

Human health risks related to the consumption of foodstuffs of plant and animal origin produced on a site polluted by chemical munitions of the First World War

Sébastien Gorecki, Fabrice Nesslany, Daniel Hube, Jean-Ulrich Mullot, Paule Vasseur, Eric Marchioni, Valérie Camel, Laurent Noel, Bruno Le Bizec,

Thierry Guérin, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Gorecki, Fabrice Nesslany, Daniel Hube, Jean-Ulrich Mullot, Paule Vasseur, et al.. Human health risks related to the consumption of foodstuffs of plant and animal origin produced on a site polluted by chemical munitions of the First World War. Science of the Total Environment, 2017, 599-600, pp.314-323. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.213. hal-01849392

HAL Id: hal-01849392 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-01849392v1

Submitted on 17 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Human health risks related to the consumption of foodstuffs of plant and animal origin produced on a site polluted by chemical munitions of the First World War

Sébastien Gorecki ^a, Fabrice Nesslany ^b, Daniel Hubé ^c, Jean-Ulrich Mullot ^d, Paule Vasseur ^e, Eric Marchioni ^f, Valérie Camel ^g, Laurent Noël ^h, Bruno Le Bizec ⁱ, Thierry Guérin ^a, Cyril Feidt ^j, Xavier Archer ^k, Aurélie Mahe ^a, Gilles Rivière ^a.

- a : French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety, 14 rue Pierre & Marie Curie, F-94700 Maisons-Alfort, France
- b : Laboratoire de Toxicologie Génétique, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Lille, France
- c : BRGM, French Geological Survey, 6 avenue Claude Guillemin, 45000, Orléans, France
- d : Navy Expert Lab of Toulon, BCRM Toulon, BN/LASEM, 83800 Toulon, Cedex 9, France
- e : CNRS UMR 7360, University of Lorraine, Metz, France
- f : Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, UMR 7178, F67037 Strasbourg, France
- g: UMR Ingénierie Procédés Aliments, AgroParisTech, Inra, Université Paris-Saclay, 91300 Massy, France
- h : The French Directorate General for Food, Ministry of Agriculture, Agro-16 Food and Forestry, Paris, France
- i : ONIRIS LABERCA, Atlanpole La Chantrerie, BP 40706, Nantes F-44307, France
- j : Université de Lorraine, INRA, 2 avenue de la forêt de Haye, TSA 40602, 54518 Vandoeuvre Cedex, France
- k : Central Laboratory of Police Prefecture (LCPP), 39 bis rue de Dantzig, 75015, Paris, France

Published in Science of the Total Environment. Available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.213

Keywords

Chemical munitions, explosives, polluted site, food contamination, health risk assessment.

Abstract

Shells fired during World War I exhibited different explosive compounds and some of these weapons also contained a wide variety of chemical warfare agents. At the end of the war, for safety purposes, the large quantity of weapons remaining on the former front needed to be dismantled and destroyed. A large amount of the remaining shells was destroyed in specific sites which led to the contamination of the surroundings in Belgium and France.

In the 1920s, 1.5 million chemical shells and 30,000 explosive shells were destroyed in a place close to the city of Verdun, in the East of France. In this paper, the risk for human health related to the consumption of foodstuffs produced on this site was assessed. To this end, food products of plant and animal origin were sampled in 2015–2016 and contaminant analyses were conducted. Human exposure was assessed using a specifically built methodology. The contaminants considered in this study were trace elements (TEs -primarily Zn, As, Pb and Cd), nitroaromatic explosives (trinitrotoluene, 2,4-dinitrotoluene, 2,6-dinitrotoluene, 2-amino-4,6-dinitroluene and 4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene), phenylarsenic compounds including diphenylarsinic acid and triphenylarsine, perchlorate, tetrabromoethane and vinyl bromide. Depending on the compound, different approaches were used to assess the risk for both adults and children. Exposure to these contaminants through the consumption

of foodstuffs produced locally on the considered site was unlikely to be a health concern. However, as for inorganic arsenic, given the presence of highly contaminated zones, it was suggested that cereals should not be grown on certain plots.



Introduction

During the First World War (WWI), it is estimated that over 1 billion shells and projectiles were fired in Europe by the belligerents on the battlefield. The projectiles contained different high explosives and some of them were loaded with a wide variety of chemicals (toxic warfare agents, incendiary agents). As far as explosives are concerned. >400.000 tons of nitroaromatic compounds were manufactured by Germany, the most important being 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT), along with dinitrobenzene (DNB) and dinitrotoluene (DNT) isomers (Bausinger et al., 2007). Different chemical warfare agents were also massively produced and used on a large-scale on the battlefield for their lethal or incapacitating properties. Among the most commonly used chemicals in ammunitions were arsenical compounds (emetic agents), sulfur mustard (also called yperite, a blister agent), phosgene (a choking agent) and hydrogen cyanide (a blood agent) (Pitschmann, 2014). Additionally, about 11,000 tons of arsenical warfare agents were produced in Germany and France during WWI. Due to their vomiting properties, diphenylchloroarsine ("Clark Europe. In 2015, the French Bureau of Geological and Mining 1"), diphenyloarsinous cyanide ("Clark 2"), phenylarsine Research (BRGM) undertook an environmental assessment of dichloride ("Pfiffikus") and dichloroethylarsine ("Dick") were a well-known former site used for the destruction of WWI some of the most commonly used arsenicals, only used by the German armies for the loading of "Blue Cross" or "Green Cross 2.3" shells which appeared late in Great War (Bausinger and Preuss, 2005). Half of the poison-gas shells fired on the the city of Verdun, in the Spincourt forest. "La place à gaz" was battlefield by Germans during the last year of WWI were Blue Cross shells. The French did not use organo-arsenical compounds to load chemical shells. However, arsenic trichloride (AsCl3, "Marsite" for the French) just like tin tetrachloride (SnCl4) ("Opacite" for the French) were mixed with suffocating agent as smoke-producing compounds in French chemical projectiles of the Great War (Belot, 1997 unpublished).

At the end of the war, the large quantity of fired and unfired munitions remaining on the former front and innumerous ammunition dump sites in the former backlines needed to be broken down. Hundreds of thousand tons of these weapons were dumped in the Northern European seas after WWI, in

shallow waters (Missiaen et al., 2010). Recent research shows that >1.7 billion tons of ammunitions remained at the Armistice in dumps as surpluses and coming from salvaging conducted by the allies (Hubé, 2016). In 1920,1.1 million tons had to be broken down for safety purposes and to recover scrap, metals, and some chemical constituting the shells. A large amount of these remaining shells was destroyed in specific sites what led to the contamination of the surroundings in Belgium and in France (Bausinger et al., 2007; Bausinger and Preuss, 2005). Bausinger and Preuss (2005) showed that the soil nearby a former ammunition destruction facility in Belgium was polluted by arsenic, copper, lead, nitroaromatic explosive compounds and their derivatives (ni-trobenzenes, nitrotoluenes), as well as triphenylarsine (a pyrolysis byproduct of organoarsenical agents).

These studies enabled to shed a light on the impact of ammunition destruction activities on soil contamination in chemical shells, called "La place à gaz" (BRGM, 2015) and previously studied by Bausinger and Preuss (2005). This site is a small zone located in the North East of France, close to operated during 1926-1928 and over 200,000 German arsenical containing weapons were burnt there. However, historical research recently conducted by the BRGM revealed that this zone was part of a much larger-scale site, called the "Muzeray-Spincourt-Vaudoncourt" complex (also referred to as the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" complex). This site was operated from 1919 to 1925 by the historic French ministry of war ammunitions. One and a half million chemical shells and 300,000 explosive shells have been gathered there by the armies for destruction, making it the largest chemical ammunitions breaking down facility known on the former western front.

The "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site now covers >100 ha of whether the consumption of plant and animal products farmlands and grasslands. The agricultural productions originating from this area was safe for consumers. In this paper implanted in this zone are cereals for human consumption (soft a specific methodology to assess the health risks related to the winter wheat, winter and spring barley) and maize silage for consumption of foodstuffs produced on areas with a battlefield the feeding of the dairy cow herds of two local farms. The history is proposed, and the results obtained in the particular analysis undertaken by the BRGM in the "Clere & Schwander case of the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site are presented. To Meuse" area revealed the presence in agricultural soils of nizinc. arsenic. organo-arsenical trates agents. tetrabromoethane (a solvent used for the manufacturing of arsenic warfare agents) and locally high levels of nitroaromatic compounds (BRGM, 2015).

