



HAL
open science

A simple groundwater balance tool to evaluate the three-dimensional specific yield and the two-dimensional recharge: application to a deeply weathered crystalline aquifer in southern India

Syed Adil Mizan, Benoît Dewandel, Adrien Selles, Shakeel Ahmed, Yvan Caballero

► To cite this version:

Syed Adil Mizan, Benoît Dewandel, Adrien Selles, Shakeel Ahmed, Yvan Caballero. A simple groundwater balance tool to evaluate the three-dimensional specific yield and the two-dimensional recharge: application to a deeply weathered crystalline aquifer in southern India. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 2019, 10.1007/s10040-019-02026-8 . hal-02289380

HAL Id: hal-02289380

<https://brgm.hal.science/hal-02289380v1>

Submitted on 16 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **A simple groundwater balance tool to evaluate the three-dimensional**
2 **specific yield and the two-dimensional recharge: application to a deeply**
3 **weathered crystalline aquifer in southern India.**

4 Syed Adil Mizan¹, Benoit Dewandel², Adrien Selles³, Shakeel Ahmed¹, Yvan Caballero²

5 ¹Indo-French center for groundwater research, CSIR-NGRI, Hyderabad, India.

6 ²BRGM, D3E/NRE, 1039 rue de pinville, Montpellier, France.

7 ³BRGM Unit, IFCGR, CSIR-NGRI, Hyderabad, India.

8 Corresponding author: Syed Adil Mizan, Email: adilmizan3@gmail.com

9
10 **Abstract:** Crystalline aquifers are among the most complex groundwater systems, requiring
11 adequate methods for realistic characterization and suitable techniques for improving the
12 long-term management of groundwater resources. A tool is needed that can assess the aquifer
13 hydrodynamic parameters cost-effectively. A model is presented, based on a groundwater-
14 budget equation and water-table fluctuation method, which combines the upscaling and the
15 regionalization of aquifer parameters, in particular specific yield (S_y) in three dimensions
16 (3D) and the recharge in two dimensions (2-D) from rainfall at watershed scale. The tool was
17 tested and validated on the 53-km² Maheshwaram watershed, southern India, at a 685 m ×
18 685 m cell scale, and was calibrated on seasonal groundwater levels from 2011 to 2016.
19 Comparison between computed and observed levels shows an absolute residual mean and a
20 root mean square error of 1.17 m and 1.8 m, respectively, showing the robustness of the
21 model. S_y ranges from 0.3 to 5% (mean 1.4%), which is in good agreement with previous
22 studies. The annual recharge from rainfall is also in good agreement with earlier studies and,
23 despite its strong annual variability (16 to 199 mm/y) at watershed scale, it shows that spatial
24 recharge is clearly controlled by spatial structure, from one year to another.

25 Groundwater levels were also forecasted from 2020 to 2039 based on the climate and
26 groundwater abstraction scenarios. The results show severe water-level depletion around
27 2024-2026 but it would be more stable in the future (after 2030) because of a lower frequency
28 of low-rainfall monsoons.

29
30 **Keywords:** Crystalline rock, India, Specific yield, Recharge

31 **1. INTRODUCTION**

32 India has 27 million hectares of farmland, a considerable area of which (21 million ha) is
33 irrigated by groundwater rather than by surface water (Shah 2007). Development of this
34 irrigation (surface and groundwater) occurred in the 1970s with the start of the Green
35 Revolution. Consequently, the number of bore-wells increased sharply from less than
36 1 million in 1960 to over 19 million in 2000 and more than 20 million by 2007 (Shah
37 2007). As a result, especially in the crystalline rock and semi-arid areas, which cover
38 about two-thirds of the country, groundwater resources are under permanent stress and
39 are often overexploited (Shah 2007) because of the large amount of abstraction for
40 irrigation. Therefore, it is urgent to adopt groundwater abstraction based on a better
41 knowledge of the aquifer system and the availability of the resource.

42 In South India, most of the aquifers are constituted by crystalline aquifers, i.e. in
43 granitic and metamorphic rocks, and are considered as highly heterogeneous. Recent studies
44 in African, European and Indian basement areas have increased knowledge and proposed
45 methods for improving groundwater management (Omorinbola, 1982, 1983; Wright, 1992;
46 Chilton and Foster, 1995; Owoade, 1995; Wyns et al.,1999; Taylor and Howard, 2000;
47 Maréchal et al., 2004; Dewandel et al., 2006; Kràsy and Sharp, 2007; Maréchal et al., 2007,
48 Courtois et al., 2008; 2010; Dewandel et al., 2010; Lachassagne et al. 2011, Mizan et al.,
49 2019, etc.). These studies showed that, when regional deep weathering processes affect such
50 hard rocks, they can be considered as a two-layered aquifer system. The two layers are
51 directly connected (there is no impervious or semi-pervious layer between them). In this case,
52 aquifers consist of two main sub-parallel hydrogeological layers, an upper saprolite layer of
53 porous clayey-sandy material with low permeability, and a lower fractured layer,
54 characterized by a dense horizontal fracturing in the first few meters, followed by a rapid

55 depth-decrease of the fracture density. This last layer, with low porosity, assumes the
56 transmissive function of the aquifer. The underlying layer is characterized by lower porosity
57 (K) but higher permeability because of the fracture (average K is about 10^{-5} m/s and porosity
58 0.005; see Lachassagne et al., 2011, Dewandel et al., 2006 & Marechal et al., 2004).

59 Based on the described multi-layer property and the combined use of a water-table-
60 fluctuation method and groundwater budget, Dewandel et al. (2010) developed a model for
61 testing groundwater scenarios under variable agro-climatic conditions. However, the results
62 of this model, i.e. the reproduction of water-table level fluctuations and the seasonal drying
63 up of bore wells, were applicable at small watershed scale only, discouraging the use of such
64 a model over an area larger than a few tens of km^2 . Dewandel et al. (2012, 2017) then
65 developed methods for upscaling and regionalizing the aquifer properties of a crystalline
66 aquifer, such as specific yield and hydraulic conductivity. For several granitic aquifers in
67 India (50 to 1000 km^2), they showed that where an aquifer is intensively pumped horizontal
68 groundwater fluxes within the aquifer can be neglected compared to the vertical fluxes
69 (pumping and recharge) above a certain cell size (0.5 to 1.5 km^2), depending on the studied
70 aquifer. This cell-size threshold is controlled by the spatial distributions of both aquifer
71 properties and pumping (Dewandel et al., 2012). Here and similarly to Dewandel et al.
72 (2010), a column model is developed and applied independently to each aquifer cell, thus
73 providing a useful tool for improving groundwater management at a 2-D spatial scale. The
74 basic requirement for using this model is that the aquifer should be unconfined (regardless of
75 rock type), intensively pumped, and that non-monsoon and monsoon seasons should be well
76 differentiated. In spite of the hydrogeological complexity in crystalline rock aquifers, a
77 simple method, which recalled here, provides estimates of specific yield and recharge
78 spatially. However, it does not require spatial distribution of hydraulic conductivity because
79 of the negligible effect of horizontal groundwater flux compared to vertical ones (pumping &

80 recharge) from a particular cell size (Dewandel et al., 2012), as for a classic numerical model,
81 which is a topic of large uncertainty, particularly in crystalline aquifers.

82 The semi-arid Maheshwaram watershed (53 km²) in southern India was taken as a
83 benchmark (standard) crystalline aquifer system (Fig. 1). This watershed is representative of
84 a peri-urban Indian catchment, in the transition from rural to peri-urban activities with
85 groundwater overexploitation issues. The cell size chosen, 685 m × 685 m, is based on
86 previous studies in the area (Dewandel et al., 2012) and allows a good spatial discretization
87 of the area (113 cells). This size is above the threshold size from which horizontal fluxes can
88 be neglected compared to vertical ones (Dewandel et al., 2012). The modeling was conducted
89 with data from 2011 to 2016, with the dual objective of reproducing observed detailed water-
90 table maps and of evaluating the coherence of other model results, such as recharge and
91 specific yield models. Once the model was validated, it was used for forecasting
92 groundwater-level, including scenarios with changing patterns of groundwater abstraction
93 and a rainfall model (regional downscaled rainfall data issued from climate scenarios, Vigaud
94 et al., 2013).

95 The aim of this paper is to present a simple, cost-efficient spatial groundwater balance
96 model using upscaling and regionalization techniques for aquifer properties in crystalline
97 aquifers. In-spite of the complexity in crystalline aquifers, this model proposes a 3-
98 dimensional distribution of specific yield (S_y) and 2-dimensional recharge (R) estimation
99 which may also be very useful to reduce the biasness of numerical models. By combining the
100 output of the model with forecasted rainfall, this model is also able to project water level
101 scenarios for the coming decades.

