

# A double Fe-Ti oxide and Fe-sulphide liquid immiscibility in the Itsindro Gabbro Complex, Madagascar

Thierry Augé, Laurent Bailly, Jean-Yves Roig

# ► To cite this version:

Thierry Augé, Laurent Bailly, Jean-Yves Roig. A double Fe-Ti oxide and Fe-sulphide liquid immiscibility in the Itsindro Gabbro Complex, Madagascar. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 2017, 135, pp.152-172. 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.08.009. hal-01816579

# HAL Id: hal-01816579 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-01816579

Submitted on 21 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1 A double Fe-Ti oxide and Fe-sulphide liquid immiscibility in the Itsindro Gabbro

- 2 Complex, Madagascar
- 3 June 6, 2017
- 4 Thierry Augé, Laurent Bailly, Jean-Yves Roig
- 5 BRGM,
- 6 Georesources Division, BP 36009, 45060 Orléans cedex 2, France
- 7 Corresponding author
- 8 e-mail: t.auge@brgm.fr
- 9 phone: +33 645 969 888
- 10 fax: +33 238 643 652

#### 12 Abstract

The petrology and mineralogy of the Itsindro complex in south-central Madagascar has been investigated through samples obtained from the 320.7 m-deep Lanjanina borehole. The section consists of a 254 m-thick pyroxenite unit with interbedded gabbro layers that overlies a gabbro unit and is itself overlain by a 19 m-thick granite unit. Most of the structures are sub-horizontal. A weak magmatic layering is locally observed but at the scale of the core, the intrusion does not appear to be a layered complex.

Pyroxenite and gabbro show a systematic disseminated mineralization consisting of Fe-Ti-P 19 oxides and Fe-(Cu-Ni) sulphides that takes the form of ilmenite-titanomagnetite±apatite and 20 21 pyrrhotite±chalcopyrite±pentlandite. In the upper zone, from 90 to 72 m, sub-massive centimetre- to decimetre-sized layers of oxides and sulphides comprise a total of 16 m of 22 23 sub-massive sulphide (the main mineralized zone). In this mineralized zone the oxide/sulphide ratio is close to 1/1. The sulphide is strongly dominated by pyrrhotite, which 24 25 may locally contain inclusions of molybdenite crystals with the Re sulphide rheniite (ReS<sub>2</sub>). 26 Oxides are generally euhedral, included in or attached to the Fe-sulphide, and also locally 27 form sub-massive centimetre-sized bands.

Apatite as a cumulus phase is ubiquitous. Locally it may account for 30 % of the ore-rich samples and some samples consist of apatite-Fe-Ti oxides-Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides with virtually no silicate. Apatite is the main REE carrier but the total REE content remains low (<90 ppm).

Mineral compositions and whole rock geochemistry indicate that the rocks are highly differentiated, and in spite of a relatively limited thickness, the differentiation process is observed. Two zones can be distinguished: from the bottom to 162.8 m we see a decrease in the Mg number of olivine and pyroxene, and a drop in TiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for the latter. A reverse trend is then observed within the pyroxenite unit from the 162.8 m level upwards. The main mineralized zone is located in the upper part of this unit, about 70 m above this discontinuity.

The highly differentiated Fe-Ti-P facies of the Lanjanina series in the Itsindro Gabbro Complex have been interpreted as corresponding to the Fe-Ti-P rich, Si-poor member remaining after an immiscible segregation of an evolved mafic magma. The granite dykes and the overlying granite unit represent the second, Si-rich member of the immiscibility process.

The presence of large amounts of sulphide is attributed to sulphur contamination of the Fe-Ti-rich liquid. Fe-Ti oxides will tend to crystallize on the sulphide droplets and the accumulation of dense Fe-sulphides (liquid) and associated Fe-Ti oxides (solid) will result in this complex and unusual association taking the form of a net texture.

Keywords Fe-Ti oxide ores, Fe-(Cu-Ni) sulphides, Fe-Ti-P-rich liquid immiscibility, sulphide
liquid immiscibility, mafic-ultramafic intrusion, Itsindro Gabbro, Madagascar

- 48
- 49

### 50 **1. Introduction**

The Itsindro massif (south-central Madagascar, Fig. 1) was known to contain an Fe-Cu-Ni sulphide mineralization dominated by pyrrhotite with minor chalcopyrite and rare pentlandite. Previous work (Martel-Jantin, 1991) mentioned a cumulative thickness of 16 m of submassive pyrrhotite between 72 and 90 m in the only drill hole completed in the maficultramafic rocks of the complex. Further work in the area was abandoned because of the lack of Cu-Ni mineralization.

57 Following a recent 1/1,000,000-scale geologic and metallogenic synthesis of Madagascar 58 geology (Roig et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2012), interest in this massif was rekindled and new 59 studies were carried out. As part of a research project aimed at understanding the 60 parameters controlling the composition of magmatic sulphide assemblages (and specifically 61 their Ni-Cu-PGE contents), the sulphide mineralization was reinvestigated by the

examination of borehole samples. Selected samples were characterized in terms of
 petrography, ore paragenesis and geochemistry.

Detailed investigation of borehole samples revealed the presence of a systematic accumulation of Fe-Ti oxides (forming locally dm-sized sub-massive layers), closely associated with the Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides together with--in most samples--magmatic apatite in mafic (ferrogabbro) and ultramafic (ferropyroxenite) cumulates. This type of ore mineral association seems to be very uncommon, although it presents some similarities with mineral occurrences described in the Duluth Complex, Minnesota (Ripley et al., 1998).

The aim of this paper is to describe the mineralized drill core and to propose an original genetic model in which by combining textural, mineralogical and chemical evidence, we interpret the coexistence of the Fe-Ti-oxide-apatite mineralization and the Fe-sulphide mineralization (with virtually no Ni-Cu) as a result of a complex immiscibility process preceding a crustal S contamination of the Fe-Ti-(P)-rich magma.

75

### 76 **2. Geological environment and previous work**

77

The Itsindro gabbro massif, located in the Itremo region, belongs to the southern part of a 78 79 nearly continuous 450 km-long belt of mafic and granitic plutons of Neoproterozoic age (Tucker et al., 1999) interpreted as the root of a continental magmatic arc emplaced between 80 81 804 and 776 Ma, during or slightly preceding the breakup of the Rodinian supercontinent 82 (Archibald et al., 2016). Mafic-ultramafic bodies belonging to this belt, such as the Itsindro massif or the Ambodilafa complex (located 120 km E of the Itsindro massif) have all the 83 characteristics of anorogenic plutons (Tucker et al., 2012). The Itremo region (Fig. 1) is 84 characterized by late undifferentiated Archean migmatitic gneisses and schists (Tucker et al., 85 1999) and metamorphosed Mesoproterozoic sedimentary rocks of the Quarzto-Schisto-86 87 Calcaire series (Moine, 1968; 1974). The Itsindro gabbro gives an age of 790.1  $\pm$  0.9 Ma (U-Pb on zircon and baddeleyite) and the age range obtained for other plutons in the region is 88

compatible with the ages obtained in western Madagascar, thus defining a nearly continuous
belt of plutonic rocks interpreted as a continental magmatic arc (Handke et al., 1999).

The Itsindro massif is elongated, about 40 x 8 km, with a N-S orientation (Fig. 1), and emplaced in the Itremo "*Quarzto-schisto-calcaire*" series. Detailed mapping of the massif was carried out in 1968 (Coulombeau, 1969) under difficult conditions, owing to the lack of access and outcrop. The massif was interpreted as a series of gabbro-forming sills or laccoliths in the metamorphosed sedimentary series.

96 Other mafic-ultramafic facies have been sporadically observed but the difficult field 97 conditions did not allow their relationships with the gabbro units to be defined. They include 98 plagioclase lherzolite, clinopyroxenite, orthopyroxenite and dunite. The gabbro units are cut 99 by various felsic facies, such as syenite and various generations of granite, but again, their 100 relationships with the gabbros are not known.

The Itsindro massif was studied in the 1970s for its potential in Cu, after the discovery of malachite and azurite in a gossan developed on a magnetite-rich gabbro. A geochemical exploration survey identified an anomalous zone where the highest Ni and Cu values were superposed. This zone, corresponding to an area of about 0.07 km<sup>2</sup>, includes the mineralized occurrence known as "Lanjanina". A ground geophysical exploration survey conducted in the gossan area gave positive results and the superposition of the geochemical and geophysical anomalies was interpreted as indicating the existence of massive sulphides at depth.

A deep vertical borehole was drilled where the geochemical and geophysical anomalies were optimally superposed (Fournié, 1970). The LJ1 hole was stopped at a depth of 320.75 m (Fig. 2). The results were considered to be negative, in spite of the abundance of submassive sulphides: largely dominated by pyrrhotite, they yielded correspondingly low Cu and Ni values.

113

### 114 **3. Borehole description**

115

The drill core recovered in 1969 was well preserved and a detailed log at 1/100 scale was available with macroscopic descriptions (Fournié, 1970). Moreover from 34 to 320.7 m, every one-metre interval had been analyzed for Ni and Cu.

- 119 The log is relatively simple at the macroscopic scale and shows five main units (Fig. 2):
- 120 -0-8.2 m: oxidized iron cap ("gossan"),
- 121 8.2 15.35 m: gabbro (saprolite) unit,
- 122 15.35 34.02 m: granite unit (heterogeneous, weathered, and strongly fractured),

- 34.02 – 287.6 m: pyroxenite unit with dm- to m-thick dykes of fine grained granite and
syenite above 120 m, and metre-sized gabbro layers throughout the unit,

- 287.6 – 320.73 m: main gabbro unit, with 3- to 7 m-thick pyroxenite layers.

Most of the pyroxenite and gabbro facies are isotropic. In some cases however, a magmatic fabric was observed, suggesting that the complex is not strongly deformed and is preserved in its original position with a sub-horizontal layering. Similarly, when preserved, contacts between pyroxenite and gabbro, and massive ore and mafic-ultramafic rocks, tend to be horizontal. A weak hydrothermal alteration is developed in the first 130 m, with occurrences of secondary amphibole (locally forming amphibolite), biotite, chlorite, and carbonate.

Disseminated sulphides are observed throughout the borehole, in all rock types. From 72 to 90 m massive to sub-massive sulphide concentrations form centimetre- to decimetre-thick accumulations corresponding to the equivalent of about 16 m of the "massive sulphides layer" (Martel-Jantin, 1991). In this Fe-Cu-Ni sulfide-rich zone (hereafter "the main mineralized zone"), 50-cm intervals were analyzed for Ni and Cu. The maximum Ni value obtained is 2600 ppm, the maximum Cu, 2800, and there is a weak correlation between the two, with a Ni/Cu ratio close to 1/2.

139

### 140 **4. Sampling and petrography**

Thirty-three samples were collected throughout the drill core, from 34.8 m to 316.7 m. A
systematic sampling was supplemented by a specific sampling of the mineralized facies.
Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the samples. Sampling was focused on the
mafic-ultramafic rocks; granitic facies were not collected.

145 All the rock types consist of various proportions of clinopyroxene, plagioclase, olivine, 146 apatite, mica, rare small zircon and secondary amphibole. Orthopyroxene is totally lacking. In the pyroxenite unit; pyroxenite with various proportions of ore minerals is generally coarse-147 grained, exhibiting a mesocumulate texture (Figs. 3A, D). Olivine was found in four 148 149 pyroxenite samples (out of 17), and does not exceed 5 % in volume (Figs. 3H, K). In some samples olivine forms a rim around the ore minerals, in contact with clinopyroxene, 150 resembling a reaction texture (Figs. 3I, L). Some pyroxenites contain up to 10 % interstitial 151 152 plagioclase; plagioclase also locally forms a very thin (20 µm) rim between ore minerals and silicates. Gabbro interlayered in the pyroxenite unit exhibits either a fine-grained equant 153 texture, with a relatively low (20 %) proportion of plagioclase, or a pegmatitic facies in which 154 plagioclase dominates over pyroxene. 155

In the gabbro unit, gabbro seems to show a more pronounced magmatic mineral layering (Figs. 3B, E), marked by a preferred orientation of the plagioclase laths, and various types of gabbro are observed, from leucogabbro with 60 % plagioclase to mafic gabbro (close to plagioclase wehrlite). Olivine is common in the basal gabbro and may be more abundant than in the pyroxenite unit. Pyroxenite layers in the basal gabbro are olivine bearing. Their contacts with the host gabbro are sharp, and parallel to the magmatic layering.

Throughout the drill core, apatite occurs as a cumulus phase. It is ubiquitous, euhedral, and included in the magmatic silicates, in the oxide phases, in the Fe-(Cu-Ni) sulphides (Figs. 3G, H, J, K), and in the oxide- sulphide association. Locally it forms aggregates of crystals up

to 1.5 mm in size. Its volume may locally reach 30 % of the sample in the Fe-Ti oxide-rich facies and some samples consist of apatite-Fe-Ti oxides and Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides with virtually no silicate.

A weak, late hydrothermal alteration affects most of the gabbro and pyroxenite, and is characterized by amphibolization of pyroxene and the local development of carbonates This episode does not affect the ore minerals and is not accompanied by the deposition of metallic minerals. At hand-specimen scale we see no rhythmic layering anywhere in the borehole, and no evidence of a "layered complex" but rather two main units, suggesting that the borehole corresponds to two "mega-cyclic" units.

174

### 175 **5. Mineralization**

#### 176 5.1. Textures

Disseminated Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides and Fe-Ti oxides occur throughout the LJ1 borehole and there are no occurrences of oxide without sulphide or sulphide without oxide. The collected samples exhibit various proportions of Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides and Fe-Ti oxides (as reflected by whole-rock composition) and in spite of local variations the average proportion may be estimated at 50/50. At centimetre scale, nearly massive Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides with inclusions of Fe-Ti oxides alternate with sub-massive Fe-Ti oxides containing Fe-Cu-Ni sulphide inclusions.

In most cases, disseminated oxides and sulphides tend to be interstitial with respect to silicates. Sulphide and oxide crystals are generally adjacent rather than forming separate patches, and we observe "droplets" of Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides included in oxides and inclusions of euhedral oxide in Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides, suggesting that S immiscibility occurred before or contemporaneously with the oxide crystallization, and that the S liquid was still present when

the Fe-Ti oxides crystallized. Rare rounded inclusions of oxides and sulphides are alsoobserved in silicates,.

191 When the content of ore mineral reaches about 30 %, the ore begins to display a 192 characteristic net texture and the oxide-sulphide association generally appears interstitial with respect to euhedral magmatic silicates and apatite (Figs. 3C, F). This net texture is 193 194 typical of Fe-Ti oxide rock (Dymek and Owens, 2001). The net and massive textures clearly show euhedral to subhedral oxides enclosed in the Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides, both oxides and 195 sulphides forming a network surrounding isolated cumulus silicate grains (Figs. 4A, B, C, D), 196 very similar to the interconnected network around isolated silicate grains shown by Liu et al. 197 198 (2014) for an Fe-Ti mineralization in a context without Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides.

199 Graphite flakes ubiquitous, but always in very minor proportions (<1 %). Graphite generally 200 occurs as small clusters (<100  $\mu$ m) of fibres closely associated with the ore minerals.

