

Experimental determination of the role of diffusion on Li isotope fractionation during basaltic glass weathering

A. Verney-Carron, Nathalie Vigier, Romain Millot

▶ To cite this version:

A. Verney-Carron, Nathalie Vigier, Romain Millot. Experimental determination of the role of diffusion on Li isotope fractionation during basaltic glass weathering. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 2011, 75, pp.3452-3468. 10.1016/j.gca.2011.03.019 . hal-00673515

HAL Id: hal-00673515 https://brgm.hal.science/hal-00673515

Submitted on 23 Feb 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Experimental determination of the role of diffusion on Li isotope fractionation during basaltic glass weathering

A. Verney-Carron, N. Vigier, R. Millot

PII:S0016-7037(11)00175-XDOI:10.1016/j.gca.2011.03.019Reference:GCA 7148To appear in:Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta

Received Date:19 July 2010Accepted Date:15 March 2011



Please cite this article as: Verney-Carron, A., Vigier, N., Millot, R., Experimental determination of the role of diffusion on Li isotope fractionation during basaltic glass weathering, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2011.03.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Experimental determination of the role of diffusion on Li isotope fractionation
2	during basaltic glass weathering
3	
4	
5	A. Verney-Carron ^{a,1*} , N. Vigier ^a , R. Millot ^b
6	0-
7	^a CRPG-CNRS, 15 rue Notre Dame des Pauvres, 54501 Vandoeuvre les Nancy, France
8	^b BRGM, Metrology Monitoring Analysis Department, 3 avenue Claude Guillemin, BP 36009, 45060 Orléans
9	Cedex 2, France
10	¹ Present address: LISA, UMR 7583, UPEC, UPD, 61 avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil Cedex,
11	France.
12	
13	
14	
15	Revised version 14 March 2011
16	
17	Keywords: Li isotopes, isotope fractionation, diffusion, basaltic glass
F	

18 Abstract

19

20 In order to use lithium isotopes as tracers of silicate weathering, it is of primary importance to determine the processes responsible for Li isotope fractionation and to 21 22 constrain the isotope fractionation factors caused by each process as a function of 23 environmental parameters (e.g. temperature, pH). The aim of this study is to assess Li isotope 24 fractionation during the dissolution of basalt and particularly during leaching of Li into 25 solution by diffusion or ion exchange. To this end, we performed dissolution experiments on a 26 Li-enriched synthetic basaltic glass at low ratios of mineral surface area/volume of solution 27 (S/V), over short timescales, at various temperatures (50 and 90°C) and pH (3, 7, and 10). 28 Analyses of the Li isotope composition of the resulting solutions show that the leachates are enriched in ⁶Li (δ^7 Li = +4.9 to +10.5%) compared to the fresh basaltic glass (δ^7 Li = +10.3 ± 29 0.4%). The δ^7 Li value of the leachate is lower during the early stages of the leaching process, 30 increasing to values close to the fresh basaltic glass as leaching progresses. These low $\delta^7 Li$ 31 32 values can be explained in terms of diffusion-driven isotope fractionation. In order to quantify the fractionation caused by diffusion, we have developed a model that couples Li diffusion 33 34 with dissolution of the glassy silicate network. This model calculates the ratio of the diffusion coefficients of both isotopes $(a=D_7/D_6)$, as well as its dependence on temperature, pH, and 35 36 S/V. *a* is mainly dependent on temperature, which can be explained by a small difference in 37 activation energy (0.10 \pm 0.02 kJ/mol) between ⁶Li⁺ and ⁷Li⁺. This temperature dependence 38 reveals that Li isotope fractionation during diffusion is low at low temperatures (T < 20° C), 39 but can be significant at high temperatures. However, concerning hydrothermal fluids (T >40 120°C), the dissolution rate of basaltic glass is also high and masks the effects of diffusion. These results indicate that the high δ^7 Li values of river waters, in particular in basaltic 41

- 42 catchments, and the fractionated values of hydrothermal fluids are mainly controlled by
- 43 precipitation of secondary phases. Acceleration

Δ	Δ
-	

1. INTRODUCTION

45

46 Silicate weathering has a major impact on the carbon cycle over long timescales, 47 because Ca and Mg are released during dissolution of silicate minerals which in turn leads to 48 consumption of atmospheric carbon dioxide via formation of carbonate in the oceans (e.g. 49 Ludwig et al., 1999; Dupré et al., 2003; Lerman et al., 2007; Franck et al., 2008; Hartmann et 50 al., 2009). Basalt are among the most easily weathered silicate rocks, therefore basalt 51 weathering potentially represents a significant atmospheric CO_2 sink and a major source of oceanic Ca and Mg (Louvat and Allègre, 1997, 1998; Gaillardet et al., 1999; Dessert et al., 52 53 2003). Assessing the contribution of basaltic weathering to rivers is therefore of primary 54 importance. A significant portion of basalt weathering is likely due to alteration of basaltic 55 glass: the glassy phase and hyaloclastites have been shown to play a key role in determining 56 the chemical and isotope compositions of river waters in volcanic regions (e.g. Gislason and 57 Oelkers, 2003, and references therein; Wolff-Boenisch et al., 2004; Vigier et al., 2006). This is consistent with their more rapid dissolution relative to basalt minerals (e.g. Wolff-Boenisch 58 59 et al., 2006).

60 In this context, lithium isotopes could represent a powerful tracer of basalt weathering 61 as river Li isotope compositions appear to be correlated with weathering rates of silicate 62 lithologies present within the basin (Kisakürek et al., 2005; Vigier et al., 2009; Pogge von 63 Strandmann et al., 2010; Millot et al., 2010b). Lithium has two stable isotopes, ⁶Li and ⁷Li, 64 whose large relative mass difference is responsible for significant isotopic fractionation 65 during physico-chemical processes. Lithium is comparatively enriched in silicates (5 to 33 66 ppm for the continental crust, Teng et al, 2008) but is present at low concentrations in 67 carbonates (< 2 ppm, Hoefs and Sywall, 1997). Moreover, this element does not play a 68 significant role in biological or atmospheric cycles (Lemarchand et al., 2010; Millot et al.,

69 2010c). The Li isotope compositions of catchment rocks and river waters are significantly different with rivers systematically enriched in ⁷Li. To date, this has been interpreted as the 70 71 result of Li isotopic fractionation during silicate weathering (Huh et al., 1998, 2001; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2010; Vigier et al., 2009; Millot et al., 2010a). In more detail, 72 73 the ⁷Li enrichment of dissolved loads of river waters has been explained by (1) the formation 74 of secondary phases, especially clay minerals, and their preferential uptake of ⁶Li (e.g. Chan 75 and Edmond, 1988; Chan et al., 1992; Vigier et al., 2008) and (2) a preferential release of ^{7}Li 76 from the mineral into solution (Huh et al., 2004; Kisakürek et al., 2004; Rudnick et al., 2004; 77 Teng et al., 2004). This second assumption was supported by the particularly high δ^7 Li values $(\delta^7 \text{Li} = ((^7 \text{Li}/^6 \text{Li})_{\text{sample}} / (^7 \text{Li}/^6 \text{Li})_{\text{LSVEC}} - 1) \times 1000)$ measured in river waters draining areas 78 79 with little or no soils, such as the Canadian shield (Millot et al., 2010b) or high altitude zones 80 in the Andes and the Himalayas (Huh et al., 2001; Kisakürek et al., 2005).

Preferential uptake of ⁶Li during the formation of clay minerals was initially suggested 81 82 by field studies (e.g. Chan and Edmond, 1988; Chan et al., 1992, 1994, 2002; Zhang et al., 83 1998) and then confirmed by experimental work (e.g. Williams and Hervig, 2005; Vigier et al., 2008; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003). In contrast, preferential release of ⁷Li during 84 85 weathering of minerals has not been verified in the laboratory. Leaching experiments have 86 been performed with basalt, weathered basalt and sediments at high temperatures (up to 87 350°C) and pressures (400-800 bars) with the aim of assessing the contributions of basalt 88 and/or sediment leaching to the Li budget during alteration in hydrothermal systems (Chan et 89 al., 1994; Seyfried et al., 1998, James et al., 2003). These authors reported fluid δ^7 Li values 90 initially heavier than those of the mineral but then progressively becoming lighter 91 (approaching that of the starting mineral). All these experiments were conducted under rock-92 dominated conditions (i.e. high reactive surface area/volume of solution, S/V, or low 93 water/rock ratio, W/R). These conditions greatly favour the formation of secondary mineral

94 phases which could also influence the Li isotopic compositions measured in solution. 95 Experiments conducted by Millot et al. (2010a) were carried out at lower temperatures (25 to 96 250°C), but also at relatively low W/R ratio, with the intention of precipitating secondary 97 phases even at the onset of the experiment. Pistiner and Henderson (2003) performed basalt 98 alteration experiments at low pH (\sim 1) and low S/V (to avoid precipitation of secondary 99 minerals), and did not observe any significant isotope fractionation after 1 week of 100 dissolution. Finally, recent forsterite and basalt glass dissolution experiments were conducted 101 by Wimpenny et al. (2010) at far from equilibrium conditions and at low pH and temperature. 102 The results revealed no isotope fractionation during leaching of forsterite. For the basalt glass 103 the δ^7 Li values of the leachates produced by weathering are equal or slightly lower than the 104 fresh glass δ^7 Li value.

105 In this study, we performed leaching experiments on basaltic glass at far from 106 equilibrium conditions in order to favour leaching/dissolution processes, and to minimise any 107 potential secondary mineral precipitation. The objective is to quantify Li isotopic 108 fractionation during the leaching/dissolution process. To this end, the experiments were 109 conducted at very low S/V ratios (i.e. very high water/rock ratio). The basaltic glass was 110 highly enriched in lithium in order to permit precise measurements of Li isotope in the 111 leachates during the early stages of the alteration process. By combining major element and 112 Li isotope data, we have developed a coupled diffusion-dissolution model which quantifies Li 113 isotope fractionation.

- 114
- 115

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

116

117 **2.1. Experimental setup**

119 2.1.1. Materials

120 A synthetic basaltic glass was doped with 1% Li₂O using the procedure described in 121 Techer et al. (2001), i.e. by melting a mixture of powdered oxides, carbonates, nitrates and 122 phosphates in alumina crucibles for 3 h at 1500°C. The resulting melt was then poured into 123 graphite crucibles preheated at 700°C. The glass was heat treated at 670°C for 1 h, then 124 cooled to room temperature in 10 h. Concentrations of major cations (Si, Al, Fe, Mn, Mg, Ca, 125 Na K, Ti, and P) were determined by ICP-AES (IRIS Thermo Elemental) at the SARM 126 (French national facilities, Nancy). Li concentrations were analyzed using atomic absorption 127 spectrometry (AAS) (VARIAN220 FS) at the SARM. Uncertainties range between 5 and 20% 128 depending on the element and its concentration.

129 The homogeneity of Li isotopic composition of the glass was determined by ion 130 microprobe (CAMECA ims3f), using classical energy filtering techniques (Chaussidon and 131 Robert, 1998). The samples were sputtered with a 3nA primary O⁻ beam then secondary 132 positive ions including ${}^{6}Li^{+}$ and ${}^{7}Li^{+}$ were accelerated at 4.5 kV and were analysed at a mass 133 resolution M/ Δ M of 1200 (to remove the interfering ${}^{6}LiH^{+}$ at mass 7) and with the energy slit 134 centred and fully opened. Counting times were 9s for ${}^{6}Li$ and 3s for ${}^{7}Li$ over 40 cycles.

