



HAL
open science

ESPERE, a multiple-method Microsoft Excel application for estimating aquifer

Sandra Lanini, Yvan Caballero, Jean-Jacques Seguin, Jean-Christophe
Maréchal

► **To cite this version:**

Sandra Lanini, Yvan Caballero, Jean-Jacques Seguin, Jean-Christophe Maréchal. ESPERE, a multiple-method Microsoft Excel application for estimating aquifer. *Groundwater*, 2016, 54 (2), 10.1111/gwat.12390 . hal-01326426

HAL Id: hal-01326426

<https://brgm.hal.science/hal-01326426>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **ESPERE, a multiple-method Microsoft Excel application for estimating aquifer**
2 **recharge**

3 Sandra Lanini

4 Corresponding autor: BRGM D3E/NRE, 1039 rue de Pinville, F-34000 Montpellier,
5 France, s.lanini@brgm.fr

6 Yvan Caballero

7 BRGM D3E/NRE, Montpellier, France, y.caballero@brgm.fr

8 Jean-Jacques Seguin

9 BRGM D3E/GDR, Orléans, France, jj.seguin@brgm.fr

10 Jean-Christophe Maréchal

11 BRGM D3E/NRE, Montpellier, France, jc.marechal@brgm.fr

12

13 Natural groundwater recharge mainly comes from the fraction of rainfall that infiltrates
14 and replenishes the aquifer. Methods described in the literature for estimating it (e.g.,
15 Healy 2010) vary in terms of the time scale and the nature of the data they treat. To
16 obtain both a realistic estimation of groundwater recharge and a confidence interval
17 at the hydrogeological basin scale, it is important to use a variety of approaches that
18 complement each other (Scanlon et al. 2006).

19 The Microsoft Excel application ESPERE was developed for this purpose. It includes
20 several commonly used methods that are run simultaneously to estimate the
21 recharge of an aquifer. Depending on the available data, the user can choose which
22 methods to apply: empirical methods, such as the one proposed by Turc (1954); the
23 water budget method presented by Thornthwaite (1948) and improved on by
24 Dingman (2002); the water table fluctuation (WTF) method (Delin 2007); and the

25 three streamflow time-series treatments proposed by Gustard et al. (1992), Chapman
26 et al. (1996) and Eckhardt (2005).

27 The user fills in a table with a few parameter values, such as the surface of the
28 catchment area, the soil maximum storage capacity (needed for the water budget
29 methods), the infiltration/effective rainfall ratio, or the specific yield (for the WTF
30 method only). The user then provides daily time-series data for at least precipitation
31 and potential evapotranspiration and, if available, data for temperature, main river
32 streamflow at the catchment outlet, and groundwater level. Daily effective rainfall and
33 recharge values previously calculated using other models can also be supplied in
34 order to be included in the final graphs for comparison of results.

35 ESPERE presents a separate result worksheet for each method, which includes a
36 short text describing the method. A summarizing worksheet compiles tables showing
37 the results of all the methods applied. When possible, results are presented at
38 different time steps (daily, monthly, inter-annual monthly mean, annual). Spatial
39 scaling is done automatically, which allows the results of all the methods to be
40 compared for a given recharge area. The calculated recharges are also converted
41 into annual infiltrated volumes to enable later comparison of several aquifers. To
42 facilitate a comparison among methods, the results are automatically displayed as
43 bar graphs. Finally, several descriptive statistical elements (mean, maximum,
44 minimum, standard deviation, median, and top and bottom deciles) are generated for
45 comparison of the annual infiltrated volumes in the form of tables and box plots.

46

47 **About ESPERE**

48 Both light and complete version of ESPERE_1.5 were developed in Visual Basic for
49 Applications with Excel Office 2010 within Windows 7. The complete version requires

50 XLSTAT© by Addinsoft for the post-process on statistical analysis. ESPERE_1.5 is
51 freely available (in both French and English) on request from esperere@brgm.fr.

52

53 **Acknowledgment**

54 ESPERE was developed with financial support from the Rhone-Mediterranean &
55 Corsica Water Agency.

56

57 **Supporting Information**

58 Appendix S1: ESPERE User Guide.

59

60 **References**

61 Chapman, T.G., and A.I. Maxwell. 1996. Baseflow separation—Comparison of
62 numerical methods with tracer experiments. In *Proceedings of Hydrology and Water*
63 *Resources Symposium 1996*. Publication 96/05. Barton, Australia, pp. 539–545.

64 Delin, G.N., R.W. Healy, D.L. Lorenz, and J.R. Nimmo. 2007. Comparison of local- to
65 regional-scale estimates of ground-water recharge in Minnesota, USA. *Journal of*
66 *Hydrology* 334, no. 1–2: 231–249.

67 Dingman, S.L. 2002. *Physical Hydrology*, 2nd edition. Waveland Press, pp. 575.

68 Eckhardt, K. 2005. How to construct recursive digital filters for baseflow separation.
69 *Hydrology Processes* 19, no. 2: 507–515.

70 Gustard, A., A. Bullock, and J.M. Dixon. 1992. Low flow estimation in the United
71 Kingdom. Report no. 108. Wallingford, United Kingdom

72 Healy, R.W. 2010. *Estimating Groundwater Recharge*. Cambridge University Press.

- 73 Scanlon, B.R., K.E. Keese, A.L. Flint, L.E. Flint, C.B. Gaye, W.M. Edmunds, and I.
74 Simmers. 2006. Global synthesis of groundwater recharge in semiarid and arid
75 regions. *Hydrological Processes* 20, no. 15: 3335–3370.
- 76 Thornthwaite, C.W. 1948. An approach toward a rational classification of climate.
77 *Geographical Review* 38: 55–94.
- 78 Turc, L. 1954. Le bilan d'eau des sols: Relations entre les précipitations,
79 l'évaporation et l'écoulement. *Annales Agronomiques* 5: 491–595.