201 5.2. Sulphides

Pentlandite is sparsely developed, mainly as large crystals and flame-like inclusions found
along cracks in pyrrhotite. Chalcopyrite appears as lamellar exsolutions in pyrrhotite as well
as larger grains between pyrrhotite crystals. Cobaltite-gersdorffite group minerals form
rounded inclusions in pyrrhotite. Tiny crystals of sphalerite were observed in a few samples.
Very small (max. 10 µm long) molybdenite flakes are commonly included in or adjacent to
Fe-(Cu-Ni) sulphides. A tiny Re-sulphide (rheniite) is very commonly found next to or partly
included in molybdenite (Figs. 4H, I).

209 5.3. Oxides

Most oxide grains are two-phase associations, composed of ilmenite free of exsolution lamellae, and titanomagnetite everywhere exhibiting complex subsolidus exsolution textures. Titanomagnetite is recrystallized into magnetite containing a complex network of oriented exsolutions and intergrowths of ilmenite and aluminous spinel-group minerals (Figs. 4E, G).

214 Ilmenite largely dominates over titanomagnetite. We never observed magnetite crystals free215 of exsolution.

The contact between ilmenite and titanomagnetite is highly irregular and marked by a fringe up to 120 µm in width of tiny AI-spinel crystals, titanite and biotite (Fig. 4F). Lozenge-shaped spinel crystals are also seen in titanomagnetite crystals. Such features have been described elsewhere, for example by Charlier et al. (2007) in the Tellnes deposit in Norway and by Liu et al. (2015) in Fe-Ti mineralization in a layered mafic intrusion in China.

221

### 222 6. Analytical techniques

Among the thirty-two samples collected throughout the section (all prepared in polished thin sections), twenty-two were selected for mineralogical analyses and fifteen for whole rock analyses (Table 1). Major and trace elements were analysed by ALS Minerals (Ireland). Major elements were analysed by ICP-AES after lithium borate fusion; Ag, Cu, Cd, Co, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sc, and Zn by ICP-AES after four-acid dissolution; other trace elements and rare elements by ICP-MS after lithium borate fusion; C and S by combustion furnace; and As, Bi, Hg, Sb, Se, and Te by ICP-MS after aqua regia dissolution.

Silicates and oxides were analysed by electron probe microanalyser (EPMA) with the 230 CAMECA SXFive operated by the "Plateforme analytique régionale" (BRGM - Université 231 d'Orléans - CNRS Orléans) equipped with 5 WDS spectrometers under the following 232 conditions: acceleration voltage of 15 keV, beam current of 12 nA, counting time of 10 sec. 233 Natural in-house minerals were used as standards. Ka lines were used for all the elements. 234 Apatite analyses were performed with a CAMECA SX100, at the GeoRessources Laboratory, 235 Université de Lorraine. For CI, P, and Ca a standard apatite was used with an acceleration 236 voltage of 15 keV, a beam current of 12 nA and a counting time of 10 sec. All the values 237 given below are above the detection limit. 238

In each thin section, usually five points were analysed on a given mineral species to test potential zoning and compositional variability. As expected in such a context, no significant differences were observed and average compositions were calculated and used in most of the diagrams below except for olivine, in which large compositional variations were obtained from one crystal to another within a given sample (see below).

### 244 7. Lithogeochemistry

Whole-rock analyses were performed on 15 selected representative samples to characterize their major, trace and rare earth element contents (Table 2). Their geochemistry, and more especially their contents of Ti (reported as TiO<sub>2</sub>) and Fe (reported as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), are strongly influenced by their contents of oxides (ilmenite, titanomagnetite) and sulphides (pyrrhotite±chalcopyrite±pentlandite). Note that as the samples are cumulates; the compositions obtained are not representative of liquid compositions.

#### 251 7.1. Major elements

252 The SiO<sub>2</sub> content of pyroxenite samples ranges between 13.3 and 47.2 wt. %. Highly variable contents of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> are also observed, between 14.25 and 54.4 wt. % and 1.91 and 253 254 14.2 wt. % respectively. These variations reflect the varying proportions of Fe-Ti oxides and Fe-sulphides. Some ten samples have an S content lower than 2 %, some showing TiO2 255 256 contents greater than 11 % (corresponding to S-poor oxide-rich mineralized samples). This is 257 the case for some gabbros, generally relatively poor in sulphides (less than 1.36 wt. % S) but locally rich in Fe-Ti oxides (TiO<sub>2</sub> up to 9.2 %). Two pyroxenite samples show extremely high 258 S contents (11.4 and 17.9 %) and a TiO<sub>2</sub> content ranging between 4.3 and 9.2 wt. %, 259 260 corresponding to samples mineralized by oxides and sulphides. Some samples are characterized by TiO<sub>2</sub> contents above 12 % (ilmenite-rich samples) and variable but high 261 262 sulphide contents between 2.3 and 9.5 % (sulphide-rich samples).

SiO<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are negatively correlated, sulphide-bearing samples showing the highest Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contents (Fig. 5G). SiO<sub>2</sub> is also negatively correlated with TiO<sub>2</sub> although the correlation

is not perfect (Fig. 5B). TiO<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are positively correlated (reflecting the proportion of Fe-Ti oxides, Fig. 5F). Similarly, and for the same reason, MgO and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are negatively correlated (Fig. 5D).

SiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO are positively correlated and two trends are observed (Fig. 5A). The first one is defined by pyroxenite samples characterized by an SiO<sub>2</sub>/MgO ratio of 3.4, the second is defined by gabbro samples with a higher SiO<sub>2</sub>/MgO ratio (around 6.3). Only one pyroxenite sample (LJ 31) shows Mg enrichment (SiO<sub>2</sub>/MgO = 1.6). A similar evolution is observed for CaO, positively correlated with SiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 5C). Both trends reflect an increasing proportion of clinopyroxene (together with a decreasing proportion of ore minerals).

In pyroxenite,  $Al_2O_3$  remains low, and is not correlated with the SiO<sub>2</sub> variations. High  $Al_2O_3$ values are seen only in the gabbros (Fig. 5E). Despite the presence of graphite, observed in nearly all thin sections, the total C content remains low, ranging between 0.10 and 0.54 % (Table 2).

278 7.2. Trace elements

Only a few trace elements exhibit significant and distinguishing contents. The V content 279 280 ranges between 176 and 1440 ppm without significant difference between pyroxenite and gabbro. Its abundance reflects the amount of titanomagnetite, this being the main V carrier 281 282 (1.12 % V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on average, EPMA data). A positive correlation is observed between V and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 5H) except for samples having the highest pyrrhotite contents (corresponding to 283 S contents ranging from 7.43 to 17.95 %). Ba, mainly hosted by plagioclase, is significantly 284 enriched in gabbro (81 to 348 ppm) compared to pyroxenite (2.8 to 68 ppm). The same 285 286 behaviour is observed for Sr, which ranges between 357 and 425 ppm in gabbro and between 12.8 and 52.4 ppm in pyroxenite. The Sc content ranges between 33 and 100 ppm, 287 and Zr is below 60 ppm. 288

Base metals are mainly represented by Cu, ranging between 44 and 5460 ppm in correlation with the variable, but always low content of chalcopyrite. Ni (22 to 1350 ppm), mainly carried

by rare pentlandite exsolutions in pyrrhotite and the cobaltite-gersdorffite mineral series, is positively correlated with Co (47 to 596 ppm, Fig. 6C), and with Cu (Fig. 6D). Note that the Ni content of olivine is typically low, <0.05 wt.%. Both Cu and Ni are positively correlated with S (Figs. 6A, B).

Few crystals from the cobaltite-gersdorffite mineral series have been identified, but no 295 correlation is observed between Ni, Co and As. Zn, mainly carried by Al spinel (2.75 % on 296 average, EPMA data) and by rare sphalerite crystals, ranges from 57 to 454 ppm. For such 297 an ultramafic environment the Cr content is low, ranging between 10 and 310 ppm (no 298 chromite crystals have been identified and the Cr content in clinopyroxene and 299 300 titanomagnetite is extremely low. In spite of the presence of molybdenite, the Mo content is low, around 1 ppm, except in the three samples that have the highest S content (LJ4, 17.95 301 302 wt.% S, LJ16, 11.40 and LJ22, 7.43) where it reaches 5-6 ppm, confirming that molybdenite is associated with the sulphide phase. 303

### 304 7.3. Rare Earth Elements

The REE content of the 15 analysed samples is low, ranging from 12 to 89.92 ppm in pyroxenite and 15.72 to 32.42 ppm in gabbro (Table 2). Apatite-rich samples are characterized by the highest REE contents and a positive correlation is observed between the amount of  $P_2O_5$  and the sum of REE, confirming that the majority of the REE are carried by apatite (Fig. 7A). LREE are dominant and the LREE/HREE ratio ranges from 1.56 to 3.74.

The chondrite-normalized REE profiles are reported in Figures 7B, C, D. The REE patterns are slightly fractionated, with a low La/Lu ratio ranging between 1.1 and 6.7. LREE are poorly fractionated (0.53<La/Sm<1.37) compared to HREE (1.84<Gd/Lu<5.25). The Eu anomaly ranges from 0.86 to 1.41 in pyroxenite and 1.79 to 2.45 in gabbro. Sample LJ9 containing about 10 % of interstitial plagioclase also exhibits a positive Eu anomaly (Fig. 7B). The LREE enrichment observed in samples LJ22, 16, 11, 26 and 10 is in accordance with their contents of apatite.

317

#### 318 8. Mineral chemistry

Four main types of sample were distinguished in the log: "barren" pyroxenite and its oxide/sulphide mineralized equivalent, and "barren" gabbro and its oxide/sulphide mineralized equivalent

#### 322 8.1. Clinopyroxene

Clinopyroxene was analyzed in 21 samples (out of 22) and shows a wide range of compositions (Table 3). In the Ca-Mg-Fe triangular diagram (not shown), clinopyroxene plots in the augite field, close to the salite domain, and is marked by large Mg-for-Fe substitution. The most Mg-rich clinopyroxene is found in gabbro, but there is a large compositional overlap in clinopyroxene compositions from pyroxenite and gabbro (Fig. 8).

As regards minor elements, large variations are observed:  $Al_2O_3$  content ranges between 329 3.04 and 1.91 wt.% and TiO<sub>2</sub> between 1.08 and 0.53, whereas  $Cr_2O_3$  is generally below the 330 detection limit (around 0.01 wt.%). with a maximum of 0.06 wt.%.

There is a significant decrease in the  $Al_2O_3$  and  $TiO_2$  contents in the pyroxenites and gabbros correlated to an Mg decrease (Fig. 8) but the presence of ore minerals does not seem to influence the mineral composition.

334 The Mg number (Mg/(Mg+Fe) at. conc.) of the clinopyroxene was plotted as a function of depth (Fig. 9) and two domains appear: the first, from the bottom (316 m) to 162.8 m, is 335 336 marked by a regular decrease in the Mg number (and a correlated drop in  $TiO_2$  and  $Al_2O_3$ ); 337 the second, observed from 162.8 m to the top, is characterized by a reverse trend occurring 338 within the pyroxenite unit with a sudden increase in the Mg number, followed by a complex 339 and irregular evolution up to the top of the pyroxenite unit. The first trend cuts across the petrographic and macroscopic units described in the log, and is compatible with an 340 undisturbed fractional crystallization process. In the second domain of the section, the  $Al_2O_3$ 341

in the pyroxene seems to remain fairly constant whereas TiO<sub>2</sub> appears to vary erratically(Fig. 9).

344 8.2. Olivine

Olivine was analysed in nine samples: four pyroxenites and five gabbros (Table 4). Contrary 345 346 to the other silicates, olivine exhibits large compositional variations within a given sample. The maximum range is for the gabbro LJ28 where the Fo values range between 39.80 and 347 50.40 % (average 46.38 on 6 analytical points). In terms of average values per sample, the 348 Fo content ranges from 62.85 (lowermost olivine gabbro LJ31) to 37.2 (uppermost olivine 349 350 pyroxenite LJ11). There is no relationship between the nature of the host rock and the olivine composition. Plotted versus depth, the Fo content tends to decrease from the bottom to the 351 marker level (discontinuity) near 163 m. The same trend is shown by the clinopyroxene 352 353 composition (Fig. 9). Interestingly, as with clinopyroxene, above this point the Mg number increases again, suggesting that the level corresponds to a significant horizon in the 354 evolution of the complex. 355

Considering minor elements, the NiO content in the olivine appears typically low, <0.05 wt.%, whereas MnO, correlated with Mg number (Fig. 10), decreases from 1.13 (Fo 40.18 %) to 0.36 wt.% (Fo 62.85 %).

The Fe-Mg distribution between olivine and clinopyroxene has been studied experimentally (Perkins and Vielzeuf, 1992). The experimental curve is plotted in Figure 11, together with olivine (average values) coexisting with clinopyroxene from the Lanjanina drill core. The observed trend partly overlaps the experimental one, the former tends to be more Mg-rich than the composition expected from equilibrium with the olivine. This suggests that there is no significant re-equilibration between the silicates and the Fe-Ti oxides.

As mentioned above, olivine is seen either as individual subhedral crystals or as thin rims between clinopyroxene – Fe-Ti-oxide or clinopyroxene – Fe-sulphide (Figs. 3I, L). Heterogeneity in composition is observed within a single crystal and olivine rimming the Fe-

368 rich minerals does not show any specific Fe-enrichment that could be interpreted as 369 subsolidus re-equilibration. Olivine composition may have been locally modified at a late 370 magmatic stage but we do not have any evidence of such a mechanism.

371 8.3. Plagioclase

Plagioclase was analysed in three gabbros from the basal gabbro unit and in three plagioclase pyroxenites and two gabbros from the pyroxenite unit (Table 5). Plagioclase tends to be interstitial in pyroxenite, and to form laths in the gabbro. In some mineralized facies, it also occurs as a thin rim between clinopyroxene and ore minerals.

Plagioclase is relatively homogeneous in composition within a single sample, but at the scale of the drill core variations from An 50.22 to An 98.14 have been recorded. In greater detail, plagioclase from the basal gabbro unit appears very homogeneous (An57-An58) and fairly similar to plagioclase from the gabbro in the pyroxenite unit (An ca. 53), whereas interstitial plagioclases in pyroxenite are more An-rich but also have more variable compositions (An 74.3 for sample LJ14 and An 96-97 for sample LJ12-JL13).

Plotted versus depth (Fig. 9), the An composition seems to reflect changes in the mineral composition already observed around 163 m but there is no obvious correlation between the plagioclase and pyroxene compositions.

385 8.4. Amphiboles

Most amphiboles derive from hydrothermal alteration of clinopyroxene, and locally their proportion may exceed that of clinopyroxene. As a result, they are calcic ferromagnesian amphiboles and various compositions have been obtained in the magnesiohornblende and tschermakite fields, corresponding to various Si/Al ratios. Some are locally enriched in TiO<sub>2</sub> (up to 2.85 wt.%). Rare magmatic amphiboles have been observed, occurring as colourless interstitial crystals, with a composition close to the actinolite-tremolite boundary.