135

136 2.1.2. Reactive surface area determination

The synthetic basaltic glass was ground and sieved in order to recover the 40-100 μ m particle size fraction which was then washed and ultrasonicated in acetone in order to remove any finer particles remaining. The glass powder was stirred in a beaker containing 12 cm depth of acetone. According to Stokes' law, >40 μ m particles reach the bottom of the beaker in 15 s; after this time, the supernatant solution is removed from the beaker. This procedure was repeated until the supernatant was clear. The specific surface area was measured by krypton adsorption using the BET method and is 842 ± 5 cm² g⁻¹. To assess the consistency of

this result, the BET value was compared with the geometric surface area (in cm² g⁻¹) which can be expressed as the product of the surface area of a sphere (S_{sphere}) by the number of spheres (*n*) divided by the mass (*m*) of the *n* spheres:

147

148
$$S_{geo} = \frac{n \times S_{sphere}}{m} = \frac{n \times 4\pi r^2}{n \times \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3 \times \rho} = \frac{3}{\rho \times r}$$
(1)

149

150 where ρ is the density of the glass (2.7 ± 0.1 g cm⁻³, measured by pycnometry) and *r* is the 151 grain average radius (in cm). While Eq. (1) yields a geometric surface area of 350 cm² g⁻¹ that 152 is 2.4 times lower than the measured surface area these results are nevertheless consistent 153 because surface roughness and the non-spherical nature of the grains will increase true surface 154 area compared to that calculated by Eq. (1).

155

156 2.1.3. Experiments

157 The objective of the experiments (PW-90, PH3-90, PH10-90, and PW-50; Table 1) 158 was to determine the evolution of Li concentration and isotopic composition of the leachate at 'far from equilibrium' conditions. For this, these experiments were carried out in Savillex® 159 PTFE reactors under static conditions, at a low S/V ratio (0.7 cm⁻¹) and over short timescales 160 (< 4 days). The batch solution was not stirred in order to prevent grinding of the glass and 161 162 progressive increase of the reactive surface area. However, the mass of glass introduced in the 163 reactor is sufficiently low (~50 mg for the majority of experiments) so that transport processes 164 are never rate-limiting.

For the experiments performed in pure water (Milli-Q water) (PW) at 90 and 50°C (PW-90 and PW-50), a separate reactor was used for each run. Typically, 50 mg of glass powder was placed in the Savillex[®] PTFE reactors with 50 mL of solution. For the other

experiments, one single larger reactor (120 or 250 mL) was used. Each time the solution wassampled, the reactor was manually agitated and opened for a maximum of a few minutes.

The pH was measured at the in situ temperature. However, the temperature of the sampled aliquot can rapidly decrease during pH measurements. Consequently, the temperature was also monitored during the pH measurement for calculations. The samples were filtered at 0.45 µm, and then acidified with concentrated HNO₃ to obtain 0.3 N HNO₃ for ICP-AES and AAS analyses.

Both the influence of pH (pure water, pH 3 and pH 8.4 at 90°C) and temperature (50 and 90°C) were tested. An initial pH of 3 was obtained by addition of 0.001 M HCl, and an initial pH of 8.4 at 90°C was obtained by addition of 0.0001 M NaOH. In order to determine the influence of the S/V ratio, two leaching experiments were carried out with pure water at 90°C, with a S/V ratio of 0.7 and 7 cm⁻¹ respectively, simply by changing the amount of glass powder initially introduced into the beaker (experiments SV1 and SV2, respectively, see Table 1).

182

183 **2.2. Li isotope analyses**

184

In order to analyse the Li isotopic composition of the synthetic basaltic glass, the complete dissolution of ~ 10 mg of glass was performed using a mixture of concentrated hydrofluoric and nitric acids heated on a hotplate at 100°C, in a closed Teflon beaker for 1 day. The solutions were then evaporated, re-dissolved in 1M HCl, and ultrasonicated.

For analysis of the leachate samples, an aliquot containing 30 - 60 ng of Li wasevaporated and re-dissolved in 1 M HCl.

191 Li was separated from the sample matrix by cation exchange chromatography, as 192 described in Vigier et al. (2008) and Lemarchand et al. (2010). Li isotopes were measured on

193 pure Li fractions with a Thermo-Fisher Neptune Multi Collector-ICP-MS at the BRGM

194 (Orléans, France) (Millot et al., 2004), and with a Nu Instruments Multi Collector-ICP-MS at

195 the ENS (Lyon, France). Li isotope ratios were measured relative to the L-SVEC standard

196 solution (NIST SRM 8545, Flesch et al., 1973) using a standard-sample bracketing technique

197 in order to correct for instrumental mass bias. Li isotope ratios are expressed in δ notation as

198 the part per thousand (‰) deviation from L-SVEC composition.

199 For MC-ICP-MS measurements with the Neptune (BRGM), the analytical protocol 200 involved acquisition of 15 ratios with 16 s integration time per ratio, and yielded in-run 201 precision better than 0.2% (2 σ). Blank values were low (i.e. 0.2% of the Li signal) and 5 minutes wash time was sufficient to reach a stable background value. The Li concentration in 202 203 solution required for analysis was 30 ppb. The accuracy and reproducibility of the whole 204 method (separation + MC-ICP-MS analysis) was tested by repeated measurements of a 205 seawater standard solution (IRMM BCR-403, Millot et al., 2004) and the Li7-N reference 206 solution (Carignan et al., 2007) (Table 2).

The Li isotopic compositions we determined for Li7-N and seawater BCR-403 were +30.8 ± 0.5 ‰ (n = 10) and +31.3 ± 0.4 ‰ (n = 10), respectively, which are in agreement with published values (Millot et al., 2004; Carignan et al., 2007 and references therein). The accuracy and reproducibility of the MC-ICP-MS analysis (without separation chemistry) was also assessed during the session with two standards, Li7-N and Li-6N (Carignan et al., 2007). The average values are δ^7 Li = +30.3 ± 0.2 ‰ (n = 10) for Li7-N and δ^7 Li = -8.1 ± 0.3 ‰ (n = 10) for Li6-N, and are similar to values reported in the literature (Carignan et al., 2007).

For both sessions of MC-ICP-MS measurements with the Nu Instruments (ENS-Lyon), the typical Li concentration of the analysed solutions was 60 ppb. The accuracy and reproducibility of the method was tested with the JB-2 basalt standard (Table 2). The δ^7 Li value of JB-2 is +4.0 ± 0.3 % (n = 1) which is consistent with published values (e.g. Carignan

237	
236	experiment (90 or 50°C) was recalculated by changing the temperature in JCHESS.
235	analyses and the pH measurements are valid. The solution pH at the temperature of the
234	agreement between the measured pH and the calculated value is good, then both the solution
233	cation concentrations, by assuming electroneutrality at the measurement temperature. If the
232	the solution during the pH measurements, the solution pH was recalculated from the major
231	based on the EQ3/6 database (Wolery, 1992). Because of the rapid cooling (around 75°C) of
230	code (van der Lee and De Windt, 2002). JCHESS uses a thermodynamic database which is
229	solutions can be used to calculate mineral saturation indices using the JCHESS speciation
228	Analyses of the chemical composition and temperature measurements of the leachate
227	
226	2.3. Calculation of solution saturation states
225	
224	concentrations are lower (see Table 2).
223	function of Li content. However, the corresponding internal errors are higher when the Li
222	+30.5 ± 0.7 ‰ (n = 2). The δ^7 Li of Li7-N (10 to 40 ppb) does not change significantly as a
221	measuring lower concentration solutions (Table 2). The δ^7 Li of SW BCR403 at 15 ppb is
220	at reduced concentrations in order to assess the reproducibility and the confidence in
219	agreement with previously reported values (Table 2). Reference solutions were also analysed
	et al., 2007). The values of the three standards, SW BCR403, L17-IN, and L10-IN, are also in

- 242 The composition of the synthetic basaltic glass is given in Table 3. Its composition is 243 consistent with published analyses for the same glass (Techer et al., 2001). Except for the 244 enrichment in Li, the composition is typical of basalt from mid-ocean ridges (MORB) (e.g. 245 Schiano et al., 1997). 246 The bulk Li isotopic composition of the fresh synthetic basaltic glass was determined 247 for 3 different powder aliquots by MC-ICP-MS and is $\pm 10.3 \pm 0.4 \% (2\sigma)$. The homogeneity 248 of the glass Li isotopic composition was also determined by ion microprobe. The standard 249 deviation of 34 measurements in 2 different grains is 0.7%. The standard deviation of the 250 GB4 glass standard (Chaussidon and Robert, 1998) analysed during the same session is 0.4% 251 (n=7). The synthetic basaltic glass used for the alteration experiments is therefore considered to be isotopically homogeneous, relative to the overall uncertainty of the Li isotope 252 253 measurements.
- 254

255 **3.2. pH and chemical composition of the leachates**

256

257 At 90°C, the agreement between measured and calculated pH (from major elements) 258 was good. For example, the pH measured at 75°C for the PH10-90 experiment after 1 day was 8.5. The pH calculated from elemental concentrations in solution is also 8.5 at 75°C. Thus the 259 260 pH recalculated at the temperature of the experiment, i.e. 90°C, is 8.3 (see Table 4). For this 261 experiment the difference between experimental and calculated pH at the measurement 262 temperature is never higher than 0.1 pH unit. For the other experiments, the difference is 263 always less than 0.3 pH unit, except for the fluid sampled towards the end of the experiments 264 (34 d for pH3-90, 63 d for experiments SV1 and SV2) where the discrepancy reaches 0.7 pH 265 unit, probably because of carbonation effects that decrease the measured pH.

For experiments performed in pure water at 90°C (PW-90, SV1, SV2), the pH rapidly increases to 8.5-9. For the experiment PH10-90, the initial pH of 8.4 remains more or less constant. In the experiments with dilute nitric acid, the pH starts at a value of 3 and then does not evolve significantly, except at 34 days. In the experiment performed at 50°C, pH increases from 6.6 to 7.7 (Table 4).

The evolution of the silicon concentration in solution (Table 4, Fig. 1a) is quite similar for all of the experiments performed at 90°C. The Si content increases linearly as a function of time, as expected by a pure and constant dissolution process, and then reaches a plateau at around 15-18 mg L⁻¹ after a few days. It is noteworthy that lithium concentration is not a linear function of time, and the pattern is different for different experiments (Table 4, Fig 1b). Solution lithium contents are lower for experiments at S/V=0.7 cm⁻¹ than they are for experiments with S/V = 7 cm⁻¹.

In order to compare the behaviour of all the analysed elements in more detail, concentrations can be converted to the normalized mass loss (NL(i)). NL(i) corresponds to the concentration C_i in solution (where *i* is the element analyzed) in g L⁻¹ corrected for the S/V ratio and the initial glass mass fraction. NL(i) is expressed in g m⁻² and is given by:

282

283
$$NL(i) = \frac{C_i \times V}{S \times x_i}$$
 (2)

284

285

5 where x_i is the initial fraction of the element *i* in the bulk glass.

