Semi-global hydrological modelling by coupled simulation of the variation of water storage in the unsaturated zone and of the piezometric level evolution.

Dominique Thiéry

SEMI-GLOBAL HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING BY COUPLED SIMULATION OF THE VARIATIONS OF WATER STORAGE IN THE UNSATURATED ZONE AND OF THE PIEZOMETRIC LEVEL EVOLUTION

D. Thiery

*Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières, B.P. 6009,
45060 Orleans Cedex, France*

Abstract. A new type of hydrological model –called "semi-global" has been created to
attempt at a better understanding of the physical behaviour of a drainage basin. This
model, called "Gardensol" simulates the basin globally, in its spatial extension, but
it reproduces, at least approximately, the real phenomena with physical parameters
capable of being measured in the field :
- vertical permeability at saturation,
- residual water content, corresponding to an almost nil vertical infiltration
  permeability.
As it can be calibrated simultaneously on the water content variations in the
unsaturated zone and on the piezometric variations, the model is much more reliable
and more robust than a rainfall–aquifer level model where the aquifer levels are
reproduced only from a usually badly known storage coefficient. The estimation of the
recharge, in particular, must be much more exact and the model can be used by
extending data to analyse the resource fluctuations according to the climatic
variability.
Three application examples are given :
- coupled simulation of the water storage in the unsaturated zone and of the
  piezometric level at Mézières-les-Cléry (Loiret department, France),
- simulation of the water storage during 8 years in a small area of the Orgeval
  experimental basin of the CEMAGREF,
- coupled simulation of the water storage in the unsaturated zone and of the
  piezometric level on the Lille-Sainghin (France) experimental site.

Keywords. Hydrology ; models ; unsaturated zone ; water resources data processing ;
parameter estimation.

INTRODUCTION

The rainfall–runoff and rainfall–aquifer level
lumped hydrologic models are used classically to
extend the data in a drainage basin or to estimate
the natural recharge of an aquifer by the rain.
The Gardenia (Roche and Thiery, 1984) hydrological
tank model, for example, enables the reliable
simulation, from 4 lumped parameters, of the
discharge of a stream or a spring at the outlet of
a drainage basin. It makes a model possible, from
6 lumped parameters, of the level variation at one
point of an aquifer recharged by rainfall (Thiery,
1988a).

After calibration of the parameters of the model
on the piezometric level observations, the
groundwater recharge value can be obtained. This
kind of model is, in fact, doubly lumped :
- lumped for the spatial extent ; as it is
  considered that a whole basin is described by
  the same parameters ;
- lumped in its working, for the model parameters
  cannot be measured in the field and convey
  global phenomena.

The model parameters can therefore, only be
determined by calibration on series of
observations. Thus, it may happen that several
sets of parameters produce almost identical
simulations, in a normal period, but risk to give
different results in reaction to extreme climatic
conditions.

Various applications –in particular Thiery (1987,
1988c)– also show that, in the case of slowly
varying groundwater, whose storage coefficient is
badly known, a satisfactory calibration can
sometimes be obtained for several sets of
parameters if data of surface run-off on the
drainage basin are not available.

To remove the indetermination, a semi-global model
–the Gardensol model– was created with support of
the ECC. This model is called semi-global since,
although the spatial extent of the basin is
represented globally, the flows through the
unsaturated zone are simulated –approximately at
least– by simplified physical laws whose
parameters have physical dimensions and could be
deduced from field experiments or measurements :
- vertical permeability at saturation,
- water content at saturation,
- residual water content (corresponding to a very
  strong suction),
- water content corresponding to an almost nil
  permeability.

The model parameters can thus be predetermined approximately and adjusted so as to be able to reproduce simultaneously the recording of variations of piezometric levels in the groundwater, or of discharge at the basin outlet, and those of water storage (or of average water content) in a given width of the unsaturated zone.

Models like that described by Rambal (1987) or by Johansson (1987), also enable modelling of the water storage variations, but the originality of the Gardensol model is that it enables the simultaneous modelling of the evolution of the water storage in the unsaturated zone and of the piezometric level at one point in the groundwater. The model is much more reliable and robust than a classic rainfall-aquifer level one, which reproduces levels only from a generally badly known storage coefficient. The recharge assessment must be much more reliable, in particular, and the model can be used to extend the data for analysing the resource fluctuation according to the climatic variability.