392 8.5. Apatite

Apatite was analysed in the two samples where it is most abundant (Table 6). Their 393 composition is relatively homogeneous, and at the crystal scale no zoning was observed. 394 395 The major-element content is similar in the two samples (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> around 42.2 wt.% and CaO 55.9-56.5), with FeO ranging between 0.5 and 0.35 wt.%, and MnO, MgO and SrO remaining 396 close to the detection limits of 0.15, 0.05 and 0.05 wt.% respectively. A slight difference 397 appears in the halogen contents with an X<sup>F</sup> value of 1.21 for sample LJ19 and 0.90 for LJ22. 398 399 The presence of REE (La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd and Dy) was tested with a detection limit of 0.05 % for the rare-earth oxides; only Pr appears to be above the detection limit (Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 400 ranging between 0.15 and 0.2 wt. %). 401

402 8.6. Fe-Ti oxides

403 Magnetites were analysed in homogeneous areas devoid of ilmenite and spinel exsolution. 404 Their compositions are quite homogeneous and no evolution with depth is observed (Table 405 7). They are characterized by low  $TiO_2$  (less than 1 wt. %),  $Al_2O_3$  (0 to 1.32 wt. %) and  $Cr_2O_3$ 406 (0 to 0.87 wt. %) contents, whereas  $V_2O_3$  ranges between 0.36 and 2.45 wt. %.

Like titanomagnetite, ilmenite (either as exsolution lamellae within titanomagnetite or as discrete grains) exhibits a homogeneous composition characterized by average contents of MgO and MnO of 0.84 and 0.81 wt. % respectively (Table 8). The V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is low, between 0 and 0.35 wt. %. Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is very low, and generally not detected

The spinel-group minerals range in composition between spinel s.s. and hercynite; their Fe<sup>2+</sup>/(Fe<sup>2+</sup>+Mg) ratios ranging between 0.48 and 0.80 (Table 9). Their ZnO contents range between 1.51 and 6.92 % (avg. 2.49 %). No correlation was observed between the composition of the oxides and the depth of sampling.

415

### 416 9. Phase proportion

417 Knowing the mineral composition (EPMA data) and the bulk analysis, we have estimated the

theoretical proportion of each ore mineral in the analysed samples.

419 For the oxides, the calculation is based on the following assumptions:

420 - All TiO<sub>2</sub> is carried by ilmenite (discrete crystals and exsolution in titanomagnetite); the

421 TiO<sub>2</sub> content of associated magnetite, about 0.50 % on average, has been neglected;

- The contents of TiO<sub>2</sub>, FeO, MnO and MgO in ilmenite are based on its average
   composition obtained by EPMA analysis (Table 8);
- 424 The proportion of FeO in the bulk analysis due to the titanomagnetite contribution has
- 425 been estimated on the basis of the relative proportion of  $V_2O_3$  (magnetite being the
- 426 only V carrier). The contents of FeO and  $V_2O_3$  in magnetite are estimated on the
- 427 basis of its average EPMA analyses (Table 7).
- 428 The estimated proportions of ilmenite and magnetite are reported in Table 10.

429 For the Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides (pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite and pentlandite):

430 - All sulphur is distributed between these three minerals. The amount of sphalerite and
431 other minerals is considered to be negligible;

The composition of the sulphides corresponds to the theoretical composition
(chalcopyrite - 34.63 wt. % Cu, 30.43 wt. % Fe, 34.94 wt. % S; pentlandite - 32.56
wt. % Fe, 34.21 wt. % Ni, 33.23 wt. % S; pyrrhotite - 62.33 wt. % Fe, 37.67 wt. % S).

S was first distributed to chalcopyrite and pentlandite. The remaining sulphur was then
attributed to pyrrhotite. The estimated proportions of the main sulphides are reported in Table
10.

Similarly, based on the average composition of apatite ( $P_2O_5$ : 42.2 wt. % and CaO: 56.2 wt. %, Table 6), and assuming that all P is contained in this mineral, the calculated amount of apatite ranges between 0.05 and 5.7 % in the 15 analysed samples. The silicate proportion is estimated by difference to total 100 %. 442

#### 443 **10. Discussion**

### 444 10.1. Emplacement and differentiation

The 320.7 m of the Lanjanina drill core approximately correspond to the true thickness of the cumulate pile sampled, which is very small compared to the whole Itsindro complex, whose outcrop area is about 40 x 8 km. Moreover this drill core, emplaced on geophysical and geochemical anomalies, cannot be representative of the whole complex, although it constitutes a key zone for understanding the mineralizing processes.

The drill core consists of a 254-m-thick pyroxenite unit resting on a gabbro unit (of unknown thickness) and overlain by 19 m of granite, capped by a weathered gabbro unit. Ore minerals are found throughout the drill core but are concentrated in a 19 m-thick zone near the top of the pyroxenite unit. We clearly see that although the dominant facies in the Itsindro complex is a gabbro, the Lanjanina section is dominated by pyroxenite.

Pyroxenite occurrences are interlayered in the basal gabbro, as are gabbro horizons in the pyroxenite unit. The upper third of the pyroxenite unit is cut by granitic dykes, which seem to be feeders for the upper granite unit. A magmatic layering is locally observed, but at the scale of the core mineral layering is generally lacking and most facies are isotropic.

459 Mineral chemistry indicates that the rocks are highly differentiated, and in spite of their 460 relatively limited thickness, the differentiation process is observed within the core. Two zones can be distinguished: from the bottom to 162.8 m, we see a decrease in the Mg number of 461 462 olivine (0.63 to 0.40) and pyroxene (0.72 to 0.57), and a drop in TiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for the latter. This evolution cuts across the petrographic and macroscopic units described in the log and is 463 compatible with an undisturbed fractional crystallization process. This suggests that the basal 464 gabbro unit and the bottom half of the pyroxenite unit belong to the same magmatic system. 465 466 A reverse trend is then observed above the 162.8 m level, within the pyroxenite unit, followed

by a complex and irregular trend in the Mg number of olivine (0.53 to 0.37) and pyroxene 467 (0.68 to 0.61). In the upper part of the drilled section,  $Al_2O_3$  in pyroxene seems to remain 468 469 fairly constant whereas TiO<sub>2</sub> seems to vary erratically. This reversed and disturbed trend 470 could reflect physical and/or chemical disturbances in the magma chamber, such as the incorporation of a less differentiated magma and seems to be marked by the local presence 471 of gabbro. Note that the main mineralized zone is located in this upper part of the unit, about 472 473 70 m above the marker level. Duchesne et al. (2006) invoke such an inverse evolution 474 resulting from crystallization downwards from the roof in the case of the Fedorivka layered intrusion. 475

The silicate composition is not related to the content of ore minerals, Fe-Ti oxides or Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides, suggesting that the magmatic system is relatively independent of the mineralizing systems and that they are all in equilibrium. Thus, the mineral composition suggests that the magmatic pile corresponds to two magmatic cycles in a single magma chamber.

### 481 10.2. Ore mineral composition

482 Fe-Ti oxides have an almost constant composition throughout the drill core. Titanomagnetite everywhere contains ilmenite exsolutions resulting from subsolidus re-equilibration. In most 483 484 cases the ilmenite and titanomagnetite crystals are adjacent, suggesting a genetic link between them. Their contacts are irregular, with complex interfingerings of magnetite into 485 ilmenite (defined as symplectite, see McEnroe et al., 2000), with the systematic presence of 486 487 Al-Zn spinel surrounding the interfingered magnetite. Al spinel is also common as exsolution 488 lamellae in magnetite. Magnetite is characterized by a high V-content (up to 1.7 % V) which according to Duchesne et al. (2006) is an indication of a high V<sup>3+</sup> content in the melt, 489 revealing crystallization under low fO2 conditions Unlike ilmenite in anorthosite-related 490 deposits (where ilmenite is an early liquidus phase crystallizing after plagioclase), which have 491 a high MgO content (2.5 - 4.0 wt.% for example in the Tellnes Fe-Ti deposits, Charlier et al., 492 493 2007), ilmenite from the Lanjanina area has a low MgO content (< 1.5 wt.%, except in one

basal gabbro where it reaches 2.7 wt.%). The low MgO is consistent with the derivation of
the Fe-Ti oxide from a fairly evolved Fe-rich Mg-poor liquid, MgO in ilmenite being directly
related to the Mg number of the magma (Charlier et al., 2007).

497 Fe-(Cu-Ni) sulphides were not analysed with the EPMA, but only qualitatively characterized using an SEM equipped with an EDS detector. During this checking, we found small 498 499 molybdenite crystals included in the Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides. In most cases they take the form of elongated particles, 10 x 2 µm. In almost every case, a very small rheniite grain is adjacent to 500 501 the molybdenite flakes or interlayered in the molybdenite structure. Owing to the size of both minerals, EPMA analyses were not possible. However, qualitative analyses enabled the 502 503 detection of Re in solid solution in molybdenite. Rheniite and Re-rich molybdenite are typical of certain porphyry-type ore deposits and sublimates derived from volcanic gases. Obviously 504 505 we are in a very different setting here, and although molybdenite is a common mineral in magmatic Ni-Cu sulphides in mafic-ultramafic environments (Garuti et al., 2001; Helmy, 506 507 2004), as far as we know rheniite has never been described in a similar context.

508 In the magmatic sulphide deposit in Wetlegs, Duluth Complex, occurrences of molybdenite associated with Co-Ni arsenides are interpreted as proving the presence of hydrothermal 509 510 fluids related to country-rock assimilation (Raic et al., 2015). The molybdenite-rheniite assemblage described here shows some similarities with occurrences described by 511 Voudouris et al. (2009) in a porphyry-type Mo-Cu prospect in northern Greece. The authors 512 suggested that rheniite and molybdenite coprecipated from a vapour phase. The close 513 514 relationships between them could suggest that the Re sulphide may have exsolved from the Mo sulphide, but detailed examination of the SEM images (Figs. 4H, I), and rare occurrences 515 of rheniite without molybdenite preclude this possibility. 516

517 10.3. Ore mineral texture

A typical net texture characterizes the mineralized samples. This texture, very common in magmatic sulphide ores, has also been recognized in many Fe-Ti oxide deposits. Wang and Zhou (2013) suggested that net-textured ores formed from immiscible Fe-Ti-(P)-rich melts

521 segregated from an evolved magma. Using 3-D CT reconstruction, Liu et al. (2014) 522 demonstrated that in the net-textured ores, Fe-Ti oxides form an interconnected network 523 enclosing silicate cumulates, as a result of the crystallization of Fe-Ti oxides from an Fe-Ti-524 rich liquid percolating throughout an unconsolidated silicate pile.

Wang and Zhou (2013) underlined the analogy between sulphide and Fe-Ti net-textured 525 ores. There is however a major difference: in the case of sulphide ore, it is accepted that the 526 Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides crystallized directly from an immiscible sulphide liquid, whereas given the 527 existence of an immiscible Fe-Ti-rich melt percolating through the silicates, the Fe-Ti oxides 528 must crystallise from this magmatic liquid and be segregated in the interstices between the 529 530 silicates; the residual liquid must then be evacuated, probably through a filter-press process. The special feature of the Lanjanina net-textured ore is its association of Fe-(Cu-Ni) 531 532 sulphides and Fe-Ti oxides within the same facies.

An alternative hypothesis has been proposed to explain the typical net-texture of the Fe-Ti 533 oxides. This model considers that the Fe-Ti oxides are cumulus minerals crystallized from a 534 Fe-Ti-P- rich magma, the net-texture resulting from sub-solidus reequilibration of the oxides 535 (see Duchesne, 1996; 1999; Charlier et al., 2015). These authors suggested that high 536 temperature deformation enhances the process. This model was developed for Fe-Ti 537 deposits in anorthosites where deformation is related to the diapiric emplacement of the 538 anorthosite plutons. In the specific case of the Lanjanina mineralisation we do not have 539 evidences of deformation features such as the strongly foliated rocks commonly described in 540 541 anorthosite bodies (Charlier et al., 2015). Net-textures similar to textures described for Fe-Ti oxides are very common in chromite ore from stratiform (Bailly et al., 2011) or ophiolite 542 complexes (Cassard et al., 1981) where subhedral chromite crystals fill interstices between 543 undeformed olivine crystals. This texture is interpreted as a primary magmatic texture and we 544 favour the same origin for the net texture of the Lajanina mineralization. 545

546 10.4. The Fe-Ti-P mineralization and the immiscibility question

Horizons of gabbro mineralized by Fe–Ti-(V) oxides and apatite are commonly observed in
layered intrusions of various types, such as the Bushveld (Eales and Cawthorn, 1996),
Skaergaard (McBirney, 1975), Duluth (Ripley et al., 1998), Bjerkreim-Sokndal (Duchesne
and Charlier, 2005), Panzhihua (Zhou et al., 2005), and Sept-Iles (Namur et al., 2012).
Ferrogabbros with large Fe-Ti-(V) deposits are also commonly associated with massif-type
anorthosites (Duchesne, 1999; Duchesne et al., 2006; Charlier et al., 2015).

Several hypotheses have been proposed for their origin. Cawthorn and Ashwal (2009) listed 553 five possible mechanisms from the literature to account for the formation of the magnetite 554 layers in the Bushveld Complex: liquid immiscibility (Reynolds, 1985); magma addition and/or 555 556 mixing (Harney et al., 1990); settling and sorting of minerals (Wager and Brown, 1968); change in oxygen content (Klemm et al., 1985); and changes in pressure (Cawthorn and 557 558 McCarthy, 1985). They concluded that liquid immiscibility cannot be the operating process, because this process cannot generate monomineralic layers with a sharp contact to the 559 560 underlying anorthosite. They favour changes in pressure to explain the origin and characteristics of the layers of magnetite. 561

562 Charlier et al. (2008) considered that the Fe-Ti-P ores in the Grader intrusion (Havre-Saint 563 Pierre Anorthosite, Quebec) represent cumulates rather than crystallized Fe–Ti–P-rich 564 immiscible melts and resulted from successive appearances of a liquidus phase in a 565 fractional crystallization process, followed by gravitational segregation. They concluded that 566 there is no evidence for an origin of the oxide ore by liquid immiscibility.

567 On the other hand, based on mineralogical and textural constraints Wang and Zhou (2013) 568 considered that the Fe-Ti oxide deposit in the Panxi region (SW China), occurring in 569 plagioclase pyroxenite, had formed from an Fe-Ti-(P)-rich melt segregated from a 570 differentiated ferrobasaltic magma as a result of liquid immiscibility. The mineralization 571 consists of titanomagnetite, ilmenite and apatite interstitial to clinopyroxene and plagioclase,

forming a net texture. They emphasized the similarity between this texture and the magmaticsulphide ores.

574 The guestion of liquid immiscibility has also been highlighted by the study of melt inclusions. 575 Fe- and Si-rich liquids are known as inclusions trapped in apatite and olivine in the Upper Zone of the Skaergaard intrusion, showing signs of liquid immiscibility in the late-stage 576 577 evolution of the magma. Jacobsen et al. (2011) conclude that a significant part of the Skaergaard intrusion crystallized from an "emulsion" of Fe- and Si-rich immiscible melts, and 578 explained the heterogeneous composition of the melt inclusions in plagioclase from the 579 Lower Zone by the entrapment of various proportions of Si-rich and Fe-rich liquid. In the 580 581 Upper Zone, most of the inclusions in apatite are composed of end-member liquids. Metresized pods and layers of melanogranophyre in the upper part of the intrusion are believed to 582 583 represent pooled bodies of the immiscible Si-rich liquid.