NL(i) therefore represents the equivalent quantity of leached glass per unit area. Consequently, the NL notation allows a direct comparison of each element (i) released into solution for all the experiments. Indeed, if the NL values are equal for all elements, then dissolution can be considered to be congruent. In contrast, if the NL value of a particular element is higher or lower than the NL values for silicon, then the element is probably

affected by processes in addition to dissolution, such as diffusion or secondary phaseformation.

293 The NL values based on all the elements analysed in this study are reported in Table 5. 294 For the experiment PW-90, Al, Ca, and Mg releases are congruent with Si release, as they all 295 display similar NL values (Fig. 2). Ca and Mg are modifier cations in silicate network, 296 whereas Al and Si are network-forming cations. However, under the experimental conditions 297 used in this study, their behaviour is similar, indicating negligible formation of secondary 298 minerals. The alkalis (Na and Li) are mobile elements and their rates of release are greater 299 than Si, as NL values for Na and Li are systematically higher (Table 5). Their behaviour is 300 clearly non-stoichiometric. All the experiments display this discrepancy (NL(Li,Na) > 301 NL(Si)), except for the experiment at pH 3, where the dissolution is nearly congruent for 302 alkalis as well.

303

304 **3.3. Alteration kinetics of the basaltic glass**

305

306 The release of Si into solution is caused by hydrolysis of the glass network (Bunker, 307 1994; Oelkers, 2001). The dissolution rate intrinsically depends on the properties of the glass 308 (composition, structure, etc.), but also on the chemistry of the solution. Two kinetic regimes 309 can be distinguished: (1) The 'initial' or 'forward' dissolution rate is measured if the solution is 310 sufficiently renewed or diluted. Under these conditions, the evolution of Si concentrations in 311 solution (and therefore NL(Si)) is linear as a function of time, as observed in the first few 312 hours of the experiments (Fig. 2). (2) The dissolution rate drops as the solution chemical 313 composition approaches equilibrium (e.g. Aagard and Helgeson, 1982), and a gel layer forms 314 at the surface of the glass (e.g. Frugier et al., 2008). The accumulation of elements in solution, 315 especially silicon, decreases the dissolution rate, as it reduces the chemical affinity between

the solution and the glass. This chemical affinity term is a parameter often used in kinetic laws describing glass alteration (Grambow, 1985; Berger et al., 1994; Daux et al., 1997; Frugier et al., 2009; Verney-Carron et al., 2010). The release of dissolved elements in solution may also lead to the formation of secondary minerals. If this is the case, the dissolution becomes incongruent.

321

322 *3.3.1. Glass dissolution rate*

For the experiment in pure water at 90°C (PW-90), the evolution of NL(Si) as a function of time is constant between 0 and 0.6 days (Fig. 2). For the experiment in pure water at 50°C (PW-50), the Si release rate is constant for the whole duration of the experiment (2 days). In both cases, the corresponding initial dissolution rate (r_0) normalized to the Si content can be determined by linear regression of the data (Fig. 3a):

328

$$329 r_0 = \frac{dNL(Si)}{dt} (3)$$

330

The 'initial' dissolution rate r_0 is thus estimated to be 0.927 g/m²/d, i.e. 3.8×10^{-7} mol Si/m²/s 331 at 90°C and pH 8.6, and 0.0062 g/m²/d, i.e. 2.6×10^{-9} mol Si/m²/s at 50°C and pH 6.6 (Fig. 332 333 3a). These values are consistent with published data obtained at similar pH and temperatures 334 although it should be noted that there are large differences among basaltic glass dissolution 335 rate estimates reported in the literature (mainly caused by difficulties assessing the reactive 336 surface area). Initial dissolution rates for basaltic glass at pH between 8.2 and 8.6, and at temperatures between 90°C and 100°C, range between 1.1×10^{-8} and 9.8×10^{-7} mol Si/m²/s 337 338 (Guy and Schott, 1989; Daux et al., 1997; Techer et al., 2000; Gislason and Oelkers, 2003). At 50°C, the initial rate at neutral pH ranges between 2.1×10^{-10} and 1.9×10^{-8} mol Si/m²/s 339 340 (Guy and Schott, 1989; Gislason and Oelkers, 2003).

341 For the experiment in pure water at 90°C (PW-90), the Si release rate slows down after 342 0.6 days (Fig. 2). The corresponding dissolution rate calculated by linear regression is 0.08 343 $g m^{-2} d^{-1}$ between 0.6 and 4 days, i.e. one order of magnitude lower than the initial dissolution rate (r_0) . A similar feature is observed for the other experiments after a few hours (Table 4). 344 345 This highlights the feedback effect of the solution chemistry, especially dissolved silicon, on 346 glass dissolution kinetics (Aagard and Helgeson, 1982). For longer times (> 15 days), in 347 experiments SV1, SV2, pH3-90, and pH10-90, Si concentrations reach a plateau, which is around 15-18 mg L^{-1} for experiments performed at 90°C. This plateau was also observed by 348 Techer et al. (2001) under similar conditions (pure water, 90°C, $S/V = 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and likely 349 350 corresponds to a saturation state of the solution, i.e. to a decrease of the chemical affinity term, and to secondary phase precipitation. The solution is still thermodynamically 351 352 undersaturated relative to silica phases, but is close to the solubility product of quartz (i.e. 19 mg L^{-1} at 90°C and pH < 9.2). 353

354 As discussed previously, the formation of secondary phases can be assessed by 355 comparing the normalized mass losses (NL). Iron forms oxides or hydroxides, whose 356 solubilities are very low, and NL(Fe) is much lower than NL(Si). Generally, Si, Al, Mg, and 357 Ca are able to be incorporated into secondary minerals, especially smectites, which are frequently associated with basaltic glass alteration at these temperatures (Stroncik and 358 359 Schmincke, 2001; Crovisier et al., 2003). However, the relative difference between NL for 360 Ca, Al, Mg, and Si in all the experiments performed in this study is never more than 25%, 361 which is close to analytical uncertainties. Solution saturation indices have been calculated 362 using JCHESS in order to assess the potential formation of secondary minerals. In solutions 363 where Al concentrations were below detection limits, the leachates are undersaturated relative 364 to all the minerals of the database. The leachates where Al concentrations could be measured 365 are over-saturated relative to Al (oxi-)hydroxides (boehmite, diaspore, gibbsite), kaolinite

366 (rarely observed as an alteration product of the basaltic glass), and smectites for the 367 experiments at alkaline pH (pH10-90). It is therefore possible that smectites formed during 368 our experiments but in insufficient quantity to significantly affect the congruency of the 369 dissolution, or to be observed by SEM.

- 370
- 371 3.3.2. Li apparent diffusion coefficients

The behaviour of the alkalis is non-stoichiometric for the first leaching steps of most experiments: the release rate of these elements is higher than that of Si, as witnessed by their greater normalized mass losses. This strongly suggests that the alkalis are controlled by a diffusion process, while Si is mainly controlled by dissolution at this stage of the experiment (as demonstrated by its initial linear evolution through time). Moreover, the Li normalized mass losses follows a square root time evolution, (Fig. 3b), as predicted by Fick's second law which predicts how diffusion causes concentration changes with time:

379

$$380 \qquad \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}\right) = D\left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}\right)$$

381

382 where the coordinate x is zero at the original glass surface, and where C = 0 at x = 0.

The resolution of this law can therefore be used to determine the apparent diffusion coefficient D_{app} of glass alkalis into solution from their concentrations in solution (e.g. Chave et al., 2007). For lithium, resolution of Eq. (4) leads to:

387
$$E_{HG} = \frac{NL(Li)}{\rho} = 2\sqrt{\frac{D_{app}t}{\pi}}$$
(5)

where E_{HG} is the equivalent hydrated glass thickness over which diffusion occurs, NL(Li) the normalized mass loss for lithium, ρ the glass density ($\rho = 2.7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$). The D_{app} values can be calculated by linear regressions ($NL(Li) = f(\sqrt{t})$). The slope corresponds to $2\rho\sqrt{(D_{app}/\pi)}$. The calculated apparent diffusion coefficients for Li are $6.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 90°C and pH 9, and $3.5 \times 10^{-21} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 50°C and pH 6.6 (Fig. 3b).

394

395 3.4. Respective contribution of the diffusion and dissolution processes

396

397 In the early stages of the alteration process, Si is a tracer of dissolution while Li is 398 affected by diffusion and by dissolution. Consequently, the solution Si/Li ratio gives an 399 indication of the respective contribution of both processes (Table 4). Si/Li (%wt ratio) of the 400 fresh basaltic glass is 51. For all the experiments, the Si/Li ratios of the leachates are much 401 lower in the early stages of alteration (between 5 and 40, Table 4). This highlights Li 402 diffusion through the leached layer. The lowest Si/Li ratios (between 5 and 10) are found for 403 the experiment performed at 50°C, suggesting that the relative role of diffusion (compared to dissolution) is greater at low temperature. This implies that the activation energy of the 404 405 diffusion process is lower than the activation energy of the dissolution process. For experiments SV1 and SV2, characterized by different initial S/V, Si/Li ratios measured in the 406 407 leachates are significantly different, with Si/Li being 1.5 times lower for the high S/V ratio 408 experiment (SV2). With a greater reactive surface area, the silicon concentration of the 409 solution remains the same at saturation, but Li contents are significantly higher. This, again, 410 suggests that diffusion, which is a surface dependent process, partly controls the release of Li. 411

412 **3.5. Li isotopic composition of the leachates**

414	All the δ^7 Li values of the leachates are equal to or lower than the δ^7 Li of the fresh
415	basaltic glass (Fig. 4, Table 4), ranging between +4.9 \pm 0.2 % and +10.5 \pm 0.2 %. The
416	amount of Li released in solution is always low compared to the amount of the Li available in
417	the glass: generally less than 10%, and less than 5% in the early stages of alteration. The
418	lowest solution $\delta^7 Li$ values are found during the early stages of alteration. Then for
419	experiments PW-90, PH10-90 and SV1, δ^7 Li increases with time to a plateau which is close to
420	the δ^7 Li value of the fresh basaltic glass (Fig. 4). However, at a given temperature (90°C), the
421	δ^7 Li value of the plateau is "lower" at high S/V (+9.6 ± 0.2 % for experiment SV2) (Fig. 4c).
422	For a given S/V, δ^7 Li evolves towards the fresh basaltic glass value more slowly at
423	50°C (PW-50) than at 90°C (PW-90) (Fig. 4a). After two days of leaching, δ^7 Li is around
424	+7.5 ± 0.4 % for the experiment performed at 50°C, whereas the fresh basaltic glass δ^7 Li
425	value is reached after less than 1 day for the 90°C experiments.
426	Comparison between the 90°C experiments performed at variable pH shows that at pH
427	3, the leachate δ^7 Li remains lower than the fresh glass value for a longer time (up to 34 days).
428	In contrast, at high pH, δ^7 Li rapidly reaches the fresh basaltic glass value (after a few hours)
429	and then remains constant, within uncertainties (Fig. 4b).
430	Wimpenny et al. (2010) performed experimental leaching of a natural basaltic glass at

430 Wimpenny et al. (2010) performed experimental leaching of a natural basaltic glass at 431 far-from-equilibrium conditions in mixed through-flow reactors (open system). The δ^7 Li 432 values of the leachates in their experiments are also generally slightly lower than the mean 433 δ^7 Li value of the pristine basaltic glass. Si/Li of the solutions, when analyzed, are also lower 434 than the mean basaltic glass value, at temperatures ranging between 25 and 45°C (the 435 temperature was varied during the experiment), suggesting a role of diffusion on the release 436 of Li into solution at these conditions.