Consequently, the French Agency for food, environmental and occupational health & safety (Anses) was asked to determine

this end, food products of plant and animal origin were sampled in 2015-2016 and concentrations of organic and inorganic chemical contaminants were measured using developed specific analytical methods. Human exposure was assessed based on local individual consumption or/and national diet study. Risk was assessed for adults and children using health-based guidance values (HBGVs), margins of exposure or the toxicological concern concept in the case limited toxicological information were available.

Material and Methods

Selected contaminants

Based on the preliminary soil analysis undertaken by the BRGM the following substances were measured as a priority in different foodstuffs (see Section 2.3 for details):

Trace elements (TEs); primarily Zn, As, Pb and Cd; from shells, fuses and loading,

Nitroaromatic explosives: primarily trinitrotoluene (TNT, 2,4,6trinitrotoluene), TNT impurities (2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT) and 2,6-dinitrotoluene (2,6-DNT)), as well as 2-amino-4,6dinitroluene (2-ADNT) and 4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene (4-ADNT), which are the primary metabolites of TNT in plants;

Primarily phenylarsenic compounds including diphenylarsinic acid (DPAA -oxidation compound of phenylarsine) and triphenylarsine (TPA -pyrolysis transformation compound of phenylarsine);

Perchlorate ion (high explosive used in some French artillery mines);

Tetrabromoethane (TBE), a solvent that was used to produce arsines as warfare agents

Vinyl bromide: given that this substance is a metabolite of tetrabromoethane in soil and that this substance has been classified as "probably carcinogenic to humans" (group 2A) according to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), it was deemed necessary to include this compound in the assessment.

Hazard characterization

For TEs, health-based guidance values (HBGVs) selected in the context of the second French total diet study (TDS2) were used (Anses, 2011a). For the other contaminants, an in-depth literature search was carried out. For certain contaminants (e.g. nitroaromatic explosives), HBGVs were available, and the most robust were selected. For the other substances, since no HBGVs have been established to date, toxicological benchmarks have been proposed based on the available data.

It should however be noted that these toxicological benchmarks were specifically determined for this study and are not intended to be used for another health risk assessment without an exhaustive analysis of the literature.

For diphenylarsinic acid and triphenylarsine, in order to allow the Threshold of Toxicity Concern (TTC) approach, the Cramer classification was determined with the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) Toolbox and Toxtree (version 2.5.0) software programs.

Contamination data

2.3.1. Sampling protocol

Samples of maize silage, barley and wheat were collected for analysis from seven growing plots located on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site. Regarding straw cereals (barley and wheat), in order to ensure representative samples for each plot, ten sampling points were randomly selected in the field using a "W" design (Belp, 1986). These ten sub-samples were pooled into equal shares to make composite samples before the analysis. Additional samples were taken in highly contaminated areas (referred to as "hot spots") determined by the BRGM based on the preliminary soil analyses.

As for foodstuffs of animal origin, two dairy cattle farms were considered in this study because their animals were fed with maize silage grown on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site. Therefore, 32 animals including 16 dairy cows, one heifer, three young bulls and 12 calves from these two farms were slaughtered. For each of these animals, muscle, liver and kidney tissues were sampled for analyses. Composite samples of raw milk were constituted for analysis. All the samples were shipped in frozen state to the laboratories in charge of the analyses.

2.3.2. Analytical methods

Arsenic and zinc in foodstuffs of plant origin were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after mineralization undertaken according to the NF EN 14084 standard (AFNOR, 2003a). Lead and cadmium in cereals were

NF EN 14082 standard (AFNOR, 2003b). For TEs in foodstuffs k (mg kg⁻¹ fresh food), of animal origin (milk, muscle, liver and kidneys) were analyzed by ICP-MS according to the method validated by BWi is the body weight of the individual i (kg), Millour et al. (2011). Details of the method are described in the supplementary material. The perchlorate analyses were carried out by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry based on a previously reported method (FDA, 2005).

For the other compounds, specific analytical methods were developed and validated by the participating laboratories as summarized in Table 1 along with the reported analytical performances. Details of these methods are described in the supplementary material.

2.3.3. Contamination data

For each studied foodstuff/contaminant pair, as part of a "worst-case" scenario, the maximum contamination value obtained in this study was retained for risk assessment (Table 2).

Results below the limit of detection (LoD) or quantification (LoQ) were processed using the "substitution method" recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) (WHO, 2013). It consists of defining the lower bound (LB) and upper bound (UB) for a measured value. The LB was calculated by considering that all values below the LoD were equal to zero and those between the LoD and LoQ were equal to the LoD. The UB was calculated by considering that all values below the LoD were equal to the LoD and those between the LoD and LoQ were equal to the LoQ.

2.3.4. Consumption data

The consumption data used for these two populations were taken from the second French consumption study (INCA2) (Anses, 2009). Exposure levels were estimated for children and adolescents between 3 and 17 years old and adults over the age of 17 years. In order to take into account local consumption habits, INCA2 respondents surveyed in the vicinity of Meuse, i.e. 136 individuals (77 adults and 59 children), were selected.

Exposure calculations

Based on the individual consumption data and contamination data, exposure was calculated using the following equation:

$$E_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_{i,k} \times L_k}{BW_i}$$

where:

Ei is the total daily exposure of an individual i (µg kg body weight⁻¹ day⁻1),

Ci,k is the daily consumption of the food k by an individual i (g specific HRA was undertaken. day-1),

analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy according to the Lk is the estimated level for the studied contaminant in the food

n is the total number of foods consumed by the individual i.

Mean exposure levels for the population were calculated in addition to exposure levels for the most exposed individuals (95th percentile).

Scenarios considered for exposure calculations

Given that the foodstuffs produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site are intended to be distributed on a national scale. the exclusive consumption of locally produced both foodstuffs of animal origin and cereals is highly unlikely. Consequently, two protective and more realistic scenarios were considered for exposure calculations. On one hand, the exposure through the consumption of foodstuffs of animal origin only was considered (scenario A) and, on the other hand, the exposure through the consumption of wheat only was considered (scenario B). The Health Risk Assessment (HRA) was undertaken according to the procedure presented in Fig. 1.

Kidneys were not taken into account for the calculation of exposure since the 136 local individuals considered in this study declared not eating kidneys. Similarly, for maize silage and barley, several data were lacking to quantitatively assess the risk related to the consumption of these foodstuffs (spatial variability of contaminant levels in soil, soil- plant, plant-animal and soil-animal transfer rates). Consequently, these foodstuffs were not taken into account.

Scenario A: Exposure via the consumption of foodstuffs of animal origin produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site

For milk, meat, liver and wheat, individual consumption data from INCA2 were taken into account.

2.5.1. Trace elements (TEs)

It was assumed that the contaminants contained in the raw foodstuffs are fully transferred to finished products (with no dilution or concentration effect).

Total dietary exposure was calculated only for substances for which mean contamination levels measured in this study were higher than those previously reported in the framework of the TDS2 (it was indeed considered that for substances for which the contamination levels were below those of the TDS2, consumption of the foodstuffs produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site will not lead to overexposure compared to the general population studied in the TDS2). In this case, total dietary exposure was calculated by combining the contamination data for the total diet (excluding meat, liver and milk) from TDS2 with those measured in the present study (meat, liver and milk). When the calculated exposure levels (mean and 95th percentile) were higher than those of TDS2, a

2.5.2. Nitroaromatic perchlorate ions. brominated compounds and arsines

For substances not considered in the TDS2 study (nitroaromatic explosives, perchlorate ions, brominated compounds and arsines), in order to take into account exposure related to the consumption of dairy products that could be produced from raw milk harvested on the "Clere & Schwander" site, contamination levels for dairy products like ultra-fresh dairy, butter and cheese were estimated using a table of dairy equivalents available on the website of the French National Federation of Dairy Cooperatives (Table 3).

Scenario B: Assessment of exposure via the consumption of wheat produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site

For wheat, which is integrated in the form of flour in many foodstuffs, the total daily consumption of this foodstuff was estimated for the French population using available information in the INCA2 database (flour content of foodstuffs

Results

Hazard characterization

3.1.1. Trace elements

For TEs, health-based guidance values selected in the context of the TDS2 were used (Anses, 2011a).

3.1.2. .2,4,6-trinitrotoluene

TNT is currently classified by IARC as belonging to Group 3, "not classifiable as toits carcinogenicity to humans" (IARC, 1996). Available toxicity studies indicate that chronic oral exposure to TNT can lead to effects on the liver, kidneys and blood (ATSDR, 1995).