102 **2. FIELD DATA**

103 **2.1 Topography and Climate**

104 The Maheshwaram watershed (53 km²) is located 40 km south of Hyderabad (Fig. 1). The
105 area has a relatively flat topography with elevation ranging from 590 to 670 m above mean
106 sea level and without perennial streams. According to the Koppen classification, this region
107 falls within the BSh (hot semi-arid) climate type. The aridity index of this area is ~ 0.42
108 (Annual potential evapotranspiration 1800 mm and annual mean precipitation 750 mm;
109 Dewandel et al., 2010). The *Kharif* (monsoon) season lasts from June to October and the *Rabi*
110 (non-monsoon) season is from November to May. Almost 90% of mean annual rainfall is
111 received during the *Kharif*. The mean annual temperature of the area is 26 °C, but in summer
112 it can rise to 45 °C. The area is rural and populated by about 65,000 inhabitants (Indian
113 Census, 2011).

114 **2.2 Geology**

115 The study area is underlain by Archean biotite granite that is locally intruded by small bodies
116 of leucocratic granite (Dewandel et al., 2006; Fig. 1b). The biotite granite is slightly
117 metamorphosed (slightly into orthogneissic granite) and foliated. Intrusive leucocratic granite
118 has a lower biotite content, infra-centimetric grain size with poor porphyritic K-feldspars, and
119 forms small boulder-covered hills in the landscape. Some kilometer-size dolerite dikes and
120 quartz veins intrude the granites. There are no important textural changes at watershed scale
121 and variation in the degree of weathering and also type of rock does not show any significant
122 difference in term of specific yield and hydraulic conductivity (Dewandel et al., 2012).The
123 rocks are affected by deep in-situ weathering resulting from multiphase weathering–erosion
124 processes (Dewandel et al., 2006). Typically, the weathering profile shows from top to
125 bottom (Fig. 2; Dewandel et al., 2006);

- 126 • A thin layer of red soil (10–40 cm);
- 127 • A 1–3 m thick sandy regolith, locally capped by a lateritic crust <50 cm thick;

- 128 • A 10–15 m thick layer of laminated saprolite with a penetrative millimeter-spaced
129 horizontal laminated structure and an unusual network of mainly sub-horizontal and
130 some sub-vertical fractures, partially filled by clayey minerals;
- 131 • Fractured granite occupies the next 15–20 m, with weathered granite and some clay
132 partially filling up decametre-wide sub-horizontal and sub-vertical fractures, the
133 whole constituting the bottom layer of weathering profile. The density a fracture
134 rapidly decreases with depth;
- 135 • Below, the granite is not fractured and is not considered as an aquifer (Dewandel et
136 al., 2006, 2012; Maréchal et al., 2006).

137 **2.3 Groundwater abstraction**

138 Irrigation in the area totally depends on groundwater resources. Over 1000 bore wells are
139 used for the irrigation of rice, vegetables and orchards, but 90% of the groundwater is used
140 for rice growing (Maréchal et al., 2006; Dewandel et al., 2010). Plots are irrigated by
141 flooding (rice and vegetables) and drip irrigation (orchards). Well discharge rates range from
142 0.3 to 42 m³/hour, but 40% are below 5 m³/hour (Dewandel et al., 2012).

143 Land use was evaluated over the *Rabi* (non-monsoon) seasons of November 2007 to
144 May 2008 and November 2013 to May 2014, for estimating the corresponding groundwater
145 abstraction. Irrigated rice areas cover around 3.7% of the watershed, followed by orchards,
146 2.5%, and vegetables, 0.8%; the rest of the area is covered by constructions, forest, and
147 barren rocky areas. Crops are cultivated year-round. During *Rabi* season, crop irrigation
148 totally depends on groundwater resources because of the absence of perennial surface water
149 and as more than 90% rainfall occurs during the monsoon. Crops are also irrigated during
150 monsoon with groundwater, but at a lower rate (Maréchal et al., 2006; Dewandel et al.,
151 2008). Field observations on crop cultivation and irrigation were collected from farmers to

152 get reliable data on crop calendars and stages, as well as on watering techniques, frequency
153 and rates of irrigation. Daily water inputs by pumping for each crop at parcel scale are
154 documented in Dewandel et al. (2008). The mean daily water input for rice during the non-
155 monsoon season is 15 mm, whereas during the wet season it is only 10 mm, the rest coming
156 from rain. For vegetables and orchards, the numbers are 7 mm/day and 4.9 mm/day
157 respectively, independently of the season. The combination of land use, daily water input for
158 each crop, and number of days of each crop cultivation, allows computing the seasonal
159 groundwater abstraction in the watershed. Groundwater also contributes to domestic use, the
160 amount of abstraction is based on census data (Indian census, 2011; 65,125 inhabitants) and
161 daily groundwater consumption per person (25 liters/day; from field survey). Population has
162 been considered as constant for every year.

163 The watershed area does not have a stationary land-use pattern; during the monsoon
164 season, farmers adopt the size of irrigated lands according to the rainfall amount.
165 Precipitation and pumping data for the 2001-2004 non-monsoon seasons (Dewandel et al.,
166 2010) and estimated pumping data from land-use maps (Nov 2007-May 2008 and Nov 2013-
167 May 2014) show an empirical linear relationship at watershed scale (Fig. 3a). As data on
168 pumping and land use were not available for the non-monsoon seasons between Nov 2007 -
169 May 2008 and Nov 2015 - May 2016, this relationship was used to fill these pumping-data
170 gaps (Fig. 3b). In India, there is no regulation on groundwater abstraction. As a consequence,
171 farmers pumped when there is water in their wells (i.e. good recharge from the enough
172 rainfall) and reduce pumping when availability of water is low. Therefore, when there is
173 enough water, they can irrigate large scale areas, and when bore wells yields decrease, they
174 cultivate smaller areas. This is probably why there is this non-intuitive relationship between
175 groundwater pumping and rainfall. Figure 3c shows how pumping ratios for two non-
176 monsoon (dry) seasons (Nov 2013-May 2014 and Nov 2007-May 2008) vary at the

177 watershed scale. In most cells (each of 685 m × 685 m), the ratio is close to the mean
178 variation (1.5) at the watershed scale, suggesting that pumping variations between two
179 consecutive non-monsoon seasons are the same almost everywhere in the watershed. This
180 allows the use, reasonable at cell scale, of the empirical relationship defined on Figure 3a.
181 During monsoon (rainy) seasons, the mean pumping at watershed scale is about two-thirds of
182 that during non-monsoon seasons (Dewandel et al., 2010). This ratio was applied at cell scale
183 for the estimation of pumping during the June to October monsoon seasons from 2011 to
184 2015, because of the lack of both pumping and land-use data. Figure 3d shows the mean
185 groundwater abstraction spatially at watershed scale for all November-to-May non-monsoon
186 seasons between 2011 and 2016; abstraction varies from 0 (no irrigation) to 300 mm
187 (watershed mean: 80 mm). The water table elevation was also mapped (average of all non-
188 monsoon seasons) and was particularly affected by pumping.

189 **2.4 Irrigation return flow**

190 Irrigation return flow (IRF) was calculated based on the return flow coefficients of each crop
191 type ($IRF = Q/C_f$), Q and C_f are the groundwater abstraction rate and the return flow
192 coefficient, respectively. C_f values for each crop are documented in Dewandel et al., (2008)
193 and Maréchal et al. (2006), and are listed in Table 1. Each coefficient was applied to the
194 corresponding groundwater use, which allows for estimating net groundwater abstraction. An
195 IRF value of nil (IRF=0) was used for orchards because of the use of drip irrigation.

196 **2.5 Water level**

197 Recorded water-level measurements show well-identified seasonal fluctuations because of
198 water percolation during the monsoon through a thick unsaturated zone as well as small
199 fluctuations due to pumping cycles (e.g. Maréchal et al., 2006). The monsoon season, when
200 the water level may rise several metres due to natural recharge from rainfall, is followed by

201 the non-monsoon season when the water level drops due to intensive groundwater pumping
202 (Fig. 3b). Delay between the rainfall event and water level rise is generally few days or weeks
203 after the start of monsoon. However, here the model works at a seasonal time-step (Rabi &
204 Kharif), largely greater than usually used for hydrogeological timing.

205 The water-table elevation maps were based on groundwater-level measurements in 85
206 to 110 observation wells after monsoon seasons (November 2011 to November 2015) and at
207 the end of non-monsoon seasons (May 2011 till May 2016). The number of observation wells
208 are different because some wells have been abandoned with time. Water levels are deep (15-
209 17 m below ground level on average). They are mainly situated within the fractured layer,
210 more or less parallel to the topographic surface, and are severely impacted by pumping
211 (Fig. 3d). Water-table elevation maps from October 2011 to May 2016 (one per season; 10
212 maps) were produced with standard kriging techniques (variographic analysis and kriging for
213 data interpolation).

214 **METHODS**

215 **3.1 Groundwater budget**

216 The model is based on combining the water-table fluctuation method (WTF) and a
217 groundwater-budget technique (Maréchal et al., 2003, Dewandel et al., 2010). As the tool
218 focuses on groundwater flux only—it is based on groundwater budget—there is no need for
219 estimating recharge directly from rainfall, or from evapotranspiration. This is a serious
220 advantage when dealing with crystalline rock aquifers, particularly in semi-arid climates,
221 where such estimates can be a source of large uncertainty.