438	4. DISCUSSION
439	
440	4.1. A coupled diffusion-dissolution model
441	
442	The low $\delta^7 Li$ values relative to the fresh basaltic glass measured in the solutions
443	collected in the early stages of alteration strongly suggest that diffusion affects the Li isotope
444	signature of these solutions. Indeed, diffusion is expected to result in light isotope (⁶ Li)
445	enrichment in the solution relative to the solid phase. The role of diffusion on the Li isotope
446	signature at the beginning of the experiments is also supported by the fact that the lowest $\delta^7 Li$
447	values also correspond to the lowest Si/Li (Table 4, section 3.4).
448	In order to quantify diffusion coefficients for ⁶ Li and ⁷ Li, we have developed a model
449	that takes into account both processes: release of Li by diffusion and release of Li by
450	dissolution of the glass network (Fig. 5). During alteration, glass network modifier cations,
451	such as alkali metals (Na ⁺ , Li ⁺), are replaced by hydrogen species (H ₃ O ⁺ , H ₂ O) present in
452	solution. This leads to a selective leaching of these metals, and to the formation of a "leached
453	layer" at the glass surface (Rana and Douglas, 1961a,b; Hamilton et al., 2000; White and
454	Claasen, 1980; Luo and Ebert, 1998). This diffusion process can lead to kinetic isotopic
455	fractionation as the diffusivity of an isotope is a function of its mass (Eq. (5)): light isotopes
456	diffuse more rapidly than heavy ones. It has been shown that Li isotope fractionation can
457	occur during diffusion through silicate melts (Richter et al., 2003; Lundstrom et al., 2005),
458	and in water (Richter et al., 2006). The ratio of ⁶ Li and ⁷ Li diffusion coefficients (a) is
459	expressed as:

460

461
$$a = \frac{D_{\tau_{Li}}}{D_{\epsilon_{Li}}} = \left(\frac{m_{\epsilon_{Li}}}{m_{\tau_{Li}}}\right)^{\beta}$$
 (6)

462

463 The value of the exponent ' β ' is not equal to 0.5, as it would be for a theoretical ideal gas.

464 The value of a (or in other words the β value) must be determined experimentally.

465 The model for determining the *a* coefficients must take into account not only diffusion 466 but also dissolution of the hydrated layer. Dissolution occurs either by hydrolysis of ionic-467 covalent bonds (Si–O–Si, Si–O–Al) (Bunker, 1994) and/or by metal (Al, Si)-proton exchange 468 reactions (Oelkers, 2001; Oelkers and Gislason, 2001; Gislason and Oelkers, 2003). The 469 dissolution process itself does not lead to isotope fractionation, because all of the network 470 bonds are broken (as supported by the congruent behaviour of elements such as Si, Al, Ca and 471 Mg (section 3.2)). Consequently, all the Li present in the leached layer is assumed to be released into solution. Thus, while diffusion results in low $\delta^7 Li$ in solution (relative to the 472 473 basaltic glass value), dissolution of the hydrated layer - which is enriched in ⁷Li due to diffusion - tends to increase the δ^7 Li value of the solution. Since all of our experiments are 474 475 performed in closed systems, the maximum δ^7 Li value for the solution is the fresh basaltic glass δ^7 Li value (+10.3 ± 0.4 %) (unless secondary phases are formed). 476

The diffusion coefficient deduced from Eq. (4) is only apparent because Li is also released by dissolution of the hydrated glass. Dissolution of the glass surface displaces the surface towards the interior of the glass at a rate r (Boksay et al., 1968). The coordinate xbecomes x = y - rt with C = 0 at x = 0, x moving at a rate r, and y corresponding to E_{HG} , the equivalent hydrated glass thickness over which diffusion occurs (Eq. (5)). By differentiation, x = y - rt becomes:

483

484
$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}\right) - r$$
 (7)

486 Eq. (4) can be expressed as: 487 $\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right) = D\left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}\right)$ ecomes: 488 (8) 489 490 It is considered that: 491 $\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial y}\right)$ 492 (9) 493 By combining Eq. (7), (8) and (9), Eq. (4) becomes: 494 495 $\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - r\right) = D\left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}\right)$ (10) 496 497 498 and: 499 ∂C 500 (11) 501 502 Following the resolution of this equation by Boksay et al. (1968), the solution of Eq. (11) for 503 lithium is:

504

505
$$\frac{dQ_{Li}}{dt} = c \cdot r \cdot (1 - 0.5 \cdot erf(s)) + c \cdot \sqrt{\frac{D_{Li}}{\pi \cdot t}} \cdot \exp(-s^2)$$
(12)

507 where dQ_{Li}/dt is the release rate of Li into solution (in g m⁻² d⁻¹), *r* the glass dissolution rate 508 (in m d⁻¹), *c* the Li concentration in the bulk glass (in g m⁻³), D_{Li} the Li diffusion coefficient 509 (in m² d⁻¹). *s* is dimensionless and is expressed as:

510

511
$$s = \frac{y - r \cdot t}{\sqrt{4 \cdot D \cdot t}} \tag{13}$$

512

Eq. (12) can be numerically integrated in order to determine Q_{Li} . All the parameters are known except D_{Li} which can be adjusted in order to best fit the data set (by the least squares method). The total altered glass thickness (y or E_{HG}) is deduced from Eq. (5). The dissolution rate (r) is determined for each experiment from NL(Si) (Table 5):

517

518
$$r = \frac{dNL(Si)}{dt} = r_0 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right)$$
 (14)

519

520 with τ a characteristic time of decrease.

521

522 Therefore:

523

524
$$NL(Si) = r_0 \cdot \tau \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right)$$
 (15)

525

Note that only the onset of the experiments is considered here (see Table 6). All the calculatedLi diffusion coefficients are detailed in Table 6.

528 Eq. (12) and its numerical integration can also be used to calculate the Li isotopic 529 composition of the solution. The second step of the modelling consists therefore of fitting the

530	solution δ Li values (as a function of time) and determining the best value for <i>a</i> (Eq. (6)). This
531	was performed using a least squares method (Fig. 6). The range of uncertainties obtained for
532	the <i>a</i> coefficients was determined so as to cover the whole range of δ^7 Li values.
533	The best fit for the experiment at 50°C corresponds to a <i>a</i> value of 0.991 \pm 0.003 (Fig.
534	6a). At 90°C and pH 3, a is 0.994 \pm 0.004 (Fig. 6b). For the experiments at various S/V, the a
535	values are 1.000 ± 0.002 and 0.997 ± 0.002 (Fig. 6c) for S/V = 0.7 and 7 cm ⁻¹ , respectively.
536	The best fit for the experiment at 90°C is $a = 0.990$ considering all the data, and $a = 0.997 \pm$
537	0.003 without considering the first point (Fig. 6a), which has a much lower pH (as shown in
538	Table 4).
539	

- 540 **4.2. Influence of temperature**
- 541

542 It is difficult to assess the role of temperature based on this study alone, since only two 543 temperatures were tested, and since the value obtained for a at 90°C in pure water is associated with a large uncertainty (0.9935±0.005). The δ^7 Li values of the leachates in the 544 545 experiment performed at 50°C are lower than in the experiment at 90°C (Fig. 4a). This could 546 be explained by a greater relative contribution of Li from diffusion at 50° C, as highlighted by the particularly low Si/Li in solution (see section 3.4.). In fact, the solution δ^7 Li depends on 547 548 the *a* coefficient (responsible for Li isotope fractionation during diffusion), but also on the 549 relative rate of diffusion and dissolution (see section 4.4). As shown in Fig. 7a, for a given a value of a, various glass dissolution rates can lead to a wide range of δ^7 Li values. 550

In order to better determine the role of temperature on the *a* coefficients, we have compiled data available from the literature (Fig. 8). The published data mainly correspond to high-temperature fractionation among silicate minerals, determined experimentally or by field studies. Richter et al. (2003) performed experiments of Li diffusion between rhyolite and

basalt at 1350-1450°C and determined a value for the *a* coefficient of 0.9674. Teng et al. (2006) studied amphibolites and schists of the Tin Mountain pegmatite and determined a =0.982 and 0.977 for temperatures ranging between 340 and 600°C. Parkinson et al. (2007) modelled profiles of Li concentrations and isotope compositions measured *in situ* in zoned clinopyroxene and olivine phenocrysts from primitive arc lavas of the New Georgia Group (Solomon Islands) and estimated *a* values between 0.971 and 0.959 (1050-1100°C).

561 Combining our data with the published values for *a* as a function of temperature, a 562 relatively good correlation is obtained for diffusion in molten solids ($R^2=0.95$) (Fig. 8) over a 563 large range of temperatures (50-1450°C). A single law can be determined from these data:

NAT

564

565
$$\ln(a) = 0.092 \cdot \frac{1000}{RT} - 0.041$$
 (16)

566

567 T is the temperature in K and R the ideal gas constant (in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹).

Experiments carried out by Richter et al. (2006) at 75°C (a = 0.9977) and Kunze and Fuoss (1962) at 25°C (a = 0.9965) also plot on the same trend, although diffusion of lithium occurs in water in both studies (Fig. 8, in grey). The results of Fritz (1992) (reported in Richter et al., 2006) were not used in our compilation as Richter et al. (2006) mentioned that the experimental design uses a dialysis membrane which might induce isotopic fractionation.

We suggest that the correlation between temperature and *a* coefficients is due to a difference in the activation energies (*Ea*) for ${}^{6}Li^{+}$ and ${}^{7}Li^{+}$ diffusivity (corresponding to an exchange between Li⁺ and H⁺ for example). The dependency of the diffusion coefficient on temperature would therefore follow an Arrhenius law (McGrail et al., 1984, Chave et al., 2007; Verney-Carron et al., 2010), such that:

579
$$a = \frac{D_7}{D_6} = \frac{D_{07} \cdot \exp(-Ea_7 / RT)}{D_{06} \cdot \exp(-Ea_6 / RT)} = a_0 \cdot \exp((Ea_6 - Ea_7) / RT)$$
(17)

581	The linear regression of the data (Eq. (16)) shows that the difference between
582	activation energies for ⁶ Li and ⁷ Li diffusivity ($Ea_6 - Ea_7$) is 0.10 ± 0.02 kJ/mol. Although this
583	difference is small, it leads to significant isotope fractionation during diffusion.
584	Overall, the positive correlation highlighted between temperature and a shows that
585	isotopic fractionation caused by diffusion will increase with temperature.
586	9
587	4.3. Influence of other parameters
588	
589	The <i>a</i> values are relatively similar at pH 3-4 (0.994 \pm 0.004, experiment PH3-90) and
590	at pH 8-9 (0.997 \pm 0.002, experiment PH10-90) (Table 6). Therefore, based on our data, pH
591	has no obvious effect on diffusion induced Li isotope fractionation. In contrast, the rate of Li
592	diffusion and the rate of glass dissolution are expected to vary with pH. Basaltic glass
593	dissolution rates increase as pH decreases, but are also elevated toward alkaline pH. The
594	evolution of the dissolution rate as a function of pH displays a U-shape with a minimum at
595	around pH 4-6 (Guy and Schott, 1989; Gislason and Oelkers, 2003). Guy and Schott (1989)
596	have shown that in the acidic pH region, dissolution is promoted by adsorption of H^+ on Al
597	and Fe surface sites, whereas in the alkaline pH region, dissolution is promoted by the
598	adsorption of OH ⁻ on Si sites. According to Guy and Schott, (1989), the dissolution rate at
599	100°C is 1.6×10^{-7} molSi/m ² /s at pH 3, and 9.8×10^{-7} molSi/m ² /s at pH 8.6 whereas Gislason
600	and Oelkers (2003) measured different values at 100°C: 2.5×10^{-8} molSi/m ² /s at pH 3, and 5.2
601	\times 10 ⁻⁸ molSi/m ² /s at pH 8.6. However, both studies show that the dissolution rate is lower at
602	pH 3 than at pH 8.6, in agreement with our data (see r_0 in Table 6).