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) set a Reference Dose at 0.5 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ based on a study on dogs exposed for 26 weeks, considering hepatotoxic effects as the critical effect (US-EPA, 1988). Regarding nonthreshold dose effects, the US EPA also established a slope factor of 0.03 (mg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹)⁻¹ based on a two-year carcinogenicity study in rats, using combined urinary tract tumors as the critical effect (US-EPA, 1988). The risk related to dietary exposure to TNT was assessed using these two guidelines to take into account threshold dose effects on the one hand and non-threshold dose effects on the other hand.

3.1.3. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene

Toxicity studies indicate that chronic oral exposure to 2,4-DNT Given the provisional nature of the TRV of 0.3 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day can lead to adverse effects on the liver, kidneys and blood. Carcinogenicity studies in rats revealed that 2,4-DNT induces tumors in the renal tubules, hepatocellular carcinomas, adenomas of the mammary gland, and fibromas and fibrosarcomas of the skin. Consequently, IARC classified 2,4-DNT as belonging to group 2B, "possibly carcinogenic" to humans (IARC, 1996).

explosives, and daily consumption of these foodstuffs). The total consumption of wheat was 125 g day⁻¹ on average and 220 g day⁻¹ at the 95th percentile for adults and 80 g day⁻¹ on average and 190 g day¹ at the 95th percentile for children. Exposure levels were estimated by considering only the consumption of foodstuffs including wheat flour, taking into account mean wheat consumption of the INCA2 French population.

Health risk assessment (HRA)

For substances with threshold dose effects, HRA was performed either by calculating a margin of exposure (MOE) (US-EPA, 2012) or a hazard quotient (HQ), HQ was calculated for substances with an available health-based guidance value (HGBV). For 2,6-DNT and tetrabromoethane, MOEs were calculated based on their no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL). For carcinogenic substances with no-threshold dose effects (TNT, 2,4-DNT, 2,6-DNT and vinyl bromide), HRA was performed by calculating an individual excess cancer risk (IECR) (US-EPA, 2005) based on their respective slope factors and considering a lifetime of exposure (70 years).

The US EPA set a minimum risk level of 2 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ based on a two-year study on oral exposure in dogs, considering hematological effects as the critical effect (US-EPA, 1992). Regarding the non-threshold dose effects of 2,4-DNT, a slope factor of 0.31 (mg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹)⁻¹ was established by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) based on the incidence of tumors of the liver and mammary gland (OEHHA, 2005). The risk related to dietary exposure to 2,4-DNT was assessed based on these two values to take into account non-threshold dose effects on the one hand and threshold dose effects on the other hand.

3.1.4. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene

2,6-DNT is classified by the IARC as belonging to group 2B (IARC, 1996). Only one carcinogenesis study is reported by ATSDR for 2,6-DNT that indicates that 2,6-DNT can cause hepatocellular carcinomas in rats exposed to oral intake for 52 weeks (ATSDR, 2013).

As part of the Superfund Program, the US EPA set a provisional Toxicological Reference Value (TRV) of 0.3 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ for 2,6-DNT, based on a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 4 mg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ (US-EPA, 2013). Regarding non-threshold dose effects, the US EPA set a provisional slope factor of 1.5 (mg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹)⁻¹ based on a one-year study on oral exposure in rats, using the onset of hepatocellular carcinomas as the critical effect (US-EPA, 2013).

1and the uncertainties regarding the toxicity of 2,6-DNT, as reflected in the choice of a safety factor of 10,000 to derive this TRV (lack of toxicity studies on reproduction and development, few chronic studies), it was deemed preferable to assess the risk related to dietary exposure to 2,6-DNT by calculating a margin of exposure (MOE) based on the LOAEL of 4 mg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹. The risk related to dietary exposure to 2,6-DNT was also estimated based on the provisional slope factor to take into account possible non-threshold effects.

3.1.5. 2-amino-4.6-dinitrotoluene and 4amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene

Since there were no available specific toxicological data for 2-ADNT or 4-ADNT, the reference value set for 2,4-DNT (2 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹) (US-EPA, 1992) was found suitable to assess the risk related to dietary exposure to 2-ADNT and 4-ADNT due to molecular similarity. Given that 2-ADNT and 4-ADNT have the same toxicological benchmark and are the most commonly measured TNT metabolites in plants (Burken et al., 2000; Vanek et al., 2006), the risk was assessed for the sum of these two compounds.

3.1.6. Perchlorate ions

Perchlorate ions inhibit iodine uptake (Greer et al., 2002). In its Opinion of July 2011, Anses proposed a TRV for perchlorate ions by ingestion of 0.7 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ that was used in the present study to assess the risks related to dietary exposure to this substance. This TRV was based on the study by Greer et al. (2002) undertaken in healthy subjects exposed to perchlorate in drinking water for 14 days, in which a small decrease in thyroidal radioiodine uptake was measured (Anses, 2011b).

3.1.7. Diphenylarsinic acid

Neurotoxic effects were observed in people chronically exposed to diphenylarsinic acid through the ingestion of water from a contaminated well (Ishii et al., 2014). In these studies, this substance was found to have toxic effects on the cerebellum, brainstem and brain. Diphenylarsinic acid tends to persist in the brain over a long period of time, having long-term repercussions. Mental retardation associated with brain atrophy has been observed in some poisoned children. These neurotoxic effects have also been observed in animals (Negishi et al., 2013; Ozone, et al., 2010). However, these studies cannot be used to determine a toxicological point of departure (POD) since the experimental conditions and/or exposure levels associated with the observed neurological symptoms are not known. In the absence of chronic or subchronic oral toxicity studies for establishing a POD, the "Threshold of Toxicological Concern" (TTC) approach, which The mean contamination levels measured in foodstuffs from offers a "minimum threshold value", was deemed the only approach that could be used for this study. In this case, the specific value that could be used was that determined by the compound's classification in Cramer Class III (according to the OECD Toolbox software), with no alert for genotoxicity, corresponding to 90 Eig person⁻¹ day⁻¹ (i.e. 1.5 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ ¹ considering a person with a body weight of 60 kg) (EFSA, 2012).

3.1.8. Triphenylarsine

Toxicological data for triphenylarsine are limited. Consequently, an assessment was undertaken with the QSAR Toolbox and Toxtree software programs. No alerts for in vitro With the exception of perchlorate ions for which quantifiable mutagenicity, in vivo genotoxicity or carcinogenicity were found with these softwares, which classified triphenylarsine in Cramer Class III. Consequently, for triphenylarsine, the risk assessment was undertaken based on the TTC of 1.5 Eig kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ as in the case of diphenylarsinic acid.

3.1.9. Tetrabromoethane

1.1.2.2-Tetrabromoethane was shown to cause neurotoxic. pulmonary and hepatotoxic effects to occupationally exposed workers by the inhalation route (Morrow et al., 1990; Van Haaften, 1969). In animals, the susceptibility of four-day-old newborn rats treated for 17 days with 1,1,2,2-TBE administered orally was studied and compared with that of young rats between the ages of five and six weeks at the beginning of a 28-day study. The young rats appeared to be more susceptible than the newborns to the toxic effects of TBE in the range of the tested concentrations. A NOAEL at 6 mg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹, based on hepatotoxic effects was selected (Hirata-Koizumi et al., 2005). The risks related to dietary exposure to TBE were assessed by calculating a MOE based on this NOAEL.

Vinyl bromide 3.1.10.

Like vinyl chloride, a chemical structure analogue, vinyl bromide is mutagenic both in vitro and in vivo. Vinyl bromide also induces DNA fragmentation in vivo in several organs (stomach, liver, kidneys, bladder, lungs and brain) in mice (IARC, 1986; NTP, 2014). Furthermore, vinyl bromide is suspected to be carcinogenic to humans based on the induction of tumors in multiple organs in rats (NTP, 2014). To date, there is no HBGV available for vinyl bromide. However, the available data described above demonstrate similarities with vinyl chloride in terms of metabolism, genotoxicity and carcinogenicity. Consequently, in the absence of a specific HBGV, risks related to dietary exposure to vinyl bromide were assessed using the slope factor for vinyl chloride (oral route) of 1.5 (mg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹)⁻¹ set by the US-EPA (US-EPA, 2000).