222 The basic groundwater-budget equation is (e.g. Schicht and Walton, 1961; Maréchal
223 et al., 2006):

$$224 \quad R + IRF + q_{in} = Q + E + q_{out} + q_{bf} + \Delta S \quad (1)$$

225 where R is total groundwater recharge, IRF irrigation return flow, q_{in} and q_{out} horizontal
 226 groundwater inflow and outflow, Q groundwater abstraction by pumping, E
 227 evaporation/evapotranspiration from the water table (Evaporation/Evapotranspiration from
 228 the bare or vegetated ground varied with different groundwater level; Zhang et al., 2019 &
 229 Shokri et al., 2011) , q_{bf} base flow (groundwater discharge to stream or spring), and ΔS
 230 change in groundwater storage. All budget component units are in millimetres (mm).

231 Groundwater storage is computed with the WTF method, which is the product of
 232 specific yield (S_y) and water-level fluctuation (Δh):

$$233 \quad \Delta S = S_y \times \Delta h \quad (2)$$

234 Some terms in Equation 1 can be neglected. As the water table depth increased,
 235 cumulative evaporation/evapotranspiration decreased (Zhang et al., 2019). A similar
 236 observation was made by Shokri et al., (2011) for porous media. Therefore, due to deep water
 237 levels in the area (on average 15-17 m), E is a very small component of the budget in the
 238 watershed, typically less than 1 mm/year (Maréchal et al., 2006; Dewandel et al., 2010) and
 239 can be neglected. In addition, the absence of perennial stream- and spring flow because of the
 240 disconnection between the water table and the drainage network, leads to nil q_{bf} . Therefore,
 241 Equation 1 can be simplified, and becomes:

$$242 \quad R + \text{IRF} + q_{in} = Q + \Delta S + q_{out} \quad (3)$$

243 Because water levels are controlled by the periodicity of the monsoon ($R = 0$ during
 244 non-monsoon (dry) season), Eq. 3 has been used twice in a hydrological year, during both
 245 monsoon (rainy- *Kharif*) and non-monsoon (dry- *Rabi*) seasons. In the non-monsoon (dry)
 246 season, recharge (R) is assumed nil, and combining Eq. 2 and 3 leads to estimation of the
 247 specific yield (Maréchal et al., 2006):

$$248 \quad S_y = (Q_{\text{Rabi}} - \text{IRF}_{\text{Rabi}} + q_{out} - q_{in}) / \Delta h_{\text{Rabi}} \quad (4)$$

249 3.2 Specific yield at a 2D scale

250 Maréchal et al. (2006) and Dewandel et al. (2010) estimated the specific yield (S_y) values at
251 the watershed scale of this area and found a global value of $1.4 \pm 0.3\%$. At watershed scale,
252 horizontal inflow (q_{in}) and outflow (q_{out}) are low (< 1 mm/y) and thus their balance can be
253 neglected ($q_{in} - q_{out} = 0$). However, for small cells (e.g. $100 \text{ m} \times 100 \text{ m}$), their balance cannot
254 be neglected as the radius of influence of a given pumping well will be larger than the size of
255 the cell. Therefore, for this example, assuming a nil $q_{in} - q_{out}$ will induce an overestimation of
256 S_y when using Eq. 4. Conversely, if the cell size is larger than the radius of influence of the
257 pumping, or a group of pumping wells, the pumped volume will be abstracted from this large
258 cell, and thus the S_y estimate will be correct. The approach proposed in Dewandel et al.
259 (2012) is similar to a coarse-graining method, which means that the system is observed with a
260 decreasing number of cells whose size is increasing. The principle of this method consists in
261 investigating how a groundwater budget may depend on cell size. Since the aquifer is heavily
262 pumped for irrigation, the main component of water flow (Q and IRF) is vertical, except near
263 the pumping wells where horizontal flow is not negligible (i.e. q_{in} and q_{out}). Thus, horizontal
264 flow may occur in a small cell, but should disappear or be counterbalanced as the cell size
265 increases toward a particular threshold, which depends upon the typical spacing between
266 pumping wells (or group of pumping wells), as well as on local aquifer properties. As Q -IRF
267 and Δh are known and ' $q_{in} - q_{out}$ ' (horizontal flow) is unknown, the aim of the method is to
268 optimize the cell size for which ' $q_{in} - q_{out}$ ' is negligible compared to vertical flow (i.e. Q and
269 IRF), by making a cluster of groundwater-budget computations using increasing cell sizes.
270 This threshold determines the minimum cell size from which a 2D specific yield field (map)
271 can be computed. For the study area, Dewandel et al. (2012) found that a realistic S_y map can
272 be computed from a cell-size higher than $520 \text{ m} \times 520 \text{ m}$. Accordingly, the aquifer can
273 reasonably be considered as a set of closed systems above this threshold cell size. They also

274 compared S_y values from computations on $650 \text{ m} \times 650 \text{ m}$ cells with those from $800 \text{ m} \times$
275 800 m and $1040 \text{ m} \times 1040 \text{ m}$ cell sizes. Except for a few cells, the difference was very small
276 and still in the range of standard deviations, which shows that the hypothesis of negligible
277 $q_{in}-q_{out}$ is valid for large cell sizes. These studies showed that size of the cell mainly depends
278 upon the aquifer properties and also on the spacing of the pumping wells. As both properties
279 do not change significantly during the period of analysis. This size is kept applicable too in
280 this study. Based on this result, a cell size of $685 \text{ m} \times 685 \text{ m}$ was chosen (number of cells in
281 the model: 113). Assuming a nil ' $q_{in}-q_{out}$ ' in Eq. 4, leads to:

$$282 \quad S_y = (Q_{Rabi} - IRF_{Rabi})/\Delta h_{Rabi} \quad (5)$$

283 All computations were performed on $685 \text{ m} \times 685 \text{ m}$ cell size, allowing for computing of 2D
284 S_y maps over the five non-monsoon seasons. However, maps are valid for the zone where the
285 water table fluctuates only.

286 **3.3 Specific yield at 3D scale**

287 Dewandel et al. (2017) proposed a method for regionalizing specific yield in three
288 dimensions (3D) from the 2D maps and geological information. Indeed, in the weathering
289 profile, the water table fluctuates not only locally within the saprolite layer, or at the top of
290 the fractured layer, but also deeper. Therefore, the previously estimated S_y values for each
291 cell are also a representative at a particular depth within the weathering profile. For
292 estimating the 3D specific yield field, the system was sliced horizontally along the saprolite
293 and fractured-layer interface (Dewandel et al., 2006, for the mapping of this interface). Depth
294 intervals were chosen every 5 m in the fractured layer except for the last layer, whose
295 thickness is defined according to bedrock depth. As the S_y values of each depth interval do
296 not cover the entire watershed, the data were subjected to statistical and variogram analyses,
297 and kriging was used to produce the S_y field for each depth interval. After that, the

298 aggregation of all depth intervals produces the 3D S_y field (maps of each layer are shown in
299 Figure-S2 of the electronic supplementary material (ESM)).

300 **3.4 Recharge**

301 Once the 3D S_y model is ready, the recharge can be computed using the groundwater-budget
302 component during the monsoon season and the following equation:

$$303 \quad R = Q_{\text{kharif}} - \text{IRF}_{\text{kharif}} + (S_{y_model} \times \Delta h_{\text{kharif}}) \quad (6)$$

304 where S_{y_model} is the specific yield value from the 3D S_y model (685 m x 685 m cell size)
305 according to the zone where the water level fluctuates (i.e. the elevation where Δh_{kharif}
306 occurs). Nil recharge was assigned to dry cells ($R=0$), but these are very few and at the rim of
307 the area. As this method considers the horizontal and vertical variations of S_y in the aquifer,
308 the method is more accurate than the classic WTF method, which usually assumes a constant
309 S_y value for the entire aquifer thickness.

310 A recharge model then was developed based on the five years of data. A linear
311 relationship between recharge and annual rainfall (Recharge = $a \times$ Rainfall + b ; where a and b
312 are constant) was established at watershed scale and linear to moderately linear at cell scale.
313 This model then was applied to rainfall values for the modelling of recharge over a longer
314 period.

315 **3.5 Computation of water levels**

316 After computation of both 3D S_y and the recharge model, water levels were computed for
317 each cell at seasonal time steps from October 2011 to May 2016, to reproduce the 10 water
318 table maps. The May-2011 water map was used as a starting point for the generation of water
319 level maps for the rest of the period. The computed water level h_{t+1} , at time $t+1$, i.e. that of the
320 next season, can be written as follows (Dewandel et al., 2010):

321 for $\Delta S \geq 0$:

$$322 \quad h_{t+1} = h_t + \frac{1}{S_{y_{i+1}}} [\Delta S_{t+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_{(i+1)} - h_t) S_{y_i} + (Y_{(i+1)} - Y_i) S_{y_i}] \quad (7)$$

323 and for $\Delta S < 0$:

$$324 \quad h_{t+1} = h_t + \frac{1}{S_{y_{i-1}}} [\Delta S_{t+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n (h_t - Y_i) S_{y_i} + (Y_i - Y_{(i-1)}) S_{y_i}] \quad (8)$$

325 where h_t is the water level at time t ; S_{y_i} the specific yield of discretized layer i deduced from
326 the 3D model; ΔS_{t+1} the storage variation at $t+1$; and Y_i the bottom of the discretized layer i .
327 Computed levels were compared with measured levels for evaluating the robustness of the
328 model from 2011 to 2016.