If we accept the existence of liquid immiscibility, the question then becomes the mode of segregation of the two liquids. Charlier et al. (2011) and Jakobsen et al. (2011) considered that evolved Fe–Ti–P gabbros from the Skaergaard and Sept-Iles layered intrusions have crystallized from a "liquid emulsion". For Namur et al. (2012) the question concerning the origin of these rocks is not whether they are "cumulates" or "solidified immiscible liquids" but whether they are cumulates formed from a homogenous melt or from a mixture of two immiscible liquids.

591 Charlier et al. (2015) in a review paper describing Fe-Ti-V-P ore deposits in anorthosites 592 listed five possible ore-forming processes: (1) fractional crystallization, crystal sorting and 593 plagioclase buoyancy, (2) immiscibility, (3) magma mixing (4) filter-press compaction and (5) 594 hydrothermal remobilization. They concluded that immiscibility of an Fe-Ti-(P)-rich melt 595 should be more carefully examined. Duchesne and Liégeois (2015) suggested that high REE 596 values in whole rocks and apatites in the Hestnes deposit (Rogaland anorthosite province,

Norway) coexisting with high values of Cr in magnetites indicates that the Hestnes cumulates
were derived from an Fe-rich liquid resulting from an immiscibility process.

599 What are the main evidences in the Lanjanina mineralisation in favour of one of these five 600 processes? The very high proportion of Fe-Ti oxide is compatible with fractional 601 crystallization and crystal sorting, but the lack of anorthosite in the Itsindro complex does not 602 permit us to invoke plagioclase buoyancy. Trends in the evolution of the mineral composition 603 in the cumulate pile could suggest magma mixing, but since the ore minerals are distributed 604 along the section, such a process cannot be responsible for the formation of the Fe-Ti oxides. Filter pressing can be invoked as a mechanism causing the removal of trapped 605 606 interstitial liquid but cannot have a major role in the mineralizing process. Finally we have no evidence of hydrothermal remobilization. 607

608 Thus, with reference to some of the literature data we favor the hypothesis of immiscibility between two silicate melts with (1) an Fe-Ti-(P)-rich liquid crystallizing to form the 609 ferrogabbro and the ferropyroxenite, plus the Fe-Ti oxides and apatite, and (2) an Si-rich 610 liquid taking the form of granitic dykes, intruding the top 120 m of the pyroxenite unit (like the 611 aranophyre veins in the Skaergaard Intrusion) and forming a sill or layer. The separation of 612 613 the two immiscible liquids must have been facilitated by the density contrast between the heavier, Fe-rich liquid and the lighter Si-rich viscous one. The Fe-Ti-(P)-rich liquid will 614 undergo fractional crystallization and crystal sorting, leading to the abnormally high 615 concentration of apatite and Fe-Ti oxides. This model also provides an explanation for the 616 617 presence of Si-rich dykes in the gabbro and pyroxenite units.

Accepting that the Lanjanina sequence corresponds to the two end-members of the immiscibility process, nothing explains the mechanism leading to the formation of Fesulphide. Such a high proportion of disseminated and locally sub-massive sulphides must be the crystallization product of a sulphide liquid, and such a liquid must result from immiscibility between sulphide and silicate liquids. Mechanisms leading to the formation of an immiscible

sulphide liquid are well documented *e.g.*, Naldrett, 1981, 1989; Lesher, 1989. In such a
context, *i.e.*, an evolved magma, an S enrichment in the magma attributable to crustal
contamination must be envisaged but has not so far been demonstrated.

626 10.5. Sulphide immiscibility in an Fe-Ti-P liquid: evidence from the Duluth Complex as a possible627 analogue

Although occurrences of apatite Fe-Ti-rich oxide rocks ("nelsonites") are common in gabbroic 628 629 and ultramafic rocks, the complex association of apatite, Fe-Ti oxide, Fe sulphide and mafic silicates observed in the Lanjanina drill hole is fairly uncommon. As far as we know, however, 630 631 the occurrence of apatite- and Fe-Ti oxide-rich rocks containing sulphide minerals in amounts up to several volume percent has been described only in the Boulder Lake area in 632 the Southern part of the Duluth Complex. In this complex, apatite and Fe-Ti oxide minerals 633 are also found in the massive sulphide mineralization at the Babbitt deposit. These 634 occurrences led Ripley et al. (1998) to compare the two types of mineralization and to 635 speculate about their origin. 636

The Boulder Lake area is composed of several intrusive bodies in the troctolitic rocks of the
Duluth Complex. These intrusions are either apatite- and Fe-Ti oxide-rich ultramafic bodies
or apatite-poor oxide-rich ultramafic bodies, both showing the same geometry and position.

640 The intrusions range from lenses up to 25 m in thickness to large ovoid bodies as much as 641 500 x 150 x 200 m in size. The ultramafic facies are composed of olivine and pyroxene, in 642 various proportions from dunite to pyroxenite, with local gradations between both. Apatite content ranges from 2 to 30 % and Fe-Ti oxides from 10 to 25 % with a few massive oxide 643 zones up to 40 m thick. Ilmenite is generally the dominant oxide, always accompanied by 644 645 titanomagnetite. Plagioclase accounts for less than 10 % in most Fe-Ti oxide ultramafic intrusions, but may reach 50 % in oxide-rich troctolitic portions. Sulphide minerals are found 646 as interstitial phases or as inclusions in apatite and silicates. Accessory minerals include Zn-647 rich hercynite, biotite, zircon and baddeleyite; alteration minerals include chlorite, serpentine 648 649 and actinote.

The Babbitt area, located in the north of the complex (about 60 km N of the Boulder Lake 650 area) contains a vein-like massive sulphide mineralization (with pyrrhotite, cubanite and 651 652 chalcopyrite) known as the Babbitt deposit. It is also characterized by the presence of apatite as up to 10 volume % of euhedral crystals. The nature of the apatite, included in massive 653 sulphide, is identical to that in Fe-Ti oxides from the Boulder Lake area. Moreover the 654 minerals found in the massive sulphide mineralization at Babbitt are identical to those found 655 656 in the nelsonite occurrences in the Boulder Lake area, but in different proportions: ilmenite, 657 magnetite, Zn-hercynite, biotite, zircon and baddeleyite occurring as accessory minerals.

For Ripley et al. (1998), the presence of rounded sulphide droplets in apatite and oxide as well as interstitial grains of sulphide in a framework of oxide and apatite crystals suggest that an immiscible sulphide liquid accompanied the apatite-oxide liquid, which itself is most consistent with an origin through Fe-Ti-P liquid immiscibility. The fact that both the nelsonite and sulphide occurrences contain accessories such as biotite, Zn-rich spinel, zircon and baddeleyite suggests that small amounts of incompatible elements may be found in both immiscible sulphide and Fe-Ti-P liquids

Ripley et al. (1998) concluded that the apatite-bearing sulphide mineralization at Babbitt and the sulphide-bearing apatite-Fe-Ti oxide rock occurrences at Boulder Lake represent end members of immiscible liquids formed during crystallization of tholeiitic magmas, most likely in the presence of a volatile phase. Nelsonite at Boulder Lake and massive sulphide at Babbitt are associated with a ferrogabbro host rock and derivation of each type of mineralization from such a parental melt is a strong possibility, but the nature of the parental magma from which the immiscible liquids were derived remains poorly constrained.

The Lanjanina mineralization probably represents the same processes but with sulphide immiscibility occurring within the oxide-apatite rich liquid. The sulphide composition, dominated by Fe-sulphide, confirms that S immiscibility occurred in an evolved liquid, having a composition close to the Fe-Ti-P gabbro, strongly impoverished in Ni and Cu.

The geology of the Itsindro gabbro massif is poorly constrained, and we have no field observations showing the relationships between the "Lanjanina" facies and the other gabbro facies. The geochemical and geophysical exploration campaign conducted in the Itsindro massif enabled evaluation of the extensions of two anomalies caused by the sulphide and Fe-Ti-P mineralization, covering an area of a few ha. Only the southern one (Lanjanina) was drilled.

We speculate that these two anomalies trace the outline of the Lanjanina facies, corresponding to a quite limited volume at the scale of the Massif. Thus, by analogy with the Duluth Complex's Boulder Lake area, it is suggested that the Lanjanina type ultramafic mineralized facies form intrusive bodies within the gabbroic rocks corresponding to a specific magmatic evolution.

687 10.6. Contamination and S immiscibility

688 A common feature for a large number of sulphide deposits is the presence of graphite associated with ore, with graphite-sulphide-bearing schist in the vicinity of intrusions (Boyd 689 690 and Mathiesen, 1979; Mainwaring Naldrett, Peltonen, 1995). and 1977; Assimilation/contamination processes, and in particular assimilation of graphite-sulphur-691 692 bearing rocks, appear to be important in the formation of sulphide ore deposits (Naldrett, 693 1999), because of the modifications of magmatic processes they imply. Note however that graphite can also be a primary magmatic phase precipitated from the partially outgassed 694 residual liquid trapped between silicate crystals, when saturation of the liquid in carbon is 695 696 reached (Pineau et al., 1987). This process of crystallisation of graphite under magmatic conditions from a carbon outgassed melt is also applicable to mineralised samples. The role 697 of the host-rock is important because the carbon contamination of magma enhances the 698 crystallisation of graphite from the residual (Pineau et al., 1987). Study of carbon isotopes 699 700 allows the contribution of contamination to be established (Lerouge et al., 2001).

The strong sulphide enrichment in the Lanjanina area associated with highly differentiated facies must arise from the incorporation of S from the country rock. This is clearly not the case, for example, for the PGE-poor Fe-Ni-Cu sulphides described as tiny blebs (1 to 3 vol. %) in Fe-Ti oxide-bearing layered intrusions in the Tarim igneous province, NW China, for which a mantle origin has been demonstrated, with sulphide saturation occurring at a late stage of the fractional crystallization process (Cao et al, 2016).

The host "*schisto-quartzo-calcaire*" series is the probable source of the S contamination. We have no evidence however that the molybdenite, associated with the sulphides, reflects this contamination episode. Moreover Re, almost systematically associated with molybdenite in the form of rheniite, is generally interpreted as evidence of a magmatic hydrothermal process.

712 10.7. A tentative model

Several papers have recently proposed models to account for the formation of Fe-Ti oxide deposits. Some are focused on the large Permian Emeishan igneous province (ELIP, SW China, Liu et al., 2014; She et al., 2014; Xing et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2005; 2008; 2013). They first consider a deep-seated magma chamber into which is injected a high-Ti picritic mantle-derived magma that will accumulate ultramafic minerals. The residual liquid will be Fe-Ti enriched. After immiscible separation, the two magma compositions will evolve separately.

By analogy with the ELIP, the model proposed (Fig. 12) considers a mantle-derived primitive magma. This magma, stored in a deep-seated magma chamber, will crystallize ultramafic cumulates. Analogues of such ultramafic facies are known in the area, such as the Ambodilafa complex (Tucker et al. 2012). The residual liquid will be an evolved Fe-Ti-rich magma that will continue its fractional crystallization process and generate the various pyroxenite and gabbro units that form the Itsindro complex. Part of this magma (or a different pulse) will have a different evolution and follow two compositional trends after immiscible

727 separation: an Si-rich magma and an Fe-Ti-P-rich, Si-poor magma. The Si-rich magma will be expelled from the Si-poor melt and form syenitic and granitic dykes and lenses in the 728 729 complex. Owing to crustal contamination, the Fe-Ti oxide-rich magma will become enriched in S, and at a certain stage of its evolution (cooling, changes in physical and chemical 730 parameters), S saturation will result in the formation of an immiscible sulphide liquid. The 731 massive crystallization of Fe-Ti oxides will drag down droplets of sulphide liquid, resulting in 732 733 various mineralized Fe-Ti oxide and Fe-(Cu-Ni) sulphide-rich ore types. The Fe-rich nature of this evolved magma explains the composition of the Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides, largely 734 dominated by pyrrhotite. 735

736

### 737 **11. Conclusion**

The Lanjanina series drilled in the Itsindro Gabbro Complex corresponds to a mineralized 738 part of the complex. As such, it is not possible to extrapolate the result obtained here to the 739 whole complex. The Lanjanina series provides an illustration of complex immiscibility and 740 741 contamination processes. The first immiscibility affecting an evolved mafic magma results in the segregation of two liquids, a "light" Si-rich magma that will tend to coalesce and be 742 evacuated through dykes (conduits) to form an upper granitic layer, and a dense Fe-Ti-rich, 743 Si-poor magma. At a certain stage, this magma will be contaminated by S. The dense 744 745 magma will start to crystallize according to a normal fractional crystallization process, with cumulus olivine, apatite, pyroxene, and cumulus to intercumulus plagioclase. Early in its 746 evolution, S saturation will result in sulphide immiscibility, with formation of sulphide droplets. 747 Fe-Ti oxides will tend to grow on the S droplets and both heavy phases will accumulate 748 749 locally to form layers. The Fe-Ti-rich composition of the magma explains both the abundance of Fe-Ti oxides, and the composition of the sulphide (the magma being totally impoverished 750 in Cu, Ni and PGE). 751

The mineral composition exhibits two trends in the ultramafic units. The first, observable from the bottom to 163 m, reflects a normal fractionation trend, whereas the second marks an abrupt change reflecting perturbations in the system. The main mineralized zone is found in the second part, but there is no evidence of a link between the two.

By definition, the Lanjanina area is an "anomaly" in the complex (it corresponds to a geochemical anomaly). The relationship between this series and the whole complex has not been established. The complex has been interpreted as a series of gabbro-forming sills or laccoliths in a metamorphosed sedimentary series, with at least four gabbro units. It is further envisaged that the evolved mafic magma from which the Lanjanina series has been derived could be related to one of these magma pulses.

762

### 763 Acknowledgements

We thank Guillaume Wille for the EPMA analyses obtained with the Cameca SXFive at the
"Plateforme analytique régionale" (BRGM - University – CNRS, Orléans), O. Rouer and D.
Ohnenstetter for the EPMA analyses of apatite and Prof. J.C. Duchesne, Prof. H.G. Dill and
an anonymous reviewer for their critical comments. The English text was edited by J.V. GuyBray.