In contrast to silicate dissolution rates, the Li diffusion coefficient is expected to increase as pH decreases (White and Claasen, 1980; Bunker, 1994; Chave et al., 2007; Verney-Carron et al., 2010), because ion exchange (assumed to be responsible for the diffusion of alkalis during alteration) depends on the H⁺ concentration in solution. However, in the experiments performed at pH 3, the estimated Li diffusion is surprisingly low.

608 The S/V ratio appears to have an effect on the *a* ratio (Fig. 6c), since SV1 and SV2 609 experiments lead to contrasting a values (1 and 0.997, respectively). However, these 610 experiments were longer than the low S/V experiments and may be affected by secondary 611 phase formation. For both SV1 and SV2 experiments, saturation (Si ~ 15 mg/L) was reached 612 quickly, after 20 days. Nevertheless, in order to obtain the same Si concentration in solution, 613 10 times more glass had to be altered in the experiment with $S/V = 0.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (SV1) compared 614 with SV2 which contained 10 times less glass in the beaker. This suggests that more 615 secondary minerals, potentially incorporating Li, could have precipitated during experiment 616 SV1. Since at 90°C the isotope fractionation using Li incorporation into clays can be 617 significant (e.g. -10% for smectites, Vigier et al., 2008), this effect could also explain the higher δ^7 Li in the leachates of experiment SV1. 618

In summary, we show that the *a* parameter for Li isotope fractionation during diffusion seems to be primarily influenced by temperature. Also, we show that, at a given temperature, the relative diffusion and dissolution contributions can significantly affect the Li isotope composition of the solution.

- 623
- 624 4.4. Implications for natural systems

The results of this study have shown that weathering of basaltic glass cannot lead to an 627 enrichment in ⁷Li of the solution, which suggests that high δ^7 Li values in river waters draining basalts must be due to the preferential uptake of ⁶Li by secondary phases. 628

The data also reveal the importance of diffusion-driven Li isotope fractionation under 629 630 conditions that inhibit secondary phase precipitation. The temperature dependency of this 631 isotope fractionation (a ratio) (Fig. 8) indicates a greater potential effect at high temperatures, 632 such as those that have been measured in hydrothermal fluids (a ranges between 0.985 and 0.979 for 150-350°C). However, this effect is not directly demonstrated by δ^7 Li measured in 633 hydrothermal fluids, which are systematically higher than $\delta^7 Li$ determined for fresh MORB 634 (the average δ^7 Li for hydrothermal fluids is ~ +8.1 ± 1.8 ‰, Chan and Edmond, 1988; Chan 635 636 et al., 1993, 1994; Foustoukos et al., 2004; Millot et al., 2010).

637 In fact, the Li isotope compositions of solutions also depend on the relative 638 contribution of diffusion and dissolution. At high temperatures, the release rate of Li from 639 solid phases is high, resulting in the high Li contents of hydrothermal fluids (Seyfried et al., 640 1984; Berger et al., 1988). The initial dissolution rate (r_0) and Li diffusion coefficients (D)641 calculated from the experiments performed at 50 and 90°C (PW-50 and PW-90) can be 642 extrapolated to lower and higher temperatures by using an Arrhenius law. Thus, the activation energies of diffusion and dissolution are found to be 102 and 110 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. 643 644 These values are slightly higher than published data for basaltic glass (e.g. Techer et al., 645 2000) and show that the relative contribution of diffusion compared to dissolution decreases 646 as temperature increases. In other words, r_0/D increases as a function of temperature. Fig. 7b displays the initial stages of the δ^7 Li temporal evolution in solution at various temperatures 647 648 (from 50 to 120°C) (following the model developed in section 4.1) At temperatures higher 649 than 120°C, the contribution of dissolution is high and masks the effect of isotope 650 fractionation occurring during diffusion of Li through the leached layer. The model also

651 predicts that at temperatures between 50 and 90°C, the role of diffusion dominates over dissolution, resulting in solution $\delta^7 Li$ which are lower than the fresh glass value. At lower 652 temperatures (< 50°C), the solution δ^7 Li goes back towards the fresh basaltic glass value, 653 since the isotope fractionation occurring during diffusion becomes negligible (a close to 1). 654 655 Overall, these simulations highlight that the solution $\delta^7 Li$, in absence of secondary mineral 656 formation, strongly depends on temperature since this parameter influences the dissolution 657 rate, the diffusion coefficients, and the Li isotope fractionation factor. Also, the S/V ratio 658 which can be related to parameters such as water/rock ratio, porosity and specific surface area 659 plays a key role, as it can drastically change the relative contribution of diffusion and 660 dissolution. In the field, these parameters should therefore influence the Li isotope 661 composition of the fluids before formation of any secondary minerals starts. In models, the initial composition of the fluid may therefore not be strictly equal to the isotope composition 662 663 of the fresh rock or minerals that it drains.

In summary, diffusion should not play a significant role in low-temperature natural systems as the isotope fractionation factor is close to 1. In high temperature hydrothermal systems, the dissolution rates are significant and may entirely mask the effect of diffusion. However, the role of diffusion should be taken into account for modelling alteration processes occurring at moderate temperatures.

669

670

671

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The results of basaltic glass alteration experiments performed at various temperatures (50 and 90°C), various pH (3 and around 9) and various S/V ratio (0.7 and 7 cm⁻¹) have shown that the alteration of basaltic glass at far from equilibrium conditions produces a solution with a lighter Li isotopic composition than the starting material. This challenges the

idea that the weathering of silicate glasses could preferentially release ⁷Li into rivers. The Li 676 677 isotopic composition of the solution can be modeled in terms of mass-dependent isotope 678 fractionation of Li, as Li diffuses through the hydrated glass into solution. This fractionation can be explained by a difference in the diffusion coefficients of ⁶Li and ⁷Li. The ratio a_{1} 679 680 defined as the ratio of these diffusion coefficients ($a = D_7/D_6$), decreases as a function of 681 temperature. The relationship between a and temperature appears to follow an Arrhenius' law, 682 and may be related to a difference in the activation energies of the ${}^{6}Li^{+}$ and ${}^{7}Li^{+}$ diffusivity. 683 These results can help to interpret the Li isotope signatures of natural systems. Without 684 considering the role of secondary mineral formation it seems that the effect of diffusion on the 685 Li isotopic composition is not negligible for systems where water rock interactions occur at 686 moderate temperatures (50-100°C). m 687

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

690	We would like to thank S. Gin and P. Jollivet (CEA, LCLT) for supplying the synthetic
691	basaltic glass. We also thank D. Yechigeyan, J. and L. Marin (SARM) for chemical
692	analyses. We are grateful to M. Champenois, D. Mangin and C. Rollion-Bard (CRPG) for
693	their technical help with the SIMS. We also would like to acknowledge S. Alfaro (LISA)
694	for his help with the model and P. Burnard (CRPG) for English corrections. J.C. Alt, R.H.
695	James and an anonymous reviewer are sincerely thanked for their comments that help to
695	James and an anonymous reviewer are sincerely thanked for their comments that help to improve this manuscript. This is CRPG contribution # 2113.

697	REFERENCES
698	
699	Aagard P. and Helgeson H.C. (1982) Thermodynamic and kinetic constraints on reaction rates
700	among minerals and aqueous solutions. I. Theoretical considerations. Am. J. Sci. 282, 237-
701	285.
702	Berger G., Schott J. and Guy C. (1988). Behavior of Li, Rb and Cs during basalt glass and
703	olivine dissolution and chlorite, smectite and zeolite precipitation from seawater:
704	Experimental investigations and modelization between 50° and 300°C. Chem. Geol. 71,
705	297-312.
706	Berger G., Claparols C., Guy C. and Daux V. (1994) Dissolution rate of a basalt glass in silica-
707	rich solutions: Implications for long-term alteration. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 58, 4875-
708	4886.
709	Boksay Z., Bouquet G. and Dobos S. (1968) The kinetics of leached layers on glass surfaces.
710	Phys. Chem. Glasses 9, 69-71.
711	Bunker B.C. (1994) Molecular mechanisms for corrosion of silica and silicate glasses. J. Non-
712	Cryst. Solids 179, 300-308.
713	Carignan J., Vigier N. and Millot R. (2007) Three secondary reference materials for Li
714	isotope measurements: Li7-N, Li6-N and LiCl-N solutions. Geostand. Geoanal. Res. 31,
715	7-12.
716	Chan L.H. and Edmond J.M. (1988) Variation of lithium isotope composition in the marine
717	environment: a preliminary report. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 52, 1711-1717.
718	Chan L.H., Edmond J.M., Thompson G. and Gillis K. (1992) Lithium isotopic composition of
719	submarine basalts: implications for the lithium cycle to the ocean. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.,
720	108 , 151-160.

- 721 Chan L.H., Edmond J.M. and Thompson G. (1993) A lithium isotope study of hot springs and
- metabasalts from mid ocean ridge hydrothermal systems. J. Geophys. Res. 98, 9653-9659.
- 723 Chan L.H., Gieskes J.M., You C.F. and Edmond J.M. (1994) Lithium isotope geochemistry of
- sediments and hydrothermal fluids of the Gaymas Basin, Gulf of California. *Geochim.*
- 725 *Cosmochim. Acta* **58**, 4443-4454.
- 726 Chan L.H., Alt J.C. and Teagle D.A.H. (2002) Lithium and lithium isotope profiles through
- the upper oceanic crust: a study of seawater-basalt exchange at ODP Sites 504B and
 896A. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 201, 187-201.
- Chaussidon M. and Robert F. (1998) ⁷Li/⁶Li and ¹¹B/¹⁰B variations in chondrules from the
 Semarkona unequilibrated chondrite. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **164**, 577-589.
- Chave T., Frugier P., Ayral A. and Gin S. (2007) Solid state diffusion during nuclear glass
 residual alteration in solution. *J. Nucl. Mater.* 362, 466-473.
- Crovisier J.L., Advocat T. and Dussossoy J.L. (2003) Nature and role of natural alteration gels
 formed on the surface of ancient volcanic glasses (Natural analogs of waste containment
 glasses). J. Nucl. Mater. 321, 91-109.
- 736 Daux V., Guy C., Advocat T., Crovisier J.L. and Stille P. (1997) Kinetic aspects of basaltic
- 737 glass dissolution at 90°C: role of aqueous silicon and aluminium. *Chem. Geol.* 142,
 738 109-126.
- Dessert C., Dupré B., Gaillardet J., François L. and Allègre C.J. (2003) Basalt weathering
 laws and the impact of basalt weathering on the global carbon cycle. *Chem. Geol.* 202,
 257-273.
- 742 Dupré B., Dessert C., Oliva P., Goddéris Y., Viers J., François L., Millot R. and Gaillardet J.
- 743 (2003) Rivers, chemical weathering and Earth's climate. *C.R. Geoscience* **335**, 1141-1160.
- 744 Flesch G.D., Anderson A.R. and Svec H.J. (1973) A secondary isotopic standard for ⁶Li/⁷Li
- determinations. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 12, 265-272.