329 **4. RESULTS**

330 **4.1 Specific yield (S_y)**

331 **4.1.1 The 2D approach**

332 Figure S1 of the ESM presents the mean value of all five non-monsoon (dry) seasons for Q -
333 IRF and Δh on $685 \text{ m} \times 685 \text{ m}$ cell size. Mean Δh is 3.8 m and mean Q -IRF is 52 mm at
334 watershed scale for these non-monsoon (dry) seasons. Equation 5 was used for the estimation
335 of S_y for each non-monsoon season at cell scale for the zones where the water table
336 fluctuates. S_y values range within the watershed between 0.003 to 0.05, with an average of
337 0.014. The average value of S_y for Nov 2011-May 2012 is 0.012, 0.012 for Nov 2012-May
338 2013, 0.018 for Nov 2013-May 2014, 0.014 for Nov 2014-May 2015, and 0.014 for Nov
339 2015-May 2016.

340 Each value corresponds to a different depth location within the weathering profile
341 depending on the water-level fluctuations. These values agree with earlier results from this

342 area (S_y : 0.014, Maréchal et al., 2006 and Dewandel et al., 2010; S_y 0.008 to 0.028, average
343 0.015, Dewandel et al., 2012). A closely similar value of S_y (0.017) was recorded by
344 Chinnasamy et al. (2018) in the Dharta region of Rajasthan, India, which is underlain by
345 granitic and quartzitic aquifers.

346 **4.1.2 The 3D approach**

347 According to the described methodology, each S_y value of the five 2-D S_y maps was
348 associated with its location in the weathering profile. The five 2-D S_y maps show that about
349 20% of the S_y values fall in the saprolite layer and about 80% in the fractured layer because
350 water level barely rises to the saprolite layer. All levels were referenced according to the
351 interface between the saprolite and fractured layer. As the number of S_y values is low in the
352 saprolite layer, only one layer has been considered for it (-10-0 m; depth 0 is the
353 saprolite/fractured interface). For the fractured layer, the system was sliced into four depth
354 intervals, which are 0-5 m (85 data points out of 113 cells), 5-10 m (56 data points out of 113
355 cells), 10-15 m (43 data points out of 113 cells) and >15 m (13 data points out of 113 cells).
356 The S_y values in saprolite and the first three depth intervals of the fractured layer (0-5 to 10-
357 15 m) show normal to near normal distributions with average S_y values of: 0.019 ± 0.008 for
358 saprolite, 0.0147 ± 0.008 for the 0-5 m depth interval of the fractured layer, 0.0140 ± 0.007 for
359 the 5-10 m depth interval and 0.0108 ± 0.069 for the 10-15 m depth interval of the fractured
360 layer (Fig. 4). For the deepest fractured layer (>15 m), the S_y value does not follow the
361 normal distribution, probably because of the very low number (13) of data points, and these
362 do not allow a variographic analysis.

363 Except for the last depth-interval of the fractured layer, a variographic analysis was
364 made on each data set for evaluating the spatial dependency parameter prior to data
365 interpolation. This analysis shows nugget/sill ratios varying from 0 to 0.1 for the S_y values of

366 the saprolite layer and of the first three depth intervals of the fractured layer. These ratios
367 give information on the spatial dependency of the parameter (Ahmadi and Sedghamiz, 2007).
368 If the ratio is below 0.25, it suggests that the variable has a strong spatial dependency and if it
369 ranges from 0.25 to 0.75 it can be said that dependency is moderate to weak. In this case, S_y
370 thus has a strong spatial dependency for saprolite and until 15 m depth in the fractured layer.

371 Maps for the depth intervals -10-0, 0-5, 5-10 and 10-15 were established using kriging
372 techniques with respective variograms (see Figure S2 of the ESM). Mean S_y values were
373 0.0185 ± 0.0058 for the saprolite layer (mean depth -3.2 ± 2.56 m), 0.015 ± 0.007 for the 0-5 m
374 (mean depth 2.7 ± 1.37 m) interval, 0.013 ± 0.0056 for the 5-10 m (mean depth: 7.1 ± 1.48 m)
375 interval, and 0.010 ± 0.004 for the 10-15 m (mean depth: 12.3 ± 1.26 m) interval of the
376 fractured layer (Fig. 5). For the deepest interval of the fractured layer >15 m (mean depth
377 17.5 ± 1.9 m), the mean S_y value was 0.005 ± 0.0019 . The S_y value of the last depth interval of
378 the fractured layer (>15 m) is taken to be an average of the S_y (0.010) of depth interval 10-
379 15 m and that of bedrock ($S_y = 0$). Durand et al., (2017) also found that the saprolite layer is
380 about 2.5 times more capacitive than the fractured layer (Saprolite layer $S_y = \sim 10\%$ against
381 fractured layer $S_y = \sim 2\%$).

382 **4.2 Recharge**

383 Based on the 3-D S_y model, a spatial seasonal recharge model was computed using
384 Equation 6. The average recharge (from 2011 to 2015) was 28 mm for Jun 2011-Oct 2011,
385 60 mm for Jun 2012-Oct 2012, 199 mm for Jun 2013-Oct 2013, 16 mm for Jun 2014-Oct
386 2014 and 58 mm for Jun 2015-Oct 2015, with standard deviations due to its spatial variation
387 of 20 mm, 56 mm, 137 mm, 27 mm and 54 mm, respectively.

388 Based on the estimated recharge and annual rainfall of the area, a linear relationship
389 (Fig. 6) was defined at the watershed scale. This relationship is consistent with earlier studies

390 carried out in the area at watershed scale between 2001 and 2004, for a similar range of
391 annual rainfall (Maréchal et al., 2006; Dewandel et al., 2010). The relationship found is
392 $\text{Recharge} = 0.31 \times \text{Rain} - 152$, with a regression coefficient (R^2) of 0.93. It shows, at the
393 watershed scale, that a minimum of about 490 mm of rainfall is necessary to start recharge.

394 Watershed-scale recharge maps (Fig. 7) present a large spatial variability and a very
395 similar pattern in spite of the large range of annual recharge values, which cannot be
396 explained by rainfall variability. Indeed, it was shown that the area has no significant rainfall
397 variability at a seasonal scale (Condappa et al., 2005). This point will be discussed later.

398 At the cell scale, Figure 8 (histogram of R^2 , slope and intercept of rainfall/recharge
399 linear relationship) shows that the recharge estimates also correlate well with annual rainfall
400 at watershed scale (72% with $R^2 \geq 0.75$, and 83% with $R^2 \geq 0.50$). The slope of these linear
401 relationships ranges from 0.08 to 0.78 (average 0.31) and intercepts range between 10 and
402 480 (average 152.7). Some cells (~16% of the area) show a poor correlation because of the
403 lack of data, as the cells were dry during some seasons. The relatively good correlation at a
404 watershed scale between average annual rainfall and estimated recharge suggests that the
405 computed linear relationships at cell scale can be used for estimating future spatial recharge
406 from the rainfall model.

407 **4.3 Model validation**

408 Water levels were computed using Equations (7) and (8) at watershed scale and then
409 compared with existing water maps. Figure 9 shows computed vs. observed water-level data,
410 with a strong correlation coefficient for each of the ten seasonal maps ($R^2 > 0.97$). Absolute
411 residual means (ARM) varied between 0.006 and 4.04 m (average 1.17 m), and root mean
412 square error (RMSE) values were between 0.3 and 2.3 m (average 1.8 m). This result
413 demonstrates the robustness of the methods used for estimating the hydrogeological

414 parameters (S_y -porosity field, recharge model) and thus the robustness of the model. A spatial
415 ARM map (see Figures S3 of the ESM) shows that, except for a few zones with ARM >3 m
416 (~16% of the area), the ARM is below 2 m with an average of 1.17 m. This result is very
417 reasonable compared to the mean Δh during the measuring period (3.8 m). This also shows
418 the robustness of the model.

419 **4.4 Projection of future water levels**

420 Once the model was calibrated and validated, projections were generated of future water
421 levels that used different climatic and groundwater-management scenarios. The model was
422 linked with regional downscaled rainfall data (Vigaud et al., 2013). This downscaled rainfall
423 was calibrated for 1971-1999 and time-slice projections were generated from 2020 to 2039.
424 However, such downscaled rainfall projections were made at a 50 m \times 50 km scale, quite
425 different from local rainfall observations for the Maheshwaram area, itself only 53 km², even
426 if downscaled rainfall models were bias-corrected. To evaluate the impact of the error
427 introduced by downscaling, water levels were computed with both observed rainfall and
428 downscaled rainfall from 1990 to 1995 at watershed scale (See Figure S4 of the ESM). The
429 average difference of water levels was ± 2.6 m, suggesting that future scenarios not exceeding
430 this range can be considered as not significant.