#### 769 REFERENCES

- Archibald, D.B., Collins, A.S., Foden, J.D., Payne, J.L., Holden, P., Razakamanana, T., De
- 771 Waele, B., Thomas, R.J., Pitfield, P.E.J., 2016. Genesis of the Tonian Imorona-Itsindro
- magmatic suite in central Madagascar: insights from U-Pb, oxygen and hafnium isotopes in
- zircon. Precambrian Research 281,312-337.
- Bailly, L., Augé, T., Trofimov, N., Golubev, A., Tkachev, A., Cherkasov, S., Cassard, D.,
- 2011. The mineralization potential of the Burakovsky layered intrusion, Karelia, Russia.
- Canadian Mineralogist 49, 1455-1478
- Boyd, R., Mathiesen, C. O., 1979. The nickel mineralization of the Råna mafic
- intrusion, Nordland, Norway. Canadian Mineralogist 17, 287-298.
- Cao, J., Wang, C.Y., Xu, Y.-G., Xing, C.M., Ren, M.H., 2016. Triggers on sulfide saturation in
- 780 Fe-Ti oxide-bearing, mafic-ultramafic layered intrusions in the Tarim large igneous province,
- 781 NW China. Mineralium Deposita doi:10.1007/s00126-016-0670-z.
- 782 Cassard, D., Nicolas, A., Rabinovitch, M., Moutte, J., Leblanc, M., Prinzhofer, A., 1981. A
- structural classification of podiform chromite orebodies from southern New. EconomicGeology 76, 805-831.
- Cawthorn, R.G., McCarthy, T.S., 1985. Incompatible trace element behaviour in the
  Bushveld Complex. Economic Geology 80, 1016-1026.
- Cawthorn, R.G., Ashwal, L.D., 2009. Origin of anorthosite and magnetitite layers in the
  Bushveld Complex, constrained by major element compositions of plagioclase. Journal of
  Petrology 50, 1607-1637.
- Charlier, B., Namur, O., Toplis, M.J., Schiano, P., Cluzel. N., Higgins, M.D., Van der Auwera,
  J., 2011. Large-scale silicate liquid immiscibility during differentiation of basalt to granite and
  the origin of the Daly gap. Geology 39, 907–910.

793	Charlier, B., Namur, O., Bolle, O., Latypov, R., chesne, JC., 2015. Fe-Ti-V-P ore deposits
794	associated with Proterozoic massif-type anorthosites and related rocks. Earth-Science
795	Reviews 141, 56-81.

796 Charlier, B., Sakoma, E., Sauvé, M., Stanaway, K., Van der Auwera, J., Duchesne, J.C.,

2008. The Grader layered intrusion (Havre-Saint-Pierre Anorthosite, Quebec) and genesis of
 nelsonite and other Fe–Ti–P ores. Lithos 101, 359–378.

Charlier, B., Skar, O., Korneliussen, A., Duchesne, J.C., Van der Auwera, J., 2007. Ilmenite

800 composition in the Tellnes Fe–Ti deposit, SW Norway: fractional crystallization, postcumulus

evolution and ilmenite-zircon relation. Contribution to Mineralogy and Petrology 154, 119-

802 134.

803 Coulombeau, C., 1969. Mission roches basiques 1968. Prospection du Massif de l'Itsindro.

804 Rapport de fin de mission. Unpublished BRGM Report Ref 69TAN3, 22 p., 7 annexes.

805 Duchesne, J.-C., 1996. Liquid ilmenite or liquidus ilmenite: a comment on the nature of

806 ilmenite vein deposits, in: Demaiffe, D. (Ed.), Petrology and geochemistry of magmatic suites

of rocks in the continental and oceanic crusts. MRAC-ULB, Brussel, pp. 73-82.

808 Duchesne, J.-C., 1999. Fe-Ti deposits in Rogaland anorthosites (South Norway):

geochemical characteristics and problems of interpretation. Mineralium Deposita 34, 182-198.

Duchesne, J.C., Charlier, B., 2005. Geochemistry of cumulates from the Bjerkreim-Sokndal layered intrusion (S. Norway). Part I: constraints from major elements on the mechanism of cumulate formation and on the jotunite liquid line of descent. Lithos 83, 229–254.

B14 Duchesne, J.-C., Liégeois, J.-P., 2015. The origin of nelsonite and high-Zr ferrodiorite
associated with Proterozoic anorthosite. Ore Geology Reviews 71, 40-56.

- Duchesne, J.C., Shumlyanskyy, L., Charlier, B., 2006. The Fedorivka layered intrusion
  (Korosten Pluton, Ukraine): an example of highly differentiated ferrobasaltic evolution. Lithos
  89, 353–376.
- Dymek, R.F., Owens, B.E., 2001. Petrogenesis of apatite-rich rocks (nelsonites and oxide-
- apatite gabbronorites) associates with massive anorthosites. Economic Geology 96, 797-

821 815.

- Eales, H.V., Cawthorn, R.G., 1996. The Bushveld Complex, in: Cawthorn, R.G. (Ed.),
- Layered intrusions. Developments of Petrology, 15. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 181–230.
- Fournié, L., 1970. Etude de l'indice de Lanjanina (centre de Madagascar). Unpublished
- BRGM Report Ref 70TAN7, 12 p., 9 annexes.
- Garuti, G., Bea, F., Zaccarini, F., Montero, P., 2001. Age, geochemistry and petrogenesis of
  the ultramafic pipes in the Ivrea Zone, NW Italy. Journal of Petrology 42, 433-457.
- Handke, M.J., Tucker, R.D., Ashwal, L.D., 1999. Neoproterozoic continental arc magmatism
  in west-central Madagascar. Geology 27, 351-354.
- Harney, D.M.W., Merkle, R.K.W., von Gruenewaldt, G., 1990. Platinum-group element
- behaviour in the lower part of the Upper Zone, Eastern Bushveld Complex. Implications for
- the formation of the main magnetite layer. Economic Geology 85, 1777-1789.
- Helmy, H.M., 2004. Cu–Ni–PGE mineralization in the Genina Gharbia mafic–ultramafic
- intrusion, Eastern Desert, Egypt. Canadian Mineralogist 42, 351-370.
- Jakobsen, J.K., Veksler, I.V., Tegner, C., Brooks, C.K., 2011. Crystallization of the
- 836 Skaergaard Intrusion from an emulsion of immiscible iron- and silica-rich liquids: Evidence
- from melt inclusions in plagioclase. Journal of Petrology 52, 345-373.

- Klemm, D.D., Henckel, J., Dehm, R., von Gruenewaldt, G., 1985. The geochemistry of
  titanomagnetite in magnetite layers and their host rocks of the Eastern Bushveld Complex.
- 840 Economic Geology 80, 1075-1088.
- Lerouge, C., Augé, T., Lamberg, P., Pineau, F. 2001. A stable isotopic study of the Bruvann
- Ni deposit, Norway, in: Piestrynski et al. (Eds), Mineral deposits at the beginning of the 21<sup>st</sup>
- century. Swets and Zeitlinger Publishers Lisse, pp. 599-602
- Lesher, C.M., 1989. Komatiite-associated nickel sulfide deposits. Reviews in EconomicGeology 4, 45-101.
- Liu, P.P., Zhou, M.F., Chen, W.T., Boone, M., Cnudde, V., 2014. Using multiphase solid
- inclusions to constrain the origin of the Baima Fe-Ti-(V) oxide deposit, China. Journal of
  Petrology 55, 951-976.
- Liu, P.P., Zhou, M.F., Yan, D.P., Zhao, G.C., Su, S.G., Wang, X.L., 2015. The Shangzhuang
  Fe-Ti oxide-bearing layered mafic intrusion, northeast of Beijing (North China): Implications
  for the mantle source of the giant Late Mesozoic magmatic event in the North China Craton.
  Lithos 231, 1-15.
- Mainwaring, P. R., Naldrett, A. J., 1977. Country-rock assimilation and the genesis of Cu-Ni
  sulphides in the water Hen intrusion, Duluth complex, Minnesota. Economic Geology 72,
  1269-1284.
- Martel-Jantin, B., 1991. Projet nickel sulfuré Madagascar. Rapport technique de la mission
  1990. Unpublished BRGM Report Ref R32152, 71 p., 3 annexes.
- McBirney, A.R., 1975. Differentiation of the Skaergaard Intrusion. Nature 253, 691-694.
- McDonough, W.F., Sun, S.S., 1995. Composition of the Earth. Chemical Geology 120, 223-253.

- McEnroe, S.A., Robinson, P., Panish, P.T., 2000. Chemical and petrographic
- 862 characterization of ilmenite and magnetite in oxide-rich cumulates of the Sokndal Region,
- 863 Rogaland, Norway. NGU-Bull 436, 49-56.
- Moine, B., 1968. Carte géologique à 1:200,000 du Massif Schisto-Quartzo-Dolomitique,
- Région d'Ambatofinandrahana, Tananarive, Madagascar, Centre de l'Institut Géologique
  National.
- Moine, B., 1974. Charactères de sédimentation et de métamorphisme de séries
- 868 précambriennes épizonales à catazonales du centre de Madagascar (Région
- d'Ambatofinandrahana). Sciences de la Terre, Nancy, France. Mémoire 31, 293 p.
- Naldrett, A.J., 1981. Nickel sulfide deposits: classification, composition, and genesis.
- Economic Geology, 75th Anniv. Vol., 628-685.
- Naldrett, A.J., 1989. Sulfide melts crystallization temperatures, solubilities in silicate melts,
- and Fe, Ni, and Cu partitioning between basaltic magmas and olivine, in: J.A. Whitney, J.A.,
- Naldrett, A.J. (Eds), Ore Deposition Associated with Magmas. Reviews in Economic Geology
  4, 5-21.
- Naldrett, A. J., 1999. World-class Ni-Cu-PGE deposits: key factors in their genesis.
- Mineralium Deposita 34, 227-240.
- Namur, O., Charlier, B., Holness, M.B., 2012. Dual origin of Fe–Ti–P gabbros by immiscibility
- and fractional crystallization of evolved tholeiitic basalts in the Sept Iles layered intrusion.
- kithos 154, 100-114.
- Peltonen, P., 1995. Magma-country rock interaction and the genesis of Ni-Cu deposits in the
- Vammala Nickel Belt, SW Finland. Mineralogy and Petrology 52, 1-24.

- 883 Perkins, D., Vielzeuf, D., 1992. Experimental investigation of Fe-Mg distribution between
- olivine and clinopyroxene: Implications for mixing properties of Fe-Mg in clinopyroxene and
- garnet-clinopyroxene thermometry. American Mineralogist 77, 774-783.
- 886 Peters, S.G., Roig, J.Y., Tucker, R.D., Théveniaut, H., Delor, C., 2012. Carte des
- 887 Substances Métalliques de la République de Madagascar à 1/1 000 000. Ministère des
- 888 Mines, PGRM, Antananarivo, République de Madagascar, 1 color sheet.
- 889 Pineau, F., Javoy, M., Kornprobst, J., 1987. Primary igneous graphite in ultramafic xenoliths :
- 890 II. Isotopic composition of the carbonaceous phases present in xenoliths and host lava at
- Tissemt (Eggéré, Algerian Sahara). Journal of Petrology 28, 313-322.
- 892 Raic, S., Mogessie, A., Benko, Z., Molnar, F., Haucj, S., Severson, M., 2015. Arsenic-rich
- 893 Cu-Ni-PGE mineralization in Wetlegs Duluth Complex St.Louis County, Minnesota, USA.
- Canadian Mineralogist 53, 105-132.
- 895 Reynolds, I.M., 1985. The nature and origin of titaniferous magnetite rich layers in the Upper
- Zone of the Bushveld Complex: a review and synthesis. Economic Geology 80, 1089-1108.
- Ripley, E.M., Serverson, M.J., Hauck, S.A., 1998. Evidence for sulfide and Fe-Ti-P-rich liquid
  immiscibility in the Duluth Complex, Minnesota. Economic Geology 93, 1052-1062.
- 899 Roig, J.Y., Tucker, R.D., Delor, C., Peters, S.G., Théveniaut, H., 2012. Carte Géologique de
- 900 la République de Madagascar à 1/1 000 000. Ministère des Mines, PGRM, Antananarivo,
- 901 République de Madagascar, 1 color sheet.
- She, Y.W., Yu, S.Y., Song, X.Y., Chen, L.M., Zheng, W., Luan, Y., 2014. The formation of Prich Fe–Ti oxide ore layers in the Taihe layered intrusion, SW China: Implications for magmaplumbing system process. Ore Geology Reviews 57, 539-559.

- 905 Tucker, R.D., Peters, S.G., Roig, J.Y., Théveniaut, H., Delor, C., 2012. Notice explicative des
- 906 cartes géologique et métallogéniques de la République de Madagascar à 1/1 000 000.
- 907 Ministère des Mines, Antananarivo, République de Madagascar. 257 p.
- <sup>908</sup> Tucker, R.D., Ashwal, L.D., Handke, M.J., Hamilton, M.A., LeGrange, M., Rambeloson, RA.,
- 1999. U-Pb geochronology and isotope geochemistry of the Archean and Proterozoic gneiss
- 910 belts of Madagascar. Journal of Geology 107, 135-153.
- Voudouris, P.C., Melfos, V., Spry, P.G., Bindi, L., Kartal, T., Arikas, K., Moritz, R., Ortelli, M.,
- 912 2009. Rhenium-rich molybdenite and rheniite in the Pagoni Rachi Mo–Cu–Te–Ag–Au
- 913 prospect, northern Greece: implications for the Re geochemistry of porphyry-style Cu–Mo
- and Mo mineralization. Canadian Mineralogist 47, 1013-1036.
- 915 Wager, L.R., Brown, G.M., 1968. Layered Igneous Rocks. London: Oliver & Boyd, 572 pp.
- Wang, C.Y., Zhou, M.F., 2013. New textural and mineralogical constraints on the origin of the
  Hongge Fe-Ti-V oxide deposit, SW China. Mineralium Deposita 48, 787-798.
- 918 Xing, C.M., Wang, C.Y., Li, C., 2014. Trace element compositions of apatite from the middle
- 2019 zone of the Panzhihua layered intrusion, SW China: Insights into the differentiation of a P-
- 920 and Si-rich melt. Lithos 204, 188-202.
- Zhou, M.F., Arndt, N.T., Malpas, J., Wang, C.Y., Kennedy, A.K., 2008. Two magma series
  and associated ore deposit types in the Permian Emeishan Large Igneous Province, SW
  China. Lithos 103, 352-368.
- Zhou, M.F., Chen, W.T., Wang, C.Y., Prevec, S.A., Liu, P.P., Howarth, G.H., 2013. Two
  stages of immiscible liquid separation in the formation of Panzhihua-type Fe-Ti-V oxide
  deposits, SW China. Geoscience Frontiers 4, 481-502.
- 227 Zhou, M.F., Robinson, P.T., Lesher, C.M., Keays, R.R., Zhang, C.J., Malpas, J., 2005.
- 928 Geochemistry, petrogenesis and metallogenesis of the Panzhihua gabbroic layered intrusion

- 929 and associated Fe-Ti-V oxide deposits, Sichuan Province, SW China. Journal of Petrology
- 930 46, 2253-2280.

### 931 List of Figures

Fig. 1. Geological map of the Itremo region, showing the Itsindro gabbro and the position ofthe LJ1 drilling (star). After Handke et al. (1999).

Fig. 2. Simplified stratigraphic section of the Itsindro gabbro in the Lanjanina area showing
the location of the analysed samples collected in borehole LJ1 (after Fournié 1970).

