

### The Fontestorbes intermittent karst spring: New results deduced from high frequency monitoring in the karst drainage system

Jean-Christophe Maréchal, Vincent Bailly-Comte, Bernard Ladouche, Nicole

Ravaiau

#### ► To cite this version:

Jean-Christophe Maréchal, Vincent Bailly-Comte, Bernard Ladouche, Nicole Ravaiau. The Fontestorbes intermittent karst spring: New results deduced from high frequency monitoring in the karst drainage system. Eurokarst 2018, Jul 2018, Besancon, France. hal-02427373

### HAL Id: hal-02427373 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-02427373

Submitted on 3 Jan 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





# The Fontestorbes rhythmic karst spring

New results from high frequency monitoring in the karst drainage system



édération Française

Fédération Française de Spéléologie





V. Bailly-Comte, BRGM, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France B. Ladouche, BRGM, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France N. Ravaiau, CDS 09, FFS, Foix, France JC. Maréchal, BRGM, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France

# THE FONTESTORBES RHYTHMIC SPRING

What is a rhythmic spring?

- Spring that shows periodic changes ("tides") of flows
  - Also known as "ebb and flow" Sp., intermittent Sp.
  - Exclusively in karst terrain, a few examples in the World (*Bonacci 1991, Mangin, 1969*)
  - Some are perfectly rhythmic, others show an unpredictable flow behavior
- Among them, Fontestorbes (France) is a large karst spring that behaves as a rhythmic spring during baseflow periods

Where is the Fontestorbes Sp. located?

- Near Belesta, South of France (Ariège)
- One of the main springs that drains the "Plateau de Sault"







### THE FONTESTORBES RECHARGE AREA



BRGM SERVICE GÉOLOGIQUE NATIONAL WWW.BRGM.FR

KARSYS method, Eurokarst, 2016

erre durable

# WHY DO WE STUDY THIS PHENOMENON?

From a scientific, engineering and touristic point of view...

- <u>Scientific:</u> To understand how flood pulse propagates through a karst media (see Mangin, 1975; Annex to Drainage System)
- Engineering: Knowing where the mechanism takes place allows for future wells intercepting the phreatic zone upstream from the mechanism
- <u>Touristic</u>: To better assess the influence of groundwater exploitation (from wells) on the phenomenon (can it disappear?, be more frequent? etc.)

Planque, 1728; Astruc, 1730; Martel, 1909; Brunet, Verdeil, Mangin from the 60's, etc.

Since 2014, the BRGM (French Geological Survey) leads a project in partnership with Région Occitanie, Ariege and Aude Councils, and AERMC and AEAG Water Agencies, that aims at better understanding the karst groundwater resources on the Plateau de Sault.

In that framework, a scientific cooperation with the Speleogical Comitee (CDS09) allowed to monitor simultaneously pressure, temperature and electrical conductivity times series at the spring and in a cave explored by CDS09.

#### ⇒ What can we learn from a new (and first) monitoring of P1 water-level?



### THE FONTESTORBES RECHARGE AREA



BRGM SERVICE GÉOLOGIQUE NATIONAL WWW.BRGM.FR

KARSYS method, Eurokarst, 2016



erre durable

# MONITORING

High frequency analysis (2 min)

#### Where?

- CTD Diver at the Fontestorbes Sp.
- CTD Diver in P1 (1200 m from the Sp.)





P1 topographic map



Caver installing the CTD sensor in P1



# **RESULTS / HYDRODYNAMICS**

Monitoring P1 vs. Fontestorbes – all data set

#### Water-level

- 4 cases of rhythmic mechanism
  - o **a** and **b**: Stop and start at low flow
  - **c** and **d**: Stop and start at high flow





• WL in P1 and Sp. discharges decrease during recession





• WL in P1 and Sp. discharges decrease during recession





• WL in P1 and Sp. discharges decrease during recession





- Siphon stops ⇒ Q<sub>up</sub>=0
- ➡ WL in P1 increases
- ⇒ The discharge at the Sp. decreases (pressure transfer)
- No time lag between the reservoir recharge (P1) and the decrease of the Sp. discharge





- WL in P1 increases
- The discharge at the Sp. decreases (pressure transfer)





- WL in P1 increases
- The discharge at the Sp. decreases (pressure transfer)





