 675 in reservoirs.

676

677 **5.** Conclusion

In this study, we developed the first overpressure calculation code including a thermodynamic description of clay dehydration. We draw upon recent advances in clay thermodynamics and in numerical modelling of solid solutions to precisely calculate the quantity of water that can be expulsed within the porosity of clay formations during smectite dehydration and illitisation processes. Hence, the SURP code considers water flow, compaction, temperature increase and smectite dehydration and illitisation, with a reciprocal effect, during the burial of a sedimentary pile.

The application of the SURP code to the Niger Delta case study evidenced that 10 to 30 % of the present day overpressure in the main reservoirs can be explained by smectite dehydration. Up to now, relatively few cases of overpressures generated by smectite dehydration have been reported and this mechanism is often not considered because of the lack of a tool able to calculate its effect. With a code

- such as SURP, it is possible to evaluate the influence of smectite dehydration on overpressure build-up
- 689 in passive margins, but also in compressive domains.
- 690 One of the future prospects of this work should be the introduction of this type of calculation in 2D or
- 3D basin modelling in order to better constrain boundary conditions and investigate how the water
- 692 production by clay formations can contribute to the general fluid flow in the basin. Another prospect
- 693 should be the improvement of the capabilities of the SURP code in simulating coupled mineralogical
- and hydro-mechanical evolution as a diagenesis code that can differentiate the porosity evolution due
- 695 to mechanical compaction and diagenesis.
- 696

697 Acknowledgments

- This work was funded by TOTAL S.A. The authors are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for theirhelpful comments and to Friedemann Baur associate Editor for handling the manuscript.
- 700

701 **References**

- Altaner, S.P., 1989. Calculation of K diffusional rates in bentonite beds. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta 53, 923–931.
- Altaner, S.P., Ylagan, R.F., 1997. Comparison of structural models of mixed-layer illite/smectite and reaction mechanisms of smectite illitization. Clays and Clay Minerals 45, 517–533.
- Audet, D.M., 1995. Mathematical modelling of gravitational compaction and clay dehydration in thick
 sediment layers. Geophysical Journal International 122, 283–298.
- Bjørlykke, K., Jahren, J., Aagaard, P., Fisher, Q., 2010. Role of effective permeability distribution in
 estimating overpressure using basin modelling. Marine and Petroleum Geology 27, 1684–
 1691.
- Blanc, P., Lassin, A., Piantone, P., Azaroual, M., Jacquemet, N., Fabbri, A., Gaucher, E.C., 2012.
 Thermoddem: A geochemical database focused on low temperature water/rock interactions and waste materials. Applied Geochemistry 27, 2107–2116.
- Blanc, P., Vieillard, P., Gailhanou, H., Gaboreau, S., Gaucher, E., Fialips, C. I., Giffaut, E., 2015. A
 generalized model for predicting the thermodynamic properties of clay minerals. American
 Journal of Science 315, 734–780.
- Braide, S.P., Huff, W.D., 1986. Clay mineral variation in Tertiary sediments from the eastern flank of
 the Niger Delta. Clay Minerals 21, 211–224.
- Bruce, C.H., 1984. Smectite dehydration; its relation to structural development and hydrocarbon
 accumulation in northern Gulf of Mexico basin. AAPG Bulletin 68, 673–683.
- Burland, J.B., 1990. On the compressibility and shear strength of natural clays. Géotechnique 40, 329–
 378.
- Burrus, J., 1998. Overpressure models for clastic rocks, their relation to hydrocarbon expulsion: a
 critical reevaluation, in: Law, B.E., Ulmishek, G.F., Slavin, V.I. (Eds.), AbnormalPressures
 Hydrocarbon Environments, AAPGMemoir. AAPG, pp. 35–64.
- Burst, J.F., 1969. Diagenesis of Gulf Coast clayey sediments and its possible relation to petroleum
 migration. AAPG Bulletin 53, 73–93.
- Caillet, G., Batiot, S., 2003. 2D modelling of hydrocarbon migration along and across growth faults:
 an example from Nigeria. Petroleum Geoscience 9, 113–124.