431 For testing the impact of different management strategies on groundwater resources, a
432 climate impact scenario and a pumping impact scenario were generated. In the climate
433 scenario (Fig. 10, Scenarios 1 and 3), the study considered the impact of climate change and
434 constant groundwater abstraction on future (2020-2039) water levels. Scenario 1 shows the
435 impact of climate change on groundwater level without changing groundwater abstraction
436 patterns in the area (constant for all seasons). In this scenario, the consecutive bad monsoons
437 (less than average rainfall per year) projected in the first decade by Vigaud et al., (2013)

438 should lead to a strong depletion of water levels around 2025, after which the water level
439 should rise because of the higher frequency of good (above the average rainfall per year) to
440 average forecasted monsoons. Scenarios 2 and 3 are sensitivity tests on water levels based on
441 a 10% decrease (scenario 2) and a 10% increase (scenario 3) of the projected rainfall of
442 scenario 1. The resulting water-level trends are almost similar to those of scenario 1, but in
443 scenario 2 depletion will occur one or two years earlier compared to scenario 1. Scenario 4
444 shows the forecast water levels when considering groundwater abstraction according to
445 Fig. 3a and downscaled rainfall model. In this case, the water-level trend is similar to the
446 other scenarios, but higher groundwater abstraction will cause more depletion and vice versa.
447 Scenario 4 presents, according to author's knowledge, a realistic condition in terms of
448 groundwater pumping variation because here it was observed that the groundwater
449 abstraction pattern is varying based on the availability of groundwater. Farmers adapt to the
450 conditions and increase/decrease the land-use area according to groundwater resources.

451 **5. DISCUSSION**

452 **5.1 Specific yield (S_y)**

453 Comparison between S_y maps (see Figure-S2 of the ESM) and the geology of the area
454 (Fig. 1b) does not show any clear correlation. This indicates, as mentioned earlier (Dewandel
455 et al., 2012, 2017) that lateral S_y variations within the same geology (e.g. a granite) and
456 weathering profile are more important than the variability in S_y between rocks of similar
457 mineralogy (e.g. various granites, gneisses) exposed to the same weathering processes.

458 Based on the 3D S_y model, mean S_y values from each depth interval were computed
459 (Fig. 5). This shows that the higher S_y value occurs in the saprolite layer, with a value of
460 1.8% (± 0.0058), which is consistent with values commonly found in the literature (Bodelle
461 and Margat 1980; Compaore et al., 1997, Wyns et al., 2004; Dewandel et al 2017; Durand et

462 al., 2017). Deeper down, in the fractured layer, S_y values gently decrease, from 1.5% at the
463 top to 0.5% in the 15-20 m depth interval. A similar result was found in Dewandel et al.
464 (2017), in other granitic aquifers of India.

465 S_y uncertainty introduced by field parameters has not been estimated in 3-D because
466 its computation requires a lot of data. However, this exercise was performed in previous
467 work (Dewandel et al., 2012) on the same aquifer in 2-D where the maximum expected
468 uncertainty was estimated as 0.014 on average. Figure 5 (S_y vs depth) shows that S_y values
469 and depth variation is in agreement with previous studies on different terrains of granitic
470 aquifer (Dewandel et al., 2018), and Figure 9 (Calculated vs Observed water level elevation
471 for each season) demonstrates that computed water level elevations (which requires S_y
472 values) agree with observed water level elevations. Thus, even if one cannot produce a clear
473 estimate of error in S_y , outcomes are coherent and suggest low error values or a reasonable
474 error. In addition, S_y values estimated in the fractured layer (0.008 mean) agree with S_y
475 deduced from the pumping test performed in the area (0.0063; Marechal et al., 2004).

476 Comparing S_y values with hydraulic conductivity in the same watershed shows (Dewandel et
477 al., 2012) that an area of low conductivity more or less coincides with low S_y values and vice
478 versa (Dewandel et al., 2012). This coincidence, which was also noted by earlier studies (e.g.
479 Illman and Tartakovsky, 2006) is probably not an artefact because, in fractured media, S_y
480 primarily depends on the density of productive fractures as well as on low-permeability, but
481 porous associated weathering products.

482 In the fractured zone of the weathering profile, hydraulic conductivity and specific
483 yield primarily depend on the degree of weathering and thus on fracture density, which itself
484 decreases with depth (Acworth, 1987; Wyns et al., 1999; Maréchal et al., 2004; Dewandel et
485 al., 2006, 2010). The fracture density and hydraulic conductivity of conductive fracture zones

486 (K_{cfz}) were investigated with flow-meter measurements in 19 wells (Dewandel et al.,2006),
487 which identified the depth location of hydraulically conductive fractures (Fig. 11).The
488 authors showed that K_{cfz} does not depend on depth (Fig. 11d), but that equivalent hydraulic
489 conductivity (K_{eq} , the product of K_{cfz} with fracture density) decreases with depth because of a
490 decrease in fracture density (Fig. 11a and b).

491 Comparison between S_y (Fig. 5) and fracture density (df; Fig. 11 a) in the fractured
492 layer shows a clear linear relationship ($S_y = 0.063 \times df + 0.0004$; see Figure S5 of the ESM).
493 Considering a basic system composed of planar fractures, it is easy to show that the specific
494 yield (S_y) can be expressed as a function of aperture (e_f), and fracture density ($S_y = e_f \times df$).

495 This means that, on average, fracture aperture (e_f) is about 6 cm, which seems to be a
496 realistic value even if it is a probable underestimation because the porous weathering product
497 around fractures is not considered. This fairly good relationship also shows that S_y decreases
498 with depth because of the decrease in fracture density but not because of a decrease in
499 hydraulic conductivity of individual fracture zones (K_{cfz}).

500 **5.2 Recharge**

501 Estimated values of recharge at the watershed scale agree with previous results (Fig. 6;
502 Maréchal et al., 2006; Dewandel et al., 2010). The found linear relationship at watershed
503 scale is also consistent with other studies carried out in granitic areas of South India, which
504 use tritium as recharge tracer (Sukhija et al., 1996; Rangarajan and Athavale, 2000).
505 However, such a local approach, gives only the minimum recharge ('direct' recharge) and
506 does not take account of both 'indirect' recharge, the percolation through rivers and tank
507 beds, and 'localized' recharge through local geological or topographic variations (Lerner et
508 al., 1990; Maréchal et al., 2006). This is why values from the model are higher.

509 Spatial variability of groundwater recharge is discussed by several authors (Alcalá et
510 al., 2014; Cook et al., 1989; Allison, 1987; Johnston, 1987). Allison and Hughes (1978)
511 found considerable variation in recharge rate from one soil type to the next, but less variation
512 within a soil type. Johnston (1987) found varying recharge rate associated with a
513 discontinuity in the regolith. Welling and Cooper (1983) discussed the recharge variability
514 related to different geology and climate. Montety et al., (2019) described the understanding of
515 recharge processes through long term monitoring of dissolved gases in a shallow crystalline
516 aquifer.

517 The spatial distribution of recharge in the Maheshwaram watershed is characterized
518 by a large spatial variability (Fig. 7), but shows a very interesting similar pattern from one
519 year to another, despite of its important mean annual variation (from 16 to 199 mm/y).
520 Recharge is higher in the western part than in the eastern part of the watershed and tends to
521 be higher near streams.

522 The spatial variability of the recharge can be due to rainfall, land use, soil spatial
523 variability, and/or spatial variability of geomorphology and geology, particularly the
524 geometry of the weathering profile (Harte and Winter, 1996, Nolan et al., 2007, Prasmma et
525 al., 2008). As mentioned earlier, the spatial variability of rainfall alone cannot explain the
526 spatial variability of recharge (Condappa et al., 2005). The recharge maps suggest, as a first
527 point, that recharge is higher in valley areas (Fig. 7), whereas other parameters like soil,
528 geology and weathering-profile thickness seem to be of secondary importance. A Box and
529 Whisker plot (Fig. 12b) shows that more recharge occurs in Alfisol soil type followed by
530 Alfisol+Entisol (both are present within a same cell of the model),
531 Alfisol+Entisol+Inceptisol, Entisol and Inceptisol. Mean particle-size fractions for the
532 watershed soils show that clay, silt and sand percentages are 19%, 12% and 69% in Alfisol,
533 and 22%, 21% and 56% in Entisol, respectively (Condappa et al., 2006). The higher mean

534 recharge in Alfisol as compared to Entisol in the watershed is probably because the soil
535 profile of Entisol contains more silt than that of Alfisol, offering a soil, less appropriated to
536 percolation and thus recharge. It is not clear why Inceptisols have a lower recharge; it may be
537 due to their location ‘far’ from valleys and their silty fraction (>40%; USDA, 1999). Entisols
538 are more dominant in streams but this does not seem to favour recharge. However, at this
539 stage one cannot be certain that recharge is higher because of the presence of Alfisol, or
540 because of a higher recharge in valley areas where surficial fluxes concentrate. So-called
541 ‘tanks’ (artificial ponds, Entisol) also show a high mean recharge, showing that such
542 structures contribute locally to favour recharge (Boisson et al., 2014). Moreover, a recent
543 study on artificial recharge processes in fractured crystalline environments highlighted that
544 the lateral transmission of recharge reaching the aquifer is ensured mainly by the
545 saprolite/fractured interface (Nicolas et al., 2019).