Fig. 3. Photomicrographs illustrating the rock types and ore textures of the Lanjanina 936 borehole. Vertically, same image in plane-polarized light (top) and cross-polarized light 937 (bottom). A, D Pyroxenite (sample LJ14); B, E Olivine gabbro showing a magmatic layering 938 939 (sample LJ30). C, F Typical net texture in an olivine pyroxenite. Opaque minerals are Fe-Ti oxides (dominant) and Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides (sample LJ31); G, J Euhedral apatite enclosed in 940 ore minerals. Olivine gabbro LJ28. H, K Detail of the net-texture, with interstitial ore minerals, 941 euhedral apatite, pyroxene and olivine (sample LJ19). I, L Detail of the net texture, with 942 interstitial ore minerals, euhedral pyroxene and olivine forming a thin rim between 943 clinopyroxene and the ore minerals (sample LJ19). Scale bar is 2 mm, except for H, I, K, L 944 where it is 0.5 mm. Abbreviations: Opa undifferentiated opaque minerals, Cpx -945 clinopyroxene, OI – olivine, Ap – apatite. 946

947 Fig. 4. Scanning electron microscope images (backscattered electron mode). A, Typical net-948 texture showing the interstitial ore minerals (euhedral Fe-Ti oxides - grey- in a Fe-Cu-Ni 949 sulphides matrix - white) surrounding cumulus silicates - black (sample LJ4); B, detail of A showing the relationships between the ore minerals and the cumulus silicates; C, euhedral 950 Fe-Ti oxides (grey) enclosed in Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides (white), adjacent silicates in black 951 952 (sample LJ6); D. euhedral (elongated) apatite (dark grey) and euhedral Fe-Ti oxide (grey) 953 enclosed in Fe sulphide (sample LJ10); E, titanomagnetite (Mag) with ilmenite exsolutions adjacent to ilmenite (IIm). Silicate in black, sulphide droplets (BMS) in white (sample LJ12). 954 F, Detail of the complex contact between titanomagnetite (with ilmenite exsolution) and 955 ilmenite (dark grey, sample LJ12). In black, Al-Zn spinel surrounding the interfingering of 956

titanomagnetite in ilmenite. G, Detail of the complex ilmenite exsolution system in
titanomagnetite (sample LJ4). H, I, molybdenite (Mol) and rheniite (Re) included in pyrrhotite
(samples LJ10 and LJ12 respectively).

Fig. 5. Binary diagrams showing the correlation between major elements in pyroxenite (opendiamond) and gabbro (grey square).

Fig. 6. Binary diagrams showing the correlation between minor and trace elements inpyroxenite (open diamond) and gabbro (grey square).

Fig. 7. Rare earth element content in selected samples of the Lanjanina bore hole. A
correlation of REE vs P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, B, C and D chondrite-normalized REE patterns for gabbro (B),
apatite-poor pyroxenite (C) and apatite-rich pyroxenite (D). Tm is below the detection limit.
Normalization according to McDonough and Sun (1995).

Fig. 8. Plots of (A) TiO<sub>2</sub> in wt percent and (B)  $Al_2O_3$  in wt percent vs. Mg/(Mg+Fe) in molar percent from clinopyroxenes in pyroxenite and gabbro from the Itsindro Complex.

Fig. 9. Clinopyroxene, olivine and plagioclase compositions along stratigraphic height. MMZ,
main mineralized zone, ML, marker level.

Fig. 10. Plot of MnO in wt. percent vs Mg/(Mg+Fe) in molar percent in olivine in pyroxeniteand gabbro from the Itsindro Complex.

Fig. 11. Distribution of Mg/(Mg+Fe) in molar percent between olivine and clinopyroxene.
Experimental values from Perkins and Viezeuf (1992).

Fig. 12. Schematic model showing the various liquid immiscibility processes and crustal
contamination leading to the formation of the complex Fe-Ti oxide Fe-(Cu-Ni) sulphide
mineralization in the Itsindro Complex, Madagascar.

979

#### 980 List of Tables

### 981 Table 1

Characteristics of the samples analysed in the Itsindro intrusion. For Fe-Cu-Ni sulphides (undifferentiated sulphides) and Fe-Ti oxides (titanomagnetite, ilmenite and spinel), the number and size of "X" reflect the relative proportions of each. MMZ, main mineralized zone, from 72 to 90 m in the pyroxenite unit. Stars at 162.8 correspond to the marker level. Code: 1 pyroxenite, 2, mineralized pyroxenite, 3 gabbro, 4, mineralized gabbro.

987

988 Table 2

- 989 Major and trace element compositions of selected samples from the Lanjanina borehole.
- 990 Table 3
- 991 Selected electron microprobe analyses of clinopyroxene.
- 992 Table 4
- 993 Selected electron microprobe analyses of olivine.
- 994 Table 5
- 995 Selected electron microprobe analyses of plagioclase.
- 996 Table 6
- 997 Selected electron microprobe analyses of apatite. OH calculated assuming full site 998 occupancy.

999 Table 7

1000 Selected electron microprobe analyses of magnetite. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> calculated assuming 1001 stoichiometry.

- 1002 Table 8
- 1003 Selected electron microprobe analyses of ilmenite.
- 1004 Table 9
- Selected electron microprobe analyses of Al-Zn spinel. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> calculated assuming
  stoichiometry.
- 1007 Table 10
- 1008 Calculated proportions (percent) of chalcopyrite (Ccp), pentlandite (Pn), pyrrhotite (Po),
- ilmenite (IIm), magnetite (Mag), apatite (Ap) and silicates in pyroxenite and gabbro (see textfor explanation).

### Table1.xlsx

Stratio	graphic	Sample no.	Petrography	Mineralog	IY					ore texture	EPMA	whole-rock
depth	(m)		and code	ol	срх	plag	apatite	sulphides	Fe-Ti oxides			analyses
	36.9	LJ3	Pyroxenite/2		Х		Х	XX	XXX	net-texture	Х	Х
	39.3	LJ4	Pyroxenite/2		Х		х	XXX	XXX	net-texture	Х	Х
	50.7	LJ6	Pyroxenite/2		Х		х	XXX	XXX	massive/net-texture	Х	
N	74.1	LJ8	Massive ore				х	XXX	Х	massive	Х	
WM	80.0	LJ9	Gabbro/3		Х	Х	х	Х	XX	net-texture	Х	Х
_	82.7	LJ10	Gabbro/4		Х	Х	Х	XX	Х	massive/disseminated	Х	Х
	106.5	LJ11	Pyroxenite/1	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	disseminated	Х	Х
÷	133.8	LJ12	Gabbro/4		Х	Х	х	XXX	XXX	net-texture	Х	
Uni	135.3	LJ13	Plag pyroxenite/2		Х	Х	Х	XXX	XXX	net-texture	Х	Х
lite	140.9	LJ14	Plag pyroxenite/1	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	disseminated	Х	Х
Iexc	162.8 *****	LJ16	Pyroxenite/2		Х		Х	Х	XX	net-texture	Х	Х
Pyre	163.9	LJ17	Pyroxenite/2		Х		Х	XXX	Х	net-texture	Х	
	185.8	LJ19	Pyroxenite/2	Х	Х		Х	Х	XXX	net-texture	Х	
	189.6	LJ20	Pyroxenite/2	Х	Х		Х	XXX	XX	net-texture	х	Х
	211.0	LJ22	Pyroxenite/2		Х		Х	XX	XX	net-texture	х	Х
	230.5	LJ24	Pyroxenite/2		Х		Х	Х	XX	net-texture	х	Х
	260.4	LJ26	Pyroxenite/2		Х		х	Х	Х	disseminated	х	Х
	286.9	LJ28	Gabbro/3	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	disseminated	х	Х
nit	294.2	LJ29	Gabbro/4	Х	х	Х	х	Х	XX	disseminated	х	
_ 0	303.2	LJ30	Gabbro/3	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	disseminated	х	Х
abbr	309.0	LJ31	Pyroxenite/2	Х	Х		х	Х	XXX	net-texture	Х	Х
Ğ	316.7	LJ32	Pyroxenite/2	Х	Х		x	Х	XX	net-texture	x	

Table2.xlsx

Sample	LJ3	LJ4	LJ9	LJ10	LJ11	LJ13	LJ14	LJ16	LJ20	LJ22	LJ24	LJ26	LJ28	LJ30	LJ31
Depth	36.9	39.3	80.0	82.7	106.5	135.3	140.9	162.8	189.6	211.0	230.5	260.4	286.9	303.2	309.0
Rock/code	Px/2	Px/2	Gab/3	Gab/4	Px/1	Px/4	Px/3	Px/2	Px/2	Px/2	Px/2	Px/2	Gab/3	Gab/3	Px/2
Major eleme	ents (wt.%)	)													
SiO <sub>2</sub>	30.80	18.00	20.40	38.70	43.00	21.20	47.20	26.10	38.40	13.30	27.90	34.40	46.70	48.20	24.70
$AI_2O_3$	3.16	1.08	2.03	10.80	2.38	2.44	3.77	1.47	2.06	2.00	2.38	2.03	14.95	15.45	2.03
$Fe_2O_3$	30.10	54.10	47.60	22.00	21.60	47.20	14.25	42.70	25.70	54.40	39.10	30.70	13.00	12.05	42.00
CaO	12.50	6.89	7.83	12.10	17.05	8.27	19.60	13.00	15.15	8.48	11.30	14.80	13.05	12.70	5.49
MgO	7.98	4.72	5.76	5.80	10.80	6.33	12.45	6.13	9.77	3.90	7.30	8.96	7.37	8.35	15.00
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.42	0.18	0.16	1.69	0.30	0.13	0.38	0.14	0.21	0.06	0.16	0.18	2.09	2.24	0.11
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.12	0.09	0.03	0.26	0.02	<0,01	0.03	<0,01	0.01	<0,01	0.02	<0,01	0.21	0.22	0.02
TiO <sub>2</sub>	13.90	9.20	12.20	9.20	3.99	12.75	1.91	4.27	7.30	14.20	10.60	9.57	1.54	0.75	11.65
MnO	0.37	0.19	0.30	0.26	0.37	0.35	0.26	0.28	0.37	0.40	0.42	0.39	0.17	0.17	0.32
$P_2O_5$	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.36	0.59	0.02	0.02	1.80	0.02	2.40	0.03	0.68	0.07	0.04	0.03
SrO	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	0.02	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	0.03	0.03	0.00
LOI	0.33	5.62	2.10	0.00	0.52	0.33	0.68	3.04	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.38	1.05	0.00
Total	99.71	100.09	98.47	101.17	100.62	99.02	100.55	98.93	98.99	100.55	99.21	101.71	99.53	101.22	101.35
Mg#	0.34	0.15	0.19	0.34	0.50	0.21	0.63	0.22	0.43	0.12	0.27	0.37	0.53	0.58	0.41
С	0.27	0.14	0.22	0.16	0.54	0.20	0.26	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.21	0.25	0.10
S	2.30	17.95	9.50	1.36	0.62	4.83	0.22	11.40	1.54	7.43	0.60	1.01	0.25	0.27	0.60
Trace eleme	nts (ppm)														
Ва	68.1	17.1	14.2	348	32.8	10.1	19.1	6.3	12.6	2.8	10.1	3.5	123	81.3	4.2
Υ	10	6.5	7.4	10	18.5	8.3	17.7	22.9	12.9	20.3	9.8	17.2	10	6.3	4.7
Cr	50	80	200	100	40	310	30	80	100	160	90	60	190	30	10
Cs	0.41	0.76	0.04	0.12	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.05	<0,01	0.04	0.05	0.17	0.02
Ga	7.7	4.9	11.9	13	6.2	19.1	7.7	8.1	6.9	18.2	16.4	11	17.5	13.7	14.1
Hf	1.6	1	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.8	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.4	1
Nb	15.7	18.5	13.7	10.3	4.3	9.7	2.6	1.9	6.9	5.8	3	6.6	<0,2	<0,2	5.4
Rb	2.9	5.7	0.5	1.6	0.7	0.4	1	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.4	1.6	1.5	0.5

Table2.xlsx

Sr	48.1	12.8	27.3	357	51.1	18.3	52.4	44.4	28.4	41.1	19.5	33	425	412	13.5
Та	2.6	5.6	4.1	2	1.2	2.4	1.3	0.5	1.1	1.1	0.7	1.3	0.4	0.6	1.3
Th	1.07	0.63	0.43	0.65	0.45	0.78	0.78	0.39	0.31	0.59	0.26	0.38	0.26	0.31	0.59
U	0.1	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.07	<0,05	0.17	0.14	<0,05	0.19	0.1	0.11	<0,05	<0,05	<0,05
V	544	286	754	398	264	1440	354	305	484	1150	1010	705	644	176	1270
Zr	59	36	37	39	38	42	44	31	43	37	33	41	21	11	33
As	0.5	1.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	<0,1	0.9	0.1	0.1	<0,1	0.9	0.3
Bi	0.06	1.21	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.63	0.01	0.01	0.02	<0,01	0.01
Se	1	14.4	6.2	0.6	0.5	1.6	0.3	10.6	0.7	5.5	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.3
Cd	<0,5	1.2	1	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	0.5	<0,5	1	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5
Со	105	596	305	74	70	177	47	319	84	232	89	90	59	62	193
Cu	423	5460	839	510	118	940	44	1800	549	569	117	193	47	55	134
Мо	1	5	2	<1	1	3	1	6	1	5	3	1	<1	<1	1
Ni	172	1350	711	117	77	346	34	592	102	394	62	80	46	42	22
Sc	70	49	58	56	95	64	79	75	99	50	88	100	44	33	46
Zn	101	109	219	77	84	307	57	116	91	454	218	125	70	58	201
Rare earth e	lements (p	pm)													
La	3.7	2.2	2	3.7	4.7	2.5	3.8	8.8	2.1	10.9	1.6	4.4	2.8	1.9	1.8
Ce	8.5	4.6	4.5	8.7	12.7	5.5	10.6	23.1	5.5	27.5	4.2	11.9	6.2	3.8	3.3
Pr	1.28	0.72	0.72	1.31	2.17	0.87	1.84	3.79	1.12	4.21	0.84	2.15	0.94	0.54	0.51
Nd	6.8	3.9	4.2	7.3	12	4.8	9.6	20.9	6	22.6	5.2	11.8	5.1	3.1	2.6
Sm	2.08	1.24	1.27	2.1	4.05	1.68	3.3	5.78	2.41	5.73	1.88	3.74	1.54	0.97	0.82
Eu	1.02	0.44	0.7	1.86	1.81	0.61	1.21	2.45	1.07	2.31	0.93	1.8	1.05	0.81	0.27
Gd	2.55	1.58	1.8	2.56	5.4	1.97	4.34	7	2.99	7.22	2.58	4.69	2.08	1.41	1.11
Tb	0.42	0.23	0.26	0.34	0.69	0.29	0.62	0.91	0.46	0.85	0.36	0.66	0.35	0.26	0.15
Dy	2.21	1.25	1.45	2.11	3.93	1.88	3.97	4.87	2.65	4.39	2.34	3.69	2.14	1.32	0.96
Но	0.42	0.24	0.29	0.38	0.76	0.35	0.68	0.86	0.51	0.79	0.39	0.68	0.38	0.21	0.17
Er	1.16	0.76	0.76	1.04	1.99	0.95	1.87	2.23	1.32	1.9	1.14	1.71	1.08	0.69	0.56
Tm	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.22	0.08	0.23	0.24	0.16	0.17	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.06	0.04
Yb	0.99	0.6	0.57	0.8	1.58	0.72	1.6	1.51	1.07	1.18	0.78	1.2	0.96	0.57	0.41
Lu	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.24	0.12	0.22	0.24	0.2	0.17	0.15	0.21	0.14	0.08	0.06
Total REE	31.37	17.9	18.69	32.42	52.24	22.32	43.88	82.68	27.56	89.92	22.5	48.79	24.88	15.72	12.76

