



Comparison of different approximation techniques for uncertain time series arising in ocean simulations

Pierre Sochala, Mohamed Iskandarani

► To cite this version:

Pierre Sochala, Mohamed Iskandarani. Comparison of different approximation techniques for uncertain time series arising in ocean simulations. SIAM Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Apr 2018, Garden Grove, United States. hal-01715823

HAL Id: hal-01715823

<https://brgm.hal.science/hal-01715823>

Submitted on 23 Feb 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Comparison of different approximation techniques for uncertain time series arising in ocean simulations.

The analysis of time series is a fundamental task in many flow simulations such as oceanic and atmospheric flows. A major challenge is the construction of a faithful and accurate time-dependent surrogate with a manageable number of samples. Several techniques have been tested to handle the time-dependent aspects of the surrogate including a direct approach, low-rank decomposition, autoregressive model and global Bayesian emulators. These techniques rely on two popular methods for uncertainty quantification, namely Polynomial chaos expansion and Gaussian processes regression. The different techniques were tested and compared on the uncertain evolution of the sea surface height forecast at two locations exhibiting different levels of variance. Two ensemble sizes were considered as well as two versions of polynomial chaos (ordinary least squares or ridge regression) and Gaussian processes (exponential or Matern covariance function) to assess their impact on the results. Our conclusions focus on the advantages and the drawbacks, in terms of accuracy, flexibility and computational costs of the different techniques.