546 **5.3 Projection of future water levels**

547 **5.3.1 Impact of climate change**

548 The model allows for groundwater-level forecast maps for different scenarios that determine
549 which areas will be more exposed to drought conditions (Scenarios 1 to 3; Fig.13). It shows
550 that severe water-level lowering should occur in the next decade in spite of an unchanged
551 pumping scenario. During the three projected (2024-2026) consecutive bad monsoons (less
552 than average rainfall per year), almost all of the watershed (>93%) will be exposed to drought
553 (Fig. 13a). If the projected downscaled rainfall is 10% more (Scenario 3; Fig. 13c), about
554 33% of the aquifer will be still saturated, but mainly where the aquifer is the thickest.
555 However, with an increase in the frequency of good monsoons (above the average rainfall per
556 year) and a lower frequency of bad monsoons in the second decade (>2030), all of the aquifer

557 should be saturated with water (Fig. 13d), thus, according to the proposed scenario,
558 alleviating the problem of drought in this area.

559 These groundwater level simulations suggest that the agricultural economy would
560 potentially suffer more during the next decade because of higher frequency of consecutive
561 bad monsoons, than during the second decade because of the decrease in the frequency of bad
562 monsoons.

563 **5.3.2 Changing pumping rates**

564 The forecasted water-table elevations for June-2025 (Scenario 4; Fig. 10) present similar
565 information in the maps of Figs. 13a, b and c. Even though the predictive rainfall models
566 forecast an increase in rainfall for the decade 2030-2039, the tested scenarios 1 to 4 show that
567 the area should be exposed to severe groundwater depletion during 2020-2030 because of the
568 possible occurrence of two or three consecutive low monsoons. Therefore, in the short term,
569 groundwater-resource management measures are needed to limit the negative climatic impact
570 on the farming economy. This could be done through maximizing surface-water usage (direct
571 use from tanks and favouring the cultivation of rain-fed crops; Perrin et al., 2012), through
572 preserving and managing the artificial recharge structures (i.e., tanks; Boisson et al., 2014),
573 and through the preservation of groundwater reserves to be used as a supplementary resource
574 during dry years. This would, however, require strict demand-management measures (less
575 pumping during dry years) and community-based water resource management.

576 **6. CONCLUSIONS**

577 The developed 2-D spatial scale hydrogeological model is based on groundwater-budget and
578 water-table-fluctuation (WTF) methods, and on an upscaling method for regionalizing aquifer
579 parameters (3D S_y model and recharge). This procedure is more accurate than the classic
580 WTF method, which usually assumes a constant S_y value for the entire aquifer. Indeed, the

581 model estimates the 3D distribution of S_y , which allows computing reliable 2D recharge
582 values as well as projections of future groundwater levels. Model results, particularly 3-D S_y
583 and 2-D recharge, can be used and/or to complement numerical models such as MODFLOW
584 and MARHE (Thiéry, 1990 and 2018). However, these last models require —among others—
585 a hydraulic-conductivity field, which is a topic of large uncertainty in crystalline aquifers, but
586 not necessary in this model.

587 The cell size from which computations can be performed depends upon the location
588 of pumping wells and the aquifer properties. This cell size, for which horizontal in- and out-
589 flow from the aquifer (or their difference) can be neglected compared to vertical flux (i.e.,
590 pumping and recharge), must be known before using the model (Dewandel et al, 2012, 2017).
591 Here, the computations performed on the Maheshwaram 53 km² watershed were done on a
592 grid with 685x685 m cell size according to previous results.

593 The model is applicable in unconfined aquifers and can be used in other geological
594 settings, like basaltic or alluvial aquifers, but aquifers should be significantly pumped to
595 allow a relevant evaluation of the minimum cell size to be used for computations and thus
596 proper estimates of aquifer parameters (S_y and recharge). Also, the model requires a good
597 knowledge of water-table conditions, aquifer geometry and groundwater abstraction.

598 The ability to produce spatial recharge maps constrained by aquifer properties bring a new
599 insight to improve groundwater management policies and help the decision makers. They
600 may suggest the right areas to farmers for growing high water-consuming agricultural crops,
601 or for identifying the areas vulnerable to water scarcity due to over-pumping and low
602 recharge, or for preserving or favouring aquifer recharge. For the Maheshwaram area, the
603 resulting maps show that the western part of the watershed receives more recharge than the
604 eastern part, suggesting that these two areas cannot support the same rate of pumping and

605 should be managed differently. The resulting recharge maps also reveal a strong spatial
606 variability, with similar patterns despite the amount of annual recharge. This variability is
607 still not well understood and may have many causes among soil properties, topography etc.
608 This has very recently been discussed in a PhD thesis (Nicolas, 2019) using an unsaturated
609 flow model. This is foreseen to be the topic of another paper.

610 Climatic scenarios were tested according to downscaled rainfall from climate model
611 simulations. The results show severe aquifer depletion for the next decade, but stable water
612 levels after 2030, because of a lower frequency of bad monsoons. These results show that the
613 government and farmers should start to implement schemes or programs in order to prevent
614 this depletion of the water level. Alternatives like crop pattern adaptations or stronger control
615 on borewell/groundwater extraction etc. should be considered for the near future.

616 Even if the model has been successfully tested on a small watershed, it is expected to
617 be tested and used in a larger area ($>1000 \text{ km}^2$), at a scale more adapted to groundwater
618 management and policies. Here, the model was tested on a small watershed because of the
619 availability of significant data (e.g. detailed piezometric maps, groundwater withdrawn from
620 borewells etc. and published works since 2000). This allowed for the testing of the sensitivity
621 of the tool to this large data set. It is believed that such a tool could be used on a larger scale
622 if appropriate data are available. For instance, 3-D estimates of effective porosity have been
623 carried out on such large scale on different granite terrain of India (area $> 700 \text{ km}^2$ Dewandel
624 et al., 2017). This study is the beginning, and further refinements will be required on spatial
625 and temporal scales so that it will become a tool for unique decision making. For example,
626 the variation of groundwater pumping could be refined by using an almost real-time
627 estimation of the irrigated areas from satellite data (Ferrant et al., 2017).

628 **Acknowledgments**

629 The authors are very grateful to CEFIPRA New Delhi, DST India, the French Embassy India,
630 CSIR-NGRI and BRGM, Montpellier. The present research was carried out under a Raman-
631 Charpak fellowship supported by DST India and the French Embassy India. The authors are
632 very thankful to the three anonymous reviewers and an Editor for their fruitful comments and
633 suggestions, which has improved the quality of the manuscript. The first author wishes to
634 thank the Director-of NGRI for the permission for publication. We thank Dr. H.M. Kluijver
635 for revising the English text of this paper and Sue Duncan for the technical advice.

636 REFERENCES

- 667
668 Acworth, R.I. (1987). The development of crystalline basement aquifers in a tropical
669 environment. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 20, 265-272.
670
671 Ahmadi, S.H. and Sedghamiz, A. (2007) Geostatistical Analysis of Spatial and Temporal
672 Variations of Groundwater Level. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 129, 277–
673 294.
674
675 Alcalá, F. J., & Custodio, E. (2014). Spatial average aquifer recharge through atmospheric
676 chloride mass balance and its uncertainty in continental Spain. *Hydrological*
677 *Processes*, 28(2), 218-236.
678
679 Allison, G. B. (1988). A review of some of the physical, chemical and isotopic techniques
680 available for estimating groundwater recharge. In *Estimation of natural groundwater*
681 *recharge* (pp. 49-72). Springer, Dordrecht.
682
683 Allison, G. B., & Hughes, M. W. (1978). The use of environmental chloride and tritium to
684 estimate total recharge to an unconfined aquifer. *Soil Research*, 16(2), 181-195.
685
686 Bodelle, J. & Margat, J. (1980). L'eau Souterraine en France (Underground water in
687 France). Masson.
688
689 Boisson, A., Baisset, M., Alazard, M., Perrin, J., Villesseche, D., Dewandel, B. & Ahmed,
690 S. (2014). Comparison of surface and groundwater balance approaches in the evaluation of
691 managed aquifer recharge structures: Case of a percolation tank in a crystalline aquifer in
692 India. *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, 1620-1633.
693
694 Chilton, P.J. & Foster, S.S.D. (1995). Hydrogeological characterization and water-supply
695 potential of basement aquifers in tropical Africa. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 3(1), 36-49.
696

697 Chinnasamy, P., Maheshwari, B., Dillon, P., Purohit, R., Dashora, Y., Soni, P. & Dashora,
698 R. (2018). Estimation of specific yield using water table fluctuations and cropped area in a
699 hardrock aquifer system of Rajasthan, India. *Agricultural Water Management*, 202, 146-
700 155.