- WL in P1 reaches h<sub>max</sub>
- The discharge at the Sp. is minimum



BRGM SERVICE GÉOLOGIQUE NATIONAL WWW.BRGM.FR



- Siphon starts  $\Rightarrow Q_{up} > 0$
- ➡ WL in P1 decreases quickly
- ⇒ The discharge at the Sp. increases (pulse transfer)
- No time lag between the reservoir emptying (P1) and the increase of the Sp. discharge





- WL in P1 decreases quickly
- The discharge at the Sp. increases (pulse transfer) and reaches a <u>maximum</u> value





- WL in P1 reaches a minimum value
- The discharge at the Sp. decreases after the peak transfer, but the siphon is still flowing

If  $q_{in} > Q_{up} + Q_{down}$  the process stops at this step due to a recharge event (stop at high flow) – the reservoir is empty





<sup>•</sup> Stop of the siphon  $\Rightarrow Q_{up}=0$ 

- ➡ WL in P1 increases
- ⇒ The discharge at the Sp. decreases at a higher rate (inflexion on the Sp. hydrograph)





- WL in P1 increases
- The discharge at the Sp. decreases





- WL in P1 increases and reaches h<sub>max</sub>
- The discharge at the Sp. is minimum
- Etc.

If  $q_{in} < Q_{down} + Q_{up}$  the process stops at this step (stop at low flow), with a filled reservoir





- $\Rightarrow$  P1 = tank that empties, which induces a flood that propagates to the Sp.
- ⇒ No time lag between the reservoir emptying (P1) and the increase of the Sp. discharge



# **RESULTS / WATER TRANSFER**

Monitoring P1 vs. Fontestorbes – all data set

#### **Physico-chemical monitoring**

- T and Cond from P1 to the Sp. are most of the time consistent ⇒ tracer of flow
- Some flood events show 2 responses of Cond at the Spring, but only 1 in P1



# **TRANSFER FROM P1 TO FONTESTORBES**

#### **Hydrodynamics**

- P1 water-level is a pressure measurement upstream from the mechanism
- ⇒ The mechanism is located between P1 and the Sp.
- ⇒ What is measured at the Sp. is the resulting pressure transfer through the karst media
- The rhythmic mechanism can stop at low flow
- ⇒ The rhythmic reservoir is drained by (at least) 2 drains
  - A siphoning drain with a high discharge capacity
  - Another drain with a limited discharge capacity

#### **Physico-chemistry**

- The rhythmic behavior characterizes only one compartment of the aquifer
- ⇒ Sp. discharge is the sum of a rhythmic flow and a non rhythmic flow
- ⇒ Can we simulate this rhythmic behavior with a simple reservoir model?



# **CONCEPTUAL MODEL**



Many assumptions...

- P1 WL is a measure of h(t)
- Q<sub>up</sub> is constant with a hysteresis behavior
- $Q_{down}$  is a linear function of h:  $Q_{down}(t)=k^{*}h(t)$
- Another linear reservoir is used to simulate the pressure transfer in the phreatic zone (K<sub>phreatic\_transfer</sub>)
- Q<sub>non rhythmic</sub> is neglected (=0) ⇒ Simulation in low flow



# NUMERICAL MODEL

Case a: Stop at low flow

Vol. = 1058 m<sup>3</sup>

S = 372 m<sup>2</sup>





# NUMERICAL MODEL

Case b: Start at low flow

 $\Delta q_{in} = 43 I/s$ 

⇒ Very sensitive to small changes of the recharge rate





 $q_{\text{in}}$  increases (43 l/s!) and causes the start  $% \left( 1/2\right) =0$  of the phenomenon

NUMERICAL MODEL – 4 CASES (SYNTHETIC DATA)

The model can reproduce the different cases of rhythmic hydrographs at the Fontestorbes Spring (also for P1 WL)





# CONCLUSION

- Karst drainage network upstream from the Spring
  - P1 is close to the rhythmic mechanism, which is located between P1 and the Spring
  - At least 2 independent karst drainage networks, one being rhythmic in low flow
    - ⇒ Consistent with the karst drainage network simulation using KARSYS, cf. Eurokarst 2016
    - ⇒ There are no simple relationships between sp. discharge and start/stop of the phenomenon
  - Karst conduits are fully saturated from the rhythmic reservoir to the spring
  - The rhythmic behavior can be **stopped** for very low flows ( $q_{in} < 0.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ )

- A simple reservoir model with a hysteresis process can reproduce the main characteristics of the Spring and P1 hydrographs
  - The volume of the rhythmic reservoir is +/- 1000 m<sup>3</sup>
  - The real phenomenon is way more complex!!!... and we only have **indirect information** about this mechanism



# **IN MEMORY OF ALAIN MANGIN**



Field trip during Plateau de Sault project © N Ravaiau



# THE FONTESTORBES RYTHMIC SPRING

What do we know about this phenomenon? Plangue, 1728; Astruc, 1730; Martel, 1909; Brunet, Verdeil, Mangin from the 60's, etc.