746 Franck S., Bounama C. and von Bloh W. (2008) Weathering. In Encyclopedia of Ecology,

747 3770-3776.

- 748 Frugier P., Gin S., Minet Y., Chave T., Bonin B., Godon N., Lartigue J.E., Jollivet P., Ayral A.,
- 749 De Windt L. and Santarini G. (2008) SON68 nuclear glass dissolution kinetics: Current
- state of knowledge and basis of the new GRAAL model. J. Nucl. Mater. 380, 8-21.
- 751 Frugier P., Chave T., Lartigue J.E. and Gin S. (2009) Application of the GRAAL model to
- leaching experiments with SON68 nuclear glass in initially pure water. *J. Nucl. Mater.* 392,
 552-567.
- 754 Gaillardet J., Dupré B., Louvat P. and Allègre C.J. (1999) Global silicate weathering and CO₂
- consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers. *Chem. Geol.* **159**, 3-30.
- 756 Gislason S.R. and Oelkers E.H. (2003) Mechanism, rates, and consequences of basaltic glass
- dissolution: II. An experimental study of the dissolution rates of basaltic glass as a
 function of pH and temperature. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67, 3817-3832.
- 759 Grambow B. (1985) A general rate equation for nuclear waste glass corrosion. *Mat. Res. Soc.*
- 760 *Symp. Proc.* **44**, 15-27.
- Guy C. and Schott J. (1989) Multisite surface reaction versus transport control during the
 hydrolysis of a complex oxide. *Chem. Geol.* 78, 181-204.
- Hamilton J.P., Pantano C.G. and Brantley S.L. (2000) Dissolution of albite glass and crystal. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 64, 2603-2615.
- Hartmann J., Jansen N., Dürr H.H., Kempe S. and Köhler P. (2009) Global CO₂-consumption
 by chemical weathering: What is the contribution of highly active weathering regions? *Global Planet. Change* 69, 185-194.
- 768 Hoefs J. and Sywall M. (1997) Lithium isotopic composition of Quaternary and Tertiary
- biogene carbonates and a global lithium isotope balance. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 61,
- 2679-2690.

- Huh Y., Chan L.C., Zhang L. and Edmond J.M. (1998) Lithium and its isotopes in major
- world rivers: implications for weathering and the oceanic budget. *Geochim. Cosmochim.*
- 773 *Acta* **62**, 2039-2051.
- Huh Y., Chan L.C. and Edmond J.M. (2001) Lithium isotopes as a probe of weathering
 processes: Orinoco River. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **194**, 189-199.
- Huh Y., Chan L.C. and Chadwick O.A. (2004) Behavior of lithium and its isotopes during
- 777 weathering of Hawaiian basalt. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 5, 1-22.
- James R.H., Allen D.E. and Seyfried, W.E. Jr. (2003) An experimental study of alteration of
- oceanic crust and terrigenous sediments at moderate temperatures (51 to 350°C): Insights
- as to chemical processes in ear-shore ridge-flank hydrothermal systems. Geochim.
- 781 *Cosmochim. Acta* **67**, 681-691.
- 782 Kisakürek B., Widdowson M. and James R.H. (2004) Behaviour of Li isotopes during
- 783 continental weathering: the Bidar laterite profile, India. *Chem. Geol.* **212**, 27-44.
- Kisakürek B., James R.H. and Harris N.B.W. (2005) Li and δ^7 Li in Himalayan rivers: Proxies for silicate weathering? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **237**, 387-401.
- Kunze R.W. and Fuoss R.M. (1962) Conductance of the alkali halides. III. The isotopic
 lithium chlorides. *J. Phys. Chem.* 66, 930-931.
- Lemarchand E., Chabaud F., Vigier N., Millot R. and Pierret M.C. (2010) Lithium isotope
 systematics in a forested granitic catchment (Strengbach, Vosges Mountains, France). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, in press.
- F.T. (2007) CO₂ and H₂SO₄ consumption in weathering
 and material transport to the ocean, and their role in the global carbon balance. *Marine*
- 793 *Chem.* **106**, 326-350.

- 794 Louvat P. and Allègre C.J. (1997) Present denudation rates on the island of Réunion
- determined by river geochemistry: Basalt weathering and mass budget between chemical
- and mechanical erosions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **61**, 3645-3669.
- Louvat P. and Allègre C.J. (1998) Riverine erosion rates on Sao Miguel volcanic island,
 Azores archipelago. *Chem. Geol.* 148, 177-200.
- 799 Ludwig W., Amiotte-Suchet P. and Probst J.L. (1999) Enhanced chemical weathering of
- rocks during the last glacial maximum: a sink for atmospheric CO₂? *Chem. Geol.* 159,
 147-161.
- 802 Lundstrom C.C., Chaussidon M., Hsui A.T., Kelemen P. and Zimmerman M. (2005)
- 803 Observations of Li isotopic variations in the Trinity Ophiolite: Evidence for isotopic
- fractionation by diffusion during mantle melting. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 69, 735751.
- Luo J.S. and Ebert W.L. (1998) Examination of subaerially altered basaltic glass with TEM
 and EELS. *Am. Ceramic Soc. Proc.*, 8 pp.
- 808 McGrail B.P., Kumar A. and Day D.E. (1984) Sodium Diffusion and Leaching of Simulated
- 809 Nuclear Waste Glass. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 67, 463-467.
- 810 Millot R., Guerrot C. and Vigier N. (2004) Accurate and high-precision measurement of
- 811 lithium isotopes in two reference materials by MC-ICP-MS. *Geostand. Geoanal. Res.* 28,
 812 153-159.
- Millot R., Scaillet B. and Sanjuan B. (2010a) Lithium isotopes in island arc geothermal
 systems: Guadeloupe, Martinique (French West Indies) and experimental approach. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 1852-1871.
- Millot R., Vigier N. and Gaillardet, J. (2010b) Behaviour of lithium and its isotopes during
 weathering in the Mackenzie Basin, Canada. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 74, 3897-3912.

- 818 Millot R., Petelet-Giraud E., Guerrot C. and Négrel P. (2010c) Multi-isotopic composition
- 819 $(\delta^7 \text{Li} \delta^{11} \text{B} \delta \text{D} \delta^{18} \text{O})$ of rainwaters in France: Origin and spatio-temporal characterization.

820 *Applied Geochem.* **25**, 1510-1524.

- 821 Oelkers E.H. (2001) General kinetic description of multioxide silicate mineral and glass
 822 dissolution. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 65, 3703-3719.
- 823 Oelkers E.H. and Gislason S.R. (2001) The mechanism, rates, and consequences of basaltic
- glass dissolution: I. An experimental study of the dissolution rates of basaltic glass as a
- function of aqueous Al, Si and oxalic acid concentration at 25° C and pH = 3 and 11.
- 826 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **65**, 3671-3681.
- 827 Parkinson I.J., Hammond S.J., James R.H. and Rogers N.W. (2007) High-temperature lithium
- 828 isotope fractionation: Insights from lithium isotope diffusion in magmatic systems. *Earth*
- 829 Planet. Sci. Lett. 257, 609-621.
- Pistiner J.S. and Henderson G.M. (2003) Lithium isotope fractionation during continental
 weathering processes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 214, 327-339.
- 832 Pogge von Strandmann P.A.E., James R.H., van Calsteren P., Gislason S.R. and Burton K.W.
- 833 (2008) Lithium, magnesium and uranium isotope behaviour in the estuarine environment
- of basaltic islands. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **274**, 462-471.
- 835 Pogge von Strandmann P.A.E., Burton K.W., James R.H., van Calsteren P. and Gislason S.R.
- (2010) Assessing the role of climate on uranium and lithium isotope behaviour in rivers
 draining a basaltic terrain. *Chem. Geol.* 270, 227-239.
- Rana M.A. and Douglas R.W. (1961a) The Reaction Between Glass and Water. Part 1.
 Experimental Methods and Observations. *Phys. Chem. Glasses* 2, 179-195.
- 840 Rana M.A. and Douglas R.W. (1961b) The Reaction Between Glass and Water. Part 2.
- B41 Discussion of the Results. *Phys. Chem. Glasses* **2**, 196-204.

- 842 Richter F.M., Davis A.M., DePaolo D.J. and Watson E.B. (2003) Isotope fractionation by
- chemical diffusion between molten basalt and rhyolite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67,
 3905-3923.
- 845 Richter F.M., Mendybaev R.A., Christensen J.N., Hutcheon I.D., Williams R.W., Sturchio
- 846 N.C. and Beloso A.D. Jr. (2006) Kinetic isotopic fractionation during diffusion of ionic
- species in water. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 277-289.
- 848 Rudnick R.L., Tomascak P.B., Njo H.B. and Robert Gardner L. (2004) Extreme lithium
- isotopic fractionation during continental weathering revealed in saprolites from South
 Carolina. *Chem. Geol.* 212, 45-57.
- 851 Schiano P., Birck J.L., Allègre C.J. (1997) Osmium-strontium-neodymium-lead isotopic
- covariations in mid-ocean ridge basalt glasses and the heterogeneity of the upper mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **150**, 363-379.
- Seyfried W.E. Jr., Janecky D.R. and Mottl M.J. (1984) Alteration of the oceanic crust:
 implications for the geochemical cycles of lithium and boron. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*

48, 557-569.

- 857 Seyfried W.E. Jr., Chen X. and Chan L.H. (1998) Trace element mobility and lithium isotope
- exchange during hydrothermal alteration of seafloor weathered basalt: An experimental
 study at 350°C, 500 bars. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 62, 949-960.
- 860 Stroncik N.A. and Schmincke H.U. (2001) Evolution of palagonite: Crystallization, chemical
 861 changes, and element budget. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 2, 1017.
- Techer I., Advocat T., Lancelot J. and Liotard J.M. (2000) Basaltic glass: alteration
 mechanisms and analogy with nuclear waste glasses. *J. Nucl. Mater.* 282, 40-46.
- 864 Techer I., Advocat T. Lancelot J., Liotard J.M. (2001) Dissolution kinetics of basaltic glasses:
- control by solution chemistry and protective effect of the alteration film. *Chem. Geol.* **176**,
- 866 235-263.

- 867 Teng F.Z., McDonough W.F., Rudnick R.L., Dalpé C., Tomascak P.B., Chappell B.W. and
- Gao S. (2004) Lithium isotopic composition and concentration of the upper continental

869 crust. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **68**, 4167-4178.