Hg<0,005, Sn <1, W<1, Pb<2, Sb<0,05, Tl<0,02, Li<10, Ag< 0,5, Te<0,01 ppm, BaO<0,01%

Sample	LJ3	LJ4	LJ9	LJ10	LJ11	LJ13	LJ14	LJ16	LJ20	LJ22	LJ24	LJ26	LJ28	LJ30	LJ31
Depth	36.9	39.3	80.0	82.7	106.5	135.3	140.9	162.8	189.6	211.0	230.5	260.4	286.9	303.2	309.0
Rock/code	Px/2	Px/2	Gab/3	Gab/4	Px/1	Px/2	Px/1	Px/2	Px/2	Px/2	Px/2	Px/2	Gab/3	Gab/3	Px/2
Major elem	ents (wt.%	)													
SiO <sub>2</sub>	30.80	18.00	20.40	38.70	43.00	21.20	47.20	26.10	38.40	13.30	27.90	34.40	46.70	48.20	24.70
$AI_2O_3$	3.16	1.08	2.03	10.80	2.38	2.44	3.77	1.47	2.06	2.00	2.38	2.03	14.95	15.45	2.03
$Fe_2O_3(1)$	30.10	54.10	47.60	22.00	21.60	47.20	14.25	42.70	25.70	54.40	39.10	30.70	13.00	12.05	42.00
CaO	12.50	6.89	7.83	12.10	17.05	8.27	19.60	13.00	15.15	8.48	11.30	14.80	13.05	12.70	5.49
MgO	7.98	4.72	5.76	5.80	10.80	6.33	12.45	6.13	9.77	3.90	7.30	8.96	7.37	8.35	15.00
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.42	0.18	0.16	1.69	0.30	0.13	0.38	0.14	0.21	0.06	0.16	0.18	2.09	2.24	0.11
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.12	0.09	0.03	0.26	0.02	<0,01	0.03	<0,01	0.01	<0,01	0.02	<0,01	0.21	0.22	0.02
TiO <sub>2</sub>	13.90	9.20	12.20	9.20	3.99	12.75	1.91	4.27	7.30	14.20	10.60	9.57	1.54	0.75	11.65
MnO	0.37	0.19	0.30	0.26	0.37	0.35	0.26	0.28	0.37	0.40	0.42	0.39	0.17	0.17	0.32
$P_2O_5$	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.36	0.59	0.02	0.02	1.80	0.02	2.40	0.03	0.68	0.07	0.04	0.03
SrO	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	0.02	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	0.03	0.03	0.00
LOI	0.33	5.62	2.10	0.00	0.52	0.33	0.68	3.04	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.38	1.05	0.00
Total (2)	99.71	100.09	98.47	101.17	100.62	99.02	100.55	98.93	98.99	100.55	99.21	101.71	99.53	101.22	101.35
FeOT	27.0842	48.6796	42.8309	19.7958	19.4359	42.4709	12.8223	38.4218	23.1251	48.9496	35.1825	27.6241	11.6975	10.8427	37.7919
Fe2+	0.37696	0.67752	0.59612	0.27552	0.27051	0.59111	0.17846	0.53475	0.32185	0.68127	0.48967	0.38447	0.1628	0.15091	0.52598
Mg2+	0.19792	0.11706	0.14286	0.14385	0.26786	0.15699	0.30878	0.15203	0.24231	0.09673	0.18105	0.22222	0.18279	0.20709	0.37202
Mg#	0.34428	0.14733	0.19332	0.34302	0.49754	0.20986	0.63373	0.22137	0.42951	0.12433	0.26994	0.36629	0.52891	0.57847	0.41428
С	0.27	0.14	0.22	0.16	0.54	0.20	0.26	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.21	0.25	0.10
S	2.30	17.95	9.50	1.36	0.62	4.83	0.22	11.40	1.54	7.43	0.60	1.01	0.25	0.27	0.60
Trace eleme	ents (ppm)														
Ва	68.1	17.1	14.2	348	32.8	10.1	19.1	6.3	12.6	2.8	10.1	3.5	123	81.3	4.2
Y	10	6.5	7.4	10	18.5	8.3	17.7	22.9	12.9	20.3	9.8	17.2	10	6.3	4.7
Cr	50	80	200	100	40	310	30	80	100	160	90	60	190	30	10
Cs	0.41	0.76	0.04	0.12	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.05	<0,01	0.04	0.05	0.17	0.02

Ga	7.7	4.9	11.9	13	6.2	19.1	7.7	8.1	6.9	18.2	16.4	11	17.5	13.7	14.1
Hf	1.6	1	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.8	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.4	1
Nb	15.7	18.5	13.7	10.3	4.3	9.7	2.6	1.9	6.9	5.8	3	6.6	<0,2	<0,2	5.4
Rb	2.9	5.7	0.5	1.6	0.7	0.4	1	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.4	1.6	1.5	0.5
Sr	48.1	12.8	27.3	357	51.1	18.3	52.4	44.4	28.4	41.1	19.5	33	425	412	13.5
Та	2.6	5.6	4.1	2	1.2	2.4	1.3	0.5	1.1	1.1	0.7	1.3	0.4	0.6	1.3
Th	1.07	0.63	0.43	0.65	0.45	0.78	0.78	0.39	0.31	0.59	0.26	0.38	0.26	0.31	0.59
U	0.1	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.07	<0,05	0.17	0.14	<0,05	0.19	0.1	0.11	<0,05	<0,05	<0,05
V	544	286	754	398	264	1440	354	305	484	1150	1010	705	644	176	1270
Zr	59	36	37	39	38	42	44	31	43	37	33	41	21	11	33
As	0.5	1.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	<0,1	0.9	0.1	0.1	<0,1	0.9	0.3
Bi	0.06	1.21	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.63	0.01	0.01	0.02	<0,01	0.01
Se	1	14.4	6.2	0.6	0.5	1.6	0.3	10.6	0.7	5.5	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.3
Cd	<0,5	1.2	1	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	0.5	<0,5	1	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5
Со	105	596	305	74	70	177	47	319	84	232	89	90	59	62	193
Cu	423	5460	839	510	118	940	44	1800	549	569	117	193	47	55	134
Мо	1	5	2	<1	1	3	1	6	1	5	3	1	<1	<1	1
Ni	172	1350	711	117	77	346	34	592	102	394	62	80	46	42	22
Sc	70	49	58	56	95	64	79	75	99	50	88	100	44	33	46
Zn	101	109	219	77	84	307	57	116	91	454	218	125	70	58	201
Rare eartl	h elements (pp	om)													
La	3.7	2.2	2	3.7	4.7	2.5	3.8	8.8	2.1	10.9	1.6	4.4	2.8	1.9	1.8
Ce	8.5	4.6	4.5	8.7	12.7	5.5	10.6	23.1	5.5	27.5	4.2	11.9	6.2	3.8	3.3
Pr	1.28	0.72	0.72	1.31	2.17	0.87	1.84	3.79	1.12	4.21	0.84	2.15	0.94	0.54	0.51
Nd	6.8	3.9	4.2	7.3	12	4.8	9.6	20.9	6	22.6	5.2	11.8	5.1	3.1	2.6
Sm	2.08	1.24	1.27	2.1	4.05	1.68	3.3	5.78	2.41	5.73	1.88	3.74	1.54	0.97	0.82
Eu	1.02	0.44	0.7	1.86	1.81	0.61	1.21	2.45	1.07	2.31	0.93	1.8	1.05	0.81	0.27
Gd	2.55	1.58	1.8	2.56	5.4	1.97	4.34	7	2.99	7.22	2.58	4.69	2.08	1.41	1.11
Tb	0.42	0.23	0.26	0.34	0.69	0.29	0.62	0.91	0.46	0.85	0.36	0.66	0.35	0.26	0.15
Dy	2.21	1.25	1.45	2.11	3.93	1.88	3.97	4.87	2.65	4.39	2.34	3.69	2.14	1.32	0.96
Но	0.42	0.24	0.29	0.38	0.76	0.35	0.68	0.86	0.51	0.79	0.39	0.68	0.38	0.21	0.17
Er	1.16	0.76	0.76	1.04	1.99	0.95	1.87	2.23	1.32	1.9	1.14	1.71	1.08	0.69	0.56

Tm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yb	0.99	0.6	0.57	0.8	1.58	0.72	1.6	1.51	1.07	1.18	0.78	1.2	0.96	0.57	0.41
Lu	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.24	0.12	0.22	0.24	0.2	0.17	0.15	0.21	0.14	0.08	0.06
Total REE	31.25	17.84	18.61	32.31	52.02	22.24	43.65	82.44	27.4	89.75	22.39	48.63	24.76	15.66	12.72
[La/Yb] <sub>n</sub>	2.54	2.49	2.38	3.14	2.02	2.36	1.61	3.96	1.33	6.28	1.39	2.49	1.98	2.26	2.98
Eu/Eu*	1.35	0.96	1.41	2.45	1.18	1.02	0.97	1.17	1.22	1.09	1.29	1.31	1.79	2.11	0.86

Hg<0,005, Sn <1, W<1, Pb<2, Sb<0,05, Tl<0,02, Li<10, Ag< 0,5, Te<0,01 ppm, BaO<0,01%

(1) All Fe expressed in oxide form as  $Fe_2O_3$  (2) Analytical total recalculated without C and S

[La/Yb]<sub>n</sub> La/Yb after normalization McDonough & Sun (1995)

- Below detection limit

 $Eu/Eu^*$  [(Sm x Gd)<sup>1/2</sup>]/Eu<sub>n</sub>

Table3.xlsx

Sample	LJ3	LJ4	LJ6	LJ9	LJ10	LJ11	LJ12	LJ13	LJ14	LJ16	LJ16	LJ17	LJ19	LJ20	LJ22	LJ24	LJ26	LJ28	LJ29	LJ30	LJ31	LJ32
Depth (m)	36.9	39.3	50.7	80.0	82.7	106.5	133.8	133.8	140.9	162.8	162.8	163.9	185.8	189.6	211.0	230.5	260.4	286.9	294.2	303.2	309.0	316.7
Code	2	2	2	3	4	1	4	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	3	2	2
SiO <sub>2</sub>	50.49	50.74	49.56	50.29	50.34	49.58	50.53	51.48	50.77	50.08	50.20	49.73	49.15	50.27	49.91	50.47	50.37	50.96	49.86	50.18	49.91	50.21
FeO	12.47	11.42	14.18	13.89	13.61	13.06	12.53	10.25	10.25	13.72	16.35	14.73	18.74	13.18	16.66	12.40	12.43	11.56	11.58	11.61	9.59	12.02
CaO	20.96	21.39	19.44	20.25	19.36	20.54	21.19	22.74	21.61	21.22	18.68	20.16	17.05	21.02	18.28	21.27	20.65	21.00	20.53	20.29	21.34	21.03
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.33	0.48	0.30	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.20	0.32	0.35	0.31	0.33	0.27	0.40	0.39	0.30	0.31	0.40	0.46	0.33	0.42	0.29
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.95	0.93	0.87	1.03	0.88	0.90	0.82	0.36	0.84	0.94	0.74	1.00	0.55	0.68	0.77	0.72	0.62	0.75	0.91	1.68	1.19	0.67
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.21	2.53	2.17	2.35	2.30	2.30	2.20	2.14	2.87	2.19	2.39	2.14	1.78	2.23	1.81	2.15	2.28	2.59	2.83	2.65	3.37	2.64
MnO	0.39	0.22	0.28	0.23	0.34	0.34	0.22	0.12	0.00	0.33	0.44	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.43	0.40	0.53	0.24	0.30	0.19	0.07	0.29
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
MgO	12.20	12.16	12.40	11.94	12.11	11.97	12.15	13.18	13.32	11.17	11.11	11.49	12.17	11.91	11.62	11.59	12.60	13.20	13.35	13.05	14.00	13.13
NiO	0.00	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.10	0.00	0.16	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.06	0.00
Total	100.02	100.02	99.29	100.44	99.28	99.17	100.03	100.52	100.01	99.99	100.29	99.95	100.08	100.20	99.90	99.52	99.91	100.80	99.93	100.07	99.96	100.34
	Atomic cor	ncentration	on the bas	is of 6 oxy	gens																	
Si	1.917	1.918	1.906	1.909	1.925	1.906	1.918	1.928	1.906	1.915	1.922	1.907	1.903	1.913	1.922	1.928	1.915	1.909	1.888	1.893	1.873	1.897
Fe	0.396	0.361	0.456	0.441	0.435	0.420	0.398	0.321	0.322	0.439	0.523	0.472	0.607	0.419	0.537	0.396	0.395	0.362	0.367	0.366	0.301	0.380
Ca	0.852	0.866	0.801	0.824	0.793	0.846	0.862	0.912	0.869	0.869	0.766	0.828	0.707	0.857	0.754	0.870	0.841	0.843	0.833	0.820	0.858	0.851
Na	0.024	0.035	0.022	0.024	0.024	0.025	0.024	0.015	0.023	0.026	0.023	0.025	0.020	0.030	0.029	0.022	0.023	0.029	0.034	0.024	0.031	0.021
К	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
Ti	0.027	0.027	0.025	0.029	0.025	0.026	0.024	0.010	0.024	0.027	0.021	0.029	0.016	0.019	0.022	0.021	0.018	0.021	0.026	0.048	0.034	0.019
AI	0.099	0.113	0.098	0.105	0.103	0.104	0.098	0.094	0.127	0.099	0.108	0.097	0.081	0.100	0.082	0.097	0.102	0.114	0.126	0.118	0.149	0.117
Mn	0.013	0.007	0.009	0.007	0.011	0.011	0.007	0.004	0.000	0.011	0.014	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.014	0.013	0.017	0.008	0.009	0.006	0.002	0.009
Cr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mg	0.690	0.685	0.711	0.676	0.691	0.686	0.688	0.736	0.745	0.637	0.634	0.657	0.703	0.676	0.667	0.660	0.714	0.737	0.754	0.734	0.783	0.740
Ni	0.000	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000
Total	4.018	4.015	4.030	4.019	4.008	4.026	4.019	4.021	4.017	4.022	4.014	4.027	4.049	4.029	4.028	4.014	4.028	4.023	4.038	4.012	4.033	4.034
En	0.356	0.358	0.361	0.348	0.360	0.351	0.353	0.374	0.385	0.327	0.330	0.336	0.348	0.346	0.341	0.343	0.366	0.380	0.386	0.382	0.403	0.375
Fs	0.204	0.189	0.232	0.227	0.227	0.215	0.204	0.163	0.166	0.226	0.272	0.241	0.301	0.215	0.274	0.206	0.203	0.187	0.188	0.191	0.155	0.193
Wo	0.440	0.453	0.407	0.424	0.413	0.433	0.443	0.463	0.449	0.447	0.398	0.423	0.351	0.439	0.385	0.452	0.431	0.434	0.426	0.427	0.442	0.432