Exposure and risk assessment

3.2.1. Scenario A: Exposure via the consumption of foodstuffs of animal origin

3.2.1.1. **Trace elements**

animal origin produced on the "Clere 8r Schwander Meuse" site (meat, liver and milk) were compared with those previously reported in the context of the TDS2 (Anses, 2011a). These results were in the same order of magnitude. It was therefore considered that the consumption of milk, meat and offal produced on the "Clere 8r Schwander Meuse" site was not likely to result in overexposure to TEs in relation to the general population studied in TDS2.

3.2.1.2. Nitroaromatic explosives, arsines, brominated compounds and per-chlorate ions

levels were measured in milk, meat, liver and kidneys, and triphenylarsine for which quantifiable levels were measured in offal (liver and kidneys), none of the other substances were detected (Table 2). Exposure levels were calculated according to UB hypothesis (Table 4). Results of the risk assessment are presented in Table 5 (for threshold effects) and Table 6 (for bw⁻¹ day⁻¹) ranged from 8 to 665 (Table 8). The average no-threshold effects).

For TNT, 2,4-DNT, 2-ADNT, 4-ADNT, diphenylarsinic acid, triphenylarsine, and perchlorate ions, the calculated exposure levels were lower than the toxicological values, regardless of the population.

For 2,6-DNT and tetrabromoethane, the lowest calculated MOEs were 24,000 and 288,000 respectively (for children exposure at the 95th percentile as the UB). In light of these MOEs, exposure to 2,6-DNT and tetrabromoethane through milk, liver and meat produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site was unlikely to be of health concern.

For TNT, an individual excess cancer risk (IECR) slightly above 10⁻⁶ was calculated (for children, considering exposure at the 95th percentile as the UB). For other populations, the IECRs were below 10⁻⁶. For 2,4-DNT, 2,6-DNT and vinyl bromide, IECRs above 10⁻⁵ were calculated for all populations; the highest IECR was calculated for 2,6-DNT in children at the 95th percentile (as the UB) with a value of 2.5×10^{-4} .

It should be emphasized that the risk was assessed using a worst-case scenario since it was assumed that individuals None of these substances were detected in wheat (see Table consume, for their entire lifetime (70 years), only foodstuffs of animal origin produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site. Consequently, in light of the above results, it was considered that the consumption of foodstuffs of animal origin theoretical exposure calculations are shown in Table 9. produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site was unlikely to be of health concern.

consumption of wheat

3.2.2.1. **Trace elements**

Pb and Cd levels measured in wheat were compliant with their respective regulatory limits (EC, 2006). For other substances for which regulatory limits in wheat have not been set out, the health risk was assessed.

Exposure levels were calculated according to UB hypothesis, considering mean wheat consumption (125 g day 1 for adults and 80 g day⁻¹ for children). The results obtained are presented 10⁻⁵ were calculated for overall populations. So, it can be in Table 7.

The corresponding health based guidance values were not Meuse" site was unlikely to be of health concern. exceeded for Zn. Therefore, exposure to this element through the consumption of wheat products is unlikely to be of health concern.

With regard to inorganic arsenic, depending on both the scenario and the population, the MOEs calculated with regard to the benchmark dose lower bound (BMDL01 0.3 to 8 pg kg

Discussion

The Meuse site illustrates the potential long-term impact of the destruction and disposal of weapons on the contamination of both soil and the food chain. Other similar destruction sites after WWI, WWII and Cold war have already been identified elsewhere in France and Europe by the BRGM where similar health concern may be encountered. Itis also well established

exposure levels calculated for adults and children in this study accounted for 5 and 7% of the mean exposure levels calculated in TDS2 for adults and children respectively. Although not quantified in the three composite samples taken randomly, it should be noted that arsenic was quantified in one "hot spot" sample (0.01 mg kg-1, Table 2).

However, the scenario considered to calculate exposure levels via the consumption of wheat and wheat products appears conservative. Indeed, it was assumed that all wheat products consumed by the considered populations (bread, pastries, biscuits, etc.) were prepared using only wheat produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site. Therefore, it was considered that the batch of wheat produced on this site is unlikely to pose a health risk. However, given the presence of hot spots detected on certain wheat plots, it appears likely that on certain plots, wheat (and probably any other crops) should not be grown.

3.2.2.2. Nitroaromatic explosives, arsines. brominated compounds, perchlorate ions

2). Exposure levels were therefore calculated according to the UB hypothesis, considering mean daily wheat consumption (125 g d⁻¹ for adults and 80 g d⁻¹ for children). Results of the Results of the HRA are given in Table 10 (for dose-threshold effects) and Table 11 (for no-dose-threshold effects).

3.2.2. Scenario B: Exposure through the Considering dose-threshold effects, for TNT, 2,4-DNT, 2-ADNT and 4-ADNT, diphenylarsinic acid, triphenylarsine, and perchlorate ions, the calculated exposure levels were lower than the corresponding health based guidance values used for the HRA, regardless of the population. For 2,6-DNT and tetrabromoethane, the lowest calculated MOEs were 150,000 and 1,000,000 respectively (for children - exposure at the 95th percentile as the UB). In light of these MOEs, exposure to 2,6-DNT and tetrabromoethane through the consumption of wheat produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site was unlikely to be of h Considering no-dose-threshold effects, for TNT, IECRs of approximately 10⁻⁸ to 10⁻⁷ were calculated. As for 2,4-DNT, 2,6-DNT and vinyl bromide, IECRs slightly higher than concluded for these effects and pollutants that the consumption of wheat produced on the "Clere & Schwander

> that chemical weapons were disposed in the sea after WWI and WWII (Bełdowski et al., 2016) what raises a more general question on the impact of the disposal and/or destruction of weapons on marine ecosystems. Given the nature of the chemical substances involved (arsenical compounds,

further consideration related to this issue is needed.

A specific methodology was developed for the health risk assessment related to the consumption of foodstuffs produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site, enabling the proposal of risk management measures in that particular case. For wellknown contaminants (such as TEs), for which the dietary exposure of French population has already been assessed in the framework of a total diet study, it was determined if the consumption of locally grown foodstuffs could lead to overexposure compared to the general population. For unknown contaminants, such as explosives and chemical Lastly, this work also highlighted the fact that additional data warfare agents, specific analytical methods were determined. Concomitantly, a review of the available toxicity data was performed in order to determine toxicological benchmarks robust enough to conduct a health risk assessment. The same logic could easily be applied to other sites polluted by both well-known and unknown contaminants.

It should however be highlighted that several uncertainties can be listed. First of all, for some chemical substances considered, in the absence of health based guidance values, toxicological benchmarks were selected by default (e.g. the a During analysis, since tetrabromoethane broke down to TTC was used for triphenylarsine and the slope factor for vinyl tribromoethene, tribromoethene was systematically analyzed. chloride was extrapolated to vinyl bromide). Specific toxicological data would therefore be required to fully charac- b terize the hazards related to these compounds. Moreover, other compounds such as thiodyglycol (a metabolite of sulfur mustard and cyanide) also could have been taken into account and this would require the development of ad hoc analytical methods. In the framework of this study, some analytical methods were specifically developed, but it was non-feasible

explosives and derivatives), their persistence and toxicity, to develop additional methods to investigate other possible pollutants. Lastly, given the persistence of these contaminants and their presence in soil leachate as well as groundwater samples, the contamination of surface water and groundwater would need further consideration. For this particular matter, detailed environmental investigations conducted by the BRGM are foreseen in 2017 on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" complex to implement the risk assessment by the characterization of groundwater, surface water, flesh of fish and sediments of the river Othain of the ponds close to the site. Deep soil will also be sampled.

> are required on the transfer of these contaminants from soil to plant and from animal feed to animals, to fully assess the risks related to the consumption of foodstuffs grown in contaminated areas. Further research is needed to improve knowledge on the toxicity, space and time fate of compounds present in high explosives, propellants and chemical warfareagents to assess the risk for health, water resource and environment in relation to these sites, in the specific historical, regulatory and environmental frame of France.

During analysis, triphenylarsine was oxidized into triphenylarsine oxide. Consequently, both compounds were systematically analyzed.