FLOW DIAGRAM OF THE GARDENSOL MODEL

An unsaturated soil may be described by two characteristic relations of the state of saturation :
- a permeability/water content relation,
- a suction/water content relation.

In practice, these relations generally take the form :

$$K = Ks \left(\frac{\theta - \theta r}{\theta s - \theta r} \right)^{b_k} \qquad (1)$$

$$h = ht \left(\frac{\theta s - \theta r}{\theta - \theta r} \right)^{b_t} \qquad (2)$$

where K   = permeability $[LT^{-1}]$

   Ks = permeability at saturation $[LT^{-1}]$

   θ = volumetric water content $[L^3/L^3]$

   θs = volumetric water content $[L^3/L^3]$
        at saturation

   θr = residual volumetric water $[L^3/L^3]$
        content

   h   = pressure (= -suction)   [L]

   h_t = pressure at half-saturation   [L]
   b_t)
   b_k) exponents
   b_k)

To obtain integrable solutions, very simple laws have been chosen.

Percolation

b_k = 1 was chosen, i.e. that the permeability decreases linearly from the Ks value at saturation to a value of 0 for a water content θk. Moreover a unitary gradient was supposed in all cases.

PERC = K = Ks $(\theta - \theta k)/(\theta s - \theta k)$ if $\theta > \theta k$ (3)

PERC = 0   if $\theta \leq \theta k$

where PERC = percolation
     θk = water content corresponding
          to an almost nil permeability

Evapotranspiration

To take account of the fact that the suction increases when the water content decreases and partly counterbalances the decreases in permeability, a linear decrease of the actual

evapotranspiration with the saturation state was supposed :

$$FL_{AET} = FL_{PET} \cdot (\theta - \theta r)/(\theta s - \theta r) \qquad (4)$$

where

   FL_{AET} = actual evapotranspiration flow $[LT^{-1}]$

   FL_{PET} = potential evapotranspiration flow $[LT^{-1}]$

Run-off

The upper soil layer of a basin generally gives rise to a "retention" phenomenon (partly due to interception by vegetation and in the depressions at the surface of the soil). This possible phenomenon can be simulated by a (low) interception capacity. When the possible interception capacity is saturated, the run-off has been chosen proportional to the water content of the soil :

$$FL_{RU} = FL_{INFIL} \cdot CRU \cdot (\theta - \theta r)/(\theta s - \theta r) \qquad (5)$$

where

   FL_{RU} = run-off flow $[LT^{-1}]$

   FL_{INFIL} = infiltration flow = R - FL_{PET} $[LT^{-1}]$

   R   = rainfall flow $[LT^{-1}]$

   CRU   = run-off coefficient   [-]

A certain thickness, TH, of soil, is considered. The water conservation equation can, therefore, be written :

$$TH \cdot \frac{d\theta}{dt} = FL_{INFIL} - PERC - FL_{AET} - FL_{RU} \qquad (6)$$

By replacing the right-hand terms by their expression given by equations (3) to (5) a differential equation is obtained. This, being linear, can be solved exactly without time discretization. Only the boundary limits (rain flow, PET flow) are discretized at fixed time steps.

The diagram for basin simulation is :

Fig. 1. Gardensol model - Flow diagram

EXAMPLES OF APPLICATION

Three application examples are given :
- coupled simulation of the water storage in the unsaturated zone and of the piezometric level at Mézières-les-Cléry (Loiret, France),
- simulation of the water storage during 8 years in a parcel of the CEMAGREF experimental basin of Orgeval (France),
- coupled simulation of the water storage in the unsaturated zone and of the piezometric level on the Lille-Sainghin (France) experimental site.

Example 1 - Experimental basin of Mézières-les-Cléry (Loiret)

The Mézières-les-Cléry experimental basin lies a few kilometres from Orléans (France) and was equipped in 1979 and 1980 to monitor the migration of industrial muds into the ground, as part of a contract with the french Ministry for the Environment (Sauter, 1980, Barrès and Sauter, 1985). A test parcel (not influenced by the muds) was equipped with 8 tensiometers distributed from 20 cm to 175 cm below the ground surface. The water contents were also recorded, by neutron probe, every 20 cm, over a depth of 240 cm, 20 times from June 1979 to November 1980.