### Table4.xlsx

Sample	LJ11	LJ14	LJ19	LJ20	LJ28	LJ28	LJ29	LJ30	LJ31	LJ32	LJ32
Depth (m)	106.5	140.9	185.8	189.6	286.9	286.9	294.2	303.2	309.0	316.7	316.7
Code	1	3	2	2	3	3	4	3	4	2	2
SiO <sub>2</sub>	33.49	34.37	32.50	34.09	33.48	34.63	35.31	35.41	36.53	33.90	34.39
FeO	48.63	40.35	48.96	43.54	48.13	41.24	39.05	38.00	32.61	44.94	40.83
MnO	0.98	1.05	1.48	0.87	0.70	0.39	0.44	0.52	0.25	0.63	0.52
MgO	17.04	24.47	17.05	22.06	17.84	23.24	26.43	26.85	31.37	22.54	25.82
CaO	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.01	0.03
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.10	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.00	0.05
$AI_2O_3$	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
$Cr_2O_3$	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03
NiO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.00
Total	100.25	100.37	100.03	100.65	100.39	99.73	101.54	100.96	101.00	102.03	101.73
	Atomic conc	entration or	the basis o	of 4 oxygens	6						
Si	0.999	0.982	0.980	0.986	0.995	0.997	0.985	0.988	0.990	0.972	0.968
Fe	1.214	0.964	1.234	1.053	1.196	0.993	0.911	0.887	0.739	1.077	0.961
Mn	0.025	0.025	0.038	0.021	0.018	0.010	0.010	0.012	0.006	0.015	0.012
Mg	0.758	1.042	0.767	0.951	0.790	0.997	1.099	1.117	1.268	0.963	1.084
Ca	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001
Ti	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.001
AI	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Cr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Na	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Ni	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000
Total	2.999	3.018	3.021	3.012	3.004	3.001	3.013	3.009	3.008	3.028	3.030
Γ.	00.45	F1 0F	00.01	47.40	20.00	50.10	E4.00	FF 74	co 17	47.01	50.00
го	30.45	51.95	38.31	47.46	39.80	50.12	54.68	55.74	63.17	47.21	53.00

# Table5.xlsx

Sample	LJ9	LJ10	LJ12	LJ13	LJ14	LJ28	LJ29	LJ30
Depth (m)	80.0	82.7	133.8	135.3	140.9	286.9	294.2	303.2
Code	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	3
SiO <sub>2</sub>	55.26	54.70	43.91	43.52	48.62	54.60	53.01	52.84
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.02	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.09	0.04
$AI_2O_3$	28.47	28.61	35.47	35.38	31.89	28.84	29.22	28.98
FeO	0.21	0.23	0.10	0.00	0.22	0.10	0.10	0.03
MgO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00
CaO	10.67	10.76	19.85	19.74	15.49	11.45	12.02	12.28
Na <sub>2</sub> O	5.28	5.00	0.41	0.43	2.76	4.83	4.88	4.70
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.05	0.32	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.38	0.22	0.18
MnO	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
NiO	0.10	0.03	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.08
Total	100.05	99.68	99.80	99.17	99.13	100.22	99.67	99.14
	Atomic cond	centration o	on the basis	s of 8 oxyg	ens			
Si	2.488	2.475	2.038	2.033	2.245	2.461	2.413	2.417
Ti	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.003	0.001
Al	1.510	1.526	1.940	1.948	1.735	1.532	1.567	1.562
Fe	0.008	0.009	0.004	0.000	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.001
Mg	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000
Ca	0.515	0.522	0.987	0.988	0.766	0.553	0.586	0.602
Na	0.461	0.438	0.037	0.039	0.247	0.422	0.431	0.417
К	0.003	0.018	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.022	0.012	0.010
Mn	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Ni	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.003
Total	4.988	4.990	5.008	5.013	5.006	4.995	5.022	5.014
Ab	47.10	44.82	3.64	3.82	24.36	42.37	41.85	40.50
An	52.61	53.31	96.36	96.07	75.64	55.44	56.94	58.48

# Table6.xlsx

Sample	LJ19	LJ19	LJ19	LJ19	LJ22	LJ22	LJ22	LJ22
Depth (m)	185.8	185.8	185.8	185.8	211.0	211.0	211.0	211.0
Code	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
$P_2O_5$	41.87	41.56	42.08	41.50	42.42	42.07	42.43	42.32
$Pr_2O_3$	0.17	0.21	0.23	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.19	0.20
CaO	56.19	56.33	55.91	56.23	55.52	56.07	55.47	55.58
SrO	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.09
FeO	0.14	0.08	0.18	0.11	0.00	0.12	0.10	0.00
MnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.00
MgO	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.04
F	2.18	2.47	2.19	2.34	1.60	1.76	1.71	1.20
CI	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.15	0.25	0.22	0.25
H <sub>2</sub> O calc.	0.74	0.59	0.73	0.65	1.00	0.89	0.93	1.16
CO <sub>2</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	101.47	101.41	101.46	101.12	100.92	101.44	101.23	100.84
O=F, Cl	0.93	1.06	0.94	1.00	0.71	0.80	0.77	0.56
Total	100.54	100.36	100.53	100.13	100.21	100.65	100.46	100.28
	Atomic conce	ntration on the	e basis of 25	O. OH. CL F				
Р	5.939	5.917	5.964	5.921	6.004	5.956	6.002	5.995
Pr	0.010	0.013	0.014	0.010	0.008	0.010	0.012	0.012
Ca	10.086	10.150	10.029	10.153	9.945	10.045	9.930	9.964
Sr	0.006	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.002	0.007	0.007	0.009
Fe	0.020	0.011	0.025	0.016	0.000	0.017	0.014	0.000
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.011	0.000
Mg	0.015	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.012	0.007	0.010
F	1.155	1.314	1.160	1.247	0.846	0.931	0.904	0.635
CI	0.017	0.020	0.020	0.017	0.042	0.071	0.062	0.071
ОН	0.828	0.666	0.821	0.736	1.112	0.998	1.034	1.294
Total	18.076	18.109	18.039	18.106	17.976	18.047	17.983	17.990

### Table7.xlsx

Sample	LJ3	LJ4	LJ6	LJ9	LJ12	LJ16	LJ17	LJ19	LJ22	LJ24	LJ26	LJ29	LJ32
Depth (m)	36.9	39.3	50.7	80.0	133.8	162.8	163.9	185.8	211.0	230.5	260.4	294.2	316.7
Code	2	2	2	3	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.21	0.47	0.49	0.44	0.56	0.53	0.40	0.75	0.78	0.61	0.39	0.63	0.79
$AI_2O_3$	0.40	0.19	0.15	0.54	0.48	0.54	0.70	0.48	0.28	0.51	0.47	0.85	0.47
$Cr_2O_3$	0.13	0.26	0.39	0.25	0.24	0.15	0.16	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.83
Fe <sub>2</sub> O3	66.94	66.51	66.90	66.33	66.24	67.26	66.72	66.12	66.60	66.56	65.51	64.98	63.43
FeO	31.56	31.46	31.84	31.65	31.69	31.85	31.48	31.85	31.87	31.70	31.63	31.74	31.70
MnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MgO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.08
$V_2O_3$	1.51	0.89	1.21	1.10	1.25	0.41	1.01	0.81	0.63	0.63	1.82	2.20	2.43
Total	100.74	99.78	100.97	100.30	100.55	100.74	100.76	100.08	100.17	100.00	100.35	100.56	99.73
	Atomic co	oncentra	tion on th	ie basis o	of 4 oxyge	ns							
Ti	0.006	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.016	0.015	0.011	0.022	0.023	0.018	0.011	0.018	0.023
Al	0.018	0.008	0.007	0.024	0.021	0.024	0.031	0.022	0.013	0.023	0.021	0.038	0.021
Cr	0.004	0.008	0.012	0.008	0.007	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.025
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	1.920	1.929	1.917	1.909	1.901	1.929	1.910	1.908	1.923	1.923	1.884	1.859	1.833
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	1.006	1.014	1.014	1.013	1.011	1.015	1.002	1.022	1.023	1.018	1.011	1.009	1.018
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mg	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.005
V	0.046	0.028	0.037	0.034	0.038	0.012	0.031	0.025	0.019	0.019	0.056	0.067	0.075
Total	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000
Usp (mol%)	0.006	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.016	0.015	0.011	0.022	0.023	0.018	0.011	0.018	0.023
Mag (mol%)	0.994	0.986	0.986	0.987	0.984	0.985	0.989	0.978	0.977	0.982	0.989	0.982	0.977

Table8.xlsx

Sample	LJ3	LJ4	LJ6	LJ8	LJ9	LJ11	LJ12	LJ13	LJ16	LJ17	LJ19	LJ20	LJ22	LJ24	LJ26	LJ28	LJ29	LJ30	LJ31	LJ32
Depth (m)	36.9	39.3	50.7	74.1	80.0	106.5	133.8	135.2	162.8	163.9	185.8	189.6	211.0	230.5	260.4	286.9	294.2	303.2	309.0	316.7
Code	2	2	2	2	3	1	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	3	2	2
TiO <sub>2</sub>	51.14	51.27	51.16	51.32	51.70	52.23	52.50	53.06	50.80	52.36	51.87	52.74	51.94	53.15	52.17	51.01	53.16	52.71	52.84	53.92
FeOt	47.31	47.71	45.68	47.68	47.11	47.01	45.17	45.25	48.01	46.50	46.60	45.63	47.38	45.16	46.38	47.86	44.67	47.13	44.27	44.86
MnO	0.83	0.62	1.05	0.48	0.63	0.71	1.01	0.73	0.76	0.78	1.19	0.85	0.90	0.88	0.80	0.57	0.70	0.78	0.69	0.00
MgO	0.53	0.32	0.75	0.47	0.62	0.63	1.39	1.08	0.58	0.65	0.82	1.37	0.58	0.82	0.76	0.35	1.20	0.65	2.65	1.62
$V_2O_3$	0.25	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.11	0.09	0.18	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.25	0.11	0.26	0.00	0.00
Total	100.07	100.01	98.64	99.99	100.17	100.67	100.24	100.13	100.15	100.33	100.50	100.71	100.81	100.02	100.28	100.05	99.83	101.53	100.45	100.39
	Atomic cor	ncentratior	n on the <b>b</b>	pasis of 3	oxygens															
Ti	0.965	0.970	0.978	0.970	0.974	0.980	0.983	0.998	0.957	0.986	0.973	0.983	0.973	1.003	0.981	0.964	1.002	0.980	0.978	1.008
Fe <sup>+3</sup>	0.065	0.059	0.044	0.060	0.049	0.039	0.030	0.004	0.086	0.028	0.054	0.031	0.054	-0.006	0.034	0.067	0.000	0.034	0.044	0.000
Fe <sup>+2</sup>	0.927	0.944	0.927	0.942	0.938	0.941	0.911	0.942	0.919	0.945	0.917	0.915	0.932	0.954	0.936	0.939	0.942	0.940	0.866	0.948
Mn	0.018	0.013	0.023	0.010	0.013	0.015	0.021	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.025	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.017	0.012	0.015	0.016	0.014	0.000
Mg	0.020	0.012	0.029	0.018	0.023	0.024	0.051	0.040	0.021	0.024	0.030	0.051	0.022	0.031	0.028	0.013	0.045	0.024	0.097	0.060
V	0.005	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.005	0.000	0.000
Total	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000
llm (mol%)	0.965	0.970	0.978	0.970	0.974	0.980	0.983	0.998	0.957	0.986	0.973	0.983	0.973	1.000	0.981	0.964	1.000	0.980	0.978	1.000
Hem (mol%)	0.035	0.030	0.022	0.030	0.026	0.020	0.017	0.002	0.043	0.014	0.027	0.017	0.027	0.000	0.019	0.036	0.000	0.020	0.022	0.000

# Table9.xlsx

Sample	LJ12	LJ13	LJ17	LJ19	LJ24	LJ29	LJ31
Depth (m)	133.8	135.3	163.9	185.8	230.5	294.2	309
Code	4	4	2	2	2	4	2
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.00	0.29	1.98	0.44	0.00	1.97	0.36
$AI_2O_3$	59.02	58.62	55.70	57.64	60.59	58.23	62.13
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.31	0.21	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$V_2O_3$	0.19	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.00	0.21	0.00
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.96	4.75	2.25	5.88	2.41	6.08	3.45
FeO	22.72	24.15	28.42	27.59	27.83	20.77	19.05
MnO	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00
MgO	11.09	9.77	5.44	8.60	7.82	14.30	14.29
ZnO	1.90	2.78	6.78	1.51	1.96	1.45	1.68
Total	100.19	100.93	100.97	101.82	100.91	103.01	100.95
	Atomic conc	entration or	the basis o	of 4 oxygens	6		
Ti	0.000	0.006	0.042	0.009	0.000	0.039	0.007
AI	1.887	1.882	1.858	1.856	1.950	1.797	1.918
Cr	0.007	0.005	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
V	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.000
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.101	0.097	0.048	0.121	0.050	0.120	0.068
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.515	0.550	0.672	0.630	0.635	0.455	0.417
Mn	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000
Mg	0.448	0.396	0.229	0.350	0.318	0.558	0.558
Zn	0.038	0.056	0.142	0.030	0.040	0.028	0.032
Total	2.999	2.998	2.999	2.999	3.000	2.999	3.000

### Table10.xlsx

Sample	LJ3	LJ4	LJ11	LJ13	LJ14	LJ16	LJ20	LJ22	LJ24	LJ26	LJ31		LJ9	LJ10	LJ28	LJ30
	Pyroxenite												Gabbro			
Sulphides												. –				
Сср	0.12	1.58	0.03	0.27	0.01	0.52	0.16	0.16	0.03	0.06	0.04		0.24	0.15	0.01	0.02
Pn	0.05	0.39	0.02	0.10	0.01	0.17	0.03	0.12	0.02	0.02	0.01		0.21	0.03	0.01	0.01
Po	5.95	45.84	1.59	12.48	0.56	29.63	3.91	19.47	1.55	2.61	1.55		24.81	3.44	0.64	0.69
Oxides																
llm	27.10	17.94	7.78	24.86	3.72	8.33	14.23	27.69	20.67	18.66	22.71		23.79	17.94	3.00	1.46
Mag	7.14	3.75	3.47	18.90	4.65	4.00	6.35	15.09	13.26	9.25	16.67		9.90	5.22	8.45	2.31
Apatite																
Ар	0.07	0.05	1.41	0.05	0.05	4.30	0.05	5.74	0.07	1.63	0.07		0.14	0.86	0.17	0.10
Silicates	59.57	30.45	85.69	43.34	91.00	53.05	75.26	31.73	64.41	67.77	58.95		40.91	72.35	87.71	95.41



