- 870 Teng F.Z., McDonough W.F., Rudnick R.L. and Walker R.J. (2006) Diffusion-driven extreme
- 871 lithium isotopic fractionation in country rocks of the Tin Mountain pegmatite. *Earth*
- 872 *Planet. Sci. Lett.* **243**, 701-710.
- 873 Teng F.Z., Rudnick R.L., McDonough W.F., Gao S., Tomascak P.B. and Liu Y. (2008)
- Lithium isotopic composition and concentration of the deep continental crust. *Chem. Geol.* 255, 47-59.
- 876 van der Lee J. and De Windt L. (2002) CHESS Tutorial and Cookbook. Updated for version
- 877 *3.0.* École des Mines de Paris, Centre d'Informatique Géologique, Fontainebleau, France.
- 878 Verney-Carron A., Gin S., Frugier P. and Libourel G. (2010) Long-term modeling of
- 879 alteration-transport coupling: Application to a fractured Roman glass. Geochim.

880 *Cosmochim. Acta* **74**, 2291-2315.

- 881 Vigier N., Burton K.W., Gislason S.R., Rogers N.W., Duchene S., Thomas L., Hodge E. and
- 882 Schaefer B. (2006) The relationship between riverine U-series disequilibria and erosion
- rates in a basaltic terrain. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **249**, 258-273.
- Vigier N., Decarreau A., Millot R., Carignan J., Petit S. and France-Lanord C. (2008)
 Quantifying Li isotope fractionation during smectite formation and implications for the Li
 cycle. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72, 780-792.
- Vigier N., Gislason S.R., Burton K.W., Millot R. and Mokadem F. (2009) The relationship
 between riverine lithium isotope composition and silicate weathering rates in Iceland.
- 889 *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **287**, 434-441.
- White A.F., Claasen H.C. (1980) Kinetic model for the short-term dissolution of a rhyolitic
 glass. *Chem. Geol.* 28, 91-109.

- 892 Williams L.B. and Hervig R.L. (2005) Lithium and boron isotopes in illite-smectite: the
- importance of crystal size. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 5705-5716.
- 894 Wimpenny J., Gislason S.R., James R.H., Gannoun A., Pogge von Strandmann P.A.E. and
- Burton K. (2010) The behaviour of Li and Mg isotopes during primary phase dissolution
 and secondary mineral formation in basalt. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, in press.
- 897 Wolery T. (1992) EQ3/6, A Software Package for Geochemical Modeling of Aqueous
- 898 Systems: Package overview and Installation Guide (Version 7.0.). Lawrence Livermore
- 899 National Laboratory Report, UCRL-MA-110662 PT1.
- 900 Wolff-Boenisch D., Gislason S.R., Oelkers E. H. and Putnis C.V. (2004) The dissolution rates
- 901 of natural glasses as a function of their composition at pH 4 and 10.6, and temperatures
- 902 from 25 to 74°C. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **68**, 4843-4858.
- 903 Wolff-Boenisch D., Gislason S.R. and Oelkers E. H. (2006) The effect of cristallinity on
- 904 dissolution rates and CO₂ consumption capacity of silicates. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*905 **70**, 858-870.
- 906 Zhang L., Chan L.H. and Gieskes J.M. (1998) Lithium isotope geochemistry of pore waters
- 907 from Ocean Drilling Program Sites 918 and 919, Irminger Basin. Geochim. Cosmochim.
- 908 Acta **62**, 2437-2450.
- 909

Figure captions

Figure 1. Evolution of Si (a) and Li (b) concentrations in solution with time for all the experiments performed at 90°C (see Table 4).

Figure 2. Normalized mass losses (Eq. (2)) of Ca, Al, Si, Mg, Na, and Li during alteration for the experiment in pure water at 90°C (PW-90). The solid line represents a fit of the normalized mass losses for alkalis (see Eq. (5)) using a square root function and the dashed line corresponds to a fit of the normalized mass losses for the other elements using two linear functions.

Figure 3. (a) Determination of the 'initial' dissolution rate (r_0) for the experiments in pure water at 90°C (PW-90) and 50°C (PW-50) using Eq. (3). The initial dissolution rate is deduced from the slope of the linear regression on dNL(Si)/dt. (b) Determination of the Li diffusion coefficient (*D*) for the experiments in pure water at 90°C (PW-90) and 50°C (PW-50) using Fick's second law (Eq. (5)). The slope of the linear regression on dNL(Li)/dt corresponds to $2p\sqrt{(Dt)}$.

Figure 4. Evolution of the Li isotopic composition of the leachate solutions (δ^7 Li) with time: (a) for the experiments at 90°C and 50°C (in pure water and S/V 0.7 cm⁻¹), (b) at pH 3 and 9 (at 90°C and S/V 0.7 cm⁻¹), (c) at S/V of 0.7 and 7 cm⁻¹ (in pure water and 90°C). The thick black line corresponds to the fresh synthetic basaltic glass value with its uncertainties in grey.

Figure 5. Schematic view of the diffusion - dissolution model described in the text. The alteration process is considered as two processes: formation of a leached layer by interdiffusion between alkalis and protons and dissolution of the leached layer.

Figure 6. Modeling the experiments: (a) at 90 and 50°C (in pure water and $S/V = 0.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), (b) at pH 3 (90°C and $S/V = 0.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), (c) at S/V of 0.7 and 7 cm⁻¹ (in pure water and 90°C). The thick black horizontal line corresponds to the fresh synthetic basaltic glass value with uncertainties in grey. The curves correspond to the fit of the data with the *a* value determined from the least square method.

Figure 7. Simulation of δ^7 Li values of the leaching solution of the synthetic basaltic glass as a function of time: (a) at 90°C by considering the measured values of *D* and r_0 , $r_0/10$, $r_0/100$; (b) at various temperatures (between 20 and 120°C). The results are obtained from Eq. (12) and (13) and by considering r_0 and *D* extrapolated from our measured data to 50 and 90°C. The *a* ratio is calculated from Eq. (16).

Figure 8. Logarithm of the *a* ratio as a function of the inverse of the temperature. R is the ideal gas constant. According to Arrhenius' law and Eq. (17), the slope corresponds to the difference of activation energies for diffusion of ${}^{6}Li$ and ${}^{7}Li$, respectively.









Figure 3















Figure 7.



Figure 8.



Exp. name	Temp.	Solution	BG* mass	Sol. volume	S/V**	Time
	°C		g	mL	cm ⁻¹	days
PW-90-t1	90	Pure water	0.042	50.4	0.70	0.02
PW-90-t2	90	Pure water	0.042	50.1	0.71	0.42
PW-90-t3	90	Pure water	0.043	50.1	0.72	0.58
PW-90-t4	90	Pure water	0.042	50.0	0.71	0.79
PW-90-t5	90	Pure water	0.042	50.0	0.71	4
PH3-90	90	0.001M HCl	0.168	200.2	0.71	0.08, 0.21, 0.33, 1, 1.3, 2, 34
		(pH 3 at 25°C)				
PH10-90	90	0.0001M NaOH	0.168	199.8	0.71	0.08, 0.21, 0.33, 1, 1.3, 2, 34
		(pH 10 at 25°C)				
SV1	90	Pure water	0.167	199.9	0.70	1, 3, 8, 15, 25, 63
SV2	90	Pure water	0.884	100.2	7.4	1, 3, 8, 15, 25, 63
PW-50-t1	50	Pure water	0.047	50.9	0.78	0.25
PW-50-t2	50	Pure water	0.041	50.2	0.69	0.29
PW-50-t3	50	Pure water	0.042	50.4	0.70	0.38
PW-50-t4	50	Pure water	0.043	51.6	0.70	0.67
PW-50-t5	50	Pure water	0.042	50.0	0.71	1.1
PW-50-t6	50	Pure water	0.042	51.7	0.68	2.0

racie is ballinary of experimental conditions	Table 1.	Summary	ofex	perimental	conditions
---	----------	---------	------	------------	------------

* BG is basaltic glass

** S/V is the ratio of the basaltic glass reactive surface area (measured by BET) to the volume of solution used in the experiment

Standard name		δ^{7} Li (‰)	δ^7 Li (‰) published values	
Neptune (BRGM)				
SW BCR-403 30 ppb		$+31.3 \pm 0.4$ (n=10)	$+31.0\pm0.1$	Millot et al. (2004)
Li7-N 30 ppb		$+30.8 \pm 0.5$ ‰ (n = 10)	$+30.2\pm0.3$	Carignan et al. (2007)
Li7-N* 30 ppb		$+30.3 \pm 0.2 \% (n = 10)$		
Li6-N* 30 ppb		$-8.1 \pm 0.2 \% (n = 10)$	-8.0 ± 0.3	Carignan et al. (2007)
Nu Instruments (ENS)				
Basalt JB-2	(1)	$+4.0 \pm 0.3 (n = 1)$	$+4.6\pm0.9$	Carignan et al. (2007)
SW BCR-403	(1)	$+30.9 \pm 0.2 \ (n = 1)$	$+31.3\pm0.6$	Millot et al. (2004)
SW BCR-403 30-60 ppb	(2)	$+30.8 \pm 0.6 \ (n=3)$		
SW BCR-403 15 ppb	(2)	$+30.5 \pm 0.7 (n = 2)$		
Li7-N (50-100 ppb)*	(1)	$+30.2 \pm 0.3 \% (n = 4)$	$+30.2 \pm 0.3$	Carignan et al. (2007)
Li7-N (25 ppb)*	(1)	$+30.0 \pm 0.4 \% (n = 2)$		
Li7-N (50-75 ppb)*	(2)	$+30.0 \pm 0.4 \% (n = 14)$		
Li7-N (10 to 40 ppb)*	(2)	$+29.9 \pm 0.6 \% (n = 11)$		
Li6-N (25-50 ppb)*	(1)	$-8.1 \pm 0.4 \% (n = 3)$	-8.0 ± 0.3	Carignan et al. (2007)
Li6-N (50 ppb)*	(2)	$-8.1 \pm 0.4 \% (n = 7)$		

Table 2. δ^7 Li (‰) obtained for several reference materials during sessions (1) and (2) using the Neptune MC-ICP-MS and the Nu Instruments MC-ICP-MS

Uncertainties are given at the 2σ level. See text for more details.

* These standards were not passed through the chemical separation procedure

Wt %	VBS	
SiQ ₂	49.08	
Al ₂ O ₃	14.78	
Fe ₂ O ₃	10.82	
MnO	0.21	
MgO	8.18	
CaO	10.69	
Na ₂ O	2.69	
K ₂ O	0.18	
TiO ₂	1.73	
P_2O_5	0.13	
Li ₂ O	0.964	
		7
Total	99.46	

Table 3. Composition of the Li-enriched synthetic basaltic glass (VBS) used in all of the experiments Oxide VBS

Table 4. pH, elemental concentrations and $\delta^7 \text{Li}$ (‰) of the solutions recovered from alteration experiments. pH is given at the temperature of the experiment (90°C for all experiments, except PW-50 at 50°C). LD (limit of detection) are 1 ppm for Al, 0.02 ppm for Fe, 0.1 ppm for Mg. Leachates were diluted by a factor 2 in the experiments PH3-90 and PH10-90 and by a factor 5 for the experiments SV1 and SV2. Si/Li is in ppm/ppm. Uncertainties for $\delta^7 \text{Li}$ are given at the 2σ level and correspond to the internal error.