701 Compaore, G., Lachassagne, P., Pointet, T., Travi, Y., 1997. Évaluation du stock d'eau des
702 altérites. Expérimentation sur le site granitique de Sanon (Burkina-Faso) (Assessment of the
703 water supply of alterites. Experimentation on the granitic site of Sanon (Burkina-Faso)). In:
704 *Rabat IASH Conference, IASH*, vol. 241, pp. 37–46.

705

706

707 Cook, P. G., Walker, G. R., & Jolly, I. D. (1989). Spatial variability of groundwater
708 recharge in a semiarid region. *Journal of hydrology*, 111(1-4), 195-212.

709 Courtois, N., Lachassagne, P., Wyns, R., Blanchin, R., Bougaïré, F.D., Somé, S. &
710 Tapsoba, A. (2010). Large- Scale Mapping of Hard- Rock Aquifer Properties Applied to
711 Burkina Faso. *Groundwater*, 48, 269-283.

712 Courtois, N., Lachassagne, P., Wyns, R., Blanchin, R., Some, S., Tapsoba, A. & Bougaïre,
713 F.D. (2008). Experimental GIS hydrogeological mapping of hard-rock aquifers in Burkina
714 Faso, to help for groundwater management and planning. Poster at International Conference
715 'Groundwater and Climate in Africa', June 24–28, Kampala, Uganda.

716

717 De Condappa, D. (2005). Study of the spatialized water flow processes of the vadose zone
718 of hard-rock aquifers. Implementation for the evaluation of the recharge at the watershed
719 scale, Maheswharam watershed, Andhra Pradesh, India. *PhD Thesis, University of*
720 *Grenoble, France*.

721

722 De Condappa, D., Galle. S., Dewandel. B., & Haverkamp, R. (2006). Bimodal zone of the
723 soil textural triangle: Common in tropical and subtropical regions. *Soil Physics*, SSSAJ:
724 Volume 72: Number 1. doi:10.2136/sssaj2006.0343

725

726 De Montety, V., Aquilina, L., Labasque, T., Chatton, E., Fovet, O., Ruiz, L., Fourre, E., De Dreuzy, J.
727 R. (2018). Recharge processes and vertical transfer investigated through long-term monitoring of
728 dissolved gases in shallow groundwater. *Journal of Hydrology*, 560, 275-288.

729

730 Dewandel, B., Caballero, Y., Perrin, J., Boisson, A., Dazin, F., Ferrant, S. & Maréchal, J.C.
731 (2017). A methodology for regionalizing 3- D specific yield at the watershed scale in
732 crystalline aquifers. *Hydrological Processes*, 31, 2277-2295.

733

734 Dewandel, B., Maréchal, J.C., Bour, O., Ladouche, B., Ahmed, S., Chandra, S., & Pauwels,
735 H. (2012). Upscaling and regionalizing hydraulic conductivity and specific yield at the
736 watershed scale in deeply weathered crystalline aquifers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 416, 83-97.

737 Dewandel, B., Perrin, J., Ahmed, S., Aulong, S., Hrkal, Z., Lachassagne, P., & Massuel, S.
738 (2010). Development of a tool for managing groundwater resources in semi- arid hard rock
739 regions: application to a rural watershed in South India. *Hydrological Processes*, 24, 2784-
740 2797.

741 Dewandel, B., Gandolfi, J. M., De Condappa, D. & Ahmed, S. (2008). An efficient
742 methodology for estimating irrigation return flow coefficients of irrigated crops at
743 watershed and seasonal scale. *Hydrological Processes*, 22, 1700-1712.
744

745 Dewandel, B., Lachassagne, P., Wyns, R., Maréchal, J.C. & Krishnamurthy, N. S. (2006). A
746 generalized 3-D geological and hydrogeological conceptual model of granite aquifers
747 controlled by single or multiphase weathering. *Journal of Hydrology*, 330, 260-284.

748 Durand, V., Léonardi, V., De Marsily, G. & Lachassagne, P. (2017). Quantification of the
749 specific yield in a two-layer hard-rock aquifer model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 551, 328-339.

750 Ferrant, S., Selles, A., Le Page, M., Herrault, P.A., Pelletier, C., Al-Bitar, A. & Dewandel,
751 B. (2017). Detection of Irrigated Crops from Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 Data to Estimate
752 Seasonal Groundwater Use in South India. *Remote Sensing*, 9, 1119.

753 Harte, P.T., Winter, T.C., 1996. Factors affecting recharge to crystalline rock in the
754 MirrorLakeArea,Grafton County,NewHampshire.In:U.S.G.S. ToxicSubstances Hydrology
755 Program – Proceedings of the Technical Meeting, Colorado Springs, Colorado, September
756 20–24, 1993, Water-Resources Investigations Report 944015.
757

758 Ilman, W.E. & Tartakovsky, D.M., 2006. Asymptotic analysis of cross-hole hydraulic test
759 in fractured granite. *Groundwater*, 44, 555-563.
760

761 Indian Census (2011) Total Population of Maheshwaram mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
762 [https://www.censusindia.co.in/subdistrict/Maheshwaram-mandal-rangareddy-andhra-](https://www.censusindia.co.in/subdistrict/Maheshwaram-mandal-rangareddy-andhra-pradesh-4544)
763 [pradesh-4544](https://www.censusindia.co.in/subdistrict/Maheshwaram-mandal-rangareddy-andhra-pradesh-4544). Accessed September 2008
764

765 Johnston, C. D. (1987). Preferred water flow and localised recharge in a variable
766 regolith. *Journal of Hydrology*, 94(1-2), 129-142.
767

768 Krásný, J. & Sharp Jr, J.M. (2007). Hydrogeology of fractured rocks from particular
769 fractures to regional approaches: State-of-the-art and future challenges. *Groundwater of*
770 *Fractured Rocks. Taylor & Francis Group*, 1-32.
771

772 Lachassagne, P., Wyns, R., & Dewandel, B. (2011). The fracture permeability of hard rock
773 aquifers is due neither to tectonics, nor to unloading, but to weathering processes. *Terra*
774 *Nova*, 23, 145-161.
775

791 Lerner, D.N., Issar, A.S. & Simmers, I. (1990). *Groundwater Recharge: A Guide to*
792 *Understanding and Estimating Natural Recharge*, Vol. 8, 99-228. Hannover: Heise.
793
794

795 Maréchal, J.C., Dewandel, B., Ahmed, S. & Lachassagne, P. (2007). Hard rock aquifers
796 characterization prior to modelling at catchment scale: an application to India. *Groundwater*
797 *in fractured rocks, IAH Selected Papers*, 9, 1-30.
798

799 Maréchal, J.C., Dewandel, B., Ahmed, S., Galeazzi, L. & Zaidi, F.K. (2006). Combining the
800 groundwater budget and water table fluctuation methods to estimate specific yield and
801 natural recharge. *J Hydrol*, 329, 281-293.
802

803 Maréchal, J.C., Dewandel, B. & Subrahmanyam, K. (2004). Use of hydraulic tests at
804 different
805 scales to characterize fracture network properties in the weathered- fractured layer of a hard
806 rock aquifer. *Water Resources Research*, 40, W11508.
807

808 Maréchal, J.C., Galeazzi, L., Dewandel, B., & Ahmed, S. (2003). Importance of irrigation
809 return flow on the groundwater budget of a rural basin in India. *International Association of*
810 *Hydrological Sciences, Publication*, 278, 62-67.
811

812 Mizan, S.A., Ahmed, S. & Selles, A. (2019) Spatial estimation of groundwater storage
813 from a 2D specific yield in the crystalline aquifer of the Maheshwaram watershed. *J Earth*
814 *Syst Sci* (2019) 128: 185. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-019-1218-2>.