Analytical methods

(in Ig kg⁻¹ fresh product)

CompoundsSample treatmentAnalysisFoodstuffs of animal originof plantTetrabromoethane TribromoethaneaExtraction by dynamic (40 °C) headspace sampling on a Carbotrap (25 °C) adsorbent.Gas chromatography with single quadrupole MS detection (GC/MS)LoQ = 60LoQ = 200Vinyl bromideLoQ = 1LoQ = 3LoQ = 0.5LoQ = 0.1Triphenylarsine + Triphenylarsine oxide ^b PLE extraction using a mixture (70:30, v.v) of toluene and acetone (three extraction cycles, 120 °C, 100 bar), Purification by gel permeasion chromatographyGas chromatography with detection by high-resolution mass spectrometry (GC/MS)LoQ = 0.03LoQ = 0.01Diphenylarsinic acidExtraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, g0 °C)High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with detection by ICP-MSLoQ = 1LoQ = 5TNT 2,4-DIT 2,6-DITExtraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin in cartridge.Ura-performance liquid chromatography combined with environmethanol-water mixture (creals)LoD = 1LoD = 52,6-DIT 2-ADNTPurification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge.Ura-performance liquid chromatography combined with environmethanol-water mixture top heresolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap top heresolution mass spectrometer (Orbit					Foodstuffs
Compounds Sample treatment Analysis animal origin origin Tetrabromoethane Extraction by dynamic (40 °C) headspace sampling on a Tribromoethanea Gas chromatography with single quadrupole MS detection (GC/MS) LoQ = 60 LoQ = 200 Vinyl bromide LoQ = 0.5 LoQ = 1 LoQ = 3 Triphenylarsine + PLE extraction using a mixture (70:30, v:v) of toluene and actorse (three extraction cycles, 120 °C, 100 bar). Purification by gel permettion chromatography Gas chromatography with detection by high-resolution mass spectrometry (GC/MS) LoQ = 0.03 LoQ = 0.10 Diphenylarsinic acid Extraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, go °C) High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with detection by ICP-MS LoQ = 1 LoQ = 5 (milk) LoQ = 2 (meat, liver, kidney) LoQ = 2 (meat, liver, kidney) TNT Extraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in a Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cerealis) Ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap type) LoD = 1 LoD = 5 2,6-DIT Durb feature LoD = 4 LoD = 20 LoD = 4 LoD = 20 LoD = 5				Foodstuffs of	of plant
TetrabromoethaneExtraction by dynamic (40 °C) headspace sampling on a TribromoetheneaGas chromatography with single quadrupole MS detection (GC/MS)LoQ = 60 LoQ = 0.5LoQ = 200 LoQ = 1Vinyl bromideCarbotrap (25 °C) adsorbent.detection (GC/MS)LoQ = 0.5LoQ = 3Triphenylarsine + Triphénylarsine oxide ^b PLE extraction using a mixture (70:30, v:v) of toluene and acetone (three extraction cycles, 120 "C, 100 ban). Purification by gel permeation chromatographyGas chromatography with detection by high-resolution mass spectrometry (GC/MS)LoQ = 1LoQ = 3Diphenylarsinic acidExtraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, 90 °C)Extraction with acetonitile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanoi-water mixture (cereals)LoQ = 1LoQ = 52,4-DIT 2,6-DITExtraction with acetonitile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanoi-water mixture (cereals)Ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap type)LoD = 1LoD = 52,6-DIT 2,ADNTPurification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mgLoD = 1LoD = 5LoD = 54-ADNTLoD = 1LoD = 5LoD = 5LoD = 5	Compounds	Sample treatment	Analysis	animal origin	origin
Tribromoethenea Carbotrap (25 °C) adsorbent. detection (GC/MS) LoQ = 0.5 LoQ = 1 Vinyl bromide LoQ = 1 LoQ = 0.7 LoQ = 0.03 LoQ = 0.10 Triphenylarsine + PLE extraction using a mixture (70:30, v:v) of toluene and acetone (three extraction cycles, 120 °C, 100 bar). Purification by gel permeation chromatography Gas chromatography with detection by high-resolution LoQ = 0.03 LoQ = 0.10 Diphenylarsinic acid Extraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, go °C) High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with detection by ICP-MS LoQ = 1 LoQ = 5 Triph (24 - 1) Extraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cereals) LoD = 10 LoD = 50 2.4-DIT an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cereals) with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitring the acetonic for the acetonic by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge. LoD = 10 LoD = 50 2.4-DIT Purification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge. LoD = 1 LoD = 50 2.4-DIT Purification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge. LoD = 1 LoD = 50 2.4-DIT Cartridge. LoD = 50 LoD = 50 LoD = 50 2.4-DIT Cartridge.	Tetrabromoethane	Extraction by dynamic (40 °C) headspace sampling on a	Gas chromatography with single quadrupole MS	LoQ = 60	LoQ = 200
Vinyl bromideLoQ = 1LoQ = 3Triphenylarsine + Triphenylarsine oxide*PLE extraction using a mixture (70:30, v:v) of toluene and acetone (three extraction cycles, 120 v., tolo bar). Purification by gel permeation chromatographyGas chromatography with detection by high-resolution mass spectrometry (GC/MS)LoQ = 0.03LoQ = 0.10Diphenylarsinic acidExtraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min go °C)High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with letection by ICP-MSLoQ = 1LoQ = 5(milk)LoQ = 2(milk)LoQ = 2(milk)LoQ = 2TNTExtraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cereals)Utra-performance liquid chromatography (hPLC) with high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbriting the high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbriting the hi	Tribromoethenea	Carbotrap (25 °C) adsorbent.	detection (GC/MS)	LoQ = 0.5	LoQ = 1
Triphenylarsine + Triphénylarsine oxide ^b PLE extraction using a mixture (70:30, v:v) of toluene and acetone (three extraction cycles, 120 °C, 100 bar). Purification by gel permeation chromatographyGas chromatography with detection by high-resolution mass spectrometry (GC/MS)LoQ = 0.03LoQ = 0.10Diphenylarsinic acidExtraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, 90 °C)Extraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, 90 °C)High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with detection by ICP-MSLoQ = 1LoQ = 5TNTExtraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (crerals)Ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap type)LoD = 1LoD = 5LoD = 10LoD = 50LoD = 10 <td>Vinyl bromide</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>LoQ = 1</td> <td>LoQ = 3</td>	Vinyl bromide			LoQ = 1	LoQ = 3
Triphénylarsine oxide ^b acetone (three extraction cycles, 120 °C, 100 bar). Purification by gel permeation chromatography mass spectrometry (GC/MS) Diphenylarsinic acid Extraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, 90 °C) High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with detection by ICP-MS LoQ = 1 LoQ = 5 (milk) LoQ = 2 (meat, liver, (meat, liver,<	Triphenylarsine +	PLE extraction using a mixture (70:30, v:v) of toluene and	Gas chromatography with detection by high-resolution	LoQ = 0.03	LoQ = 0.10
Diphenylarsinic acidExtraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, 90 °C)High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with detection by ICP-MSLoQ = 1LoQ = 5Image: Image: Imag	Triphénylarsine oxide ^b	acetone (three extraction cycles, 120 °C, 100 bar). Purification by gel permeation chromatography	mass spectrometry (GC/MS)		
TNT Extraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cereals) Ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap to P = 1) LoD = 1 LoD = 5 2,6-DIT Purification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap to P = 1) LoD = 10 LoD = 50 2,6-DIT Purification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge. LoD = 4 LoD = 20 2-ADNT LoD = 1 LoD = 5	Diphenylarsinic acid	Extraction with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (60 min, 90 °C)	High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with detection by ICP-MS	LoQ = 1	LoQ = 5
LoQ = 2 (meat, liver, kidney) TNT 2,4-DIT 2,6-DIT 2,6-DIT 2-ADNT 4-ADNT LoD = 1 LoD = 1 LoD = 5 LoD = 5 LoD = 5 LoD = 1 LoD = 5 LoD =				(milk)	
Image: Note of the constraint of				LoQ = 2	
TNTExtraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cereals)Ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap type)LoD = 1LoD = 502,6-DITPurification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge.LoD = 4LoD = 202,ADNTLoD = 1LoD = 54-ADNTLoD = 1LoD = 5				(meat, liver,	
TNTExtraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cereals)Ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap type)LoD = 1LoD = 502,6-DITPurification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge.LoD = 4LoD = 202-ADNTLoD = 1LoD = 54-ADNTLoD = 1LoD = 5				kidney)	
2,4-DITan Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture (cereals)of the performation requirements of quark or mixture with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap type)LoD = 10LoD = 502,6-DITPurification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg cartridge.LoD = 4LoD = 202-ADNTLoD = 1LoD = 54-ADNTLoD = 1LoD = 5	TNT	Extraction with acetonitrile (foodstuffs of animal origin) in	Illtra-performance liquid chromatography combined	LoD = 1	LoD = 5
2,6-DIT Purification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg 2-ADNT LoD = 4 LoD = 20 LoD = 1 LoD = 5 LoD = 1 LoD = 5	2,4-DIT	an Ultra-Turrax or with 50/50 methanol-water mixture	with a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Orbitrap type)	LoD = 10	LoD = 50
2-ADNT LoD = 1 LoD = 5 4-ADNT LoD = 1 LoD = 5	2,6-DIT	Purification by solid-phase extraction on an OASIS 200 mg		LoD = 4	LoD = 20
4-ADNT LoD = 1 LoD = 5	2-ADNT	cannage.		LoD = 1	LoD = 5
	4-ADNT			LoD = 1	LoD = 5

Table 2. Maximum contamination levels observed in foodstuffs produced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site (Value ± Uncertainty).