Drilling showed that the soil was composed of 20 cm of top soil, then 10 metres of sand and gravel (red sand), followed by 7 metres of marl, overlying the aquitanian limestone. A borehole located nearby enabled monitoring of the underlying groundwater during the 5 years of the 1979-1983 period. For this period, 107 level measurements are available, i.e. approximately 2 measurements per month. During this period, the levels were at depths of between 12 and 15 metres. The groundwater was locally slightly confined near the sites but unconfined and recharged not far away by the rain through soil with the same characteristics.

A calibration was made by adjusting the model -at a daily time step- simultaneously on the piezometric series and the water storage series of the layer between 0 to 2.4 m depth. The rainfall figures used are those recorded at Mézières from May 1979 to December 1983. The values required for the initialization of the calculations, (January 1978 to April 1979), as well as a few missing values, were taken from the recordings of the Météorologie Nationale at Orléans-Bricy. The monthly potential evapotranspiration was calculated by the Turc formula (Turc, 1961) with the Orléans data. The integration of the minimum and maximum humidity profiles correspond to storage of between 283 mm and 335 mm, i.e., on an average, for a section of 2.4 m, to a minimum water content of 11.8 % and maximum of 14.0 %.

Figure 2 shows that a very good adjustement is obtained :
- correlation coefficient = 0.94 with the levels,
- correlation coefficient = 0.93 with the water storage.

The parameters identified are :
- Surface zone
  . retention (and interception)
    capacity :   5 mm
- Unsaturated zone
  . water content at saturation :   15.8 %
  . water content of nil
    permeability :   12.4 %
  . residual water content :   12.0 %
  . permeability at saturation : $8.1 \ 10^{-8}$ m/s
- Saturated zone (groundwater)   *
  . time for half-recession :   5 months
  . storage coefficient :   8.2 %
  . local base level :   17.00 m
                        beneath ground level

*i.e. a transmissivity of $1.8 \ 10^{-3}$ m^2/s for a distance of 1 km from an outlet.

The mean annual balance for the 5 years 1979-1983 can, therefore, be written (in mm/year) :

|		
- rain	761	
- actual evapotranspiration	-	386
- surface run-off	-	0
- infiltration	-	383
- storage difference	8	
TOTAL	769	769

Fig 2- SITE OF MEZIERES LES CLERY

Fig:3-SITE OF LILLE-SAINGHIN

Exemple 2 - Lille-Sainghin site

The site of Sainghin en Mélantois, 8 km south-west of Lille, was monitored by the BRGM in 1977 and 1978 as part of the study contracts 76-0-1131 and 76-0-1132 with the Direction générale à la Recherche Scientifique et technique (General Directorate to Scientific and Technical Research) (Ausseur and others, 1979). Measurements were taken in the unsaturated zone from the ground and in a 1.8 m-diameter and 8.3 m-deep well. The water content was measured every 20 cm in depth by a neutron probe. The piezometric levels were recorded continuously in two observation wells (P2 and P3), 100 m from the measuring well, but only the data of observation well P2 -the most regular- were used in this application example. Model calibration was carried out by adjusting the model, at a daily time step, simultaneously on the 305 piezometric level observations and on the 16 values of water storage from 0 to 4 m below the soil surface. The rainfall used is that recorded on the same site (completed in 1976 by the Lille rainfall for the initialization of the model states). The potential evapotranspiration was calculated by the Turc formula with the mean Lille climatic data.

10   D. Thiery

Figure 3 shows a good adjustment both on the
levels (correlation : 0.89) and on the water
storage (correlation 0.91). The parameters
identified during this application are :

- Surface zone
  . retention (and interceptio)
    capacity :   31 mm
- Unsaturated zone
  . water content at
    saturation :   43.6 % (1,743 mm for 4 m)
  . water content of nil
    permeability :   36.3 % (1,451 mm for 4 m)
  . residual water content :
                        32.4 % (1,297 mm for 4 m)

  . permeability at saturation :   2.4 10^{-7} m/s

- Saturated zone (groundwater)
  . time of half recession :   5.7 months*
  . storage coefficient :   4.9 %
  . local base level :   12.38 m/ground

*i.e. a transmissivity of 9.2 10^{-4} m^2/s for a
distance of 1 km from an outlet.