#### Spring observations

- 2 (or 3) distinct behaviors:
  - A rhythmic spring during base flow and a common karst spring otherwise
  - The phenomenon should also stop at low flow (Verdeil, Brunet)
- The existence of another independent karst flow converging to the spring is debatable
- In 1969 and 1973, Mangin proposed a physical explanation involving a siphoning tank, with head losses governed by an air-inlet on the karst conduit
- Cau (1983) did a review of the various physical interpretation of the phenomenon

#### P1 observations

- Water level fluctuations are observed in a cave (P1, since 1957) 1200m upstream from the Spring and are related to the rhythmic discharge
- The location of the phenomenon is debatable: upstream (Mangin) or downstream (Verdeil) from P1

#### But... Additional observations raise new questions

- In 1973, the phenomenon stops at low flow
- o In 1983, cave divers explore P1 upstream and found a non-rhythmic water table





- P1 = tank that empties, which induces a flood that propagates to the Sp.
- No time lag between the reservoir emptying (P1) and the increase of the Sp. discharge



# **INSTRUMENTATION**

**Monitoring P1 vs. Fontestorbes** 

#### **Physico-chemical monitoring**

- T and SpC from P1 to the Sp. are most of the time consistent ⇒ tracer of flow
- Some events show 2 responses at the Sp.



Géosciences pour une Terre durable

# RESULTS

Monitoring P1 vs. Fontestorbes – all data set

#### Water level monitoring

- Short term variations (1 hour) + hydrological response at the spring
- ⇒ Is the Sp. discharge a sum of a rhythmic flow and a non rhythmic flow ?



# **CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF THE FONTESTORBES PERIODIC SP.**





- Where the mecanism takes place?
- Is the air-inlet mecanism necessary?
- Does it always starts and stops for a given discharge at the Spring?
- If not, is there another karst subsystem feeding the pring?
- ⇒ New monitoring at P1



# NUMERICAL MODEL

- CALIBRATION PROCESS -

Case a: Stop at low flow

- 2 measured parameters
  - $h_{max} = 2.8 \text{ m}$
  - $O q_{in(0)} = 0.595 \text{ m}^{3/s}$

#### • 3 fitted parameters

- $O = Q_{up} = 1.26 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
- K<sub>phreatic\_transfer</sub> = 99 d<sup>-1</sup>
- Vol. = 1058 m<sup>3</sup>
- 2 calculated parameters
  - $\circ$  S = Vol./h<sub>max</sub>= 372 m<sup>2</sup>
  - o  $k = (q_{in(0)}/S)/h_{max} = 48.6 d^{-1}$





# NUMERICAL MODEL

#### - CALIBRATION PROCESS -

Case b: Start at low flow

- 2 measured parameters
  - $h_{max} = 2.8 \text{ m}$ 0
  - $q_{in(0)} = 0.607 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{s}$  which is Ο different from case (a)
- 3 fixed parameters from (a)
  - $Q_{up} = 1.26 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ 0
  - $K_{phreatic\_transfer} = 99 d^{-1}$ 0
  - Vol. = 1058 m<sup>3</sup> 0
- 1 fitted parameter
  - $\Delta q_{in} = 43 l/s$ 0
- 2 calculated parameters

• S = Vol./
$$h_{max}$$
= 372 m<sup>2</sup>

• 
$$k = (q_{in(0)}/S)/h_{max} = 49.6 d^{-1}$$







#### q<sub>in</sub> increases (43 l/s!) and causes the start of the phenomenon

### THE FONTESTORBES KARST DRAINAGE NETWORK

- Does the main karst drainage network also shows a rhythmic behavior ?
- Is there another karst conduit that bring water to the Sp. downstream from the intermittent mechanism ?
- Can we use these information to locate the rhythmic reservoir?





KARSYS method, Eurokarst, 2016





BRGM SERVICE GÉOLOGIQUE NATIONAL WWW.BRGM.FR