

How can process simulation be used to get more reliable data for LCA of mining emerging technologies?

Stephanie Muller, Jérôme Bodin, Juliana Segura Salazar, Jacques Villeneuve

▶ To cite this version:

Stephanie Muller, Jérôme Bodin, Juliana Segura Salazar, Jacques Villeneuve. How can process simulation be used to get more reliable data for LCA of mining emerging technologies?. SETAC Europe 29th Annual Meeting, May 2019, Helsinki, Finland. hal-02155696

HAL Id: hal-02155696 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-02155696

Submitted on 13 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. How can process simulation be used to get more reliable data for LCA of mining emerging technologies?

S. Muller¹, J. Bodin¹, J. Segura Salazar², J. Villeneuve¹

SETAC Europe 29th annual meeting 29th May 2019 Session: Improving decision for support for sustainability going beyond standard LCA

1: BRGM 2: Imperial College London





Raw materials, a concern within the European context

In 2017: 88,6 billions tons of raw materials used

+ 100% from 2017 to 2050 (IRP, 2017)



Different issues:

- Environmental consequences
- Sustainability
- Circular economy
- Criticality (supply risks,...)



Resource access: a **strategic** interest for nations



In 2008, « Raw materials initiative »

- Ensuring access to raw materials
- Fostering a sustainable supply of raw materials from European sources





IMP@CT project: Unlock the access to small complex mineral deposits in a more sustainable way

- Overall goal of the project:
 - Propose a new mining paradigm: the SOSO concept to improve the viability of small complex deposits






H2020 project : Started in January 2017, will end in May 2020 - Grant no 730411



IMP@CT focus on the firt phases of the metal life cycle



Applying LCA in the framework of IMP@CT

Contextual


assessment

OVERALL GOAL

challenges

BRGM SERVICE GÉOLOGIQUE NATIONAL WWW.BRGM.FF


 Address the environmental sustainability of the newly developed modularized mobile plant (MMP) solutions for small-scale mining operations through life cycle assessment



Answer simulation coupled with LCA

First, what are the impacts of the BAU?

Assessing the climate change impacts of 1 kg of lead in different forms





Concept of process simulation

- Development starts in the 1960s
- Used to predict the performances of an industrial mineral processing plant
- Based on lab/pilot scale experimental data


Design the flowsheet of the operations Set up mathematical models for each unit process Gather experimental data to calibrate the models simulate the system (for given feed conditions)







Géosciences pour une Terre durable



	eou in nua	SE MODEL				Pa	rticle <u>types</u> distribui	tion			Contact
	SULID PHA	ISE MODEL		Free	Liberated	Middlings	Sub-midlings	Locked	Barren	Total	person
	Size o	lasses	units	%	%	1	7	%	1	16	person
	20 mm		%ind							100	
	10 mm		%ind							100	
Destate de s	5 mm		%ind							100	
Particle <u>size</u> distribution	2 mm		%ind							100	
distribution	1mm		∕ind							100	
	0,5 mm		%ind							100	
	Pan		%ind							100	
	total	100									

Particle types definition			Particle types									
		unit	Free	Liberated	Middlings	Sub-midlings	Locked	Barren				
Grades	Cerrusite (PbCO3)	%	80-100	60-80	40-60	20-40	0-20	0				
Grades	arbonates (CaCO)	%	0-20	20-40	40-60	60-80	80-100	100				
Density	min	-	5.78	5.01	4.24	3.47	2.7	2.7				
Density	max	-	6.55	5.78	5.01	4.24	3.47	2.7				