	TEs				Explosives			Ars	ines	В	rominated compounds			
	(mg [.] kg ⁻¹)				(µç	g∙kg⁻¹)					(µg	·kg ⁻¹)	(H	ıg kg ⁻¹)
Foodstuff	Pb ^a	Cd ^b	As	Zn	2,4-DIT	2,6-DIT	TNT	2-ADNT	4-ADNT	Perchlorates	TPA + TPA oxide`'	DPAA	TBE ^e	Vinyl bromide
Mik (n=2)	<lid (0.001)<="" td=""><td>0.0012 + 0.0002</td><td>0.002 + 0.001</td><td>3.92 + 157</td><td><lid (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td>6.3 + 3.1</td><td><loq (0.03)<="" td=""><td><loq<sup>-</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq.'< td=""></loq.'<></td></loq></td></loq></td></lid></td></lid>	0.0012 + 0.0002	0.002 + 0.001	3.92 + 157	<lid (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td>6.3 + 3.1</td><td><loq (0.03)<="" td=""><td><loq<sup>-</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq.'< td=""></loq.'<></td></loq></td></loq></td></lid>	<lod<sup>d</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	6.3 + 3.1	<loq (0.03)<="" td=""><td><loq<sup>-</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq.'< td=""></loq.'<></td></loq></td></loq>	<loq<sup>-</loq<sup>	<loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq.'< td=""></loq.'<></td></loq>	<loq.'< td=""></loq.'<>
Muscle $(n = 32)$	<loq (0.003)</loq 	<lod (0.0003)<="" td=""><td>0.014 + 0.002</td><td>47.5 + 13.4</td><td><lod (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td>11 + 6</td><td><loq(0.03)< td=""><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td></loq></td></loq(0.03)<></td></lod></td></lod>	0.014 + 0.002	47.5 + 13.4	<lod (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td>11 + 6</td><td><loq(0.03)< td=""><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td></loq></td></loq(0.03)<></td></lod>	<lod<sup>d</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	11 + 6	<loq(0.03)< td=""><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td></loq></td></loq(0.03)<>	<loq<sup>b</loq<sup>	<loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td></loq>	<loq<sup>a</loq<sup>
Liver $(n = 32)$	0.065 + 0.007	0.063 + 0.013	0.029 + 0.007	133.5 + 37.8	<lod (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><lod (1)<="" td=""><td><loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td>21 + 12</td><td>0.14</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<></td></loda<></td></lod></td></lod>	<lod<sup>d</lod<sup>	<lod (1)<="" td=""><td><loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td>21 + 12</td><td>0.14</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<></td></loda<></td></lod>	<loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td>21 + 12</td><td>0.14</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<></td></loda<>	<loda< td=""><td>21 + 12</td><td>0.14</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<>	21 + 12	0.14	<loq<sup>b</loq<sup>	<loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq>	<loq'< td=""></loq'<>
Kidneys $(n = 32)$	0.072 + 0.012	0.410 + 0.082	0.202 + 0.048	25.6 + 7.2	<lod (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td>2.9 + 18</td><td>0.03</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<></td></loda<></td></loda<></td></lod>	<lod<sup>d</lod<sup>	<loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td>2.9 + 18</td><td>0.03</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<></td></loda<></td></loda<>	<loda< td=""><td><loda< td=""><td>2.9 + 18</td><td>0.03</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<></td></loda<>	<loda< td=""><td>2.9 + 18</td><td>0.03</td><td><loq<sup>b</loq<sup></td><td><loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq></td></loda<>	2.9 + 18	0.03	<loq<sup>b</loq<sup>	<loq (0.5)<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""></loq'<></td></loq>	<loq'< td=""></loq'<>
Wheat $(n=4)$	0.029 + 0.012	0.044 + 0.014	0.01 + 0.004 ^e	52 + 21	<lod (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod (1)<="" td=""><td><ld (0.5)<="" td=""><td><liq(0.10)< td=""><td><loq <sup="">e</loq></td><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></liq(0.10)<></td></ld></td></lod></td></lod>	<lod<sup>d</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<lod (1)<="" td=""><td><ld (0.5)<="" td=""><td><liq(0.10)< td=""><td><loq <sup="">e</loq></td><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></liq(0.10)<></td></ld></td></lod>	<ld (0.5)<="" td=""><td><liq(0.10)< td=""><td><loq <sup="">e</loq></td><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></liq(0.10)<></td></ld>	<liq(0.10)< td=""><td><loq <sup="">e</loq></td><td><loq<sup>a</loq<sup></td><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></liq(0.10)<>	<loq <sup="">e</loq>	<loq<sup>a</loq<sup>	<loq<sup>e</loq<sup>
Barley $(n = 10)$	0.051 + 0.02	0.018 + 0.006	15 + 0.6	72 + 29	<lod (10)<="" td=""><td><lod<sup>d</lod<sup></td><td><loq*d< td=""><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><ld (0.5)<="" td=""><td>0.87</td><td>23</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq<></td></ld></td></loq*d<></td></lod>	<lod<sup>d</lod<sup>	<loq*d< td=""><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><lod<sup>a</lod<sup></td><td><ld (0.5)<="" td=""><td>0.87</td><td>23</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq<></td></ld></td></loq*d<>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<lod<sup>a</lod<sup>	<ld (0.5)<="" td=""><td>0.87</td><td>23</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq<></td></ld>	0.87	23	<loq< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq<>	<loq<sup>e</loq<sup>
Maize silage $(n=6)$	0.36 + 0.15	0.19 + 0.06	0.06 + 0.02	180 + 72	<lod (50)<="" td=""><td><lod (20)<="" td=""><td><lode< td=""><td><lode< td=""><td><lode< td=""><td>7.5 + 4.4</td><td>8.07</td><td><loq e<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq'<></td></loq></td></lode<></td></lode<></td></lode<></td></lod></td></lod>	<lod (20)<="" td=""><td><lode< td=""><td><lode< td=""><td><lode< td=""><td>7.5 + 4.4</td><td>8.07</td><td><loq e<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq'<></td></loq></td></lode<></td></lode<></td></lode<></td></lod>	<lode< td=""><td><lode< td=""><td><lode< td=""><td>7.5 + 4.4</td><td>8.07</td><td><loq e<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq'<></td></loq></td></lode<></td></lode<></td></lode<>	<lode< td=""><td><lode< td=""><td>7.5 + 4.4</td><td>8.07</td><td><loq e<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq'<></td></loq></td></lode<></td></lode<>	<lode< td=""><td>7.5 + 4.4</td><td>8.07</td><td><loq e<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq'<></td></loq></td></lode<>	7.5 + 4.4	8.07	<loq e<="" td=""><td><loq'< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq'<></td></loq>	<loq'< td=""><td><loq<sup>e</loq<sup></td></loq'<>	<loq<sup>e</loq<sup>

TE: Trace Elements.

When the results are <LoD or <LoQ, the respective values of the LoDs and LoQs are given in parentheses.

^a Values compliant with the regulatory limits set for foodstuffs: 0.020 mg kg for raw milk, 0.010 mg kg⁻¹ for meat, 0.50 mg kg⁻¹ for offal and 0.20 mg kg⁻¹ for cereals (Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006).

^b Values compliant with the regulatory limits set for foodstuffs: 0.050 mg kg⁻¹ for meat, 0.50 mg kg⁻¹ for liver, 1 mg kg⁻¹ for kidneys and 0.10 mg kg⁻¹ for cereals (Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006).

^cArsenic was quantified in one "hot spot" wheat sample and was not quantified in the three composite samples (LoQ = 0.01 mg kg⁻¹).

d The analysis showed that triphenylarsine is easily oxidized. Therefore, the two compounds were systematically tested for.

^eDuring analysis, tetrabromoethane broke down to tribromoethene. Tribromoethene was systematically tested for with an LoQ of 0.5 µg kg-1.

Table 3. Table of dairy equivalents.