- Charlton, S.R., Parkhurst, D.L., 2011. Modules based on the geochemical model PHREEQC for use in scripting and programming languages. Computers & Geosciences 37, 1653–1663.
- Chukwu, G.A., 1991. The Niger Delta complex basin: stratigraphy, structure and hydrocarbon
 potential. Journal of Petroleum Geology 14, 211–220.
- Cobbold, P.R., Clarke, B.J., Loseth, H., 2009. Structural consequences of fluid overpressure and
 seepage forces in the outer thrust belt of the Niger Delta. Petroleum Geosciences 15, 3–15.
- Colten-Bradley, V.A., 1987. Role of pressure in smectite dehydration; effects on geopressure and
 smectite-to-illite transformation. AAPG Bulletin 71, 1414–1427.
- Coudrain-Ribstein, A., Gouze, P., Marsily, G. de, 1998. Temperature-carbon dioxide partial pressure
 trends in confined aquifers. Chemical Geology 145, 73–89.
- Cuadros, J., 2006. Modeling of smectite illitization in burial diagenesis environments. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta 70, 4181–4195.
- 742 De Marsily, G., 1986. Quantitative Hydrogeology. Academic Press.
- Dubacq, B., Vidal, O., De Andrade, V., 2010. Dehydration of dioctahedral aluminous phyllosilicates:
 thermodynamic modelling and implications for thermobarometric estimates. Contributions to
 Mineralogy and Petrology 159, 159–174.
- Ferrage, E., Lanson, B., Sakharov, B.A., Drits, V.A., 2005. Investigation of smectite hydration
 properties by modeling experimental X-ray diffraction patterns: Part I. Montmorillonite
 hydration properties. American Mineralogist 90, 1358–1374.
- Gordon, D.S., Flemings, P.B., 1998. Generation of overpressure and compaction-driven fluid flow in a
 Plio-Pleistocene growth-faulted basin, Eugene Island 330, offshore Louisiana. Basin Research
 10, 177–196.
- Ingebritsen, S., Sanford, W., Neuzil, C., 2006. Groundwater in geologic processes. Cambridge
 University Press.
- Inoue, A., Kohyama, N., Watanabe, T., 1987. Chemical and morphological evidence for the
 conversion of smectite to illite. Clays and Clay Minerals 35, 111–120.
- Jubril, M.A., Shaw, H.F., Fallick, A.E., 1998. Stable isotope and geochemical evidence of formation
 pore fluid evolution during diagenesis of Tertiary sandstones and mudrocks of the Niger Delta.
 Journal of African Earth Science 27, 417–435.
- Kooi, H., 1997. Insufficiency of compaction disequilibrium as the sole cause of high pore fluid
 pressures in pre-Cenozoic sediments. Basin Research 9, 227–241.
- Lambe, T.W., Whitman, R.V., 1979. Soil mechanics, Series in soil engineering. Wiley.
- Lanson, B., Sakharov, B.A., Claret, F., Drits, V.A., 2009. Diagenetic smectite-to-illite transition in
 clay-rich sediments: A reappraisal of X-ray diffraction results using the multi-specimen
 method. American Journal of Science 309, 476–516.
- Le Gallo, Y., Bildstein, O., Brosse, E., 1998. Coupled reaction-flow modeling of diagenetic changes in reservoir permeability, porosity and mineral compositions. Journal of Hydrology 209, 366– 388.
- Meiller, C., 2013. Etude cristallochimique de solutions solides de minéraux argileux. Impact de la déshydratation des smectites sur les surpressions dans les bassins sédimentaires.
- Meunier, A., Velde, B., 1989. Solid solution in I/S mixed-layer minerals and illite. American
 Mineralogist 74, 1106–1112.
- Neuzil, C.E., 1995. Abnormal pressures as hydrodynamic phenomena. American Journal of Science
 295, 742–786.
- Nordgård Bolås, H.M., Hermanrud, C., Teige, G.M., 2004. Origin of overpressures in shales:
 Constraints from basin modeling. AAPG bulletin 88, 193–211.
- Nwozor, K.K., Omudu, M.L., Ozumba, B.M., Egbuachor, C.J., Onwuemesi, A.G., Anike, O.L., 2013.
 Quantitative evidence of secondary mechanisms of overpressure generation: insights from
 parts of onshore Niger Delta, Nigeria. Petroleum Technology Development Journal 3, 64–83.
- Nygård, R., Gutierrez, M., Gautam, R., Høeg, K., 2004. Compaction behavior of argillaceous sediments as function of diagenesis. Marine and Petroleum Geology 21, 349–362.
- Oboh, F.E., 1992. Middle Miocene palaeoenvironments of the Niger Delta. Palaeogeography,
 Palaecclimatology, Palaeoecology 92, 55–84.