Exp.	S/V	Time	pH	Si	Al	Fe	Mg	Ca	Na	Li	Si/Li	δ ⁷ Li
1	cm ⁻¹	d	1	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm		%
PW-90	0.70	0.02	7.9	0.19	< LD	< LD	< LD	0.28	0.30	0.020	9.5	$+4.9 \pm 0.2$
	0.71	0.42	8.6	6.60	2.66	< LD	1.62	2.28	-	0.136	48.5	$+9.3 \pm 0.5$
	0.72	0.58	8.6	8.80	3.57	< LD	< LD	2.94	0.95	0.170	51.8	$+10.0\pm0.4$
	0.71	0.79	8.6	8.76	3.55	< LD	1.82	2.99	0.92	0.199	44.0	$+10.3\pm0.3$
	0.71	3.8	8.6	13.1	4.01	< LD	<LD	5.11	2.02	0.434	30.2	$+10.0\pm0.3$
PH3-90	0.71	0.08	3.0	1.28	< LD	0.570	< LD	0.870	0.256	0.065	19.7	nd
	0.72	0.21	3.1	2.30	< LD	0.661	< LD	1.15	0.336	0.095	24.2	$+9.7 \pm 1.1$
	0.74	0.33	3.1	3.15	< LD	0.630	< LD	1.43	0.374	0.106	29.7	nd
	0.76	1.0	3.1	5.08	2.23	0.784	1.18	2.05	0.584	0.145	35.0	$+8.8\pm1.6$
	0.78	1.3	3.3	5.56	2.38	0.798	1.24	2.05	0.587	0.163	34.1	nd
	0.80	2.0	3.4	6.63	2.79	0.884	1.51	2.42	0.677	0.175	37.9	$+9.7\pm0.8$
	0.82	34.3	4.2	17.8	< LD	1.75	4.67	6.89	1.93	0.394	45.2	$+9.6\pm0.2$
PH10-90	0.71	0.08	8.4	1.19	< LD	< LD	< LD	<ld< th=""><th>0.45</th><th>0.045</th><th>26.4</th><th>$+9.5\pm0.9$</th></ld<>	0.45	0.045	26.4	$+9.5\pm0.9$
	0.73	0.21	8.4	3.06	< LD	< LD	< LD	0.784	0.64	0.092	33.3	$+10.0 \pm 1.3$
	0.75	0.33	8.3	4.10	< LD	< LD	< LD	1.326	0.75	0.121	33.9	nd
	0.76	1.0	8.3	7.90	3.19	< LD	1.74	2.78	1.25	0.207	38.2	$+10.1\pm0.6$
	0.79	1.3	8.2	8.74	3.53	<ld< th=""><th>1.88</th><th>2.80</th><th>1.21</th><th>0.249</th><th>35.1</th><th>nd</th></ld<>	1.88	2.80	1.21	0.249	35.1	nd
	0.81	2.0	8.2	10.3	4.15	< LD	2.20	3.37	1.49	0.299	34.4	$+10.1\pm1.0$
	0.84	34.3	8.2	14.2	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>< LD</th><th>5.58</th><th>3.11</th><th>0.591</th><th>24.0</th><th>$+10.5\pm0.2$</th></ld<>	< LD	< LD	5.58	3.11	0.591	24.0	$+10.5\pm0.2$
SV1	0.70	1.0	8.1	5.59	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	0.91	0.138	40.5	$+10.0\pm0.2$
	0.73	3.3	8.7	9.76	< LD	< LD	< LD	3.36	1.25	0.259	37.7	$+10.3\pm0.2$
	0.75	8.3	8.8	11.4	< LD	< LD	< LD	4.16	1.80	0.395	28.9	$+10.2\pm0.2$
	0.77	15.2	8.5	12.7	< LD	< LD	< LD	-	2.61	0.491	25.9	$+10.4\pm0.2$
	0.80	25.1	8.8	13.9	< LD	< LD	< LD	4.05	2.08	0.494	28.1	$+10.4 \pm 0.2$
	0.81	63.3	8.9	14.8	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>< LD</th><th>4.96</th><th>3.49</th><th>0.674</th><th>22.0</th><th>nd</th></ld<>	< LD	< LD	4.96	3.49	0.674	22.0	nd
SV2	7.4	1.0	8.8	11.4	< LD	< LD	< LD	4.88	1.78	0.413	27.6	$+8.6\pm0.2$
	7.5	3.3	8.5	12.6	<ld< th=""><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>< LD</th><th>2.30</th><th>0.595</th><th>21.2</th><th>$+8.8 \pm 0.2$</th></ld<></th></ld<>	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>< LD</th><th>2.30</th><th>0.595</th><th>21.2</th><th>$+8.8 \pm 0.2$</th></ld<>	< LD	< LD	2.30	0.595	21.2	$+8.8 \pm 0.2$
	7.7	8.3	8.9	13.2	<ld< th=""><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>4.92</th><th>3.00</th><th>0.746</th><th>17.7</th><th>nd</th></ld<></th></ld<>	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>4.92</th><th>3.00</th><th>0.746</th><th>17.7</th><th>nd</th></ld<>	< LD	4.92	3.00	0.746	17.7	nd
	7.8	15.2	9.0	14.1	<ld< th=""><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>5.08</th><th>3.75</th><th>0.872</th><th>16.2</th><th>$+8.9 \pm 0.2$</th></ld<></th></ld<>	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>5.08</th><th>3.75</th><th>0.872</th><th>16.2</th><th>$+8.9 \pm 0.2$</th></ld<>	< LD	5.08	3.75	0.872	16.2	$+8.9 \pm 0.2$
	7.9	25.1	9.0	16.1	<ld< th=""><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>6.01</th><th>3.96</th><th>0.918</th><th>17.5</th><th>$+9.0 \pm 0.2$</th></ld<></th></ld<>	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>6.01</th><th>3.96</th><th>0.918</th><th>17.5</th><th>$+9.0 \pm 0.2$</th></ld<>	< LD	6.01	3.96	0.918	17.5	$+9.0 \pm 0.2$
	8.0	63.3	9.1	15.1	<ld< th=""><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>6.40</th><th>4.76</th><th>1.309</th><th>11.5</th><th>$+8.9 \pm 0.6$</th></ld<></th></ld<>	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>6.40</th><th>4.76</th><th>1.309</th><th>11.5</th><th>$+8.9 \pm 0.6$</th></ld<>	< LD	6.40	4.76	1.309	11.5	$+8.9 \pm 0.6$
PW-50	0.78	0.25	6.6	0.031	<ld< th=""><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>< LD</th><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>-</th><th>$+6.0 \pm 0.4$</th></ld<></th></ld<></th></ld<>	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>< LD</th><th><ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>-</th><th>$+6.0 \pm 0.4$</th></ld<></th></ld<>	< LD	< LD	<ld< th=""><th>< LD</th><th>-</th><th>$+6.0 \pm 0.4$</th></ld<>	< LD	-	$+6.0 \pm 0.4$
	0.69	0.29	6.6	0.034	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	-	$+5.9\pm0.7$
	0.70	0.39	6.6	0.064	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	<LD	< LD	-	$+6.6 \pm 0.7$
	0.70	0.71	7.6	0.065	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	0.013	5.0	$+6.5\pm0.4$
	0.71	1.1	7.7	0.139	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	0.021	6.6	$+6.7\pm0.4$
	0.68	2.0	7.7	0.177	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	< LD	0.021	8.4	$+7.5\pm0.4$

Exp.	Time	NL(Si)	NL(Al)	NL(Fe)	NL(Mg)	NL(Ca)	NL(Na)	NL(Li)
	days	$g \cdot m^{-2}$	$g \cdot m^{-2}$	$g \cdot m^{-2}$	g⋅m ⁻²	$g \cdot m^{-2}$	$g \cdot m^{-2}$	$g \cdot m^{-2}$
PW-90	0.01	0.012				0.052	0.215	0.064
	0.43	0.405	0.479		0.462	0.420		0.428
	0.58	0.533	0.634			0.534	0.661	0.527
	0.79	0.538	0.639		0.520	0.551	0.649	0.626
	3.8	0.804	0.722			0.942	1.426	1.365
PH3-90	0.08	0.079		0.106		0.160	0.181	0.204
	0.21	0.139		0.121		0.209	0.234	0.295
	0.33	0.186		0.112		0.253	0.253	0.320
	1.0	0.291	0.375	0.136	0.315	0.353	0.385	0.426
	1.3	0.311	0.390	0.135	0.322	0.344	0.377	0.467
	2.0	0.361	0.446	0.146	0.383	0.396	0.424	0.488
	34.3	0.946		0.282	1.154	1.100	1.179	1.073
PH10-90	0.08	0.073					0.318	0.141
	0.21	0.183				0.141	0.439	0.281
	0.33	0.238				0.231	0.501	0.360
	1.0	0.453	0.537		0.464	0.479	0.824	0.608
	1.3	0.482	0.571		0.482	0.464	0.768	0.704
	2.0	0.554	0.655		0.551	0.545	0.922	0.824
	34.3	0.737				0.869	1.855	1.571
SV1	1.0	0.348					0.651	0.440
	3.3	0.583				0.602	0.858	0.792
	8.3	0.663			•	0.726	1.203	1.176
	15.2	0.719					1.699	1.423
	25.1	0.757				0.663	1.303	1.378
	63.3	0.798				0.804	2.164	1.862
SV2	1.0	0.067				0.086	0.121	0.125
	3.3	0.073	, i				0.154	0.177
	8.3	0.075				0.084	0.195	0.216
	15.2	0.079				0.085	0.241	0.250
	25.1	0.089				0.100	0.251	0.259
	63.3	0.082				0.105	0.298	0.378
PW-50	0.24	0.002						
	0.29	0.002						
	0.39	0.004						
	0.69	0.004						0.041
	1.09	0.009						0.066
	2.04	0.011						0.069

Table 5. Normalized mass losses of elements from the glass (Eq. (2)). Relative uncertainties are 10%.

Table 6. Parameters and results of the model. The parameters τ and r_0 are used to fit the dissolution rate r (Eq. (10)). D is the 'true' Li diffusion coefficient used in the model. The ratio a is the D₇/D₆ ratio, and n is the number of data points used for the modelling. The range of uncertainties for a considers all of the measured δ^7 Li values. The corresponding β values (see Eq. (6)) are also shown.

				D		0
±xp.	Time	τ	r_0	D	а	β
		(d)	$(g/m^2/d)$	(m^2/d)	0.000 /	0.070
YW90	$t \le 4 d$	0.919	0.930	2.6 10-14	0.990 (n=5)	0.078
		0.410	0.012	0.0.10-14	0.997 (n=4)	0.019
2H3-90	$t \le 2 d$	0.419	0.812	$0.9 \ 10^{-14}$	$0.994 \pm 0.004 (n=3)$	0.039
'H10-90	$t \le 2 d$	0.589	0.949	$1.9 \ 10^{-14}$	0.997 ± 0.002 (n=4)	0.019
5V1	$t \le 15 d$	1.533	0.448	$1.7 \ 10^{-12}$	1.000 ± 0.002 (n=4)	0
SV2	$t \le 15 d$	0.464	0.163	$6.0\ 10^{-14}$	0.997 ± 0.002 (n=3)	0.019
'W50	$t \le 2 d$	1.701	0.010	$4.0\ 10^{-16}$	$0.991 \pm 0.003 \text{ (n=6)}$	0.059
		2				