Dairy products	Equivalent volume of whole milk (L)
1 kg butter	22
1 kg Emmental	12
1 1 whole-milk yoghurt cheeses	1
Four 250 g Camembert	8

Table 4. Exposure levels calculated according to the UB hypothesis for nitroaromatic explosives, brominated compounds, perchlorate and arsines, taking into account milk, meat and liverproduced on the "Clere & SchwanderMeuse" site aswell as other dairy products that couldbe made from this milk (butter, ultra-fresh dairy, cheese).

HRA - threshold effects - HQ or MOE calculation Adults Children Selected toxicological value Substances Calculation type Exposure at the 95th percentile Mean exposure Exposure at the 95th percentile in ng kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ Mean exposure 500 TNT HQ 0.006 0.011 0.004 0.011 2000 0.014 0.027 2,4-DIT HQ 0.027 0.009 2,6-DIT MOE 4,000,000 >350,000 >150,000 >550,000 >400,000 2-ADNT + 4-ADNT HQ 2000 0.003 0.005 0.002 0.005 Diphenylarsinic acid 1500 0.009 0.018 0.018 HQ 0.006 3.58 x 10⁻4 Triphenylarsine 1500 HQ 185 x 10⁻4 3.58 x 10⁻4 1.18 x 10⁻⁴ MOE 6,000,000 >2000,000 >1,000,000 >3,000,000 >2,500,000 Tetrabromoethane Perchlorate ions HQ 700 0.002 0.004 0.001 0.004

a Exposure levels for these two substances were added up since these compounds have the same TRV and are common metabolites of TNT during plant metabolism.

Table 5. Risk assessment (tier 2A approach) according to the UB hypothesis: results of the Hazard Quotient and Marge Of Exposure calculations (substances with dose-threshold effects).

Risk assessment

Selected toxicological value		Children		Adults		
Substances	Calculation type	(ng kg bw ⁻ 1 day ⁻ 1)	Mean exposure	Exposure at the 95th percentile	Mean exposure	Exposure at the 95th percentile
TNT	HQ	500	0.034	0.083	0.019	0.083
2,4-DIT	HQ	2000	0.085	0.208	0.048	0.208
2,6-DIT	MOE	4,000,000	58,900	24,005	105,193	54,760
2-ADNT + 4-ADNTa	HQ	2000	0.017	0.041	0.009	0.042
Diphenylarsinic acid	HQ	1500	0.012	0.030	0.007	0.030
Triphenylarsine	HQ	1500	3.40 x 10 ⁻ 4	8.33 x 10 ⁻ 4	190 x 10 ⁻ 4	8.33 x 10 ⁻ 4
Tetrabromoethane	MOE	6,000,000	706,795	288,066	1,262,312	657,118
Perchlorate ions	HQ	700	0.236	0.592	0.132	0.592

a Exposure levels for these two substances were added up since these compounds have the same TRV and are common metabolites of TNT during plant metabolism.

Table 6. Risk assessment (tier 2A approach) according to the UB hypothesis: results of the individual excess cancer risk calculation (substances with no-dose-threshold effects).

		Risk assessment – IECR result				
	Selected slope factor	Children		Adults		
Substances	(in ng kg • bw⁻1 day⁻1) ⁻1	Mean exposure	95th percentile	Mean exposure	95th percentile	
TNT	3 x 10 ⁻ 8	5.09 x 10⁻7	125 x 10⁻6	2.85 x 10⁻7	5.48 x 10 ⁻ 7	
2,4-DIT	31 x 10 ⁻ 7	5.26 x 10⁻5	129 x 10 ⁻ 4	2.95 x 10⁻5	5.66 x 10⁻5	
2,6-DIT	15 x 10 ⁻ 6	102 x 10 ⁻ 4	2.50 x 10 ⁻ 4	5.70 x 10⁻5	110 x 10⁻4	
Vinyl bromide	15 x 10 ⁻ 6	2.55 x 10⁻5	6.25 x 10⁻5	143 x 10⁻5	2.74 x 10-5	

Substances	Units	Children $(n = 59)$		Adults $(n = 77)$		
		Mean	95th percentile	Mean	95th percentile	
Pb	µg kg ∙ bw⁻¹ day⁻¹	0.11	0.22	0.07	0.10	
Zn	mg day ⁻¹	5.84	5.84	9.13	9.13	
Cd	µg kg ∙ bw⁻¹ week⁻¹	1.13	2.18	0.72	0.96	
Total As	µg kg ∙ bw⁻¹ day⁻¹	0.054	0.054	0.018	0.024	
Inorganic As ^a	µg kg ∙ bw⁻¹ day⁻¹	0.038	0.038	0.012	0.016	

Table 7. Exposure to trace metal elements via wheat consumption estimated according to the UB hypothesis

a Speciation hypothesis used: 70% of total arsenic in wheat is considered to be in inorganic form (EFSA, 2009)

Table 8. Inorganic arsenic exposure levels and risk assessment considering wheat consumption

Population			MOE	MOE	
	Mean exposure	95 _{th} percentile	for mean	for exposure at the	
			exposure	95th percentile	
	(µg•kg bw ⁻¹ day⁻¹)	(µgkg⋅bw⁻¹ day-1			

Adults	0.012	0.016	25-665	19-505	
Children	0.020	0.038	15-400	8-215	

MOEs were calculated with regard to the BMDL01 of 0.3 µg kg bw⁻¹ day⁻¹ (EFSA, 2009).

	Exposure level (ng kg bw ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)						
	Children ($n = 59$)		Adults	= 77)			
Substances	Mean	95th percentile	Mean	95th percentile			
TNT	2.8	5.4	177	2.36			
2,4-DIT	27.7	53.7	17.7	23.6			
2,6-DIT	11.1	215	7.1	9.4			
2-ADNT + 4-ADIT ^a	5.5	10.7	3.5	4.7			
Diphenylarsinic acid	13.9	26.9	8.9	11.8			
Triphenylarsine	0.277	0.537	0.177	0.236			
Vinyl bromide	8.3	16.1	5.3	7.1			
Tetrabromoethane	2.8	5.4	18	2.4			
Perchlorates	14	27	0.80	118			

 Table 9. Exposure estimated for nitroaromatic explosives, brominated compounds, perchlorateand arsines considering wheat consumption (tier 2B approach).

Perchlorates142.70.89118a Exposure levels for these two substances were added up since these compounds have the same TRV and are common metabolites of TNT during plant metabolism.

Table 10. Risk assessment: Results of the Hazard Quotient and Marge Of Exposure calculations (substances with dose-threshold effects). Scenario taking into account the consumption of wheatproduced on the "Clere & Schwander Meuse" site.

			HRA - threshold effects - HQ or MOE calculation					
			Children		Adults			
Substances	Calculation type	Selected toxicological value (ng kg bw ⁻ 1 day ⁻ 1)	Mean exposure	Exposure at the 95th percentile	Mean exposure	Exposure at the 95th percentile		
TNT	HQ	500	0.034	0.083	0.019	0.083		
2,4-DIT	HQ	2000	0.085	0.208	0.048	0.208		
2,6-DIT	MOE	4,000,000	58,900	24,005	105,193	54,760		
2-ADNT + 4-ADNTa	HQ	2000	0.017	0.041	0.009	0.042		
Diphenylarsinic acid	HQ	1500	0.012	0.030	0.007	0.030		
Triphenylarsine	HQ	1500	3.40 x 10⁻4	8.33 x 10 ⁻ 4	190 x 10⁻4	8.33 x 10 ⁻ 4		
Tetrabromoethane	MOE	6,000,000	706,795	288,066	1,262,312	657,118		
Perchlorate ions	HQ	700	0.236	0.592	0.132	0.592		

a Exposure levels for these two substances were added up since these compounds have the same TRV and arecommon metabolites of TNT during plant metabolism.

Table 11. Results of the individual excess cancer risk calculations (substances with no-dose-threshold effects). Scenario taking into account the consumption of wheat produced on the "Clere &Schwander Meuse" site.

	Selected slope factor	Children		Adults	
Substances	(in ng kg • bw⁻1 day⁻1) ⁻1	Mean exposure	95th percentile	Mean exposure	95th percentile
TNT	3 x 10 ⁻ 8	5.09 x 10 ⁻ 7	125 x 10 ⁻ 6	2.85 x 10 ⁻ 7	5.48 x 10 ⁻ 7
2,4-DIT	3.1 x 10 ⁻ 7	5.26 x 10 ⁻ 5	129 x 10 ⁻ 4	2.95 x 10⁻5	5.66 x 10 ⁻ 5
2,6-DIT	15 x 10 ⁻ 6	102 x 10 ⁻ 4	2.50 x 10 ⁻ 4	5.70 x 10 ⁻ 5	110 x 10 ⁻ 4
Vinyl bromide	15 x 10 ⁻ 6	2.55 x 10 ⁻ 5	6.25 x 10 ⁻ 5	143 x 10⁻5	2.74 x 10-5

Risk assessment - IECR result



Figure 1. Procedure followed for the Health Risk Assessment.