The annual water balance of the 1977-1978 period
is given in table 1.

TABLE 1   Mean hydrological balance, in mm/year of
          the 1977-1978 period at Lille-Sainghin

|		
- rain	625	
- actual evapotranspiration	-	438
- surface run-off	-	0
- infiltration	-	178
- storage difference .	-	9
TOTAL	625	625

Example 3 - Orgeval basin (sub-basin of Mélarchez)

The water storage data come from tube nO. 10 of
the Boissy-le-Chatel parcel (C. Loumagne, 1984).
This drained parcel (drains 0.70 m under the
ground) of 615 m2 which has no appreciable surface
run-off, is part of the Mélarchez sub-basin
(7 km2), included in the CEMAGREF's experimental
basin of the Orgeval. The water contents were
recorded 200 times by neutron probe down to a
depth of 3.05 m during the 8 years of the
1972-1979 period. The water storage data of this
very long series and the daily rainfall data
recorded from 1971 to 1979 at Boissy-le-Chatel,
were kindly provided by CEMAGREF. The potential
evapotranspiration is that calculated during the
same period by the Turc monthly formula, with data
recorded in Paris.

Calibration -on the 200 water storage
measurements- enabled a good adjustment
(correlation coefficient : 0.95), as is shown in
figure 4a. To check the reliabilty and the
robustness of the model, two other calibrations
were carried out over 4-yearly periods : 1972-1975
and 1976-1979. Figures 4b and 4c show that a model
calibrated on 4 years allows a good simulation of
the storage of the 4 other years. The prediction
capacity of the model can be appreciated by means
of Nash's efficiency criterium (EC) (Nash and
Sutcliffe, 1979) defined by :

$$EC^2 = 1 - s^2/F^2 \qquad (7)$$

where : s^2 = mean quadratic deviation over the
           control period
        F^2 = mean quadratic variation of the
           storage observed over the control
           period, compared to the mean storage
           over the calibration period

a) Calibration on the whole 1972/1979 period

b) Calibration on the 1972/1975 period

c) Calibration on the 1976/1979 period

Fig:4 - WATER STORAGE ON THE MELARCHEZ PARCEL, ORGEVAL BASIN

The identified parameters are described in
table 2.

TABLE 2   Calibration parameters of the model on
          the Mélarchez site

	Calibration period		
	1972/1979	1972/1975	1976/1979
- Surface zone . retention and interception capacity (mm)	55	56	67
- Unsaturated zone . water content at saturation %	39.7	39.6	39.1
. water content of nil permeability %	35.4	35.6	35.0
. residual water content %	30.7	30.6	30.8
. permeability at saturation m/s*	7.4 10^{-4}	9.9 10^{-4}	8.6 10^{-4}
. adjustment coefficient	0.951	0.936	0.970
. nash efficiency criterium	-	0.866	0.928

*This high permeability value must not be taken as
an absolute value, considering the drains which
are responsible for the decrease in the water
storage.

The application shows that the model enables a
good simulation of a long series with, in
particular, very dry years (1976 had a very low
storage) and wet years (1972, 1978, 1979). The
simulation is distinctly better than which would
be obtained with a single model with a retention
capacity that dries up in the same way every
summer.
The mean annual balance of the 8 years, 1972-1979,
is given in table 3.

TABLE 3   Mean annual balance (in mm/year) of the
          1972-1979 period

- rain	669	
- actual evapotranspiration	-	520
- surface run-off	-	0
- infiltration	-	120
- storage difference	-	29
TOTAL	669	669

CONCLUSION

The Gardensol model, which is described in this paper, enables a correct representation of the water content variations of ground exposed to rain, evapotranspiration and natural drainage. It thus enables a simultaneous assessment of the water content variations in the unsaturated zone and the piezometric level variations in the underlying groundwater. Three application examples were presented and a study of robustness showed that the calibration is stable since a calibration carried out over 4 years (at Mélarchez-Orgeval) enabled the accurate extrapolation of the water contents over the other 4 years. The model can, therefore, be used for extending the data so as to determine -after calibration over a few years of observations- the resource variability from a long series of climatic data. Other more recent applications (e.g. Bonin and Thiery, 1988) confirmed this model's interest. In the cases, though quite rare, where there are also available data of suction measurements in the unsaturated zone and permeability data in the unsaturated zone, it is surely more interesting to use e physical model according to Richards law like that described by Thiery (1988b) even though it is more delicate and time consuming.