- Odigi, M.I., 1986. Mineralogical and geochemical studies of Tertiary sediments from the eastern Niger
 Delta and their relationship to petroleum occurence. Journal of Petroleum Geology 10, 101–
 114.
- Opara, A., 2011. Estimation of multiple sources of overpressures using vertical effective stress
 approach: case study of the Niger Delta, Nigeria. Petroleum & Coal 53.
- Owolabi, O.O., Okpobiri, G.A., Obomanu, I.A., 1990. Prediction of abnornal pressure in the Niger
 Delta Basin using well logs. SPE 021575, 1–15.
- Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C.A.J., 2013. Description of input and examples for PHREEQC Version 3–a
 computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse
 geochemical calculations. U.S. Geological Survey.
- Perry, E.A., Hower, J., 1970. Burial diagenesis in Gulf Coast pelitic sediments. Clays and Clay
 Minerals 18, 165–177.
- Person, M., Raffensperger, J.P., Ge, S., Garven, G., 1996. Basin-scale hydrogeologic modeling.
 Reviews of Geophysics 34, 61–87.
- Pytte, A.M., Reynolds, R.C., 1989. The thermal transformation of smectite to illite, in: Naeser, T.H.
 N.D. McCulloh (Ed.), The Thermal History of Sedimentary Basins. Springer, New York, pp. 133–140.
- Ransom, B., Helgeson, H.C., 1994. A chemical and thermodynamic model of aluminous dioctahedral
 2:1 layer clay minerals in diagenetic processes; regular solution representation of interlayer
 dehydration in smectite. American Journal of Science 294, 449–484.
- Saffer, D.M., McKiernan, A.W., 2009. Evaluation of in situ smectite dehydration as a pore water
 freshening mechanism in the Nankai Trough, offshore southwest Japan. Geochemistry,
 Geophysics, Geosystems 10.
- Sclater, J.G., Christie, P.A.F., 1980. Continental stretching: An explanation of the Post-Mid Cretaceous subsidence of the central North Sea Basin. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
 Earth 85, 3711–3739.
- Shaw, H.F., Primmer, T.J., 1989. Diagenesis in shales from a partly overpressured sequence in the
 Gulf Coast, Texas, USA. Marine and Petroleum Geology 6, 121–128.
- Srodon, J., Zeelmaekers, E., Derkowski, A., 2009. The charge of component layers of illite-smectite in
 bentonites and the nature of end-member illite. Clays and Clay Minerals 57, 649–671.
- Swarbrick, R.E., Osborne, M.J., 1998. Mechanisms that Generate Abnormal Pressures: an Overview,
 in: Law, B.E., Ulmishek, G.F., Slavin, V.I. (Eds.), AbnormalPressures Hydrocarbon
 Environments, AAPGMemoir. AAPG, pp. 13–34.
- Tanikawa, W., Shimamoto, T., Wey, S., Lin, C., Lai, W., 2008. Stratigraphic variation of transport
 properties and overpressure development in the Western Foothills, Taiwan. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 113.
- Tremosa, J., Gonçalvès, J., Matray, J.M., 2012. Natural conditions for more limited osmotic abnormal
 fluid pressures in sedimentary basins. Water Resources Research 48.
- Ugwu, S.A., Nwankwo, C.N., 2014. Integrated approach to geopressure detection in the X-field,
 Onshore Niger Delta. Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology 4, 215–
 231.
- Van Der Kamp, G., Gale, J.E., 1983. Theory of earth tide and barometric effects in porous formations
 with compressible grains. Water Resources Research 19, 538–544.
- Velde, B., Brusewitz, A.M., 1986. Compositional variation in component layers in natural illite/smectite. Clays and Clay Minerals 34, 651–657.
- Velde, B., Vasseur, G., 1992. Estimation of the diagenetic smectite to illite transformation in time temperature space. American Mineralogist 77, 967–976.
- Vidal, O., Dubacq, B., 2009. Thermodynamic modelling of clay dehydration, stability and
 compositional evolution with temperature, pressure and H₂O activity. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta 73, 6544–6564.
- Wangen, M., 2001. A quantitative comparison of some mechanisms generating overpressure in
 sedimentary basins. Tectonophysics 334, 211–234.
- Weaver, C.E., 1959. Possible uses of clay minerals in the search for oil. Clays and Clay Minerals 8,
 214–227.
- 837 Whiteman, A., 1982. Nigeria: its petroleum geology, resources and potential. Graham & Trotman.