neral liberation/Varia												
Mass flowrate	5											
Volumetric flowrate	1,59299	m3/h										
Density	3,13875	kg/dm3										
Particle type grade p	per size			Particle types							Composition	
Size distribution				Free		Middlings	Sub-midlings		Barren	P		CaCO3
Size classes	% Individual	% Passing	% Retained	96	96	96	96	96	96		%	96
150 mm	0										0	
25 mm	27	73		0	0	5	10				7	
12,5 mm	8										7	
6 mm	13			25							30,5	
2 mm	20			25	5						30,5	
500 µm	15										31,38	6
100 µm	10	7		31,5	3,4			4,7	55		33,42	6
			100	46.6	3.8	3,5	3.2	5	37,9		47.81	5
Pan Composition of part	ticle types	0	Means Grades	18,637	2,976			8,575	0.10		23,9107	
Composition of part Particle types	ticle types		Means Grades PbCO3	18,637 CaCO3				-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types	ticle types Grades	Units	Means Grades PbCO3	18,637 CaCO3	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free	Grades 18,637	Units %	Means Grades PbCO3 % 90	18,637 CaCO3 % 10	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated	Grades 18,637 2,976	Units % %	Means Grades PbCO3 90 90 70	18,637 CaCO3 % 10 30	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings	Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575	Units % %	Means Grades PbCO3 % 90 70 50	18,637 CaCO3 % 10 30 50	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-midlings	Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364	Units 96 96 96 96	Means Grades PbCO3 96 90 70 50 30	18,637 CaCO3 % 10 30 50 70	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-midlings Locked	Grades Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364 8,575	Units 96 96 96 96 96 96	Means Grades PbCO3 90 70 50 30 10	18,637 CaCO3 % 10 30 50 70 90	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-midlings	Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364	Units 96 96 96 96 96 96	Means Grades PbCO3 90 70 50 30 10 0 0	18,637 CaCO3 95 10 30 50 70 90 100	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-midlings Locked	Grades Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364 8,575	Units 96 96 96 96 96 96	Means Grades PbCO3 90 70 50 30 10	18,637 CaCO3 % 10 30 50 70 90	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-midlings Locked Barren	Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364 8,575 58,873	Units 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 8 8 8	Means Grades PbCO3 96 90 70 50 30 10 23,9107	18,637 CaCO3 95 10 30 50 70 90 100	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-middlings Locked Barren	Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364 8,575 58,873 ble compositio	Units 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 Means	Means Grades PbCO3 96 90 70 50 30 10 23,9107	18,637 CaCO3 95 10 30 50 70 90 100	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-midlings Locked Barren Parten Barren Mass flowrate	Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364 8,575 58,873 Die composition 5	Units 96 96 96 96 Means 1/Water & rea Uh	Means Grades PbCO3 96 90 70 50 30 10 23,9107	18,637 CaCO3 95 10 30 50 70 90 100	2,976			-	0.10			
Composition of part Particle types Particle types Free Liberated Middlings Sub-middlings Locked Barren	Grades 18,637 2,976 4,575 6,364 8,575 58,873 ble compositio	Units 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96	Means Grades PbCO3 96 90 70 50 30 10 23,9107	18,637 CaCO3 95 10 30 50 70 90 100	2,976			-	0.10			76,





USIM PAC

USIM PAC

Outputs of process simulation and link with LCI

Process simulation with algorithms including:

- Mass balance calculations

- Data reconciliation

Operating

variables

• Flow rates (liquids and solids)


- Energy and reagents consumption
- Mine wastes

Direct inputs for the LCI phase






Generic functional unit for metal mining in the context of IMP@CT: "The production of 1 ton of concentrate containing x% of metal using the IMP@CT developed technologies"








Build a parametrized Excel tool that can be used whatever the context





Taking into account ore and process variability



Conclusions and perspectives

- Process simulation can enhance LCI data reliability
 - A way to model specific unit process inputs needed for LCI
 - Uncertainty can be quantified on these inputs
- Process simulation can specially be used on earlier process development stages
 - Coupling process simulation and LCA → a tool for ecodesign (see for example Reuter et al.)
- This coupling is not new in LCA
 - HSC can be linked to GaBi and there is discussions going on to link HSC to OpenLCA
- Specific ongoing work for IMPACT
 - Data collection
 - On field sampling
 - Couple results environmental LCA results to sLCA results



References

- Alistair J. Davidson, Steve P. Blinks and Johannes Gediga. 2016. "Lead industry life cycle studies: environmental impact and life cycle assessment of lead battery and architectural sheet production". International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 21:1624:1636
- Shahjad Hisan Farjana, Nazmul Huda and M.A. Parvez Mahmud. 2019. "Life cycle analysis of copper-gold-lead-silver-zinc beneficiation process". Science of the total environment 659 41-52
- Jingmin Hong, Zhaohe Yu, Wenxio Shi, Jinglan Hong, Congcong Qi, Liping Ye. 2017. "Life cycle environmental and economical assessment of lead refining in China" International Journal of Life cycle assessment. 22:909-918
- International Resource Panel, 2017, Assessing global resource use
- T.E. Norgate, S. Jahanshahi, WJ Rankin. 2007. "Assessing the environmenal impact of metal production processes". Journal of Cleaner production. 15: 838 848
- Markus A. Reuter et al.: Limits of the Circular Economy: Fairphone Modular Design Pushing the Limits (World of Metallurgy – ERZMETALL 71 (2018) No. 2
- Ester Van der Viet, Lauren van Oers, Miranda Verboon, Koen Kuipers. 2018 " Environmental implications of future demand scenarios for metals - Methodologies and application to the case of seven major metals". Journal of Industrial Ecology.



How can process simulation be used to get more reliable data for LCA of mining emerging technologies?

S. Muller¹, J. Bodin¹, J. Segura Salazar², J. Villeneuve¹

Thank you for your attention <u>s.muller@brgm.fr</u>

1: BRGM 2: Imperial College London





This project is funded by the EU Horizon 2020 Programme; Grant no 730411 Géosciences pour une Terre durable