Indication: Numbers correspond to the PCB congeners. Framed congeners are dioxin-like PCBs. Bold numbers were congeners transferred at a high level ranking from 38 to 78% and from 30 to 80% respectively for milk and eggs.

References

- AFNOR, 2003a. Foodstuffs determination of trace elements determination of lead, cadmium, zinc, copper and iron by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) after micro wave digestion
- AFNOR 2003b Produits alimentaires -Dosage des éléments traces -Détermination du plomb, cadmium, zinc, cuivre, fer et chrome par spectrométrie d'absorption atomique (AAS) après calcination à sec.
- Anses, 2009. Étude Individuelle Nationale des Consommations Alimentaires 2.
- Anses, 2011a. Etude de l'Alimentation Française 2 (EAT2) -Tome 1 : Contaminants inorganiques, minéraux, poluants organiques persistants, mycotoxines, phytoestrogènes (Rapport d'expertise).
- Anses, 2011b. Avis de l'Anses relatif à l'évaluation des risques sanitaires liés à la présence d'ions perchlorate dans les eaux destinées à la consommation humaine
- ATSDR, 1995. Toxicological profile for 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene.
- ATSDR, 2013. Draf toxicological profile for dinitrotoluenes.
- Bausinger, T., Preuss, J., 2005. Environmental remnants of the first World war: soil contamination of a burning ground for arsenical ammunition. Bull. Environ. Contam.
- Toxicol. 74 (6), 1045–1052.
 Bausinger, T., Bonnaire, E., Preuss, J., 2007. Exposure assessment of a burning ground for chemical ammunition on the Great War battlefields of Verdun. Sci. Total Environ. 3ß2 (2–3):259–271. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.04.029.</u>
- Bełdowski, J., Szubska, M., Emelyanov, E., Garnaga, G., Drzewińska, A., Bełdowska, M., Fabisiak, J., 2016. Arsenic concentrations in Baltic Sea sediments close to chemical munitions dumpsites. Deep-Sea Res. II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 128:114-122. .ora/10.1016 <u>/j.dsr2.2015.03.00</u>
- Belot, H., 1997. French EOD Service. Agents chimiques de combat contemporains de la Première Guerre Mondiale (Unpublished).
- Belp, B.R., 1906. Evaluation of field sampling techniques for estimation of disease incidence. Am. Phytopathological Soc. 76 (12), 1299–1305.
 BRGM, 2015. Site de la « Place à gaz », Forêt de Spincourt, commune de Gremilly
- (55) (Diagnostic et Interprétation de l'Etat des Milieux. Rapport final).
- Burken, J., Shanks, J., Thompson, P., 2000. Phytoremediation and plant metabolism of explosives and nitroaromatic compounds. In: Spain, J.C., Hughes, J.B., Knackmuss, H.J. (Eds.), Biodegradation of Nitroaromatic Compounds and Explosives. CRC Press
- LLC, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton. EC, 2006. Commission Regulation (EC) No 1ßß1/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs.
- EFSA, 2009. Scientific Opinion on arsenic in food. EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). EFSA J. Vol. 7.
- EFSA, 2012. Scientific Opinion on Exploring Options for Providing Advice about Possible Human Health Risks Based on the Concept of Threshold of Toxicological Concern (TTC). Retrieved from Parma, Italy. FDA, 2005, Rapid Determination of Perchlorate Anion in Foods by Ion
- Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Retrieved from http://www.fda.gov/Food/
- FoodbornellInessContaminants/ChemicalContaminants/ucm077793.htm (Accessed October 22, 2016).
- Greer, M.A., Goodman, G., Pleus, R.C., Greer, S.E., 2002. Health effects assessment for environmental perchlorate contamination: the dose response for inhibition of thyroidal radioiodine uptake in humans. Environ Health Perspect 110 (9), 927-937.
- Hirata-Koizumi, M., Kusuoka, O., Nishimura, N., Wada, H., Ogata, H., Fukuda, N., Hasegawa, R., 2005. Susceptibility of newborn rats to hepatotoxicity of 1,3-
- dibromopropane and 1,1,2,2-tetrabromoethane, compared with young rats. J. Toxicol. Sci. 30 (1), 29-42.

- Hubé, D., 2016. In: Editeur, M. (Ed.), Sur les traces d'un secret enfoui, Enquête sur l'héritage toxique de la Grande Guerre, p. 2ß5.
- IARC, 19 ß6. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk of chemicals in humans Some Chemicals Used in Plastics and Elastomers, Vol. 39
- IARC, 1996. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risksto humans. Vol. 65. Carbon Black and Some Nitro Compounds, Printing Processes and Printing Inks. Ishii, K., Itoh, Y., Iwasaki, N., Shibata, Y., Tamaoka, A., 2014. Detection of
- diphenylarsinic acid and its derivatives in human serum and cerebrospinal fluid. Clin. Chim. Acta 431:227-231. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2014.01.029
- Millour, S., Noël, L., Kadar, A., Chekri, R., Vastel, C., Guérin, T., 2011. Simultaneous analysis of 21 elements in foodstuffs by ICP-MS after closed-vessel microwave digestion: method validation J Food Compos Anal 24 (1):111-12 0

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2010.04.002. Missiaen, T., Soderstrom, M., Popescu, I., Vanninen, P., 2010. Evaluation of a chemical munition dumpsite in the Baltic Sea based on geophysical and chemical investigations. Sci. Total Environ. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016

- Morrow, L.A., Callender, T., Lottenberg, S., Buchsbaum, M.S., Hodgson, M.J., Robin, N., 1990. PET and neurobehavioral evidence of tetrabromoethane encephalopathy. J Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 2 (4):431-435. http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/jnp
- Negishi, T., Matsunaga, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Hirano, S., Tashiro, T., 2013. Developmental subchronic exposure to diphenylarsinic acid induced increased exploratory behavior, impaired learning behavior, and decreased cerebellar glutathione concentration in rats. Toxicol. Sci. 136 (2):47ß-4ß6 ra/10.1093Øxsci/k
- NTP, 2014. Report on Carcinogens (Thirteenth Edition. Vinyl Bromide CAS No. 593-60-2).
- OEHHA, 2005. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines (Part II. Technical Support Document for Describing Available Cancer Potency Factors)
- Ozone, K., Ueno, S., Ishizaki, M., Hayashi, O., 2010. Toxicity and oxidative stress induced by organic arsenical diphenylarsinic acid and inorganic arsenicals and their effects on spatial learning ability in mice. J. Health Sci. 56 (5), 517-526.
- Pitschmann, V., 2014. Overall view of chemical and biochemical weapons. Toxins (Basel) 6 (6):1761–17ß4. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390Øxins6061761. US-EPA, 19ßß. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (TNT) (CASRN 11ß–96-7) (Retrieved from
- Atlanta, GA, USA).
- US-EPA, 1992. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene (CARSN 121-14-2) (Retrieved from Atlanta, GA, USA). US-EPA, 2000. Toxicological review of vinyl chloride in support of summary information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) (Retrieved from Atlanta, GA, USA). US-EPA, 2005. Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (Retrieved from).
- US-EPA. 2012. Sustainable Futures/P2 Framework Manual 2012 EPA-74ß-B12-00113 (Quantitative Risk Assessment Calculation, Retrieved from).
- US-EPA, 2013. Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values for 2,6-Dinitrotoluene (CARSN 606-20-2) (Retrieved from).
- Van Haaften, A.B., 1969. Acute tetrabromoethane (acetylene tetrabromide) intoxication in man. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 30 (3):251-25 6. http://dx.doi.org/10.10ß0/ 00026696909343119.
- Vanek, T., Nepovim, A., Podlipna, R., Hebner, A., Vavrikova, Z., Gerth, A., Smrcek, S., 2006. Phytoremediation of explosives in toxic wastes. Soil Water Pollut. Monit. Prot. Reme-diation 69, 455-465.
- WHO, 2013. Reliable evaluation of low-level contamination of food Addendum of the report on GEMS/Food-EURO second workshop of the 26-27th May 1995.