

CO2 leakage in a shallow aquifer - Observed changes in case of small release

Frédérick Gal, Julie Lions, Zbigniew Pokryszka, Philippe Gombert, Solenne Grellier, F. Prévot, Yacine Darmoul, Patrice Squarcioni

▶ To cite this version:

Frédérick Gal, Julie Lions, Zbigniew Pokryszka, Philippe Gombert, Solenne Grellier, et al.. CO2 leakage in a shallow aquifer - Observed changes in case of small release. 12th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies (GHGT12), Oct 2014, Austin, United States. hal-01062362

HAL Id: hal-01062362 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-01062362

Submitted on 9 Sep 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



CO₂ leakage in a shallow aquifer – Observed changes in case of small release

GAL Frédérick¹, LIONS Julie¹, POKRYSZKA Zbigniew², GOMBERT Philippe², GRELLIER Solenne³, PREVOT François⁴, SQUARCIONI Patrice⁵

¹BRGM, 45060 Orléans, France ²INERIS, 60550 Verneuil-en-Halatte, France ³VERI, 94417 St-Maurice, France ⁴IPGP, 75205 Paris, France ⁵HYDROINVEST, 16430 Champniers, France

Abstract

Geological storage of CO₂ in deep saline aquifers is one of the options considered for the mitigation of CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere. A deep geological CO₂ storage is not expected to leak but potential leakage monitoring is required by legislation, as *e.g.* the EU Directive relative to Geological Storage of CO₂. To ensure that the storage will be permanent and safe for the environment and human health, the legislation require that the CCS operators monitor the injection, the storage complex and if needed the environment to detect any CO₂ leakage and its hazardous effects on the environment (Lions *et al.*, 2014). Various monitoring methods are available for the monitoring of CO₂ storage sites and the environment as listed by the IEA-GHG (IEAGHG, 2012) and the monitoring selection tool (http://ieaghg.org/ccs-resources/monitoring-selection-tool1). Geophysical based methods have a greater area of investigation but may suffer from insufficient sensitivities to detect small leakages. At the opposite, geochemical monitoring methods may have insufficient investigation area but may be able to detect more subtle changes even if monitoring in deep environments is not straightforward. Leakage detection is not yet well constrained and research efforts and tests are required to gain confidence into monitoring strategies.

In the framework of the CIPRES project, funded by the French Research Agency, a shallow CO₂ release experiment has been performed in October 2013 in a chalk aquifer from the Paris basin. The Catenoy site has been characterised since March 2013 through several wells set on a straight line oriented along the local flow (see Gombert et al., this conference). Such an experiment is designed to gain confidence in leakage detection in subsurface environments by understanding processes and principles governing seepage occurrence. Contrary to other experiments such as ZERT (Spangler *et al.*, 2010) or CO₂FieldLab ones (Gal *et al.*, 2013), where gaseous CO₂ was injected directly in the water, the injection was done with water saturated with CO₂ at atmospheric pressure. 10 m³ of water were pumped from the aquifer, then saturated with 20 kg of food-grade CO₂ and injected during 40 hours between 12 and 25 m depth. Daily monitoring of soil gases and water was performed during injection and post-injection phases (2 weeks duration) in the area previously delimited by a tracer test. The aim is to determine if geochemical methods are accurate enough to allow detecting small release in shallow environments. If successful, such an experiment can help to gain confidence in leakage detection.

As expected, no change was noticed in the unsaturated zone. The shape of gas concentrations distribution at the surface (CO_2 , O_2 , N_2 , 4 He, 222 Rn) observed during the injection is strictly similar to the repartition of gas species observed since March 2013. The main process observed is respiration and no change linked to the injection was highlighted, only seasonal effects.

Slight changes were observed in the saturated zone. The water was collected at 15 m deep excepted for one stratified borehole where water was sampled at 15 and 18 m. The pH of the injected water was lower (mean value: 5.3 ± 0.1) than the initial pH of the aquifer (7.1-7.2) due to CO_2 dissolution. Only two monitoring boreholes set 10 m and 20 m downstream from the injection well may be considered as influenced by the experiment. A probable enrichment in HCO_3 linked to interaction of the CO_2 saturated water with chalk was noticed, with an enrichment close to +8 to +10% of the initial value. For one borehole the pH value remained nearly stable in relation with pH buffering and in the other borehole a slight decrease was observed (-0.1 to -0.15 pH unit). However this decrease is significant as it is above the instrumental uncertainty of the electrodes. In addition, a slight increase of the electrical conductivity was noticed but it did not exceed +6% compared to baseline data.

Such slight changes in the physico-chemical parameters are related to small variations in dissolved elements. Apart from HCO_3 , the other major ion affected by CO_2 -water rock-interaction is Ca as the aquifer is mainly composed by calcite. Concentrations increases by +8 to +9% whose amplitude is in agreement with the increase of HCO_3 . Trace elements were also little affected, the main change concerned Sr (+8 to +10% increase).

Modifications occurring during this CO₂ release experiment have small amplitude as expected but these results highlight that geochemical methods are able to detect small leakages. Consequently, effects were noticed only during a short period of time. It is not possible to determine if all the injected CO₂ has migrated downwards in the direction of flow or if partial lateral migration has occurred, but post-injection monitoring and boreholes logging 12 days after the stop of injection did not reveal any discrepancy in the water columns. On the other hand, the magnitude of the pH change is consistent with the behaviour of the co-injected tracer (dilution ratio ~30). In the perspective of getting more information on the remobilisation of trace metal elements, a push-pull test will be performed in 2014 on the basis of the learning of this first experiment.

References:

Gal F. *et al.* 2013, Inducing a CO₂ leak into a shallow aquifer (CO2FieldLab EUROGIA+ project): Monitoring the CO₂ plume in groundwaters, Energy Procedia 37, 3583-3593.

Gombert P. et al., Selection, instrumentation and characterization of a pilot site for experimentation of CO₂ leakage towards a superficial aquifer, submitted to GHGT-12.

IEAGHG, 2012. Quantification Techniques For CO₂ Leakage.

Lions J. *et al.*, 2014, Potential impacts of leakage from CO₂ geological storage on geochemical processes controlling fresh groundwater quality: a review, IJGGC, DOI 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.12.019.

Spangler L.H. *et al.* 2010, A shallow subsurface controlled release facility in Bozeman, Montana, USA, for testing near surface CO₂ detection techniques and transport models, Environ Earth Sci 60, 227-239.