815 Nicolas, M., Bour, O., Selles, A., Dewandel, B., Bailly-Comte, V., Chandra, S., Ahmed, S., &
816 Maréchal, J. C. (2019). Managed Aquifer Recharge in fractured crystalline rock aquifers: impact of
817 horizontal preferential flow on recharge dynamics. *Journal of Hydrology*, 573, 717-732.
818
819

820 Nicolas, M. (2019). Impact of heterogeneity on natural and managed aquifer recharge in weathered
821 fractured crystalline rock aquifers – Insights from two instrumented sites at different scales (south
822 India). *PhD Thesis, Université Rennes 1*.
823
824

825 Nolan, B. T., Healy, R. W., Taber, P. E., Perkins, K., Hitt, K. J., & Wolock, D. M. (2007).
826 Factors influencing ground-water recharge in the eastern United States. *Journal of*
827 *Hydrology*, 332(1-2), 187-205.
828

829 Omorinbola, E.O. (1983). Shallow seismic investigation for location and evaluation of
830 groundwater reserves in the weathered mantles of the Basement Complex in southwestern
831 Nigeria. *Geoexploration*, 21, 73-86.
832

833 Omorinbola, E.O. (1982). Verification of some geohydrological implications of deep
834 weathering in the Basement Complex of Nigeria. *Journal of Hydrology*, 56, 347-368.
835

836 Owoade, A. (1995). The potential for minimizing drawdowns in groundwater wells in
837 tropical aquifers. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 20, 289-293.
838

839 Perrin, J., Ferrant, S., Massuel, S., Dewandel, B., Maréchal, J.C., Aulong, S. & Ahmed, S.
840 (2012). Assessing water availability in a semi-arid watershed of southern India using a
841 semi-distributed model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 460, 143-155.
842

843 Praamsma, T., Novakowski, K., Kyser, K., & Hall, K. (2009). Using stable isotopes and
844 hydraulic head data to investigate groundwater recharge and discharge in a fractured rock
845 aquifer. *Journal of Hydrology*, 366(1-4), 35-45.
846

847 Rangarajan R, Athavale RN. 2000. Annual replenishable ground water potential of India—
848 an estimate based on injected tritium studies. *Journal of Hydrology* 234: 38–53.
849
850

851 Schicht, R.J. & Walton, W.C. (1961). *Hydrologic budgets for three small watersheds in*
852 *Illinois. Illinois state water survey, Report of investigation, 40, 40.*
853

854 Shah, T. (2007). The groundwater economy of South Asia: an assessment of size,
855 significance and socio-ecological impacts. *The Agricultural Groundwater Revolution:*
856 *Opportunities and Threats to Development.*
857 <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/158009/2/H039669.pdf>.

858 Shokri, N., & Salvucci, G. D. (2011). Evaporation from porous media in the presence of a water
859 table. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 10(4), 1309-1318.

860 Sukhija, B.S., Nagabhushanam, P. & Reddy, D.V. (1996). Groundwater recharge in semi-
861 arid regions of India: an overview of results obtained using tracers. *Hydrogeology Journal*,
862 4, 50-71.
863

864 Taylor, R. & Howard, K. (2000). A tectono-geomorphic model of the hydrogeology of
865 deeply weathered crystalline rock: evidence from Uganda. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 8, 279-
866 294.
867

868 Thiéry, D., Amraoui, N. & Noyer, M.L. (2018). Modeling flow and heat transfer through
869 unsaturated chalk—Validation with experimental data from the ground surface to the aquifer.
870 *Journal of Hydrology*, 556, 660-673.
871

872 Thiéry, D. (1990). Logiciel MARTHE. Modélisation d’Aquifère par un maillage
873 Rectangulaire en régime Transitoire pour le calcul hydrodynamique des écoulements,
874 version 4.3.(Aquifer modeling with a transient rectangular mesh for the hydrodynamic flow
875 calculation, version 4.3.) *BRGM Report, 4S/EAU R32210*, BRGM, Orleans, France.
876

877 USDA (1999) Soil taxonomy, A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil
878 surveys. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051232.pdf.

879 Vigaud, N., Vrac, M. & Caballero, Y. (2013). Probabilistic downscaling of GCM scenario
880 over southern India. *International Journal of climatology*, 33:1248-1263
881
882

883 Wellings, S. R., & Cooper, J. D. (1983). The variability of recharge of the English Chalk
884 aquifer. *Agricultural Water Management (Netherlands)*.
885

886 Wright, E.P. (1992). The hydrogeology of crystalline basement aquifers in Africa.
887 *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 66, 1-27.
888

889 Wyns, R., Gourry, J.C., Baltassat, J.M. & Lebert, F. (1999, September). Caractérisation
890 multiparamètres des horizons de subsurface (0–100 m) en contexte de socle altéré
891 (Multiparameter characterization of subsurface horizons (0-100 m) in an altered basement
892 context). In *2e Colloque GEOFCAN, BRGM, IRD, UPMC* (pp. 105-110).
893

894 Wyns, R., Baltassat, J.M., Lachassagne, P., Legchenko, A., Vairon, J., & Mathieu, F.
895 (2004). Application of SNMR soundings for groundwater reserves mapping in weathered
896 basement rocks, Brittany, France, *Bull. Soc. Géol. France*, 175, 21-34.

897 Zhang, Z., Wang, W., Gong, C., Wang, Z., Duan, L., Yeh, T. C. J., & Yu, P. Evaporation from
898 seasonally frozen bare and vegetated ground at various groundwater table depths in the Ordos
899 Basin, Northwest China. *Hydrological Processes*.

900

901 **Figure captions**

902

903 Figure 1. a,b. Location, geological map (IFP: Indo-French piezometer) and **c** topographic elevation
904 map (masl: metre above sea level) of the study area (Maheshwaram watershed; 53 km²).

905 Figure 2. Weathering profile of the Maheshwaram area (modified after Dewandel et al., 2006).

906 Figure 3. (a) Empirical linear relation between pumping (Q) and rainfall at watershed scale. (b)
907 Total estimated pumping (Q) with average water level (masl: metres above sea level) at watershed
908 scale. (c) Histogram of pumping ratio for Nov13-May14 dry and Nov07-May08 dry at cell size. (d)
909 Mean water level elevation map (red cross mark is showing the observation well), with average
910 groundwater abstraction (mm/season, blue dots) of all years at 685×685 scale.

911

912 Figure 4. (a) Histograms and (b) variogram analyses for estimated specific yield (S_y) values for each
913 depth interval of saprolite and fissured layer.

914

915 Figure 5. Average vertical S_y variations in the weathering profile at watershed scale.

916

917 Figure 6. Rainfall-recharge relationship at watershed scale and plotted recharge trend from
918 Dewandel et al. (2010).

919

920 Figure 7. Spatial distribution of total average (Avg.) recharge of Maheshwaram watershed at
921 685×685 m cell size for years 2011 to 2015.

922 Figure 8. Linear relationships of rainfall-recharge at watershed scale (685 m × 685 m) with respect to
923 (a) slope and (b) intercept. (c) Histogram showing rainfall-recharge correlation coefficient.

924 Figure 9. Calculated vs. Observed groundwater level elevation (Head) for each season.

925

926 Figure 10. Calibrated water level (WL) from 2011 to 2016, and projected water level from 2020 to
927 2039 with climate and groundwater abstraction variability.

928

929 Figure 11. Interpretation of flowmeter measurements (19 wells) according to the saprolite-fractured
 930 layer interface (modified from Dewandel et al., 2006). (a) Fracture density; (b) Equivalent hydraulic
 931 conductivity of weathering profile (c) Hydraulic conductivity of conductive fracture zone (K_{cfz});
 932 (d) Quality of observation expressed as the ratio between available observations for each aquifer
 933 zone and total 19 flowmeter tests. Data are integrated every 5 m.

934

935 Figure 12. (a) Soil map of Maheshwaram watershed (modified from Condappa 2005) (b) Box and
 936 Whisker plots for recharge distribution among soils within the watershed.

937

938 Figure 13. Water-level elevation map. (a) Scenario 1, consecutive bad monsoons (June 2025) (b)
 939 Scenario 2, 10% decrease in rainfall (June 2025), (c) Scenario 3, 10% increase in rainfall, (d) Scenario
 940 1, frequent good monsoon (June 2031).

941 **Table**

942 Table 1. Irrigation return flow coefficient (from Dewandel et al. 2008; Maréchal
 943 et al., 2006)

944

Land use	Return flow coefficient: Rabi	Return flow coefficient: Kharif
Rice	0.48	0.51
Vegetables	0.24	0.26
Fruits	0	0
Domestic	0.2	0.2

945 **Note.** Rabi: dry season; Kharif: rainy season.

946


947

948 **Figure 1.**

949

950


951



952

953 **Figure 2.**


954



955

956 **Figure 3.**


957




958

959 **Figure 4.**

960



961




(b)

962

963 **Figure 5.**


964



965

966 Figure 6.


967



968

969 **Figure 7.**


970



971


972 **Figure 8.**

973



974


975 **Figure 9.**



976


977 **Figure 10.**

978



979


980 **Figure 11.**



982

983 **Figure 12.**


984



985

986 **Figure 13.**

987




988

989

990 Supplemental figures

991



992


993

994

995 Figure S1. Average water table fluctuation map for all years (85 observation wells). Aggregation of Q-

996 IRF on grid with 685x685 m cells shown as dot-proportional (0.05 inch) values.

997



998
 999 Figure S2. Sy vs. depth intervals in weathering profile for the Maheshwaram watershed (one for
 1000 saprolite layer and four for the fractured layer).

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008


1009

1010

1011

1012


1013



1014 Figure S3. Average spatial map of absolute residual difference of piezometric level for all
 1015 years.

1016

1017




1018

1019

Figure S4. Calibration of the Vigaud et al. (2013) downscaled rainfall.

1020



1021

1022

Figure S5. Linear relation between S_y and fracture density (df).

1023

1024

1025

1026