REFERENCES

Ausseur J.Y., Bonnet M., Sauty J.P., Vandenbeusch M. (1979). Recharge des nappes à travers la zone non saturée. Mesures et modélisation. Rapport BRGM 79 SGN 787 HYD.

Barrès M., Sauter M. (1985). Etude sur site expérimental de la migration en terrain semi-perméable d'effluents issus de boues industrielles. ANRED, Ministère de l'Environnement, contrats n° 83-145 et 83-284. Rapport BRGM 85 SGN 015 EAU.

Bonin H., Thiéry D. (1988). Evaluation par modèle semi-global de la recharge d'un aquifère par les pluies à travers la zone non-saturée. Application à un site de stockage en surface de déchets radioactifs de faible et moyenne activité. Colloque international Hydrogéologie et sûreté des dépôts de déchets radioactifs et industriels toxiques. AIH Orléans, France, juin 1988. Document BRGM n° 160, pp. 177-186.

Johansson P.O. (1987). Méthodes for estimation of natural groundwater recharge directly from precipitations -comparative studies in sandy till- NATO Advances Research Workshop on Estimation of natural recharge of groundwater, Antalya, Turquie, 7-15 march, 1987. In : Estimation of natural groundwater recharge, ed. by I. Simmers. Dordrecht : Reidel, 1988, pp. 239-270 (NATO Asi Series. C. Mathematical and Physical Sciences. 222).

Loumagne C. (1984). Prévision du coefficient d'écoulement. Rapport de stage, juin 1984. Université de Paris-Sud, Laboratoire d'Hydrologie et de Géochimie isotopique, et CEMAGREF Antony, Division d'Hydrologie-Hydraulique.

Nash J.E. and Sutcliffe S.V. (1970). Riverflow forecasting through conceptual models. J. Hydrol., vol. 10.

Rambal S. (1987). Evolution de l'occupation des terres et ressources en eau en région méditerranéenne karstique. Journ. Hydrol., vol. 93, pp. 339-357.

Roche P.A. and Thiéry D. (1984). Simulation globale de bassins hydrologiques. Introduction à la modélisation et description du modèle GARDENIA. BRGM Rapp. 84 SGN 337 EAU.

Sauter M. (1980). Etude sur site expérimental de la migration en terrain semi-perméable d'effluents issus de boues industrielles. Thèse de doctorat de 3ème cycle à l'Université d'Orléans UER de Sciences fondamentales et appliquées. Ressources et matériaux minéraux. Novembre 1980.

Thiéry D. (1987). Analysis of long-duration piezometric records from Burkina-Faso used to determine aquifer recharge. NATO Advanced Research Workshop on Estimation of natural recharge of groundwater, Antalya, Turquie, 7-15 march, 1987. In : Estimation of natural groundwater recharge, ed. by I. Simmers. Dordrecht : Reidel, 1988, p. 477-489 (NATO Asi Series. C. Mathematical and Physical Sciences. 222).

Thiéry D. (1988a). Forecast of changes in piezometric levels by a lumped hydrological model.-J. Hydrol., vol. 97, pp. 129-148.

Thiéry D. (1988b). Calculation of natural aquifer recharge from rainfall with an unsaturated zone model solving Richards equation Communication au Symposium International de l'AIRH sur "Interaction entre eaux souterraines et eaux de surfaces", 30 mai-3 juin 1988, Ystad, Suède (edited P. Dahlblom and G. Lindh, pp. 45-57).

Thiéry D. (1988c). Influence de la variabilité du climat sur les performances des méthodes d'évaluation des ressources en eau. Commission des Communautés Européennes. Contrat CLI-88-F (RS). Rapport BRGM n° 88 SGN 155 3E.

Turc L. (1961). Evaluation des besoins en eau d'irrigation. Evapotranspiration potentielle. Ann. Agron., 12.